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## FOREWORD

It is a well recognized fact that the level of agricultural production in India is one of the lowest in the world and it is only by the exploitation of scientific methods of agriculture that we can hope to increase our agricultural production to the level necessary for providing a reasonable standard of living to the country's population. Froperly planned and conducted field experiments provide a reliable basis for propagating irnproved agricultural techniques among farmers. A number of research institutes and other experimental centres are functioning under the Central Ministry of Agriculture, the Commodity Committees and the State Governments, in which research on agricultural problems is going on.w The need for an integrated account of the researches done in these organisations and. institutions in the country has been felt for a long time, particularly in the context of planning. The absence of such a unified account has often led to duplication of work and delay in the utilisation of the results for practical farming. The Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research has, therefore, rendered a most timely service by preparing a compendium of all agricultural field experiments conducted in India upto 1953 and similar compendia are under preparation by the Institute for subsequent years.

The present compendium contains critical summaries of results of experiments bearing on important agronomic factors such as the responses of crops to fertilizers and manures, inter-relationship of fertilizers, varieties and cultivation practices and other information of value for giving sound advice to farmers in different regions. I am sure that these results will be fully utilised by agricultural institutions, research workers, planners and extension organisations. The chief merit of the present publication is that it brings together in one place the results of experimentation carried out under diverse soil, climatic and agricultural conditions obtaining in India. Workers in one State can thus supplement data for their own area by results from other regions where conditions may be similar and thereby re-inforce their own conclusions. For the same reason I hope that this publication will be of use to workers in other countries also.

A Standing Committee consisting of the Agricultural Commissioner with the Govern. ment of India, the Director, Indian Agricultural Research Institute and the Statistical Adviser, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, has been set up to provide general guidance to the work under this scheme. I congratulate the members of this Committee and in particular the Statistical Adviser and his associates at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics for bringing out this compendium. The preparation of this compendium has been made possible only by the whole hearted co-operation of the States and other organisations in making available the results of their experimental researches for this purpose. My thanks are due to the officers of the State Departments of Agriculture and other institutions for participating in this work. I hope that the present series will be followed by periodical publication of similar compendia for later years, in order that the avail; ability, in a consolidated form, of results of scientific experiments in agriculture in India may be maintained up-to.date.
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## PREFACE

A large number of agricultural field experiments on different problems is being conducted in the country by Central and State Governments, Research Institutes, Commodity Committees and other organisations engaged in agricultural research. In addition, a number of schemes involving field experimentation is sponsored by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. The absence of a unified record of the results of these various experiments has considerably handicapped planning of further research and development and has often led to duplication of efforts.

Vaidyanathan brought out in 1933 a useful catalogue of manurial experiments conducted in India till then. Considering that Vaidyanathan's work was confined to manurial experiments and the fact that an enormous increase has taken place in the number and scope of agronomic experiments in recent years in India, the Indian Council of Agricultural Research launched the scheme of National Index of Field Experiments in 1954. The object of the scheme was two-fold :
(i) the preparation of compendium of all the field experiments for the period 1935-53 and
(ii) the preparation of index cards for individual experiments from 1954 onwards.

Under the scheme, results of all agricultural field experiments other than purely varietal trials were to be consolidated. Subsequently at the time of the extension of the scheme in 1959 it was decided that the compendium would be prepared in the first instance for the period 1948-53 and a similar compendium would be prepared for the period 195459. The present series for the period $1948-53$ has been prepared in pursuance of this decision.

The compendium is divided into 15 volumes one each for (1) Andhra Pradesh (2) Assam, Manipur and Tripura (3) Bihar (4) Gujarat (5) Kerala (6) Madhya Pradesh (7) Madras (8) Maharashtra (9) Mysore (10) Orissa (11) Punjab, Jamrnu \& Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh (12) Rajasthan (13) Uttar Pradesh (14) West Bengal and (15) all Central Institutes. In each volume back-ground information of the respective State regarding its physical features, soils, rainfall and climate, agricultural production and area under different crops is given. A map showing different regions of the State, soils and agricultural research farms is also included. The experiments reported in each vclume have been arranged cropwise for each State. All the experiments belcnging to a particular crop at various research stations are grouped together. For a particular crop, experiments are arranged according to the following classification :

Manurial (M), Cultural (C), Irrigational (I), Diseases, Pests and Chemicals other than fertilisers (D), Rotational (R), Mixed Cropping (X) and combinations of these wherever they occur (e.g., CM as Cultural-cum-Manurial). Experiments in which crop varieties also form a factor are denoted by adding V to their symbol and are given together (e.g., MV as Manurial-cum-Varietal). The results of an experiment are given along with other basic information such as rotation of crops followed, cultural practices adopted, etc.

For making maximum use of the experimental data all the important tables giving the average yields of various treatments along with the appropriate standard errors have been presented. No attempt has, however, been made to summarise the data of groups of experiments on any particular item and to draw any general conclusions. This will be done for the period 1948-59 while publishing the compendium for the period 1954-59.

This publication is the result of the co-operative endeavour of a large number of persons both at the Centre and in the States. I should particularly mention in this connection, guidance and help rendered in the formulation of the scheme by Dr. D.J. Finney F.R.S. of Aberdeen University, Scotland, during his stay at the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics as an F.A.O. Statistical Expert in 1952-53.

At the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics, the work under the scheme was carried out under the supervision and guidance of Shri T.P. Abraham, Assistant Statistical Adviser. Shri G.A. Kulkarni, Statistician, looked after the detailed working of the scheme. These officers have been largely responsible for the preparation of the manuscript of the compendium and it is a pleasure to thank them for the hard work they have put in for getting this compendium ready. Messrs O.P. Kathuria, B.V. Srikantiah. M.L. Sahni, B.P. Dyundi, S.D. Bal and P.K. Jain of the statistical staff of the Institute deserve special mention for their careful scrutiny of the data and preparation of the material or the compendium. Thanks are also due to Dr. Uttam Chand, Professor of Statistics, now with the Central Statistical Orgainsation, Shri K.S. Avadhany, Assistant Statistician, also now with the Central Statistical Organisation, and Shri K.C. Raut, Statistician in this office who were associated with the scheme in its initial stages.

The burden of collecting data from original records by visiting different researih stations and the analysis of a large number of experiments, only the primary data for which had been recorded in the files, fell on the regional staff appointed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research in different States. They deserve to be congratulated for the patient work they have put in. The State Departments of Agriculture, Central Institutes and Commodity Committees made data for the experiments conducted within their jurisdiction readily available. The Indian Council of Agricuitural Research acknowledges this willing co-operation without which the consolidation of the results would not have been possible. Various State officers who helped the project by making the data accessible to the satistical staff of the project and worked as the regional supervisors for the scheme also deserve thanks by the Council for their active help. The lis, of names of the regional supervisors is given on the following page.
V.G. Panse

New Delfi,
August 16, 1962.

Statistical Adviser
Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics
(I.C.A.R.)

## REGIONAL SUPERVISORS FOR THE SCHEME OF THE NA'IIONAL INDEX OF FIELD EXPERIMENTS

Region and $\quad$ Regional Supervisors:
headquaters

1. Andhra Pradesh
(Hyderabad)

Shri D.V.G. Krishnamoorthy,
Deputy Director of Food Production, Andhra Pradesh. Shri Jagannath Rao, Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. Dr. Khadruddin Khan, Joint Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh. Dr. Wahiuddin, Headquarters Deputy Director of Agriculture (Research), Andhra Pradesh.
2. Assam, Manipur and Shri L.K. Handique, Tripura (Shillong) Director of Agriculture, Assam.

Shri S. Majid,
Director of Agriculture, Assam.
Dr. S.R. Barooha,
Director of Agriculture, Assam.
3. Bihar (Sabour)
4. Kerala (Trivandrum)
5. Madhya Pradesh (Gwalior)
6. Madras (Combatore)
7. Maharashtra \& Gujarat (Former Bombay State) (Poona)

Dr. R. Richaria,
Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour. Shri R.S. Roy, Principal, Agriculture College, Sabour.

Shri N. Shankara Menón,
Director of Agriculture, Kerala. Shri P.D. Nair, Director of Agriculture, Kerala.

Dr. T.R. Mehta, Principal, Agriculture College, Gwalior.

Shri C.R. Sheshadri,
Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore. Shri P.A. Venkateswaran, Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore.
Late Shri M. Bhavani Sankara Rao,
Vice-Principal \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore.
Shri T. Natarajan,
Agronomist \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore.
Shri A.H. Sarma,
Extension Specialist \& Secretary, Research Council, Agriculture College, Coimbatore.

Shri D.S. Ranga Rao, Statistician, Department of Agriculture, Poona.

[^1]8. Mysore
(Bangalore)
9. Orissa
(Bhubaneshwar)
10. Punjab, Jammu \&

Kashmir and Himachal
Pradesh(Chandigarh)
11. Rajastan
(Jaipur)
12. Uttar Pradesh (Lucknow)
13. West Bengal
(Calcutta)

Shri A. Anant Padmanabha Rau. State Statistican, Mysore State.

Dr. U.N. Mohanty.
Dy. Director of Agriculture (H.Q.), Orissa.
Shri P.S. Sahota,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Panjab.

Shri. H.C. Kothari,
Satistician, Department of Agriculture, Rajastan.
Dr. K. Kishen,
Chief Statistician to Govt. of U.P.
Department of Agriculture, U.P.
Shri S.N. Mukher jee,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.
Dr. S. Basu,
Statistical Officer,
Directorate of Agriculture,
West Bengal.

## ABBREVIATIONS COMMON TO EXPERIMENTS ON ANNUAL AND PERENNIAL CROPS AND EXPERIMENTS ON CULTTIVATORS' FIELDS

Crop :- In the top left corner is given the name of the crop on which the experiment is conducted. Within brackets along side the crop is mentioned the season wherever the information is available.

Ref :- Against the sub-title 'reference' is mentioned the name of the State, the year in which the experiment is conducted and the serial number of the experiment for that year given in brackets.

Abbreviations adopted for States are as follows :-

| A.P. | Andhra Pradesh | Mn. | Manipur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| As. | Assam | Mh. | Maharashtra |
| Bh. | Bihar | Ms. | Mysore |
| Dl. | Delhi | M.P. | Madhya Pradesh |
| Gj. | Gujarat | Or. | Orissa |
| H.P. | Himachal Pradesh | Pb. | Punjab |
| J.K. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Rj. | Rajasthan |
| K. | Kerala | Tr. | Tripura |
| M. | Madras | U.P. | Uttar Pradesh |
|  |  | W.B. | West Bengal |

Repetition of the experiment in other years is indicated in the same line against 'reference' by stating the year and serial number for each repetition side by side e.g. U.P. 53(19)/52(42)/51 (20) etc.

Site :- Name of the Research Station is mentioned along with the place where it is located, e.g. Agri. Res. Stn. for Agricultural Research Station.

For Central Institutes, the corresponding standard abbreviations have been adopted e.g. I.A.R.I. for Indian Agricultural Research Institute.

Type : Abbreviations used against this item are one or more than one of the following:-

C-Cultural ; D-Control of Diseases and Pests ; I-Irrigational ; M-Manurial; R-Rotational ; V-Varietal and X-Mixed cropping e.g. CM is to be read as Cultural-cum-Manurial.

Results :- Information under this heading should be read against the following items:-
(i) General mean. (ii) S.E. per plot. (iii) Result of test of significance. (iv) Summary table (s) with S.E. of comparison (s).

Abbreviations used in the text of the experiments:-
ac.-acre.
Ammo. Phos.-Ammonium Phosphate.
A/N-Ammonium Nitrate.
A/S-Ammonium Sulphate.
B.D.-Basal Dressing.
B.M.-Bone Meal.
C.L.-Cart load.
C.M.-Cattle Manure.

C/N-Chilean Nitrate.
C/S—Copper Sulphate.
F.M.-Fish Meal or Fish Manure.
F.W.C.-Farm Waste Compost.

| F.Y.M.-Farm Yard Manure. | N-Nitrogen. |
| :--- | :--- |
| G.M.-Green Manure. | Nitro phos-Nitro phosphate. |
| G.N.C.-Groundnut cake. | P-Phosphate. |
| K-Potash. | Pot. Sul.-Potassium Sulphate. |
| lb.- Pounds. | Super-Super Phosphate. |
| M.C.-Municipal Compost. | T.C.-Town compost. |
| Mur. Pot.-Muriate of Potash. | Zn. Sul.-Zinc Sulphate. |

## BASAL CONDITIONS

Information under the above heading to be read against the following items :

## A. For annual crops :

(i) (a) Crop rotation if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manuring of previous crop. (State amount and kind). (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Date of sowing/ planting. (iv) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (o) Method of sowing/planting. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedlings per hole. (v) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (vi) Varety. (vii) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season (State name of the season along with the month). (x) Date of harvest.

## B. For perennial crops :

(i) History of site including manuring and other operations. (ii) (a) Soil type. (b) Soil analysis. (iii) Method of propagation of plants. (iv) Variety. (v) Date and method of sowing/planting. (vi) Age of seedling at the time of planting. (vii) Basal dressing with time and method of application. (viii) Cultural operations during the year. (ix) Inter cropping if any. (x) Irrigated or Unirrigatec. xi) Rainfall during crop season. (xii) Date of harvest.
C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :
(i) (a) Crop rotation, if any. (b) Previous crop. (c) Manu:ing of previous crop. (ii) Soil type in general. (iii) Basal manuring with time and method of application. (iv) Variety. (v) Cultural practices. (a) Preparatory cultivation. (b) Method of sowing. (c) Seed-rate. (d) Spacing. (e) No. of seedings per hole. (vi) Period of sowing/planting per hold. (vii) Irrigated or Unirrigated. (viii) Post-sowing/planting cultural operations. (ix) Rainfall during crop season. (x) Period of harvesting.

## DESIGN

Information under this heading to be read against the following items :

## A. For annual crops :

(i) Abbreviations for designs: C.R.D.-Completely Randomised Design; R.B.D.Randomised Block Design ; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Confd.- Contounded ; Fact.-Factorial. (other designs and modifications of the above to be indicated in full). (i) a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions (iii) No. of replications. (iv: Flot size. (a) Gross. (b) Net. (v) Border or guard rows keft. (vi) Whether ireatments are randomised (separately in each block).
B. For perennial crops :
(i) Abbreviations for designs : C.R.D.-Completely Randornised Design ; R B.D.Randomised Block Design; L. Sq.-Latin Square ; Conid-- Confounded. (other designs and modifications of the above indicated in full), (ii) (a) No. of plots per block. (b) Block dimensions. (iii) No. of replicaiions. (iv) No. of trees;piot. (v) Border or guard rows kept. (vi) Are treatments randomised.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) Method of selection of experimental sites. (ii) No. and distribution of experiments.
(iii) Plot size.
(a) Gross.
(b) Net. (iv) Whether treatraents are randomised.

## GENERAL

Information under this heading to be read against the following items :-
A. For annual crops :
(i) Crop conditions during growth with date of lodging, if any. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places, (a) names of the places along with reference. (b) reference to combined analysis, if any. (vi) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vii) Any other important information.
B. For perennial crops :
(i) Crop condition during the year. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years-(a) from what year to what year, (b) reference 10 combined analysis, if any. (v) Abnormal occurrences like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vi) Any other important information.

## C. For experiments on cultivators' fields :

(i) Crop condition during growth. (ii) Incidence of pests and diseases with control measures taken. (iii) Quantitative observations taken. (iv) In case of repetition in successive years, (a) from what year to what year, (b) whether treatments were assigned to the same plots in the same manner every year, (c) reference to combined analysis, if any. (v) In case of repetition in other places names of places along with reference. (vi) Abnormal occurrences, like heavy rains, frost, storm etc., if any. (vii) Any other important information.
glossary of vernacular names of crops

| S. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayalam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hindi | Punjabi \& Kashmiri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Paddy | Oryza sativa $L$. | Dhan | Dhan | Dhano | Vadlu, Biyyamu | Nel | Nellu | Bhatta | Bhat | Dangar | Dhan; Chawal | Chaul ; <br> Dhan |
| 2. | Wheat | Triticum Sativum Lamk; Triticum aestivum $L$. | Gaum ; Ghehu | Gam | Gaham | Godumalu | Kothumai | Gothambu | Godhi | Gahu | Ghahu | Gehun | Kanak |
| 3. | Jowar | Andropogon sorghum Brot; Surghum vulgare Pers. | - | Jowar | Juara | Jonna | Cholam | Cholam | Jola | Jowari ; Jondhla | Jowari ; <br> Juar | Jowar ; Juar | Jowar |
| 4. | Baira | Pennisetum typhoides stapf Ex Hubbard | - | Bajra | Bajra | Sajja | Kambu | Kambu | Sajje | Bajri | Bajri | Bajra | Bajra |
| 5. | Nagli | Eleusine caracana Gaertn. | - | Marwa | Mandia | Ragi, chodi | Keppai ; <br> ragi | Muthari ; <br> Ragi | Ragi | Nagli ; <br> Nachni | Nagli ; Bavto | Ragi ; Mandika; Marwah | Mandhuka; Mandhal |
| 6. | Gram | Cicer arietinum L. | Butmah | Chola | Boot | Sanagalu | Kadalai ; Sundal Kadalai | Kadala | Kadale | Harbara | Chana | Chana | Chhole; Chana |
| 7. | Chinamug <br> (Green Gram) | Phascolus aureus <br> Roxb. | Magu- <br> mah | Sonamug | Mung | Pachapesalu | Pachaipayru; <br> Pasipayaru | Cerupayaru ; Payaru | Hesaru | Mug ; <br> Chinamug | Mag | Moong | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moong ; } \\ & \text { Mug } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8. | Wal <br> (Indian bean) | Dolichos lablab L. | Desi <br> Urahi | Deshi shim | Jhata <br> Simba | Anapa | Mochchai | Ramacha | Avare | Wal | Wal | Sem | Lobia desi |
| 9. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tur } \\ & \text { (Pigeon Pea) } \end{aligned}$ | Cajanus cajon Milsp ; Cajanus Indicus sprengl. | Arhar | Arhar | Harad | Kandulu | Thuvarai | Thuvaran payaru | Thogari | Tur | Tuvar | Arhar | Harhar ; Arhar |
| 10. | Lentil | Lens esculenta <br> Moench. | Masur- <br> mab | Masuri | Masur | Chirusenaga | Masur paruppu | - | Masooru bele | Masur | Masur | Masur | Massar |
| 11. | Pea | Plsum arvense L. | Motor | Chota; Pyaramatar | Badachina | Desaval Bataj | Pattaani | - | Holada bataani | Vatana ; <br> Matar | Vatana | Mutar | Muttar |
| 12. | Sweet Potato | Ipomoea batatas Lam. | Mitha Aloo | Mishti <br> Alu | Kandamula | Chilagadadumpa | Seeni <br> kilangu | Cheeni <br> kizangu | Genasu | Ratalu | Shakaria | Shakarkandi | Shakarkandi |
| 13. | Tapioca | Manihot utilissima; <br> Manihot esculenta Crantz. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simolu } \\ & \text { Alu } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simul } \\ & \text { Alu } \end{aligned}$ | - | Karra <br> Pendalamu | Maravalli <br> Kizhangu ; <br> Kuchi <br> Kizhangu | Mara cheeni | Mara genasu | Tapioca | -- | Tapioca | Tapioca |

GLOSSARY OF VERNACULAR NAMES OF CROPS (Contd)

| S. No. | Name of Crop | Botanical name | Assamese | Bengali | Oriya | Telugu | Tamil | Malayam | Kannada | Marathi | Gujarati | Hindi | Punjabi \& Kasbmiri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | Onion | Allium Capa L. | Piyaz | Piaj | Peas; Ulli | Ulli | Vengayam | Ulli | Eerulli | Kanda | Dungli ; <br> Kando | Piaz | Ganda; <br> Payaz |
| 15. | Tomato | Lycopersicum esculentum Mill. | Belahi | Belati begun | Bilati baigan | Tomato | Thakkali | Thakkali | Tomato | Welwangi; Tambata | Vilaiti wagan ; Tomato | Tamatter | Tamatar |
| 16. | Sugarcane | Saccharum offic inarum L. | Kuhiar | Akh | - | Cheruku | Karumbu | Karimbu | Kabbu | Oos | Sherdi | Ganna ; <br> Kamad; <br> Naishakar | Kamad ; <br> Ganna; <br> Eakh |
| 17. | Cotton $t$ | Gossypium spp. | Kapah | Karpas ; Tula | Kapa | Pratti | Paruthi | Paruthi | Hatti | Kapus | Kapas | Kapas | Kapah |
| 18. | Groundnut | Arachis hypogaea L. | China Badam | Cheena badam | China badam | Nelashanga | Nilkadalai | Nilakkadla : | Kadale kayi | Bhuimug | Magafali | Mungphali | Mungfali |
| 19. | Chillies | Capsicum frutescens L. | Jalakiya | Lanka; Marich | Lanka | Mirapakaya | Milakai | Mulaku | Menasina kayi | Mirchi | Marcha | Lalmirch | Lalmirch |
| 20. | Garl ic | Allium sativum $L$. | Nohoyu | Rashun | Rasun | Vellulli | Poodu ; <br> Vella <br> podu | Velluthuli | Bellulli | Lasun | Lasan | Lehsoon | Thom; <br> Lassan |
| 21. | Ginger | Zingiber officinale Rosc. | Ada | Ada | Ada | Allam | Tinji | Inchi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shunti; } \\ & \text { Alla } \end{aligned}$ | Ale $\quad$ | Adu | Adrakh | Adrak |
| 22. | Turmeric | Curcuma longa ; Curcuma domestica Val. | Halodhi | Halud ; <br> Haldi | Haldi | Pasupu | Manjal | Manjal | Arisina | Halad | Haldar | Haldi | Haldi |
| 23. | Guar <br> (Cluster bean) | Cyamopsis psoraloides Dc. | Thupi <br> Urahi | Guar | Gunar ; chhuin | Goruchikkudu | Kothavarnkai | Kothavara | Gori kayi | Guwar | Gavar | Guar | Guara |
| 24. | Lucerne | Medicago sativa L. | Lucerne ghah | Lucern | Lusarna | Garam <br> Masal | Kuthiraimasal | Lucerne | Kudure masale | Lasun ghas; Vilaiti | Gadab <br> Rajko | - | Lustan |
| 25. | Barseem | Trifolium alexandrinum $L$. | - | Barseem | Gini ghasa | - | -- | - | - - | Barsim gavat | Barsim | Barseem | Barseem |
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# MAHARASHTRA STATE 

## 1. GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The present Maharashtra State came into existence on lst May 1960 as a result of bifurcation of the former bilingual Bombay State into two states of Maharashtra and. Gujerat. It comprises of 26 districts (enumerated under physical features). The state is bound by Gujerat and Madhya Pradesh on North, by Mysore on South, by Andhra-Pradesh on South-east and part of Madhya Pradesh on East. On West, lies the vast Arabian Sea. The State has a coast running nearly 350 miles. The State occupies an area of 118,608 square miles (or 75.9 million acres). Area according to village records (i.e. reporting area) is 76.0 million acres. The distribution of area under different categories is as follows. (Figures for reporting area for 1956-57).
(000 Acres)

1. Land not available for cultivation. (Barren and Un Cultivable
+land put to non-agricultural uses).
2. Forests. 13,377
3. Permanent pastures and other grazing land. $\mathbf{3 , 7 2 9}$
4. Area under misc. tree crops not included in net area sown. 535
5. Culturable waste. 2,302
6. Fallow land other than current fallows. $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 5}$
7. Current fallows. 2,407
8. Net area sown. 44,295
9. Total cropped area. 46,382

I0. Area sown more than once. 2,087

## 2. PHYSICAL FEATURES

Physiographically, the State lies in two main natural regions (i) peninsular hills and plateau region and (ii) Western ghats and coastal regions. The sub-regions of these main regions and the ultimate divisions of each sub-region are given below.
Natural-Region

1. Peninsular Hills
and Plateau
region.
Sub-Region
1.1 $\quad$ North
Deccan Sub-
region.
2.1 Konkan subaregion

Natural Divisioris.<br>1.11 Vidarbha division<br>1.12 Marathawada division<br>1.13 Bombay Deccan Northern Division.

2.11 Greater Bombay Division
2.12 Bombay Konkan Division
2. Western Ghats and coastal region.

The North-Deccan sub region includes the northern districts of Bombay Decean, parts of north Hyderabad i.e. the present districts of the Marathwada and the Vidarbha region. The area forms the north western part of the peninsular plateau and is bound on the north by the Satpuras and on the west by Western Ghats. The area generally slopes from west to east except in the north where river Tapti flowing West-wards enters into the Arabian sea. The major portion of this subregion lies in the rain shadow of the Ghats and except a narrow strip along the Ghats, the area is dry and the rainfall is low.

The Konkan sub-region includes the west coast of the Indian Peninsula consisting of Greater Bombay division and Bombay Konkan division. The principal fature is that the Western Ghats runs north to south roughly parallel to the coast. The subregion thus comprises of area of very varied topography with consequent wide variations in the climatic features.

The districts in the different divisions are as below :-

Division
(i) Vidarbha Division.
(ii) Marathwada.
(iii) Bombay-Deccan Northern Division.
(iv) Greater Bombay Division.
(v) Bombay-Konkan Division.

## Districts

Amravati, Akola, Yeotmal, Wardha, Nagpur, Bhandara, Chanda and Buichana.
Parbhani, Vanded, Aurargabad, Osmanabad, ard Bhir.
East Khandesh, Wist Khandesh, Ahmednagar, Poona, North Satara, >outh Satara, Kolhapur and Sholapur.
Greater Bumbay.
Thana, Kolaba, and Ratnagiri.

## 3. Soils.

The soils of different regions are described as below :-
(i) Vidarbha division :-In the plain tracts of this division, the regur or the back cotton soils of the first quality or heavy type are found. The ccoupy central plains of Berar, Purna valley, the Wardha valley and stretching to just cast of Nagpur. The:e soils are largely deep black loam which bake into a solid mans in the beginning of dry weather. The depth of soil varies from place to place upto a stratum of unknown depth. They reach their greatest depth in the valleys into which they have be r washed as a fine sit from the higher lands. This soil is locally known as 'awal kali'. It is ci very fine structure.

The soils of the plains rest on a layer of karl of light ellow colour. Black soil containing small percentage of lime in this tract in a finely prowdered state is knownas 'Kali'. If there is still higher percentage of lime present in the form of rodules as latge as peas, the soil is known as 'Morand''. These soils are comparatively lighter in texture and less fertile, and found particularly in places by the sides of Wardha river and in some parts of Akola and Amravti districts.

Bordering this central plain to the north and south, lie secoad quality of mediam regur soils. Not so black in colour, often brown, less deep in cha acter, carrying more stones and lime and occupying rather higher areas, these constitute soils of ess mature type intermediate between the immature thin red and brown soils on the trap high lands and full regur of the plain. Wuntalug is predominatly hilly, the soils here ate locally known as follows:-
(i) The shallow stony soils found on high lying places are called 'bar di'. (ii) The layer of red soil overlying trap rock of murrum on the plateau is known as "Murmat". (iii) A shallow hilly soil interspersed with stones and boulder is krown as 'gotar'. (v) The patches of greyish coloured marly soils which occur in scb soil being impervious to water are known as 'chopan' and (v) Land lying high or on sopes liable to dry up quickly is known as "pashar".
(ii) Marathawada division :-Deep black soils, medium black soil, and laterite are the predominating types of soils in the tract. Only a portion of Bidar district is covered by laterite, a tract of deep black soil runs west covering the Aurangabad and Parbhani districts on the north and Bin in the south. Remaining portion of the division is occupied by medium black soils.
(iii) Bombay Deccan Northern division :- Medium black soil on trap (Kali) characterises much of the area. The soils of East and West Khandesh are similar to these of Broach and Surat. Strips of rich deep black alluvial soil are found ( 10 to 20 miles wide) on both sides of Tapti and Girna rivers. In addition red soils (mal), reddish black soil and a light brown soil called bared are found on hilly slopes.

The districts of Nasik, Poona, Ahmednagar, North and South Satara, and Northeast portion of Kolhapur comprise the Deccan Plateau and are covered by soils derived from Deccan trap and derived in situ. Broadly three types of soils can be distinguished. They are: (i) Coarse and grey as light brown soil (Tandi), (ii) Mcdium black soils (Kali), (iii) Coarse and gravelly soil along the ghats. The soils in the valley and along river banks are blaek and of medium depth and rich in fertility. With the approach of hills, the soils are grey or light brown. The red soils are usually found on hill tops.

The coarse, shallow murnad soils are found scattered throughout the Deccan, more specially in Mawal tract, the high lying strip of land running along the Western Ghats. The medium black soils, found in the east of the Mawal tracts, are loamy to clay loam in texture. The lime reserve in these soils is high, and frequently occurs in the form of nodules of Kankars. Red or reddish black soils are found on hill tops, along the ridges and down the slopes, particularly where there is heavy rainfall and a moist hot climate. The soils are laterite in Mahabaleshwar where the red soils are furmed from a trap. They are fertile and grow good garden crops, such as vegetables and potato.
(iv) Bombay Konkan Division: - The soils of Thana and Kolaba are mainly of trap origin and are of three main types. The black coloured soils are loamy and fairly deep. Soils on the hill slopes are light red, shallow coarse and poor, and are locally known as varkas soils. There is also a type of sticky, clayey deep soil known as menat which is inaccessible during the rains and cracks heavily in summer. Along the coass lies a strip of coastal alluvium. The soils of Ratnagiri are derived from laterite in the north and gneiss in the south. Both are red in colour. Poorer coarse varkas soils are found. along the hill slopes.

## 4. RAINFALL AND CLIMATE

The rainfall of the State is chiefly derived from the South-west monsoon between June and October. The amount of rainfall varies widely from $20^{\prime \prime}$ to about $250^{\prime \prime}$.

North-Deccan Sub-Region. In the North-Deccan sub-region, the cold weather commences in December and continues till the end of February. Decembe: is the coldest month of the year when the mean minimum temperature varies from $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to $61^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The season is practically without rain.

From March, the temperature begins to rise, and the hot weather continues till the on-set of the monsoon. The month of May (in some places April) is the hottest when the mean maximum temperature ranges between $101^{\circ}$ to $109^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. At Mahabaleshwar, a station in the Ghats at a height of 4500 . ft above sea level the mean maximum temperature during April is only $85^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The north eastern part of this sub-region i.e., Vidarbha division is one of the hottest areas in India during summer. During the day the heat is severe but after sunset the temperature drops considerably. The hot weather is generally dry except for occasional thunder-storms.

The area comes under the influence of the south west monsoon by the middle of June. June to September are the months of heavy rains when most of the annual rainfall occurs. The monsoon rain is not continuous but occurs in spells of wet days broken by days of fair weather. The rainfall is heavier and more regular in the mountaineous areas of the west and is scanty and less regular farther east in the rain shadow area. Mahabaleshwar in the Ghats receives a rainfall of 261 inches per year but Dahiwadi at a distance of a few miles from Mahabaleshwar receives only $19^{\prime \prime}$ per year.

TABLE I

Season wise Normal Rainfall in inches for regions of Maharashtra State

| Region | Monsoon <br> (June to Septemter) |  | Post Monsoon <br> (Oct. to December) | Winter. <br> (Jan. to Feb.) | Pre-Monsoon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Barch to May) |  |  |  |  |  | | Total for |
| :---: |
| the year |

By about first week of October, the monsoon withdraws from the area. A few postmonsoon thunder storms occur in October. There after the weather gradually clears up and dry weather prevails.

Konkan sub-region :-The climate of this sub-region becomes severely oppressive during the hot season. The south west monsoon rainfall is heaviest here for the whole of India outside the sub-mountaineous regions of Bengal and Assam. The annual rainfall is over $100^{\prime \prime}$ along the west coast from Alibag near Bombay to Cochin in the south.

## 5. IRRIGATION

Maharashtra state has an independent Irrigation and Power sector comprising of (i) Multi-purpose projects, (ii) Major and Medium Irrigation projects ard (iii) Power projects. The Koyna Hydro-electric project, and the Purna Hydro-electric project comprise the multi-purpose projects. In the state there is provision under the Second Five Year Plan for 3 multi-purpose projects; 15 major 81 medium and 22 minor projects, to provide irrigation to several lakhs of acres of land in the State.

The major spill-over works at Gangapin and Ranad are already benefiting vast areas of land in the State. In addition a number of major irrigation sctemes are being taken up. Vir, Khadakawasla, Mula, Girna, Varna, Kurnoor, Ghod, Bor and Nalganga works are few among the major irrigation scheme. These are spread all over the state and on completion, will irrigate several lakhs of acres.

Nearly $5.6 \%$ of the total cultivated area is irrigated. The area irrigated by different sources is given in table below:-

TABLE 2
Area irrigated by sources (figures for 1956-1957).

| Source | Area <br> 000 acres. | $\%$ <br> over net area <br> irrigated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Government Canals. | 494 | 21.84 |
| (2) Private Canals | 64 | 2.83 |
| (3) Tanks | 450 | 19.89 |
| (4) Wells | 1,171 | 51.77 |
| (5) Other sources | 83 | 3.67 |
| Net irrigated area (Total) |  | 2,262 |

## 6. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION AND NORMAL CROPPING PATTERN

The important food crops of the State are Jowar, Bajra, Paddy, Wheat, Pulses and Groundnut, Cash crop cotton occupies considerable area in the State. The area, production and yield per acre (lbs.) of different crops is given in the table below.

TABLE 3
Area, production and yield/ac for (1958-1959)

| Crop | Area <br> (000 acres) | Production (000 tons) | Yield/ac. (lb.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Paddy | 3,003 | 1,267 | 947 |
| (2) Jowar | 14,183 | 3,256 | 514 |
| (3) Bajra | 4,366 | 453 | 232 |
| (4) Maize | 69 | 14 | 454 |
| (5) Others | 3,269 | 669 | 458 |
| (6) Pulses | 5,696 | 855 | 336 |
| (7) Sugarcane | 263 | 716 | 6098 |
| (8) Cotton | 6,352 | 1,184 ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' | 73 |
| (9) Groundnut | 2,809 | 637 | 508 |
| (10) Other oilseeds | 940 | 90 | 214 |
| ' $a$ ' in availables of 395 lb . each |  |  |  |

In Bombay Deccan region $70 w a r$, Bajra, and Groundnut are main field crops grown. Sugarcane is an important cash crop grown in this area wherever the area is extensively. irrigated. Sugarcane is taken in rotation with Jowar, wheat, onion and Banana Cotton and groundnut are main crops in Vidarbha and Marathawada region. Paddy is the main crop in Bombay-Konkan area.

## 7. AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENTATION AND RESEARCH FARMS

Research in field crops is directed towards genetic improvement leading to evolution of high yielding, disease resistant strains of superior quality. At the same time, agronomic aspects are studied and standard agriculture at practices evolved leading to higher yield.

There were 42 experimental farms which reported experiment; for the period 1948-1953. These farms are almost distributed evenly among the districts. The majority of farms are situated in black cotton soil region. The farms in the coastal region represent the loamy soil derived from gneiss and laterite. The main farms are at Jalgaon, Karjat, Akola, Mohol, Kopergaon etc. The experiments on Paddy crop is concentrated at the farms situated in coastal area viz. Igatpuri, Karjat, Ratnagiri farms. The experiments on other cereal crops like Fowar, Bajra etc. are conducted at other farms located in Deccan region of the state. Jalgaon, Mohol, Parbani etc. are main farms carrying out experiments on these crops. Experiments on cash crop-sugarcane are carried out mainly at Deolali, Kolhapur and Padegaon farms. The farms at Akola and Nanded carry out experiments on cotton crop. In the farms located at Deccan area the cereal crop is usually rotated with either a leguminous crop or cotton. In Sugarcane growing areas, the crops like fowar and Groundnut are rotated with Sugarcane. The paddy crop is rotated with leguminous crops like wal or chinamug in the farms of the coastal area. Paddy after paddy is also a common practice in this area. The experiments on fruit crops are carried out at Aurangabad, Ganeshkhind, Poona and Tharsa farms. The experiments on pulses and oilseeds are carried at all the farms situated in Deccan area.

## 8. EXPERIMENTS

There were 975 experiments reported for the period 1948-1953 in the State. The root stock trials at Tharsa and Aurangabad are excluded from the compendium. The distribution of 975 experiments according to crops and types of treatments studied is given below.

TABLE 4
Statement showing distribution of experiments according to crops and treatments tried.

| Crop | M | MV | C | CV | CM | CM | $\mathrm{IM}+\mathrm{M}$ | D+DV | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 124 | - | 28 | 3 | 24 | - | - | 1 | 180 |
| Wheat | 75 | 2 | 25 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 109 |
| Jowar | 114 | - | 52 | 9 | 15 | - | - | 20 | 210 |
| Bajra | 32 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 36 |
| Nagli | 8 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| Pulses | 66 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 75 |
| Vegetables | 6 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Sugarcane | 77 | - | - | 7 | 20 | - | 12 | - | 116 |
| Cotton | 61 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 30 | 3 | - | 9 | 118 |
| Groundnut | 39 | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 63 |
| Spices | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Fodder crops | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 4 |
| Mixed Cropping | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Rotational | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Fruit Crops | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Total | 616 | 7 | 159 | 21 | 91 | 3 | 12 | 46 | 975 |

From the table above it is seen that experimentation on Paddy and Jowar the two principal crops of the State received considerable importance. About 22 and $20 \%$ of total experiments are carried out on these two crops. Cash crops like Cotton and Sugarcane also have almost equal number of experiments, the order being $11 \%$ on each of them. It is also seen that nearly $70 \%$ of the experiments had manurial treatments.

The experiments commonly found were to study the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the leguminous crop on the succeeding cereal crop. The leguminous crops for this purpose were Wal, Chinamug, Gram and Groundnut. The cereal crops were Paddy, Jowar, Bajra and Wheat. The doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ varied from $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. to $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. besides control. The other type of treatments tried were combinations of different levels of $N$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The source for N was either Ammonium Sulphate or Groundnut cake. The source for $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was invariably super-phosphate. The levels of $N$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ varied from $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. to $96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Sometimes Farmyard manure was also included along with N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The amount of F.Y.M. applied varied from 2 to 5 cart loads per acre.

The organic manures tried to compare their efficiency with other organic manures and fertilizers were town compost and farm yard manure. Chilean nitrate was also used as source of N in a few experiments. The amount of bulky manures varied from 5 C.L. per acre to 10 C.L. per acre.

The design adopted usually was randomised blocks. The number of plots per block varied from 3 to as many as 32. System of confounding was a practice seldom found in factorial experiments, although few experiments are available of the type $2^{3}$ and $3^{3}$ with confounding. The split-plot design was the next popular design adopted for
cultural and cultural-cum-manurial type of experiments and also for purely manurial experiments in a few cases, and wherever irrigation formed one of the treatments this design was adopted. The number of main-plots varied from 2 to $S$ and number of sub-plots per main-plot varied from 2 to 9 , and in few cases the number of main-plots was as high as 16 and number of sub-plots per main-plot as high as 12. The net plot size usually varied from $1 / 80$ th of an acre to $1 / 40$ th of an acre, although in a few experiments it was as big as $1 / 20$ th of an acre and as small as $1 / 640$ th of an acre. The number of replications varied from 4 to 6 usually.

The results of the experiments conducted under Stewart's scheme of the I.C.A.R. on cultivator's fields during the period 1948-1953 and under the Jertilizer Use Project (T.G.M. trials) are also included in the compendium. The experiments under Stewart's scheme in this State were conducted in Nasik district on wheat crop during the year 1953-1954.

The details of Fertilizer Use Project are given in the two reports published by I.C.A R. (1955) on Paddy and Wheat crops. Results for different centres are presented here.

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS 

 (MAHARASHTRA STATE)| Sr. <br> No. | Name of the experimental Stn. with location-year of establishment and tract it represents and major crops. | Soil type and soil analysis. | Normal Rainfall (in inches) | Irrigation facilities | No. of experiments. | General description of the topo-graphy of experimental area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1. | Achalpur, Seed and Demon. Farm. <br> Distt. Amravati, Vidarbha, 2 miles from Achalpur Rly. Stn. <br> Year of est. $\Rightarrow 1928$. | 1. The soil of the farm is black cotton type ranging from light to medium type of soil. <br> 2. Depth: $-3^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour:--Black. <br> 4. Structure:-Fine. <br> 5. Soil analysis not available. | June 5.73 <br> July 7.50 <br> Aug. 6.23 <br> Sept. 7.90 <br> Oct. 2.49 <br> Nov. 1.31 <br> Dec. - <br> Jan. 0.51 <br> Feb. 0.08 <br> March 0.50 <br> April 0.55 <br> May 0.53 <br> Total 33.33 <br> Av. of 5 years 1955-56 to $59-60$ | Partly available to cover 12 acres. Drainage system is not necessary as the soils are well drained. | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { Jowar. } \\ & 7 \text { Cotton. } \\ & \text { 1- Wheat. } \\ & \hline \text { 19-Total } \end{aligned}$ | Well levelled fertile soil. |

## STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS.

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Akliaj: Agri. Res. Stn. Distt. Sholapur, June 1940. It represents the tract comprising of left bank and right bank of Nira canal covered by districts of Poona, North Satara Sholapur. It is dry tract. Major crops : Sugarcane and Jowar. | 1. The soils are derived from trap rock, are medium, deep, blackish in colour, possess well developed crumb structure, exhibit 2-3 well marked horizons, highly base salinated calcarious in nature, alkaline in reaction, good in Potash but poor in $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and N . <br> 2. Depth :-6"-4'. <br> 3. Colour :-Blakish grey. <br> 4. Structure :-clay. <br> 5. Soil analysis :- (\%) <br> (i) Chemical analysis:Avl. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 0.005$ (Major portion) Avl. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} 0.02$ to 0.06 ; Lime reserve 1.0 to 5.0 ( $50 \%$ area) 5.0 to 10.0 (other half) ; pH 8.5 | June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. <br> Jan. to <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> Total <br> (Figures | $\begin{gathered} 2.56 \\ 1.60 \\ 6.19 \\ 0.53 \\ - \\ 5.19 \\ 0.67 \\ \\ \text { Nil. } \\ 0.43 \\ 1.63 \\ \hline 18.60 \\ 1958-59 \end{gathered}$ | Canal irrigation from Nira Right Bank Canal, since 1940. Drainage system is natural as the soil has got slope and there is 'nala' at a distance of 1 furlong. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16-Sugarcane. } \\ & \text { 1-Wheat. } \\ & \text { 1-Jowar } \\ & \text { 1-Bajra. } \\ & \text { 1-Paddy. } \\ & \text { 20-Total. } \end{aligned}$ | It has got general slope from West to East and secondary slopes on Southern and Nothern sides, i.e. it has ridge at the centre. |

(Figures for 1958-59
t has got general slope from West to East and secondary slopes on Southern nd Nothern sides, e. it has ridge a he centre.

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Akola : Govt. Exptl. Farm. 1. Broad soil types: Deep black cotton soil, Distt. Akola. 4 miles from 2. Depth: $5^{\prime}$. Ako a Rly. Stn.
Year of establishment 1907. It represents cotton tract. Major crops: Cotton, Jowar and Groundnut.
2. Depth : 5'.
3. Colotur-Black
4. Structure-N.A
5. Soil analysis :
(i) Chemical analysis (\%)
pH. 8.6 ; Org. matter -0.627 ; $\mathrm{N}-0.0075$
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ Total 0.188 ; Avl. 0.0036 ; Total 0.474 ; $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Avl. 0.032.
(ii) Mechanical analysis (\%).

Clay 49.25 ; Silt 24.75 ; Fine sand 14.92 : Coarse sand 2.12 ; $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} 5.20$; Moisture 3.39.

| June | 6.11 |
| :--- | :--- |
| July | 7.84 |
| Aug. | 4.70 |
| Sept. | 4.28 |
| Oct. | 1.03 |
| Nov. | 0.50 |
| Dec. | 0.15 |
| Jan. | 0.11 |
| Feb. | 0.03 |
| Mar. | 0.20 |
| April | 0.29 |
| May | 0.59 |
| Total | 126.83 |
| Figures for the period |  |
| l950.51 to | $1958-59$ |

une 3
July $\quad 6.52$
Aug. $\quad 6.42$

| Sept | 8.59 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oct | 5.97 |

Oct.
Nov. to May $\qquad$
Total 31.43
Figures for June 1959 to May 1960).

## Well irrigation for 1.5 ac . for vegetables only since 1926. There is proper draina drain between fields.

23-Cotton.
13-Jowar,
8-Groundnut
6-Mixed cropping +1 Rotational experiments.

51--Total.

Information not aavilable.

Irrigation facilities from 3 wells and sullage water from city. Facilties are available since 1940-41.

Information not availabie.

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS 

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Badnapur : Crop Res. Stn., <br> Distt. Aurangabad. 2 miles from Badnapur Rly. Stn. Year of establishment 1951. It represents dry cultivation tract. Research on Wheat and Cotson. | 1. Black cotton soil (light calcareous to heavy) | June | 5.75 | Lift irrigation by pump since | 1-Wheat | The area is in general |
|  |  | Depth Colour Structure | July | 6.64 | inception, $\frac{1}{4}$ acre is of not good | 1-Cotton | a rolling plane, with |
|  |  | (i) $6^{\prime \prime}-18^{*}$ Reddish brown to N.A. | Aug, | 11.21 | drainage due to low lying area. |  | a general slope from |
|  |  | chocolate brown. | Sept. | 6.61 | $\ddagger$ of area of the farm is of well | 2-Total | north-west to south- |
|  |  | (ii) $19^{\prime \prime}-36^{\circ}$ Chocolate brown N.A. | Oct. | 1.88 | drained soils. |  | east. |
|  |  | to dark brown. | Nov. | 0.84 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (iii) Over 36* Dark brown to N.A. | Dec. | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | black deep clays. | Jan. | 0.03 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2. Soil analysis: (i) Chemical analysis :- | Feb. | - |  |  |  |
|  |  | pH 7.1 to $7.5, \mathrm{~N} 0.0439$ to $0.0748 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | March | 0.27 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0434 to $0.0997 \%$. | April | 0.63 |  |  |  |
|  |  | (ii) Mechanical analysis: Coarse sand 0.17 to to $9.47 \%$; Fine sand $6.9+$ to $24.09 \%$; Silt | May | 1.20 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.05 to $25.78 \%$ and Clay 3325 to $68.73 \%$. <br> This analysis is done fir the depth from $0^{\prime \prime}$ | Total | 34.06 |  |  |  |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. | Buldana: Cotton Breeding Scheme trial Sub-Centre. Dist. Buldana. 28 miles from Malkapur Rly. Stn. Year of ist. 1947-43. Represents cotton tract. Research on Cotton, Jowar and Groundnat. | 1. Broad soil type: Black cotton <br> 2. Depth : $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$. <br> 3. Colour: Black to yellowish. <br> 4. Structure: Gramular. <br> 5. Soil amalysis :- <br> (ii) Chemical Analysis: (\%). <br> S. No. Location of Org. Avl. field matter N <br> 1 No. 3 Low Low <br> 2 No. 15 Medium Medium <br> Avl. <br> $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> Medium <br> (ii) Mechanical Aralysis: N.A. | Morand 11. <br> Avl. (Contd.) <br> $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ <br> Low <br> Low <br> pH Salts <br> 7.0 Useful <br> 7.35 Useful | June 6.74 <br> July 9.46 <br> Aug. 7.87 <br> Sept. 8.19 <br> Oct. 157 <br> Nov. 0.47 <br> Dec. 0.30 <br> Jan. 0.23 <br> Feb. 0.20 <br> March 0.24 <br> April 0.32 <br> May 0.82 <br> Total 36.23 <br> Average of 10 years data from 1948-1949 to 1958 1959. | Nil. <br> No proper drainage system. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Groundnut } \\ & \text { 5-Jowar } \\ & \text { 5-Cotton } \\ & \text { 12-Total } \end{aligned}$ | Farm is situated on a hilly tract. Therefore the land is some what slopy on all sides. The soil varies from medium to light. |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS
MAHARASHTRA (Condt.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Chas : Agri. Res. Stn. Distt. Ahmednagar. 7 miles from Ahmednagar Rly. Station. year of est. 1941. Represents the tract having less rainfall and madium to light soils. Research on Kharif Groundnut Bajra, Tur, Gram and Jowar. | 1. Broad soil type :- <br> Deep medium Light <br> 2. Depth :-18" and above $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $18^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ <br> 3. Colours:-Deep brown Brown Light brown <br> 4. Structures :-Cloddy Nutty Granular <br> 5. Soil analysis: <br> (i) Chemical analysis :N.A. <br> (ii) Mechanical analysis : N.A. | June 4.37 <br> July 3.14 <br> Aug. 3.69 <br> Sept. 6.72 <br> Oct. 3.29 <br> Nov. 1.42 <br> Dec. 0.30 <br> Jan. 0.06 <br> Feb. 0.01 <br> Mar. 0.13 <br> April 0.46 <br> May 1.12 <br> Total 24.71 <br> Average of 17 years from 1941-1942 and 1958-1959. | No irrigation facilities. There is adequate natural drainage. | 5-Jowar <br> 6-Groundnut <br> 3-Bajra <br> 1-Gram <br> 1-Wheat <br> 2-Rotational <br> 18-Total | The farm is situated in an undulating tract and it is surrounded by hills. |
| 8. | Chiplun: Rice Breeding Stn. Dist. Ratangiri. Research on Paddy, | No information available, | N.A. | N, A, | 11-Paddy | N.A. |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS.
MAHARASIITRA Contd.)


Dhulia :-Agri. Res. Stn. Dist. 1. Broad soil type :-Medium black West Khandesh. 2 miles from 2. Depth :-4' to $12^{\prime}$.
147. Sin. Year of est. 3. Colour:--Medium black

位. It represents Cotton, Jowar and Groundnut growing nact.
(ii) Mencal anălysis : N.A.
ii) Mechanical analysis: N.A
(In y 4 acres under irrigation. Facilities ly the soils are well drained.

2-Whea

- Groundnu general is situated on a level ground.


## STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hatkhambha :-Agri. Res. 1. Soil type :-Laterite, rocky and poor in Station. Dist. Ratnagiri. 72 fertility. miles from Kohlapur Rly. Stn. <br> 2. Depth :-6" an average. <br> Year of est :-1921. It re- <br> 3. Colour: Reddish brown. presents warkar land (heavy <br> 4. Structure :-Rocky. rainfall area). Major crops :- <br> 5. Soil analysis:-Not available. Nagli, Vari and Kodra. | June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. <br> Jan. to <br> March <br> April <br> May <br> Total | 38.60 <br> 62.13 <br> 50.58 <br> 14.55 <br> 7.58 <br> 1.04 <br> 0.16 <br>  <br> Nil <br> 0.12 <br> 3.26 <br> -7 <br> 178.02 | Nil and no drainage system. | 13-Nagli | -- |
| 12. | Igatpuri :-Agri. Res. Stn. Dist. Nasik. Year of est. <br> 1941. It represents medium black soil from trap rock, Research on Paddy, Lentils and Peas, and Nagli. <br> 1. Froad soil type :-Medium black. <br> 2. Depth : $-1 \frac{1}{2}$ to $3^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour :-grey. <br> 4. Structure :-fairly loose. <br> 5. Soil analysis :-Not available. | June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. to <br> May <br> Total <br> Rased on <br> fall Uaia. | $\begin{gathered} 9.54 \\ 75.84 \\ 34.25 \\ 38.01 \\ 0.14 \\ 3.97 \\ \\ \text { Nil } \\ \hline 161.75 \\ 1958 \text { rain- } \end{gathered}$ | Nil. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36-Paddy } \\ & \text { 12-Lentils and Peas. } \\ & \text { 7-Nagli. } \\ & \text { 55-Total } \end{aligned}$ | Paddy fields with $f a=$ topography and less ups and downs, does not require terracing. |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF RESEARCH STATIONS
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)


Statement showing details of experimental stations
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)


Karad: Agri. Res. Sto. from Karad. Year of est. 2. Depth : 1 1946. It represents Satara She of Sahyaddi mountains. Bajre, Oilseeds, Pulses, Mathi and Gram.
4. Structure :

Medium Black Medick.
(Murmod)
No irrigation facilities. No
1-Whea 9-Groundnut

13-Total

## STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS.

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | Karjat : Agri. Res. Stn. <br> Dist. Kolaba, Near Karjat Rly. Stn. (Bombay-Poona line). <br> Year of est. 1919. It represents North Konkan tract of Maharashtra State. | 1. Soil type : Sandy loam to clayey loam. <br> 2. Depth: Varying from $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour: Gray with black when dry and dark grey when wet. <br> 4. Structure : Cloddy. <br> 5. Soil analysis: Refer Page (36) | June 24.76 <br> July 59.22 <br> Aug. 35.50 <br> Sept. 18.99 <br> Oct. 5.42 <br> Nov. 1.39 <br> Dec. 0.04 <br> Jan. 0.04 <br> Feb. 0.01 <br> March 0.02 <br> April 0.14 <br> May 0.85 <br> Total 146.38 <br> Av. of 23 years. (1936 to 1958). | No irrigation facilities. The soil has good natural drainage | $\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text { 32-Paddy } \\ 4-W a l \end{array}}{\frac{36-T o t 21}{}}$ | Situated in a valley at the foot of Western Ghats. |
| 17. | Khopoli : Agri. Res. Stn. Dist. Kolaba. | -- |  | - | 4 Paddy | -- |
| 18. | Kirkee : Ganeshkhind, Fruit Res. Stu. <br> Dist. Poona, 1 mile from Kirkee. <br> Year of est. 1921. <br> Research on vegetables and fruits viz. Mango, Chickoo, guava, banana etc. | Soil type : Medium black about $3^{\prime \prime}$ to $4^{\prime \prime}$ only in depth. <br> Other details : Not available. | Annual : about $\mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}$ to $\mathbf{3 0}$. rainfall | Canal irrigation. | Root stock trials. (excluded). | Information not available. |

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS 

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)




MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)


Statement showing details of experimental stations
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)


STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS.
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. | Nanded :-Cotton Res. Stn. Dist. Nanded. Nanded Central Rly. Year of est. 1941 -42. It represents cotton growing tract of Marathawada region. Major crop :-.. Cotton. | 1. Soil <br> 2. De <br> 3. Co <br> 4. Str <br> 5. <br> (i) Che <br> pH Solu <br> 7.75 <br> (ii) Me Clay <br> 56.10 | -Soil d <br> -Dark <br> :- $0^{\prime \prime}$ <br> umbs. <br> lysis :- <br> analys <br> alts Org <br> cal anal <br> Silt <br> 24.91 | is mor y brow -Clodd <br> rbon N 2 $:-(\%)$ <br> $\mathrm{CaCo}_{3}$ $3.00$ | an $45^{\prime \prime}$. <br> Clods break- <br> /ac ) Avl. $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{5}$ <br> 6.4 <br> Total sand 1.5-9.9. | June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. <br> Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> May <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} 5.04 \\ 12.19 \\ 10.18 \\ 884 \\ 2.37 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.70 \\ 0.57 \\ \hline 41.47 \end{array}$ | There is a well fitted with an electrical motor and pump which can hardly irrigate $\frac{1}{2}$ acre in summer. There is no proper drainage system. Only minor drains are provided which do not fully serve the purpose. | $\xrightarrow[22-\text { Total }]{\substack{\text { 2-Jowar } \\ 20 \cdot \text { Cotton }}}$ | The farm is situated at a lower level compared with the adjoining cultivators' fields. There is a P.W.D. road on one side of the farm and a railway line on the other, which are at a higher level than that of the farm. Excess rain water from the railway line and from some of the cultivators* fields rushes into the farm area. Though drains are provided, yet in some plots crops are affected by water logeing. However, only those plots which are less affected are selected for experinental purpose. |

Statement showing details of experimental stations
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STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28. | Panwel: Agri. Res. Stn. <br> Dist. Kolaba; Karjat Rly. Stn. Year of est. 1943. It represents salt land regions of Thana, Kolaba and Ratnagiri. Major crop : Paddy. | 1. Soil type and Colour: Dark grey to medium black. <br> 2. Structure : Poor and non-retentive. <br> 3. Soil analysis: <br> (ii) Mechanical analysis : Not available. |  <br> Av. of 7 years 1952 to 1958. | Nil | 2-Paddy | - |
| 29. | Parbhani : M. Agri, College Farm, 1 furlong from Parbhani Rly. Stn. Year of est. 1952. It represents cotton tract. <br> Main crops: Jowar, Groundnut, Pulse and Wheat. | 1. Soil type : Medium black cotton soil. <br> 2. Depth: $3^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour-Black. <br> 4. Structure : Coarse crup and plasty. <br> 5. Scil analysis: <br> (i) Chemical analysis : <br> $\mathrm{N}: 0.05$ to $0.09 \%$; Avl. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: 6.40$ to 8.00 ; Lime Reserve : 2.24 to 5.68 ; Total sol, salts : 0.10 to $0.20 ; \mathrm{pH}: 8.1$ to 8.2 . <br> (ii) Mechanical analysis : <br> Coarse sand : 2.19 to 6.60 ; Fine sand : 20.71 to 41.50 ; Siit and ciay: 56.50 to 81.60. | June 5.74 <br> July 8.70 <br> Aug. 4.65 <br> Sept. 7.33 <br> Oct. 3.66 <br> Nov. 1.53 <br> Dec. to  <br> April Nil <br> May 0.48 <br> Total -32.09 <br> Av. of 6 years 1948 to 1953. | Well irrigation since 1929. There are 7 wells on the farm. No proper drainage system. Excess wëter passes through trenches, | 12-Jowar <br> 3 - Wheat <br> 5-Cotton <br> 2-Groundnut. <br> 22-Total | The natural slope on the farm is east to west. On the West and south of the from, a nalla runs. |

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS 

MAHARASHTRA (Contd)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. |  |  |  |  | Nil. | 9-Paddy | Lew lying area ; situat- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-Tapioca | Western Ghats. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10-Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31. | Poona : Agri. College Farm, Poona. <br> Year of est. 1906. It represents Deccan tract. <br> Major crops: Sugarcane, Turmeric, Cotton and various vegetable crops. | 1. Soil type: Medium black soil, originated from Deccan trap. <br> 2. Depth : $3^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour : Medium black. <br> 4. Structure: Grumb. <br> 5. Soil analysis : <br> (i) Chemical analysis : <br> Loss on ignition Silica and unsoluble silicates | June 4.10 <br> July 6.26 <br> Aug. 4.34 <br> Sept. 4.41 <br> Oct. 4.70 <br> Nov. 0.75 <br> Dec. 0.03 <br> Jan. Nil <br> Feb. Nil <br> March 0.76 <br> April 1.60 <br> May 0.68 <br> Total 27.63 | Wells and Mutha left bank canal. Facilities available since inception. No drainage system. | 9-Jowar <br> 1-Wheat <br> 1-Bajra <br> 7-Cotton <br> 1-Groundnut <br> 2-Guara <br> 3-Garlic <br> 2-Ginger <br> 2-Onion <br> 2-Tomato <br> 3-Turmeric <br> 2-Chillies <br> 2-Lucerne and <br> Berseem <br> 37-Total <br> 4-Mixed cropping | Mostly levelled with slightly natural slope facilitating the drainage. |
| 32. | Ratnagiri : Agri. Res. Stn., 82 miles from Kolhapur Rly. Stn. year of est. 1953. Major crop :-Paddy, | 1. Soil type:-Red loam, No other information. | Normal annual rainfall $105^{\prime \prime}$. | - | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \quad \text { Paddy. } \\ & \text { 6-Wal. } \\ & \text { 28-Total } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| 33. | Shahada :-Crop Breeding Stn. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Wheat. } \\ & \text { 2-Groundnut } \\ & \hline \text { 4-Tota! } \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Statement showing details of experimental stations

## maharashtra (Contd.)
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STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS MAHARASHTRA (Contd

| 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36. | Sindewahi : Govt. Seed and <br> Dem. farm. Distt. Chanda. 2 miles from Sindewahi Rly. Stn. Year of est. 19/2-13. It represents paddy growing tract. <br> Major crop: -Paddy. <br> 1. Soil type : Sandy loam to sandy. <br> 2. Depth: $6^{\prime \prime}-2^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colcur :-Brown to black. <br> 4. Soil analysis :-Not available. | June 10.01 <br> July 19.69 <br> Aug. 12.71 <br> Sept. 14.59 <br> Oct. 2.96 <br> Nov. 0.58 <br> Dec. 0.01 <br> Jan. 0.44 <br> Feb. 0.18 <br> Mar. 0.60 <br> April 0.55 <br> May 067 <br> Total 62.69 <br> Av. of five years <br> from 1954-55 to <br> l958-59.  | Tank irrigation since inception. No drainage system. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-Paddy. } \\ & \text { 2-Wheat. } \\ & \hline \text { 17-Total. } \end{aligned}$ | Area sufficiently levelled. <br> a |

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS 

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37. |  | Tharsa: Govt. Exptl. Farm. Distt. Nagpur, 1 mile from Tharsa Rly. Stn. <br> Year of est. 1910-11. It represents both paddy and wheat tract. <br> Main crops: Jowar, Wheat and Paddy. <br> 1. Soil type : Second class black (heavy) soil known as Morand II. <br> 2. Depth: $3^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$. <br> 3. Colour : Black. <br> 4. Soil analysis : <br> (i) Chemical analysis : <br> (ii) Mechanical analysis: <br> Stones Fine gravel Coarse Fine above $3 \mathrm{~mm}, 3$ to 1 mm . sand sand | N.A. | Khindri tank of Ramtek since 1929. No drainage system. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-Paddy } \\ & \text { 3-Jowar } \end{aligned}$ | N.A. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9-Wheat |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 15-Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1-Mixed |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cropping |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | -__-_-_- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 16-Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Statement showing details of experimental stations
MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38. | Vadgaon: Agri. Res. Stn., Distt. Poona. <br> 200 yards from Vadgaon Rly. Stn. <br> Year of est 1940 Major crops : Paddy and Gram. It represents transitionary tract between Konkan and Desh. |  | 1. Soil type : Low to medium black. <br> 2. Depth: $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $20^{\prime \prime}$. <br> 3. Colour : Greyish to Meduim black. <br> 4. Structure : Coarse to medium. <br> 5. Soil analysis : Not available. | JuneJuly | 9.23 | Nil. <br> No drainage system. | 17-Paddy | Ni |
|  |  |  | 23.29 |  | 3-Gram. |  |  |
|  |  |  | Aug. | 15.19 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sept. | 0.82 | 20-Total |  |  |
|  |  |  | Oct.Dec. to |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec. toMarch |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | April | 0.63 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | May | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total 53.00 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average of five years data. |  |  |  |  |
| 39. | Washim : Govt. Seed and dem. |  | Soil type : $\frac{1}{3}$ area is black cotton soil and the $2 / 3$ area is sandy loam. | Normal annual rainfall $30^{\circ}$. |  | Well irrigation since 1919. Surface drainage system exists. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6-Jowar } \\ & \text { 4-Wheat } \\ & \text { 7-Cotton } \\ & \text { 3-Groundnut } \\ & \hline \text { 20-Total } \end{aligned}$ | -- |
|  | farm. Distt. Akola. 52 miles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | from Akola Rly. Stn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Year of est. 1919. Major crops : Jowar, Wheat,Ground- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | nut, Cotton and Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | crops. It represents Vidarbha |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTAL STATIONS. 

MAHARASHTRA (Contd.)

| 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40. | Yeotmal : Agri. Res. Stn. (1926), and Yeomtal : Govt. Seed and demonstration farm (1948) Rly. Stn. Yeotmal. <br> It represents Ghat tract which includes Yeotmal. Darwhar, Pusad, Kelapur and Wani taluks of Akola Distt. Major crops: Cotton, Jowar and Groundnut. | 1. Soil type : Black cotton soil. <br> 2. Depth: 1' to 3'. <br> 3. Colour : Brown to black. <br> 4. Str ucture : Compact. <br> 5. Soil analysis: <br> (i) Chemical analysis : <br> pH Soluble salts Org. Carbon $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (lb./ac.) as conductivity <br> $\begin{array}{llll}7.7 & 0.95 & 0.4881 & 189.5\end{array}$ <br> 16.3 <br> High <br> (ii) Mechanical analysis : Not available. | June <br> July <br> Aug. <br> Sept. <br> Oct. <br> Nov. <br> Dec. <br> Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> April <br> May | $\begin{array}{r} 6.80 \\ 12.29 \\ 10.37 \\ 8.11 \\ 2.16 \\ 0.42 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.23 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.51 \end{array}$ | Nil. No drainage system. | 5-Jowar <br> 6-Cotton <br> 1-Groudnut <br> 12-Total | Alt. 1481 a.s. 1. There are hard sub-soil mother rocks which affect the percolation thus causing water lodging in some portions. |
|  |  |  | Total | 42.53 |  |  |  |

## Soil Analysis of Agri. Res, Stn., Karjat.

B. Soils,

| (a) Broad soil types | - | Sandy loam to clay loam. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (i) Depth | - | Varying from $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime}$. |
| (ii) Colour | - | Grey with black when dry and dark grey when wet. |
| (iii) Structure | - | Cloddy. |

(b) Chemical analysis (if available)
(Indicate the \% of various) constituents analysed for)

$$
0-5^{\circ} \quad \text { Depth } 5^{\circ}-20^{a}
$$



| 1. Moisture. | - | percent on fine matter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5.48 | 6.18 |
| 2. Loss on Ignition. | - | 6.58 | 6.24 |
| 3. Acid insoluable matter. | - | 64.94 | 64.72 |
| 4. Iron oxide ( $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ). | - | 1087 | 10.24 |
| 5. Alluminium oxide ( $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ). | - | 10.87 | 9.34 |
| 6. Lime ( CaO ). | - | 0.88 | 0.90 |
| 7. Magnesia (MgO). | - | 0.71 | 0.52 |
| 8. Potash ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). | - | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 9. Phosphoric acid ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ). | - | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 10. Sulphate (SO 3). | - | 0.32 | 0.18 |
| 11. Nitrogen. | - | 0.08 | 0.77 |
| 12. Organic Carbon. | - | 1.23 | 1.13 |
| 13. C/N ratio. | - | 14.47 | 14.67 |
| 14. Humus. | - | 0.35 | 3.38 |
| Available Constituents |  | Mgm-Percent. |  |
| Phosphoric acid ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ). |  | 12.73 | 12.92 |
| Potash ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). |  | Traces. | Traces. |
| Exchangeable bases |  | Miliequ | nts percent |


| Exchangeable Calcium. | 26.80 | 25.13 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Exchangeable Magnesium. | 15.33 | 12.78 |
| Exchangeable Potassium. | 0.33 | 0.24 |
| Exchangeable Sodium. | 2.83 | 2.11 |
| Total exchangeable bases. | 45.29 | 4026 |
| pH. | 6.03 | 6.06 |

(e) Mechanical analysis (Indicate the \% of the various constituents analysed for):
(e) Mechanical analysis.

| 1. Moisture. | - | 6.15 | 6.53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Carbonate ( $\mathrm{Ca} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ). | - | 0.01 | 1.01 |
| 3. Organic Matter. | - | 1.99 | 1.32 |
| 4. Clay. | - | 20.90 | 19.10 |
| 5. Silt. | - | 31.60 | 22.25 |
| 6. Fine sand (by difference). | - | 28.57 | 27.51 |
| 7. Coarse sand. | - | 10.78 | 22.79 |

Object :-To study the effect of Bone-super top dressed on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 1$ ratio. (ii) (a) ' D ' type.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 18.6.1948. (iv) (a) Ploughing, and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Local. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $21.78^{\prime \prime}$ ( 18.6 .1948 to 16.11.1948). (x) 16.11.1943.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 56 lb ./ac. of Bone-super.
4. 56 lb ./ac. of Bone-super +56 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C.
7. No marure.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of grain. (iv) (a) 1946-1948. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali, Lakhampur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) N.A.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $848 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $238.8 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Tre.tment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| l. | 853 |
| 2. | 880 |
| 3. | 946 |
| 4. | 813 |
| 5. | 746 |
| S.E./mean | $=97.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy. (Kharif).<br>Site :- Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun.

Ref:- Mh. 52(320).
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of Di-calcium phosphate as compared to B.M. on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.6.1952/28.7.1952.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +32 lb /ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied on 25.7 .1952 . (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 1.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$ as Di-calcium phosphate.
4. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 25.7.1952.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Poor. (ii) Attack of Karpa. (iii) Grain and straw yield (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii)Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $1621 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $130.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1612 |
| 2. | 1630 |
| S.E./mean | $=37.5 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 50(107). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :~Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of graded doses of Dolomite on Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1950/31.7.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedling/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) 4 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 7.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of Dolomite : $D_{0}=0, D_{1}=0.5 D_{2}=1, D_{3}=1.5$ and $D_{4}=2$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 manures : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. as N of G.N.C. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) More vegetative growth in plots where G.N.C. was given. (ii) Slight attack of kapra in 3rd week of August. Crop dusted with gammaxene. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Phondaghat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3457 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $328.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $D$ and $M$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $D_{0}$ | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | $D_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{0}$ | 2021 | 1745 | 3648 | 3866 | 4029 | 3062 |
| $M_{1}$ | 2222 | 4029 | 4302 | 4574 | 4138 | 3853 |
| Mean | 2122 | 2887 | 3975 | 4220 | 4084 | 3457 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of M } & =73.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } D & =116.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =164.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun.
Ref:- Mh. 51(141).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of graded doses of Dolomite on Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No particular rotation. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) and (b) N.A. (iii) 29.5.1951/29 to 31.7.1951.
(iv) (a) N.A. '(b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (v) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 14,15.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of Dolomite : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=0, \mathrm{D}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.5$, and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=2$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 manures : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(ii) There was complete lodging of crop in the last week of Sept. due to heavy rains and wind. (ii, Nii. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) $1950-1952$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Phondaghat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2356 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $253.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of D and M and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | D3 | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{0}$ | 1854 | 1919 | 2395 | 2409 | 2307 | $21^{\prime} 77$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 2586 | 2508 | 2474 | 2654 | 2457 | 2536 |
| Mean | 2220 | 2213 | 2434 | 2531 | 2382 | $23: 56$ |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=56.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ | $=88.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=126.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun.

Ref :- Mh. 52(172).
Type: ' $M$ '.

O: ject :-To study the effect of graded doses of Dolomite on Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.l. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of Dolomite : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=0, \mathrm{D}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.5$, and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=2$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 manures: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\circ} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1952. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) a) Phondaghat. (b, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1216 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $207.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interaction $\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{M}$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 1293 | 990 | 1187 | 1290 | 1279 | 1208 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1143 | 1239 | 1092 | 1361 | 1286 | 1224 |
| Mean | 1218 | 1114 | 1140 | 1325 | 1283 | 1216 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of M <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =46.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =72.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =104.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- (Kharif). | Ref :m Mh. 49(91). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun. | Type :~ ' M '. |

Object :- To study the effect of deglued B.M. as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. on the yield of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) a) N.A. (a) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) $160^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. F.Y.M. at 5 C.L /ac. + G.N.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
5. F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. + G.N.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (i) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Severe attack of blast was observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952, (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Phondaghat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1206 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.92 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 998 |
| 2. | 1384 |
| 3. | 1236 |
| S.E./mean | $=32.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 50(106).
Site :~ Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun.
Type:- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of deglued B.M. as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. on the yielc of Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) and (b) N.A. (iii) 1.6.1950/28.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) 5 weedings (ix) N.A. (x) 6.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. No manure.
2. 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Good vegetative growth in B.M. and D.B.M. plots, however, more vegetative growth in D.B.M. plotslodging in plots in first week of October due to heavy rains, less no. of tillers in no manure plots. (ii) Slight attack of $k$ arpa in 4th week of August. Crop dusted with gammaxene powder ; Damage is negligible.
(iii) Grain and straw yield.
(iv) (a) 1949-1952.
(b) N.A. (c)
(c) N.A.
(a) Phondaghat. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $2316 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $184.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 1799 |
| 2. | 2611 |
| 3. | 2538 |
| S.E./mean | $=75.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun.

Ref :- Mh. 51(140).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of deglued B.M. as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as compared to B.M. on the yield of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) and (b) N.A. (iii) 29.5.1951/26,27.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Varangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) 3 weedings, 3 rogueing. (ix) N.A. (x) 9, 13.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
5. $5 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{L}$. .ac. of F.Y.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M.
6. DESIGN :
[^2]
## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Growth in manured flots was vigorous, plant height was more in D.B.M. plots, lodging in one plo: in the last week of Sept. and Ist week of Oct. Break of rains in the middle of Stpt. (ii) Slight attack of karpa in the last week of August. Piants were dusted with gammaxene. iii) Grin and straw yield. (iv) (a) 194)-1952. (b) N A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Phondaghat. (b) N.A. (vi, and vid ait.
5. RESULTS
(i) $834 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 130.2 1o.jac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lo /ac:

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. | 1283 |
| 2. | 2026 |
| 3. | 2189 |
| S.E./mean | $=53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 52(321).
Site :~Rice Breeding Stn., Chiplun. Type :- 'M'.

Object : - To study the residual effect of deglued B.M. as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. applied to previous Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 :seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Varangal-487.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
5. 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. $+4 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M.

Manures applied to previous Paddy crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) $6 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952 (residual effect in 1952). b. Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $856.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $88.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 809.8 |
| 2. | 882.3 |
| 3. | 877.8 |
| S.E.jmean | $=36.07 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 48(13).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To find out the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Paddy (without F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) .(a) Medium Black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri, (iii) $8.6 .1948 / 25$ th and 27 th July, 1948. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Sowing by broadcasting in seed bed. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{*} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-22f. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $115.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3rd and 5th Nov. 1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=32, P_{2}=64$, and $P_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manuring on 5.8.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22.5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17.5^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Crab. trouble. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951 (from 1952, residual effects studied), (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Amreli. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1612 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $229.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $P_{0}$ | 1034 | 1467 | 1737 | 1889 | 1532 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1360 | 1315 | 1836 | 1966 | 1620 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1242 | 1533 | 1734 | 2146 | 1664 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1235 | 1732 | 1769 | 1795 | 1635 |
| Mean | 1218 | 1513 | 1769 | 1949 | 1612 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } N \text { or } P & =57.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =114.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref:- Mh. 49(23)/48(13).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of Paddy (without F.Y.M.).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Mediurn black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $4.6 .1949 / 30$ th and 31st July, 1949. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Line sowing in nursery and transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeeding on 14th and 15th Sept. 1949. (ix) $125.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9.11.1949.


## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2: 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad P_{9}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=95 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D, (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $22.5^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$, b; $1^{7} .5^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. iv) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Crabtrouble. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) Yes. (c, N.A (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1478 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $257.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $N$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 934 | 1724 | 1714 | 1651 | 1506 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1153 | 1539 | 1760 | 1456 | 1477 |
| $P_{2}$ | 870 | 1353 | 1684 | 1525 | 1358 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1339 | 1624 | 1829 | 1487 | 1570 |
| Mean | 1074 | 1560 | 1746 | 1530 | 1478 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } N \text { or } P & =64.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =123.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

> Ref :- Mh. $50(32) / 49(23) / 48(13)$. Type : 'M'.

Object:-To study the N and P requirements of Paddy (without F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif and fallow in Rabi. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a, Cearse to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 12.6.1950/0.8.1950. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Kolamba-226 (late). 'vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding 3rd week of August 1950. (ix) 147.25". (x) 13.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of $(1)$ and $(2$,
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$, ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad P_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Surer.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (i) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} 6^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} 6^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The gencra! growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (v) (a; 1948-1951.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2327 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $298.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P_{0}$ | 978 | 2428 | 2469 | 3049 | 2231 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1379 | 2104 | 2905 | 3065 | 2363 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1458 | 2196 | 2713 | 2783 | 2288 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1491 | 24 C 2 | 2723 | 3087 | 2426 |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 51(36)/50(32)/49(23) 48(13).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.
Object :- To find the N and P requirements of Paddy (without F.Y.M.)

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. (b) Fallow in Rabi, Paddy in Kharif. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $6.6 .1951 / 7.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting line sowing. (c) - (late). (vii) Rainfed. (viii) Hand weeding in 3rd week of Aug. 1951. (ix) $166.88^{n}$. (x) 14.10 .1954 and 15.10.1951.
2: TREATMENTS :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22.5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17.5^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime} .($ v $) 2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Little crab trouble. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) Y'es. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amrali, Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2868 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $271.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 52(62)/51(36);50(32)/49(23) 48(13).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of N and P applied to previous Paddy crop (without F. Y. M.,.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a, Fallow in Rabi, Paddy after paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. iii) (a) Coarse to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 9.6.1952/10.7.1952. (iv) (a) 4 plougaings. (b) Transplanted : line sowing. (c) 一, (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Kolamba- 226 (late.) (vii) Rainied. (viii) Hand weeding in 4th week of Sept. (ix) $127.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11 .1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=32, N_{2}=64$ and $N_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Manures applied to last year crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b, N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Slight crab trouble. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 1954 (from 1952, residual effect studied). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1828 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $412.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and interaction NP are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 1762 | 1506 | 1883 | 1838 | 1777 |
| $P_{1}$ | 2119 | 1383 | 1890 | 2003 | 1849 |
| $P_{2}$ | 2078 | 1908 | 1847 | 2151 | 1996 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1814 | 1810 | 1747 | 1520 | 1723 |
| Mean | 1943 | 1652 | 1842 | 1878 | 1828 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=103.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=206.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53 (346)/52 (62)/51 (36)/50 (32):49 (23)/48 (13).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igat puri.
Type :- 'M'.
Object:- To study the residual effect of $N$ and $P$ applied to previous Paddy crop (without F. Y. M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) Refer soil apor Igatpuri. (iii) 15.6.1953/24.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) Aalysis, $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 secdlings, bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil, $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (x) 22.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. Manures applied to paddy crop during 1948 to 1951.

3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $17.5^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of crabs. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (\%) (a) Karjat. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1865 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $418.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1344 | 1922 | 1676 | 1899 | 1710 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1870 | 1661 | 2311 | 2096 | 1985 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2062 | 1808 | 2021 | 2184 | 2019 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1924 | 1965 | 1527 | 1579 | 1748 |
| Mean | 1800 | 1839 | 1884 | 1940 | 1865 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P |  | $=104.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

## Crop Paddy (Kharif). <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

## Ref :~Mh. 48 (97).

Type : ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Paddy with basal manuring of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $8.6 .1948 / 22.7 .1948$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L.,/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $115.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 31.10.1948.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=32, N_{2}=64$ and $N_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4
(a) $22^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $14^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) Crab attack. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 ; (then residual effect upto 1953). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Ratnagiri and Vadagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1686 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $244.4 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 1035 | 1752 | 1802 | 2119 | 1677 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1143 | 1770 | 1795 | $20: 3$ | 1580 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1066 | 1667 | 1847 | 2151 | 1683 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1170 | 1699 | 1939 | 2005 | 1703 |
| Mean | 1104 | 1722 | 1846 | 2072 | 1686 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P |  | $=6.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Ig atpuri.

Ref: $:$ Mh. 49(22)/48(12).
Type:-' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find the N and P requirements of Paddy with basal dose of F. Y. M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 4.6.1949/28.7.1949 and 29.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 plougtings. (b) Transplantiag. (c) - . (d) $10^{n} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) K-226. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand-weeding on 15th and 16th September. (ix) 125.68". (x) 6.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applid as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17.5^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Border plants eaten away by crabs. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948 1951. (b) 〕es. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Ratnagiri, Navapur, Vadagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1613 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $227.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Ma $n$ effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Miean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1152 | 1640 | 1851 | 1584 | 1557 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1247 | 1785 | 1707 | 1324 | 1516 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1179 | 2054 | 2075 | 1623 | 1733 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1322 | 1812 | 1867 | 1580 | 1647 |
| Mean | 1225 | 1823 | 1875 | 1529 | 1613 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of tody of table | $=113.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :mAgri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
```


## Ref :-Mh. 50(145)/49(22)/48(12). <br> Type :-'M'.

Object :- To study the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Paddy with basal dose of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse scil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $12.6 .1950 / 2.8 .1950$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) --. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac, of F.Y.M. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $147.25^{\circ}$. (x) 15.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=32, N_{2}=64$ and $N_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (b) (a) $22^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $176^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 2.5 , ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b)
b) Yes.
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) Kopergaon.
Ratnagiri, Vadagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2460 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $398.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ is significant while that of $N$ is highly significant. Interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1011 | 2024 | 2654 | 3184 | 2218 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1489 | 2757 | 3243 | 3238 | 2682 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1285 | 1830 | 3133 | 2932 | 2295 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1426 | 2423 | 3222 | 3511 | 2645 |
| Mean | 1303 | 2258 | 3063 | 3216 | 2460 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=99.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop : P Paddy (Kharif). $\quad$ Ref :- Mh. 51(147)/50(145)/49(22)/48(12).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To find out the N and P requirements of Paddy with basal does of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy (fallow in Rabi). (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $5.6 .1951 / 18.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M (vi) Kolamba-- 226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding in 3rd week of Sept. 1951. (ix) $116.88^{\circ}$. (x) 16.10 .1951.

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $22^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of crop was fairly good. (ii) Little crab trouble. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Kopergoan, Ratnagıri, Navapur, Vadagaon. (b. N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2894 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $330.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./av.


Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).

## Ref:- Mh. 52(61)/51(147)/50(145)/49(22)/48(12).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type := 'M'.

Object:-To find out the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Paddy with basal dose of F.Y.M. (residual effect).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i (a) Paddy after Paddy (fallow in Rabi). (b) Faddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $9.6 \cdot 1952 / 8.7 .1952$. (iv) (d) N.A. (b) Transplanting. c) - . (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedings/hunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Kolamba- 226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Hand weeding in 3rd week of Sept. 1952. (ix) $127.91^{\prime \prime}$. "x) 9.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied to previous crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$, b) $17^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$, (v) $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ring, round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The general growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Slight crab trouble. No Control measures taken.
(iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-54 (from 1952 residual effect. (b) Yes. (c) No. (v)
(a) Kopergaon, Ratnagiri, Navagaon, Vadagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii, Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1954 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $379.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is significant.
(iv, Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1749 | 1910 | 1778 | 1923 | 1840 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1713 | 2125 | 2126 | 2091 | 2014 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1725 | 2116 | 1700 | 1969 | 1877 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1804 | 2029 | 2561 | 1947 | $2 \mathrm{C85}$ |
| Mean | 1748 | 2045 | 2041 | 1982 | 1954 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of kody of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =98.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =189.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crop : }- \text { Paddy (Kharif). } \quad \text { Ref :-Mh. } 53(3) / 52(61) / 51(147) / 50(145) / 49(22) / 48(12), \\
& \text { Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. } \quad \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Object :- To study the N and P requirements of Paddy with tasal dose of F.Y.M. (residual effect).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif and Pulses in Rabi. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow coarse soil derived from Deccan trap rocks. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 15 th June, 19531 last week of July, 1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing in Rabi and 3 ploughings in Kharif. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) K. 226 (late) (vii) Rainfed. (viii) Transplanting, interculturing done as per departmental method. Puddling and planting on 21 st and 22 nd July, 1953. (ix) $123^{\prime \prime}$, (x) 23 rd Nov. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$, and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied during 1948 to 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A. (iii)
4. (iv)
v) (a) $22^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $17^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 3 guard rows on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Paddy crop was fairly good through out the season. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain Yield. (iv; (a) 1948-1954 (from 1952 residual effect.) (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1592 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $500.3 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1510 | 1363 | 1537 | 1716 | 1531 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1276 | 1705 | 1910 | 1385 | 1569 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1574 | 1728 | 2126 | 1457 | 1721 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1576 | 1459 | 1373 | 1785 | 1548 |
| Mean | 1484 | 1564 | 1736 | 1586 | 1592 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P} \quad=125.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=250.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :~Mh. 49(21). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igat puri. | Type :~' M '. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop $\left.{ }^{(P e a l}\right)$, growa with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succecding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Peas-Paddy. (b) Peas. (c) As par treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 4.6.1949/20.7.1249. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted ic) -. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (d) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 werding. (ix) $123.64^{\circ}$. (x) 23.10 .1949 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control $\{\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

5 . Fallow in Rabi.
Manure applied to previous peas crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL
(i) The growth was not satisfactory due to continuous rains. (ii) Crab pest. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) a) 1548-49, Rubi) to $1454-55$ (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Ni. (v) (a) and (o) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $865 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii, 121.5 lb . ac.
(iii) Treaument differences are not si gnificant.
iiv. Av. yield of grain in lo. /ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 813 |
| 2. | 793 |
| 3. | 897 |
| 4. | 928 |
| 5. | 893 |
| S.C./mein | $=54.3 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 0 ( 3 0 )}$ (49(21). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Peas) grown with and withou: $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) a Peas-Paddy. (b) Peas (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, lgatpuri. (iii) 12.6 1950/21.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 1 pudding and planting. (b) Seedlings raised in rabhed seed-bed and transplanted. (c: -. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 8 seeilings/bunch. (v) Nul. (vi) Z. 31 (mid late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $150.85^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Manure applied to previous peas crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth was excellent. (ii) Common crab pests appeared. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948.49 (Rabi) to 1954-55 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a', (b) Nil, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1645 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $253.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1320 |
| 2. | 1808 |
| 3. | 1752 |
| 4, | 1964 |
| 5. | 1380 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:~Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Ref :- Mh. 51(34)/50(30)/49(21).
Type:- ' \(M\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Peas) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ manure on the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Peas-Paddy. (b) Peas. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $6.6 .1951 / 6.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $105.15^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous peas crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was normal. (ii) Slight attack of Crab pests. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 (Rabi), 1954. 1955 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1798 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $254.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1486 |
| 2. | 1914 |
| 3. | 2081 |
| 4. | 2094 |
| 5. | 1417 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy. (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref: $\boldsymbol{m}$ M. 52(60)/51(34) $50(30) / 49(21)$.
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Peas) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Peas-Paddy. (b) Peas. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Re'er soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $9.6 .1952 / 12.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 puddling. (b) Transplenting. (c) 一. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing. (ix) $121.54^{\prime \prime}$ (Kharif), 2.34" (Rabi). (x) 31.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.)
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous peas crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was normal. (ii) Common crab pest observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 (Rabi), 1954-1955 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1459 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $134.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1297 |
| 2. | 1516 |
| 3. | $[504$ |
| 4. | 1610 |
| 5. | 1371 |
| S.E./mean | $=60.3 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(2)/52(60)/51(34);50(30)/49(21).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Peas) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding; cereal crop Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Peas-Paddy. (b) Peas, (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $15.6 .1953 / 24,25.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing; 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{5} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $29.99^{*}$. (x) 25.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Manure applied to previous peas crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good. (ii) Severe attack of jassids and army worms. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1949 (Rabi) 1954 to 1955 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $950 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 165.5 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 707 |
| 2. | 1082 |
| 3. | 1156 |
| 4. | 1052 |
| 5. | 803 |
| S.E./mean | $=74.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Khaif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :~ Mh. 49(147).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Lentils) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Lentils-Paddy. (b) Lentils. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri, (iii) $4.6 .1949 / 20.7 .1949$ and 21.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 2 puddlings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (b) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $123.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
4. 50 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous lentils crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was checked due to heavy and continuous rains. (ii) Crab pest was observed which created a number of gaps. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1948 to 1949 (Rabi) to 1955 to 1955 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

| (i) 637 lb ./ac. <br> (ii) $140.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| (iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. |  |  |
| (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac. |  |  |
|  | Treatment | Av. yield |
|  | 1. | 658 |
|  | 2. | 573 |
|  | 3. | 674 |
|  | 4. | 657 |
|  | 5. | 622 |
|  | S.E./m | $=62.9$ |

Crop:-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn, Igatpuri.
Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Lentils) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Lentils-Paddy. (b) Lentils. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shaliow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $12.6 .1950 / 20,21.7 .1950$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings anc 1 pudding. (b) Seedlings raised in rabbed seed beds and transplanted. (c) 一. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (c) 8 seedlingsibunch (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $150.85^{\circ}$. (x) 27.10.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous lentils crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth was good. (ii) (a) Common crab pest attack observed but it was very mild. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (Rabi) to 1954-55 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1153 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $231.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Treatment Av. yield
$1 . \quad 1151$
2. 1032
3. 1272
4. 1238
$5 . \quad 1071$
S.E. $/$ mean $=103.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). Ref:mM. 51(238)/50(168);49(147).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type : ' 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Lentils) grown with and withcut $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Lentils-Paddy. (b) Lentils. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $5.6 .1951 / 8$ and 9.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanted. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil.? (vi) $Z-31$. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 1 weeding. (ix) $105.15^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.10 .1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{nO} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous lentils crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was normal. (ii) Slight attack of crab pests. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (Kabi) to 1954-55 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1077 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $155.6 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1021 |
| 2. | 954 |
| 3. | 1102 |
| 4. | 1236 |
| 5. | 1071 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } \quad \text { Ref :- Mh. } 52(580) / 51(238) / 50(168) / 49(147) . \\
& \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. } \quad \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Lentils) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Lentils-Paddy. (b) Lentils. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $9.6 .1952 / 13,14.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 1 planking. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) I interculturing, (ix) $121.54^{\circ}$. (x) 30.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super-
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous lentils crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) The common crab pest observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (Rabi) to 1954-55 (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1041 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $187.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 977 |
| 2. | 908 |
| 3. | 1020 |
| 4. | 1183 |
| 5. | 1118 |
| S.E./mean | $=83.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh-53(381)/52(380)/51(238)/50(168)/49(147). <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.

Object :--To study the effect of leguminous crop (Lentils) raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Leatils-Paddy. (b) Lentils, (e) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $15.6 .1953 / 22,23.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{a}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. ( $x$ ) $129.99^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{j}}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb . ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ac}$. af $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Manures applied to previous lentils crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) As per treatments.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth was checked due to attack of pests. (ii) The expt. was affected by two pests, jassids followed by army worms. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (Rabi) to $1954-55$ (Kharif). (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $817.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $126.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 665 |
| 2. | 833 |
| 3. | 931 |
| 4. | 945 |
| 5. | 714 |
| S.E./mean | $=56.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref : . Mh. 52(362).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To test the efficiency of Calcium cynamide as compared to A/S and G.N.C.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri.
(iii) $9.6 .1952 / 30.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 puddling. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$.
(e 6 seedlings/tunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid.-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $127.94^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) 1.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 64 lb .ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
¿. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Calcium cynamide.
5. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Calcium cynamide + G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $25^{\circ} \times 65^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 55^{\prime}$. (vi $2.5^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ ring. (ai) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v; (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii. Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1945 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.13 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are sig qificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. | 2158 |
| 2. | 1881 |
| 3. | 1901 |
| 4. | 1841 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=46.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

C rop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Ref:- Mh. 53(269) 52 (362).
Type: ' ' M '.

Object :-Tu assess the relative merits of G.N.C., A/S and Calcium cynamide.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Pu ses in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) 'a) Shallow and coarse soil derived from Deccan trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, lgatpuri. (iii) $18.6 .1953 / 28.7 .1553$. (iv) (a) One ploughing for Rabi and 3 ploughings in Kharif. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late) (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) Weeding and interculturing. (ix) $123^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 26.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Calcium cynamide.
6. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as calcium Cynamide $+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $\mathrm{I}: 1$ ratio.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4
(b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $65^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi, Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Crop was fairly good throughout the season. (ii) Jassids and army worms noticed. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, date of flowering and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-54. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (bi N.A. (vi) Nul. (vii) N.A.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $638 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1293 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 782 |
| 2. | 422 |
| 3. | 545 |
| 4. | 802 |
| S.l. mean | $=91.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kkarif).
Ref :- Mh. 50(18).
site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Type:~ 'M'.
```

Ooject :-To study the effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to the leguminuous crop (Wal) for fixation of nitrogen in soils which should benefit the succeeding Paddy crop.

1. B.ASAL CONDITIO\S:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wal. (c) Manured as per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. Medium black derived from trap oock. (b) Refer soil analys's, Karjat. (iii) 12.6.1950/11.8.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) . (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $124^{\text {n }}$. (c) . 12.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fallow in Rabi (no manure.
4. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
5. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. :00 lb. ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. $: 50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied to the previous crop $W a l(R a b i)$ and its residual effect was studied on Paddy in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. (o) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $16.33^{\prime} \times 8.33^{\prime}$. (v) $1.8^{\prime}$ on either side, with 2 rows of $0.83^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was poor due to the absence of rains for about 3 weeks immediately after planting. There was no lodging of the crop. (ii) The attack of paddy Mealy Bug was very severe in all the plets. Abnormal season. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $249.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $157.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 136.8 |
| 2. | 306.4 |
| 3. | 190.2 |
| 4. | 400.4 |
| 5. | $2!2.3$ |
| S.E./mean | $=70.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :- Mh. 52(34). Type:- 'M'.

Object :--To study th: effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Wal) for fixation of nitrogen in the soil which should bencfit the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIO\S:
(i! (a) NA. (b) Walin Rabi. (c) Manured as per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. b) Refer soil anaysis, Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1952 / 6.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Sowing ir the seedbeds and transplanting in the fields. (c) - (d) $8^{\circ} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Paddy, K-540. , vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fallow in Rabi (no manure).
4. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
5. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$4100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. 150 b ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied to the previous crop $W a l$ (Rabi) and the residual effect was studied on paddy in Kharif.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $18.33^{\prime} \times 8.33^{\prime}$. (v) $0.83^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Four plots lost moisture earlier, hence poor growth. For all other plots uniform growth. (ii) Attack of stem borer was seen in a mild form. Gursoral spray was given. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1832 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $685.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2047 |
| 2. | 1747 |
| 3. | 1355 |
| 4. | 2113 |
| S. | 1900 |
| S.E./mean | $=342.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(121).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Type :- 'M'.
Objeet :-To study the effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to legumin Jus crop (Wal) for fixation of nitrogen in the soil which should benefit the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wal-Paddy. (b) Wal in Rabi. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 14.6.1953/16.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Paddy K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 ploughings were given prior to puddling. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Fallow in Rabi (no manure).
2. Control ( $\mathrm{nO} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
3. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 100 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied to the previous leguminous crop $W a l$ ( $R a b i$ ) and its residual effect was studied on Paddy (Kharif).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Less height; no lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Bulsar, Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1255 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $243.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1494 |
| 2. | 1085 |
| 3. | 1058 |
| 4. | 1297 |
| 5. | 1341 |
| S.E./mean | $=121.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

> Ref :- Mh. $49(17)$.
> Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To ascertain the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 6.6.1949 : Transplanting Replication I-15.7.1949. II-17 7.1949, III-19.7.1949 and IV20.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 40 lb ,'ac. (d) $10^{7 \times 1} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedings/ounch. (v) Nil. (vi) K. 42 late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings in August. Rain-water kept circulating taroughout. (ix) 133". (x) Replication I-14.11.1949, II-15.11.1949, III-16.11.194), IV-17.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$.ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super, N as G.N.C. $\frac{1}{2}$ dose of N and full dose of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at puddling and remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ dose of N 6 weeks after planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $10^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $\leq \prime$ guard ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No major pest or disease ; seedlings were affected by blast but were treated with paronox. (iii) Grain yield and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Nawapur, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2345 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $263.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N alone in highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb., ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 1516 | 2196 | 2693 | 2790 | 2259 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1635 | 2411 | 2635 | 2877 | 2389 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1636 | 2212 | 2704 | 2858 | 2353 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1765 | 2217 | 2564 | 2815 | 2340 |
| Mean | 1638 | 2259 | 2649 | 2835 | 2345 |


| S.E. of marginal means of N or P | $=65.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=131.6 \mathrm{lb}$ ac. |

Crop : Paddy (Kharif).
Site:-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref:mM. 50(26)/49(17).
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To find out the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 10.6.1950; Transplanting Repli. 1-19.7.1950, II-20.7.1950, III-21.7.i950 and IV-22.7.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) Transplanting. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Rain-water kept circulating. (ix) $124^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Rep. I-12.11.1950, II-13.11.1950, III-14.11.1950 and IV-15.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\frac{1}{2}$ dose of $N$ and full dose of $P$ at puddling and the other $\frac{1}{2}$ dose of $N$ applied 6 weeks after planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$
(v) 3 rows on all sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. Crop lodged badly in maturity stage. (ii) Little attack of crabs. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Nawapur, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $2821 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $270.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N alone is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1871 | 2565 | 3327 | 3504 | 2817 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1888 | 2705 | 1358 | 3535 | 2822 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2041 | 2629 | 2571 | 3644 | 2796 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1847 | 2698 | 3287 | 3559 | 2848 |
| Mean | 1912 | 2649 | 3161 | 3561 | 2821 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=67.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=135.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :-Mh. 51(28)/50(26)/49(17),
Type:-‘M'.

Object:-To find out the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Pdddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $13.6 .1951 / 19.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 40 lb./ac. (d) Spacing $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $124^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\frac{1}{2}$ dose of N and all dose of P at puddling and the remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ dose of N applied 6 weeks after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amrell, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Nawapur, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2758 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $405.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 2164 | 2555 | 3283 | 3059 | 2765 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1827 | 2426 | 3225 | 3545 | 2756 |
| $P_{2}$ | 2324 | 2450 | 3151 | 365 | 2895 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1725 | 2392 | $304 ;$ | 3307 | 2617 |
| Mean | 2010 | 2456 | 3176 | 3391 | 2758 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P \quad=101.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=202.9 \mathrm{lo} \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(55). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the residual effect of the application of $N$ and $P$ to Paddy for three years.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 10.6.1952/4.7.1952 and 5.7.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughirg and puddling. (b) N.A. (c) 40 lb. .ac. (d) $8^{\circ} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.11.1952 and 9.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{9}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied during last 3 years and now the residual effect studied.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) $100^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$, (v) $2 \frac{2^{\prime}}{}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Uniform and good. (ii) No major pest or disease. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-54 (direct effect upto 1951, thereafter residual effect). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amrel;, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Nowapur, Ratuagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Originally it was laid out with 4 replications but one replication was dropped due to low yields.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2386 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $346.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N ard P and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2491 | 2546 | 2074 | 2160 | 2318 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2614 | 2105 | 1990 | 2128 | 2209 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2543 | 2666 | 2575 | 2255 | 2510) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2219 | 2487 | 2723 | 2605 | 2509 |
| Mean | 2466 | 2451 | 2341 | 2287 | 2386 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=100.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$ |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

## Ref :- Mh. 53(122)/52(55). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the residual effect of $N$ and $P$ to Paddy for three years.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 10.6.1953/ 13,14.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughiings and puddlings (b) transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Kolamba-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{ib}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as A/S, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied during three years $1949-50$ to $1951-52$ and residual effect studied for this year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 3 lines oa each side all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Mean height, no. of tillers, yield of grain and straw. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954 (direct effect up to 1952, thereafter residual effect). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Nawapur, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2074 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $371.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{N}$ and P and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 1903 | 2417 | 1987 | 1753 | 2015 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2087 | 1845 | 1954 | 1978 | 1966 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2454 | 2514 | 1964 | 2100 | 2258 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 1957 | 2029 | 2277 | 1967 | 2057 |
| Mean | 2100 | 2201 | 2046 | 1949 | 2074 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{P}=92.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=185.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref:- Mh. 51(150).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:--To find out the optimum quantity of lime required to make up the loss caused by the applicaticn of $A / S$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Kharif Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, black medium soil derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 13.6.1951/23.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 4 puddlings. (b) transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied one week after transplanting. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $56 .\left(3^{\prime \prime}\right.$. (x) 19.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)+ a control (no lime).
(1) 4 levels of lime: $L_{1}=300, L_{2}=900, L_{3}=1800$ and $L_{4}=3600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 times of application: $T_{1}=$ every year $T_{2}=$ every alternate year and $T_{3}=$ every third year.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 13 (only 5 independent treatments). (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) 2 lines each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a, Nō. (b, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1948 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $355.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Doses of lime do not differ significantly, control vs. others is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Control | $=2031 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Treatment | Av. yield |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | 1732 |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 1865 |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | 1877 |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{4}$ | 2291 |  |
| S.E. of control mean | $=251.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E./mean (other than control) | $=145.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :- Mh. 52(148)/51(150).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To find out the quantity of lime required to make up the loss caused by the application of $A / S$ to Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) As under treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium-black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis. Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1952 / 2.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and puddlings. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) 40 lb ./ac. of N as A/S. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $10.9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no lime)
(1) 4 levels of lime : $L_{1}=300, L_{2}=900, L_{2}=1800$ and $L_{4}=3600 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 Limes of application: $T_{1}=$ every year, $T_{2}=$ every alternate year and $T_{5}=$ every third year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a). 13 (only 9 independent treatments). (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) Two lines on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) Attacked by crabs. Border lines suffered and gap filling was done. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2764 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $329.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=2733 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T}_{1}$ | 2811 | 2532 | 2900 | 2166 | 2752 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{2}$ | 2766 | 2766 | 2856 | 2878 | 2816 |
| Mean | 2788 | 2549 | 2258 | 2822 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ | $=116.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of L | $=164.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control vs. other treatment mean | $=254.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S E. of body of table | $=232.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref:- Mh. 53(231)/52(148)/51(150),
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find cut the optimum quantity of lime necessary to recoup the loss caused by continuous application of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black, (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $14.6 .1953 / 14.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) Two ploughings and puddlings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) One week after transplanting, $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ given to all plots. (vi) Kolamba-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $132^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 30.10 .1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + one control.
(1) 4 levels of lime : $L_{1}=300 \mathrm{~L}_{2}=900, \mathrm{~L}_{3}=1800$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}=3600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 times of application : $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ every year, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ every alternate year and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ every third year.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 13 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) Two lines on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :-
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height of tillers and straw. (iv) (a) 1951 -contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2182 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 259.6 lb .ac.
(iii) Main effects of L and T and their interaction do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb ./ac.

Control $=2130 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 230 | 2180 | 2160 | 2280 | 2230 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 2120 | 2360 | 2200 | 2240 | 2230 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1940 | 2220 | 2300 | 1940 | 2100 |
| Mean | 2120 | 2253 | 2220 | 2153 | 287 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $L$
S.E. of marginal mean of $T$
S.E. of body of table
$=106.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=91.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=183.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat .
Ref:- Mh. 52(182).
Type:- ' M '.

Object : - To find the best time and method of application of $N$ in the form of $A / S$ to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1952 / 21.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 4 puddlings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\circ}$. (x) 15.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS
$64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given to all plots as:
3. $\frac{5}{3}$ at puddling $+\frac{3}{3}$ at tillering (surface application).
4. $\frac{?}{3}$ at puddling $+\frac{1}{3}$ at tillering in pellet form. (deep application)
5. $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering (surface application).
6. $\frac{1}{2}$ dose at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering in pellet form (deep application).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Replication 1 was attacked by rats. Damage was caused to the extent of about $10 \%$ by cutting earheads. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil,
9. RESULTS:
(i) $3413 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $121.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1b./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3438 |
| 2. | 3383 |
| 3. | 3273 |
| 4. | 3558 |
| S.E./mean | $=85.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref:- Mh. 53 (195)/52 (182).
Type:- ' M '.
```

Object :-To find out the best time and method of application of N to Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 14.6.1953 to 17.7.1953. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 40 ib ./ac. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Kolamba-42. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $132.02^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
$64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ was given to all the plots as :-
3. $\frac{2}{3}$ at puddling $+\frac{1}{3}$ at tillering (surface application).
4. $\frac{2}{3}$ at puddling $+\frac{1}{3}$ at tillering in pellet form (deep application).
5. $\frac{1}{2}$ at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering (surface application).
6. $\frac{1}{2}$ at puddling $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering in pellet form (deep application).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (b) $11^{\prime} \times 36 .^{\prime}$ (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) The crop growth was vigorous on average. All the plots were almost completely lodged by the middie of October. (ii) Nil. iii) Grain yield, height and no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1796 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $263.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2008 |
| 2. | 1564 |
| 3. | 1940 |
| 4. | 1671 |
| S.E./mean | $=186.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

## Ref :- Mh. 52 (183). <br> Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To compare sann and dhaincha as green manures for Faddy and to study if application of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{\mathfrak{\xi}}$ increases their value as green manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) High level, low level and varkas soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1952 / 13.7 .1952$ to 26.7.1952. (iv) (a) Two ploughings and puddlings. (b) Trans* planting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Paddy K-42. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.10 .1952 to 7.11 .1952 .

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Sann only.
2. Sann with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 50 lb ./ac.
3. Dhaincha only.
4. Dhaincha with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 50 lb ./ac.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) (Unequal plots in a block) 4 plots in Rep I and II with treatments 1 to 4,3 plots in Rep III and IV with treatments 3 to 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 1:^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 2.1 ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No major pest or disease except mild attack of skipper. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (e) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil
8. RESULTS :
(i) $2428 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $375.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2507 |
| 2. | 2651 |
| 3. | 2230 |
| 4. | 2761 |
| 5. | 1989 |
| S.E./mean | $=187.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Fef :- Mh. 53(185). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To compare Sann and Dhaincha (with and without Phosphatic manure) as green manures for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep and clay loam. (b) Refer soil ana ysis, Karjat. (iii) K. 42 on 14.6.1953 and 23.7.1953, E.K. -70 on 13.6.1953 and 27.7.1953. (iv) (a) Two ploughings and puddlings at transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kolamba 42 and Early Kolpi 70. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) 132.02*. (x) 11.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Sann only (without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. Sann with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied at $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Dhaincha only (without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. Dhaincha with $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (with unequal No. of plots in a block). (ii) (a) 4 plots block in two biocks, 2 plots/block in two blocks. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Growth of green manure, crop was poor on average as compared with sann and Dhaincha in lodged areas. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The design is R.B.D. with unequal number of plots per block the treatments $1,2,3,4$ are based on 4, 4, 3, 2 plots respectively.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $2136 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2227 |
| 2. | 2055 |
| 3. | 2313 |
| 4. | 1948 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop: : Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref : - Mh. 48(55).
Type:- ' M '.
```

Object:-To find out which of the four mixtures is beneficial to the Paddy crop under Karjat conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Various doses of nitrogen viz, 0, 32, 64, 96 and $128 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 11.6 .19481 18.7.1948 (iv) (a) N.A. b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) 10 seedlings per bunch. (v) N.A, (vi) Paddy K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $130^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.11.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. Mix No. 1 at $280 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ar}$.
3. Mix No. Il at 273 lb ./ac.
4. Mix No. III at 251 lb ./ac.
5. Mix No. IV at 270 lb ./ac.

Details of mixture N.A.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) All the treatments entered at various stages of growth at the same time. There was lodging of the plarts which was prevented by tying jute twice in bundles. (ii) Serious pests of any kind were absent. Damage was negligible. Just after transplanting crabs were noticed, also leaf eating catterpillers were noticed. (iii) Padd and straw yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $3201 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $224.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2865 |
| 2. | 3338 |
| 3. | 3218 |
| 4. | $3+30$ |
| 5. | 3155 |
| S.E./mean | $=92.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Khopoli.

Ref:- Mh. 53(379).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Not fixed. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (ii) 24.6.1953/4.8.1953. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) On raised seed beds and transplanted. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 4 seedlings/bunch.
(v) Nil. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 interculturings. (ix) 124.4". (x) 11.11.1933.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. 64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
2. $6+\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 32 lb ./ac of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

Manuring done on 4.8.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring, (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Season was rather late. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-54. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Koper gaon and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 1880 lb ./ac.
(ii) $314.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2223 |
| 2. | 2155 |
| 3. | 1872 |
| 4. | 1270 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=57.28 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Khopoli.<br>Ref:- Mh. 53(343).<br>'Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of Super in comparison with a nermal dose of compost on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) $26.6 .1953 / 20.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) and (c) Seed sown on raised seed beds at the rate of $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{gu}$ ntha of seed bed area. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 5 . (v) Nil. (vi) K-540. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 interculturings. (ix) $124.4^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 31.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. $0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 150 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
S. $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied on 3.7.1953.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $33.5^{\prime} \times 11.5^{\prime}$, (b) $31.5^{\prime} \times 9.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 ring. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1766 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $375.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1795 |
| 2. | 1819 |
| 3. | 1625 |
| 4. | 1698 |
| 5. | 1892 |
| S.E./mean | $=216.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Mh. } 53(317) . \\
\text { Site :-Agri. Res. Stn. Khopoli. } & \text { Type :-'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To observe the effects of green manuring on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Brinjal. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nii. (vi) Z-31 (mid late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $124.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4.8.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 2000 lb . ac . of Green material.
5. $4000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Green material.
6. 8000 lb ./ac. of Green material.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4.
(b) $56^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) One guard row.
(vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield data. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) -. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $724 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $84.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 602 |
| 2. | 745 |
| 3. | 717 |
| 4. | 831 |
| S.E./mean | $=48.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 49 (33).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 5.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) Seedrate $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, (d) Spacing between rows $-12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) $42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. at sowing and $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at flowering. (vi) Krishnasal (mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 15.7.1949 and hoeing on 5, 16.8.1949. (ix) 17.69". (x) 30.10.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
7. Local method (Control) (Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif with $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ).
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to the previous crop gram and the residual effect on Paddy is studied. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $56^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) The germination was good. The crop was not so healthy because of no raitis in June and July. The general condition was good aiter September when there was rain. (ii) Nl. iiii) Graiu yield. (iv) (a, Rabi 1948-49 to Kharif 1955-56. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosjad, Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) 127 j If., ac .
(ii) $175.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1283 |
| 2. | 1214 |
| 3. | 1255 |
| 4. | 1235 |
| 5. | 1392 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=78.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Sin., Kopergaon.

> Ref :- Mh. $50(47) 49(33)$.
> Type :- 'M'.

Object:- To study the effe.t of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 27.6.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) N.A. (d) Distance between two plants not constant; between rows-12". (e) NA. (v) $42 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. at sowing and $22 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S at flowering. (vi) Krishasa (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing thrice and weeding once. (ix; $21.26^{\circ}$. (x) 1.:1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. No manure
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
5. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as applied to gram.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as applied to gram.
7. Control Faliow in rabi and sown in tharif with 64 db /ac. of N).
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was arplied to gram and its residual effects studed on Paddy. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ applied as Super.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $52^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) The germination of the crop was satisfactory. The average height of the crop was $2 y^{\prime}$ but the crop was poor. (i) Attack of blast disease. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1946-49 to kharif 1955-56. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. ( $\mathbf{v}$ ) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and vii) Nil
10. RESULTS
(i) $474 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments differ highly signiicantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 482 |
| 2. | $46 \ddagger$ |
| 3. | 424 |
| 4 | 382 |
| 5. | 617 |
| S E./mean | $=13.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 51(50)/50(47)/49(33).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Padd .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 23.5 .1951 . (iv) (a) N A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Distance bet. rows-12". (e) N.A. (v) $42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. at sowing and $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at flowering. (vi) Krishnasal (Mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing-28.7.1951, 12,29.8.1951; weeeding 31.7.1951. 1.8.1951, 3.8.1951, 4.8.1951, 4.9.1951 and 5.9.1951. (ix) 34.67". (x) 18.19.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to gram.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to gram.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to gram.

5 Control (Fallow in rabi and sown in kharif with $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N).
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to gram at sowing and its residual effect studied on paddy.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime} . \quad$ (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5.5^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was good; few gaps were seen here and there. Untimely rains ruined the crop; the growth was poor. (ii) Blast was observed and the yield was affected to a great extent. (iii) Grain yield. (iv)
(a) $1948-49$ (rabi) to $1955-56$ (kharif).
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon.
(b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $721 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $170.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 673 |
| 2. | 788 |
| 3. | 687 |
| 4. | 665 |
| 5. | 793 |
| S.E./mean | $=76.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } \quad \text { Ref :- Mh. } 52(77) / 51(50) / 50(47) / 49(33) . \\
& \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. Type :- 'M'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) According to treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 26.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Distance between two rows-12" between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) $42 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the form of G.N.C. at the time of sowing and 22 lb ./ac. of N in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at the time of flowering. (vi) Krishnasal (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 gap flling, 2 harrowings, 3 weedings and 1 interculturing. (ix) 11.73". ( $x$ ) 1 and 2.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Control. (Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif with $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.)
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to gram and its residual effect studied on paddy. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ applios as s ueer.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii; 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (v) $5.5^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was 70 to $72 \%$; few gaps were seen. Average height of the crop was $18^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$ with 7 to 8 tillers. The crop was not vigorous as there were no rains. (ii) Slight attack of blast. (iii) Grain yeld. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-49 to Kharif 1955-56. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1218 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $228.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av yield of gra:n in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $12 ; 0$ |
| 2. | 1003 |
| 3. | 1105 |
| 4. | 1023 |
| 5. | 1721 |
| S.E./mean | $=1023 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 53 (37)/52(77)/51(50)/50 (94)/49(33).
Site : $\sim$ Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. Type :- 'M'.
Cbject:-To stady the effect of leguminous crop gram grown with and without $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 7.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 loading, (b) to (e) N.A. (v Nil. (vi) Dodki (mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings (ix) 17.22". (x) 1.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $100 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow in rabi but $42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. and $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied during this season. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop gram.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (ii) 5 . iv) (a; $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b, $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $55^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Gernination date, flowering date, heights, tllers stc. (iv) (a) 1948-53
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1882 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) ${ }^{1 / 3} 644.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1177 |
| 2. | 1824 |
| 3. | 1724 |
| 4. | 1741 |
| 5. | 2943 |
| S.E./mean | $-288.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

> Ref : $-\mathrm{Mh} .48(18)$.
> Type $:-{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M} '$.

Object:-To study the N and P requirements of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat Paddy. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 C.L./ac. of compost $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S +2 bres of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 30.6.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb./ac. (d) Between rows $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A (v) 5 C.L./ac.of F.Y,M. applied or 25 th June, 1943. (vi) Krishnasal (mid-late). (vii! Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing, 2 weedings and 1 roguing. (ix) $33.20^{\circ}$. (x) 29.11.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. N broadcast on 20.6.1948 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled on 20.6.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Crop suffered from leaf rust to a little extent. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2180 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $617.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |
| :--- |
| $P_{0}$ |
| $P_{1}$ |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 49(32).
Type :- ' H '.

Object :-To study the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Pad́dy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat-Paddy. (b) Wheat. (c) 2 bags/ac. of G N.C. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (in, (a Mediun black. (b) Refer soll analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 5.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b; Drilled. c, 40 10/ac. id) $12^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi, Krishnasal (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 noeing, 3 weedings and 2 har owings and gap filling on 15.7.1949. (ix) 17.69". (x) 12.11.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled on 5.7 .1949 and N broadcast one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round th: net pl.t. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N:i. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Kataagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1379 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $208.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $N$ is highly significant. Others are nct s gnificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 988 | 1349 | 1624 | 1991 | 1488 |  |
| $P_{1}$ | 1156 | 1188 | 1390 | 1561 | 1324 |  |
| $P_{2}$ | 1084 | 1195 | 1649 | 1638 | 1392 |  |
| $P_{3}$ | 1138 | 1203 | 1334 | 1583 | 1314 |  |
|  |  | 1091 | 1234 | 1499 | 1693 | 1379 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=52.2 \mathrm{~B} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=104.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy Kharif.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref: Mh. $\mathbf{2} 0(46)$.
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{s}$ requirements of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat in Rabi-Paddy in Khcrif. (b) Wheat. c) 3 bags of G.N.C./ac. +75 sb /ac. of A/S. (ii) (a) Medium tlack (b. Refer soil analysis, Kcpergaon. (iii) 1.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d Spacing tetween rows $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) - . (v) 5 C.L./ac of F.Y.M. on 25.6.1950. vi) Krishnasal (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings. (ix) $21.2 \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}$. (x 20 to 22.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G.N.C. aad $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with Paddy and N applied on 30.6.1950.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring at round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Blast disease observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1321 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(i) $369.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ili) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 955 | 1314 | 1613 | 1623 | 1376 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1129 | 1008 | 1499 | 1622 | 1314 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1055 | 992 | 1355 | 1410 | 1203 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1098 | 1198 | 1548 | 1718 | 1391 |
| Mean | 1059 | 1128 | 1504 | 1593 | 1321 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} & =92.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =184.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref. :- Mh. 51(49).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram Paddy. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil atalysis, Kopergaon. (iii) $6,7.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Krishnasal (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 1 harrowing. (ix) $34.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.1951 and 29.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$N$ as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manuring of N and P on 5.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Blast disease observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A.
(v) (a) Amreli, Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1380 \quad \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $240.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of N alone is highly signiificant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Nuan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 989 | 1157 | 1814 | 1512 | 1368 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 984 | 1100 | 1412 | 1773 | 13:8 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1126 | 1266 | 1410 | 2063 | 1466 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 995 | 1498 | 1284 | 1742 | 139 |
| Mean | 1014 | 1255 | 1480 | 1772 | 1380 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =60.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =120.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(189), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the comparative merits of A/S and A.S.N on the growth of Pajdy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B Type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Paclegaon. (iii) 27.6.1952.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Hand sowing. (c) 40 lb . ac. (d) Between rows 2'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Krishnasal.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weedin $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}}$ on 3.8.1952 and 23.8.1952. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.11 .19 .2 .
2. TREATMENTS:
3. G.N.C. at $32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} . S . \mathrm{N}$. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N and A/S.N. at $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. Mixture of G.N.C. and A.S.N. at sowing; and A.S.N. at flowering.
4. G.N.C. at $32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . Mixture of G.N.C. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at sowing and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at flowering.
5. G.N.C. at 32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} . S . \mathrm{N}$. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N and A.S.N. at $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. Mixture of G.N.C... A.S.N. at sowing and A.S.N. at tillering.
6. G.N.C. at $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $22 \mathrm{lb} . a c$. of N . Mixture of G.N.C. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at sowing and $A / S$ at til ering.
S. G.N.C. at $42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. G.N.C. at sowing and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at fowering.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side $3^{\prime}$ either end. (ii) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Due to late sowing of paddy the crop, growth was slightly retared and the yields were below average.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1952 .-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $1384 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $216.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1366 |
| 2. | 1368 |
| 3. | 1351 |
| 4. | 1365 |
| 5. | 1470 |
| S.E./mean | $=108.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(190).
Type: ' 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Mahuwa cake for normal top dressing of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Hand sowing. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v). Nil. (vi) Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 13.8.1952, 4.9.1952 and 18.9.1952. (ix) 11.01". (x) 25.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. G.N.C. and $A / S$ in $2: 1 . ;$ G.N.C. at sowing and $A / S$ at flowering.
4. Mahuwa cake and $A / S$ in $2: 1 . ;$ Mahuwa cake at sowing and $A / S$ at flowering.
5. Mahuwa cake, G.N.C. and A/S in 1:1:1.; Mixture of Mahuwa cake and G.N.C. at sowing and A/S at flowering.
6. Mahuwa cake+A/S in $1: 2$; Mixture of Mahuwa cake and half of $A / S$ at sowing and half of $A_{i} S$ at flowering.
7. G.N.C. and $A / S$ in $1: 2$; Mixture of G.N.C. and half of $A / S$ at sowing and half of $A / S$ at flowering.
8. Mahuwa cake and $A / S$ in $2: 1$.; Decomposed Mohuwa cake 22 days after sowing and $A / S$ at flowering.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side, $3^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Duc to late sowing, growth was slightly less and yields were below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
() $1204 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $194.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatments do not differ signiflcantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1314 |
| 2. | 1067 |
| 3. | 1122 |
| 4. | 1266 |
| 5. | 1342 |
| 6. | 1112 |
| S.E./mean | $=97.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.

Ref :-Mh. 49(3).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of deglued B.M. as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. on Paddy crop.

1. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{L}}$ BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 26.4.1949; transplanting 29.5.1949. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Patni (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 3rd August 1949. (ix) 159.82". (x) 19.9.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. Manuring on 29.6.1949.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) $80^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot, 4 rows all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory, (ii) Nil. (iii) No. of tillers, height of plants, and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) and (c) Yes. (v) (a) Chiplun. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 1472 lb ./ac.
(ii) $243.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 758 |
| 2. | 1990 |
| 3. | 1668 |
| S.E./mean | $=99.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :-Paddy (Kharif), } & \text { Ref :-Mh. } 50(3), 49(3) . \\
\text { Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat. } & \text { Type :-'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of deglued bonemeal as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.5.195/5.7.1950. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 14.8.1950. (ix) 164.37". (x) 15.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS
3. Control (no manure).
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.

Manuring on 5.7.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) $80^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Lodging observed due to constant heavy rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, straw yield, Av. height. and Av. no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1844 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $276.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 'b./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 973 |
| 2. | 2482 |
| 3. | 2078 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 51(3)/50(3)/49(3).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.
Type : ' M '.

Object :--To study the effect of deglued bonemeal as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as compared to B.M. on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.5 .1951 ; Transplanting 9.7.1951. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 12.8.1951.. (ix) $153.40^{\circ}$. (x) $12,13.10 .1951$

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
3. 40 lb ./ace of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as deglued B.M. +40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.

Manuring on 9.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} .4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Lodging due to constant rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, Av. no. of tillers and Av. height of plants. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1519 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $197.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 880 |
| 2. | 1862 |
| 3. | 1814 |
| S.E./mean | $=80.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.

Ref:- Mh. 52(173).
Type :- 'M':

Object :-To study the suitability of dicalcium phosphate for Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam derived from gniess and laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 24.5.l952 ; Transplanting on 5.7.1952 to 14.7.1952. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 30.7. 1952, 6.7.1952 and 7.8.1952. (ix) 135.77" (x) 1.10.1952 and 30.9.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of dicalicum phosphate.
2. 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in the form of $8 . \mathrm{M}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Lo ging occurred in last week of September. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain ${ }^{\square} y i e l d$. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1867 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $310.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb . Ac .

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1874 |
| 2. | 1861 |
| S.E./mean | $=89.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 53 \text { (261)/52 (173). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat. } & \text { Type :"'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the suitability of dicalcium phosphate as a source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy, (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loam, derived from gniess and laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.6.1953/23 and 24.7.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi, Wak sal-207 (mid-late), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding in the 2nd week of August. (ix) $170.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of dicalcium phosphate.
2. 32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the form of B.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired plot. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Systematic allocation.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Lodging observed. (ii) Crop was heavily affected by army-worms. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $369.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av, yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1545 |
| 2. | 1555 |
| S.E./mean | $=106.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop $:-$ Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 50 (4). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : $\boldsymbol{m}$ Agri. Res. Stn., Phondghat. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of graded doses of dolomite on Paddy yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.5 .1950 ; transplanting on 6.7.1950. (iv) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 8 seedings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of P.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 6.7.1950. (ix) $164.37^{\circ}$. (x) $16.10,1950$.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of dolomite : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=0, \mathrm{D}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.5$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=2$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 manures: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{M}_{3}$
3. DESIGN
(i) $2 \times 5$ factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) $16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 266^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Due to constant heavy showers, crop was lodged. Otherewise satisfactory, (ii) Nil. (iii) Average height, no. of tillers and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Ctiplun. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1974 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $213.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of dolomite and manures and their interaction are sigaificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop: :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.

> Ref :- Mh. $51(4)$.
> Type :~ 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of graded doses of dolomite on the yield of Paddy.
7. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.5.1951; transplanting on 5 and 8.7.1951. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C..L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 1.8.1951.
(ix) $153.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.10 .1951 and 13.10.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of dolomite : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=0, \mathrm{D}_{1}=0.5, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.5$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}=2$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 manures : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=43 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. +40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. Bonemeal applied on 5.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N. A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. Lodging due to heavy showers. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, Av. no. of tillers and height. (iv) (a) 1950-1951. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1759 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $265.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of dolomite and manure are significant, while their interaction is not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | 1080 | 2082 | 1581 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1192 | 2055 | 1623 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 1373 | 2024 | 1698 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1742 | 2089 | 1915 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1771 | 2188 | 1979 |
| Mean | 1431 | 2088 | 1759 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $D$
$=93.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $M$
$=29.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table
$=132.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 53(112).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in combination with lime.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 16 th June 1953/21st July 1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Varangal-487 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 2nd week of August. (ix) $170.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13th Nov. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of $(1),(2)$ and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as A/S: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(3) 3 levels of lime: $\mathrm{L}_{1}=1.25, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=2.50$ and $\mathrm{L}_{3}=3.75$ ton/ac.

N and P applied on 21.7.1953; lime applied on 20.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded factorial. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) $10^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $7^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Crop affected by black-smut and army-worm. $10 \%$ B.H.C. dusting was done. (iii) Grain, straw and average no. of tillers, height. (iv) (a) 1953-contd. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Ratangiri, and Karajat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1814 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $327.6 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All the main effects and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2065 | 1766 | 2081 | 1970 | 1855 | 2008 | 2048 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2117 | 1730 | 1601 | 1816 | 1839 | 1819 | 1790 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1903 | 1645 | 1419 | 1656 | 1552 | 1798 | 1617 |
| Mean | 2028 | 1714 | 1700 | 1814 | 1748 | 1875 | 1818 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 1754 | 1693 | 1798 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 2145 | 1794 | 1685 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | 2186 | 1653 | 1617 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of N, P or } L & =109.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =189.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(103).

Type: $\boldsymbol{r}^{‘} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To ascertain the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in combination with lime to get maximum yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 4.6.1953/54 and 25.7.19.33. (iv) (a) Puddling was done by ploughing the field 5 times. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Bhadas-79. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing and weeding was underlaken at the time of application of N . (ix) $148.06^{\prime \prime}$. $\begin{aligned} & \text { (x) } 10.11 .1953 .\end{aligned}$

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as Calcium Cynamide : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(3) 3 levels of lime : $L_{1}=2, L_{2}=4$ and $L_{3}=6$ ton/ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (confounded). (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$.
(v) $1^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Blue-beetle, case-worms and army-worms observed. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv)
(a) 1953-contd.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Hatakhamba.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $336.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not sigaificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2004 | 2295 | 1956 | 2085 | 2242 | 2000 | 2012 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1844 | 1969 | 1976 | 1929 | 1803 | 2045 | 1940 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2432 | 2000 | 1977 | 2136 | 1867 | 2351 | 2190 |
| Mean | 2093 | 2088 | 1970 | 2049 | 1971 | 2132 | 2048 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{1}$ | 1847 | 2097 | 1968 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{2}$ | 2452 | 2122 | 1823 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{3}$ | 1980 | 2045 | 2117 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P} \text { or } \mathrm{L} & =112.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. o body of table } & =194.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref:~Mh. 48(1).
Type:-'M'.
Object :-To study the combined effect of N and P manures on Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Kulthi mixture in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Mala or low lying. (b) pH value 5.0. Lime requirement in ton/ac. of $\mathrm{CaCo}_{3} 4.4$. (iii) Ilth June 1948 ; transplanted between 3rd and 7th August, 1948. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-late), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) No weeding or interculturing, (ix) $141.51^{\circ}$. (x) 7 th November 1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=32, P_{2}=64$ and $P_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
N applied on 17.81948
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1956 (Residual effects from 1952 onwards). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (b) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) $1694 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $166.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is not significant while main effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and the interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1331 | 1446 | 1562 | 1585 | 1481 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1562 | 1776 | 1763 | 1719 | 1705 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1596 | 1790 | 1776 | 1808 | 1743 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1742 | 1923 | 1875 | 1834 | 1844 |
| Mean | 1558 | 1734 | 1744 | 1737 | 1694 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =41.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =83.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 49(1)/48(1). } \\ \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. } & \text { Type :- ' } \mathrm{M} \text { '. }\end{array}$
Object :-To study the combined effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Kulthi mixture in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Mala or low lying. (b; pH value 5.0 lime requirement in terms of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=4.4$ ton/ac. (iii) 3 and 4.6.1949; Transplanting bet. 28.7.1949 to 1.8.1949. (iv) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{s} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $105.90^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.10 .1949 to 3.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \quad P_{1}=32, P_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G.N.C. applied on 16.8 .1949 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied prior to transplanting.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in ${ }^{\prime R}$ R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Harvesting delayed due to heavy rain; shedding of grain about $15 \%$; complete lodging. (ii) Attack of karpa in August. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1956 (Residual effect from 1952 onwards), (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatapuri, Vadgaon, Karjat, Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1819 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $388.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2042 | 1797 | 1634 | 2042 | 1878 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1824 | 2015 | 2178 | 1770 | 1947 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1879 | 1688 | 1497 | 1579 | 1661 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1770 | 2042 | 1960 | 1388 | 1790 |
| Mean | 1879 | 1885 | 1817 | 1695 | 1819 |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of N or P S E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =97.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =194.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 5C(1)/49(1);48(1).
Type:- 'M.'

Object :-To study the combinsd efeat of N and P on Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 2,4.6.1950: Transplanting between 11 to 14.6 .1950 . (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. at the time of puddling. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-iate). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $129.08^{\circ}$. (x) 3rd week of Oct. 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. N applied on 2.8.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. iv) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Crop slightly affected by karpa. Hexaglane dusted. (iii) Grain ard straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 -56 (Residual effect from 1952 onwards). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v; (a) Igatpuri, Vadgaon, Karaja:, and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 2292 lb . ac .
(ii) $238.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of P is significant while main effect of N and the interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | N ean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2001 | 2069 | 2273 | 2096 | 2.10 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2055 | 2314 | 2219 | 2518 | 2.77 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2178 | 2355 | 2505 | 2205 | 2311 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2396 | 2396 | 2423 | 2668 | 2471 |
| Mean | 2158 | 2282 | 2355 | 2372 | 2292 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=59.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of tody of table | $=119.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref :- Mh. $51(1) / 50(1) 49(1) / 48(1)$.
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and P requirenents of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif-fallow in Rabi. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (i) (a) aterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 2nd and 4th June 1951. Transplanting between 28 th and 3 1st July 1951. iv) (a; (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. given at the time of pudding. (vi) Waksal207 (mid-jate . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 129.08". 'q) Ist week of November 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. and N as G.N.C.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good germination. Crop was not normal due to absence of rain. (ii) Attack of Karpa; Gammaxene dusting was done during the 1 st week and 3 rd week of August 1951. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956 (residual effect studied from 1952 onwards), (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpari. Vadagaon, Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1604 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $222.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P_{0}$ | 1518 | 1616 | 1651 | 1480 | 1566 |
| $P_{1}$ | 1531 | 1695 | 1705 | 1576 | 1627 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1460 | 1555 | 1651 | 1668 | 1583 |
| $P_{3}$ | 1657 | 1596 | 1633 | 1668 | 1639 |
| Mean | 1541 | 1616 | 1660 | 1598 | 1694 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=55.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=111.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.
-Ref:- Mh. 52(17)/51(1)/50(1)/49(1)/48(1).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of the application of $N$ and $P$ to Paddy applied during last five years.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) $2.6 .195 \%$, Transplanting - Replication I and II on 27.6.1952, III and IV on 22.6.1952. (iv) (a) Puddling before trarsplanting, 3 ploughings, (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207. (mid,-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $70.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.10 .1952 and 21.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$; manures applied last year.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 2 \gamma^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) les. (c) N.A. (d) (a) lgatpuri, Vadgaon. (b, N.A. ivi and vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2562 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $313.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2442 | 2556 | 2540 | 2284 | 2155 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2628 | 2461 | 2521 | 2477 | 2522 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2610 | 2456 | 2694 | 2617 | 2594 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2777 | 2572 | 2733 | 2624 | 2676 |
| Mean | 2614 | 2511 | 2622 | 2500 | 2562 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =78.3 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =156.6 \mathrm{ib} ., \mathrm{ab} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Mh. 53(106)/52(17)/51(1);50(1)/49(1)/48(1).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.' Type :-'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of application of N and P to Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) 2nd year of residual effect. No manure last year (iij (a) Laterite
(b) N.A. (iii) 3 and 4.6 .1953 ; transplanting from 18 to 21.7 .195 3. (iv) (a) to (c: N.A. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$.
(e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal-207 (mid-tate). (vii) Linirrigated.
(viii) Weeding and interculturing done on 6,7 and Sth of August. (ix) $148.06^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26 to 28th Oct. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{ib}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=95 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as bonemeal ; N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. Manures applied 2 years back.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ fact. in K.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (s) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 5 ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Not good due to heavy rain and attack of beetles and army-worm. (ii); Attack of buc-beetles and armyworms ; gammaxene was dusted to check the attack on 25.8 .1953 and 2.9.1*53. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1 / 48-1956$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri and Vadgaon. b) N A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment laid out with 4 replications.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2079 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 419.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N is not significant, main effect of P and the interaction are signit cant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2006 | 2019 | 1920 | 1117 | 1765 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1357 | 2279 | 2287 | 2373 | 2074 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1924 | 2391 | 1847 | 2524 | 2171 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2287 | 1965 | 2305 | 2650 | 2301 |
| Mean | 1893 | 2163 | 2090 | 2166 | 2079 |
| .E. of marginal mean of N or P S,E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =121.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =242.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

> Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref :- Mh. 52(30).
Type:-‘'M'.
Object :-To find out the effect of Sann green manuring on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Red loam. (b) N.A. (iii) 2.6.1952/23.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. on 16.5.1952, (vi) Patni-6 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) 1.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Sann green mancire.
2. No green manure.

Manure mixture at 160 lb ./ac. was applied both the treatments. Sann sown on 5.5.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) 5 rows all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENL AL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4883 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $492.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 5510 |
| 2. | 4256 |
| S.E./mean | $=174.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref:- Mh. 49 (5).
Type :- ' $M$ '

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeding cereal crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wal In Rabi, Paddy in Kharif. (b) Wal in Rabi. (c) As per treatment. (ii) (a) Malad or low lying laterite (b) Lime requirement in terms of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}=4.4$ ton/ac. pH value 5.0. (iii) $3,4.6 .1949 ; 16,19.7 .1949$. (iv) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 5 seedlings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Panvel 61. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $105.90^{\circ}$. (x) $23,298.1949$.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to wal in Rabi.
2. $50 \mathrm{lt} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to wal in Rabi.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to wal in Rabi.
4. 150 lo ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to wal in Rabi.
5. Fallow in Rabi.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. iv ; ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Slightly affected by Karpa. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1943 to 1956. (b) Yes, (c) NA. (v: (a) Karajat. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULIS:
(i) $2735 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $414.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2414 |
| 2. | 2795 |
| 3. | 3028 |
| 4. | 3040 |
| 5. | 2405 |
| S.E./mean | $=169.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 50 (12)/49 (5).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.
Type:- 'M'.
Object:-To study the efect of leguminous crop Wal raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIJNS :
(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif, pulse in Rabi. (b) Wal in Rabi. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A (iii) 2 and $46.1950 / 26$ to 28.6.1950. (iv) (a), (b), (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedings/bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.I.M. Top dressing 8 lb ./guntha of manure mixture. (vi) Panvel-6l. (vii) Unirrigatec. (viii) Nt (is) $97.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16 to 18.10 .1950.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.)
4. $50 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to the previous crop wal and its residual effect is studied on Paddy this year.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.3D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring alround the oet plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain andstraw yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A.(v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(a) $2350 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $237.2 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantiy.
(iv) $\mathrm{A}^{v}$. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2276 |
| 2. | 2320 |
| 3. | 2418 |
| 4. | 2712 |
| 5. | 2026 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=106.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref :-Mh. 51(15)/50(12)/49(5).
Type:-‘' ${ }^{\text {' }}$.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif-wal in Rabi. (b) Wal in Rabi. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) $3.6 .1951 / 13$ to 18.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Trar splanting. (c) - . (d) $10^{n} \times 10^{n}$. (e) 8 seedlings/ bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Panvel-61 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $129.02^{\circ}$. (x) 16 to $18,10.1951$.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to the previous crop wal and its residual effect is studied on Paddy this year.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a)
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$.
(v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-195s. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $2090 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $307.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2058 |
| 2. | 2113 |
| 3. | 2045 |
| 4. | 2287 |
| 5. | 1949 |
| S.E./mean | $=137.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :-Mh. 52(29) 51(15) 50(12)/49(5). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. | Type:-'M'. |

Object : - To study the effent of leguminous Wal crop raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ on succeeding cereal crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy - Wal. (b) Wal in Rabi (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1952, tracsplanting. Replication. I, II and III on 1.7.1952; IV and V on 30.6.1952. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{n} \times 10^{\circ}$.
(e) 8 seedlings/bunch
(v) 5 C L /ac, oi F.Y.M. (vi) Panvel-61. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) Nil.
(ix) $70.20^{7}$
(x) $910.14 \leq 2$.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{kc}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. 150 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fal ow in Rabi
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to the previous crop wal and its residual effect studied on Paddy this year.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS
(i) $2755 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $467.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yied of grain in ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2738 |
| 2. | 2921 |
| 3. | 2730 |
| 4. | 3108 |
| 5. | 2278 |
| S.E./mean | $=208.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(110)/52(29) 51(15)/50(12)/49(5).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.
Object :--To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal raised with and without $\left.P_{2}\right)_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) a) Wal-Paddy. (b) Wal. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterte. (b) N.A. iii) 4.6. +53 ; Transplanting-Replications I, II and III, 8.7.1953 IV and V, 7.7.1953. (ii) (a) Puddling and ploughing the field 4 or 5 times. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Panel 61 (mid-lates. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and interculturing on 16.8.1953. (ix) $143.06^{\circ}$. (x) 18,10.19:3 and 20.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow is Rabi.
$\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied to the previous crop wal and its residual effect studied on Paddy this year.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Fairly satisfactory, 10-12 tillers in a bunch. (ii) No incidence of pest and disease. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $3321 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $503.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3354 |
| 2. | 3430 |
| 3. | 3447 |
| 4. | 3188 |
| 5. | 3188 |
| S.E./mean | $=225.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 51(153).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- ' M '.
Object:-To assess the effect of common dose of different manures on yield of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Luchai (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $56.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. Cotton seed cake decorticated at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Cotton seed cake undecorticated at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
6. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
7. G.N.C. at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $2365 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $381.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2288 |
| 2. | 2412 |
| 3. | 2404 |
| 4. | 2368 |
| 5. | 2356 |
| S.E./mean | $=170.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 53(275).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- ' M '.
Object :- To study the effect of placement of manures on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) /a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) Luchai. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $65.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Broadcast at 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+15 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Smearing manures to the roots at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. iiii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 100$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2215 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $731.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2350 |
| 2. | 2081 |
| S.E./mean | $=365.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 5 2(185).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- 'M'.

Object :--To find out the best time of sowing sannhemp as green manure for Pad dy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $44.90^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A).
2. TREATMENTS :

Sowing of sannhemp on

1. 15.3.1952.
2. 1.4 .1952 .
3. 15.4.1952.
4. 1.5.1952.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th of asi acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii), Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $2255 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $391.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2050 |
| 2. | 2380 |
| 3. | 2540 |
| 4. | 2050 |
| S.E./mean | $=195.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 50(114).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi.
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of application of Mohuwa cake to Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $51.86^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Mohuwa cake.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Mohuwa cake.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $2045 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $236.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1872 |
| 2. | 2212 |
| 3. | 2052 |
| S.E./mean | $=105.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif ). | Ref:- Mh. 51(151). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. | Type : ' ${ }^{\prime}$ M'. |

Object:-To find the effect of application of Mohuwa cake to Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $56.03^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Mohuwa cake.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Mohuwa cake.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $2155 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $544.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1860 |
| 2. | 2308 |
| 3. | 2298 |
| S.E./mean | $=243.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

> Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). $\quad$ Ref :- Mh. $52(184)$. Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the utility of application of Mohuwa cake to Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Luchai (medium). (vii) Irrigated, (viii) N.A. (ix) 44.90". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Mohuwa cake.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Mohuwa cake.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N... (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $4665 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1656 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 4388 |
| 2. | 5232 |
| 3. | 4376 |
| S.E./mean | $=740.6 \mathrm{lb}$,/ac. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 49 (93).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :m ' $M$ '.
Object:- To find out the usefulness of applying green leaf before transplinting Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A.
(v) N.A. (vi) Red luchai. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $80.13^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 1 ton/ac. of green leaves.
2. 2 ton/ac of green leaves.
3. 3 ton/ac. of green leaves.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1952 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $2320 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $521.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2080 |
| 2. | 2480 |
| 3. | 2400 |
| S.E./mean | $=301.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 50(112).
Site :- Govt. seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi.
Type: ' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object :-To find out the suitability of green leaf as manure for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $51.86^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. 1 ton/ac. of green leaves.
4. 2 ton/ac. of green leaves.
5. 3 ton/ac. of green leaves.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1943 to 1952 (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $2224 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $128.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 2133 |
| 2. | 2367 |
| 3. | 2173 |
| S.E./mean | $=73.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 51(152).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :m ' $M$ '.

Object :- To find out the suitability of green leaf as manure for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a; to (e N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $56.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. 1 ton green leaves/ac.
5. 2 ton green leaves/ac.
6. 3 ton green teaves/ac.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) No. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1943 to 1952 . (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $1396 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $222.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1253 |
| 2. | 1453 |
| 3. | 1567 |
| 4. | 1313 |
| S.E./mean | $=128.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). | Ref:-Mh. $51(177)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of application of decorticated cotton seed cake to Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa.
(iii) 4 to 6.8.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A.(v) Nil. (vi) E.B-17 (early).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $4290^{\circ}$. (x) 5.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as decorticated cotton seedcake.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as undecorticated cotton seedcake.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. Control.

Manuring done at the time of transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) No reasons are given for low yields. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $739 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $120.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 768 |
| 2. | 872 |
| 3. | 848 |
| 4. | 640 |
| 5. | 568 |
| S.E./mean | $=54.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: m Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref:-Mh. 52(208).
Type : '‘M'.

Object :-To study the effect of decorticated and undecorticated cotton seed cake on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) High fertility soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) $24.6 .1952 / 27.8 .1952$. iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) E.B.-17 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $27.39^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. G.N.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
2. Decorticated cotton seed cake at 20 lb ./ac. of $N$.
3. Undecorticated cotton seed cake at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Control.

Manures applied at transplanting.
3. DESIGN
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $69^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) No reasons are given for low yields. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $403 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $71.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.

| (iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Treatment | Av. yield |
| 1. | 388 |
| 2. | 456 |
| 3. | 470 |
| 4. | 448 |
| 5. | 252 |
| S.E./mean | $=31.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 53(295). } \\
\text { Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To study the effect of decorticated and undecorticated cotton seed cake on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. b Refer soil analysis, Tharsa (iii) 20.6.1953, transplanting on 28.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) Between rows and plants $4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) E.B-17 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (x) $43.72^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.10.1953
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake decorticated ( $4.10 \%$ of N .)
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake undecorticated ( $3.10 \%$ of N ).
6. A/S at 20 lb ./ac. of $N$.
7. Control.

Manured on 24.7.1953.
3. DESIGN
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. 'vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) No reasons are given for low yields. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $778 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $183.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 748 |
| 2. | 916 |
| 3. | 832 |
| 4. | 786 |
| 5. | 608 |
| S.E./mean | $=81.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 49(96). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agr. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. | Type:- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6.1949/10.8.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $34.83^{*}$ (x) 23.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrow.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrow.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{y} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrow.
5. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop and its residual effect is studied on Paddy this year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The crop had a yellowish appearance throughout. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Fabi)1953 (Kharif). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) No cross bunds between plots.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1707 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $250.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1710 |
| 2. | 1714 |
| 3. | 1735 |
| 4. | 1557 |
| 5. | 1819 |
| S.E./mean | $=111.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 50(121).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. Type :- 'M'.
Object - -To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ on the succeeding, cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1950/1.8.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting in seedbed and transplanting the seedlings when about a month old. (c) -(d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 10.9 .1950 ; Weeding on 11.9.1950. (ix) $48.45^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furrow.
5. 100 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furrow.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furrow.
7. Fallow for gram.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as super to previous crop gram and its residual effect is studied on Paddy this year.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Uniform and good crop. (ii) Long break in rains after sowing, which caused delay in transplanting. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi)-1953 (Kharif). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
S. RESULT: :
(i) $1871 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $145.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significanily,
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $10 . / a c$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1913 |
| 2. | 1868 |
| 3. | 1883 |
| 4. | 1928 |
| S. | 176 j |
| S.E./mean | $=64.9 \mathrm{lb}$. ac. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
```

Ref:~Mt. $\operatorname{si}(164)$.
Type:- 'M'.

Object:- To study the effect of the leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1, BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (a) As per treat nents. (ii) (a) Medium black. (), N.A. (iii) 5.6.1951. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 7.9.!951. (ix) $35.96^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 14.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ )
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furtow.
3. 100 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furrow.
4. 150 lb /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in the plough furrow.
5. Fallow in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to the previous crop gram and its residual effect studied on Padd this year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) ). (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (vj $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (i/) 1948-49 (Rabi) to 1953-54 (Kharif). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1376 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $32.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1369 |
| 2. | 1408 |
| 3. | 1429 |
| 4. | 1419 |
| 5. | 1258 |
| S.E. mean | $=14.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |

```
Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
Ref:- Mh. 52(196).
Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of the 'leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) $15.6 \cdot 195 / 25.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seed broadcast on seed bed and then transplanted. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 3.9.1952. (ix) $74.90^{\prime \prime}$ (15.5. 1952 to 17.11.1952). (x) 17.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. 0 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrows.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrows.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrows.
4. 150 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in plough furrows.
5. Fallow in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Treatments applied to previous crop gram and residual effect studied on paddy this year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
ii) 5 .
iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The seedlings in the seed bed suffered from the long spell of rains in Sept. affecting the crop very tadly. (ii) Slight attack of Rice hoppers and blast appeared. Damage was not much. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 (Rabi) to (Kharif) 1953-54. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Experiment failed in 1953.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1530 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $142.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1528 |
| 2. | 1573 |
| 3. | 1553 |
| 4. | 1573 |
| 5. | 1422 |
| S.E./mean | $=63.8 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.

Ref:- Mh. 49(86).
Type: ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To evolve an optimum dose of N and P for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) $275 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6 .1949 Transplanting on 13.8.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings per bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding on 15.9.1949. (ix) $34.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32 ; \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ alround
the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-54 (residual eflect studied from 1952 onwards) (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi: and
(vii) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1499 \quad \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $469.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 687 | 1489 | 1553 | 1761 | 1373 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1158 | 1353 | 1314 | 2054 | 1470 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 898 | 1500 | 1760 | 2108 | 1566 |
| $P_{3}$ | 737 | 1465 | 2124 | 2021 | 1587 |
| Mean | 870 | 1452 | 1688 | 1986 | 1499 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =117.3 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =234.6 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 50(104)/49(86).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.

Object :-To evolve an optimum dose of N and P for Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.5.1950/3.7.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings per bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) One weeding on 12.9.1950. (ix) N.A. (x) 25.11.195!.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 tevels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac

N app ${ }^{\prime}$ ied as G.N C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$
alround the net plot (vi) Yes. alround the net plot (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954 (residual effect studied from 1952 onwards). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2906 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $466.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 2064 | 2692 | 3205 | 3819 | 2943 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1900 | 2650 | 2631 | 3668 | 2712 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1935 | 2691 | 3268 | 3649 | 2886 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 1750 | 2678 | 3724 | 4162 | 3081 |
| Mean | 1914 | 2675 | 3207 | 3825 | 20 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif), } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 51(142) / 50(104) / 49(86) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. } & \text { Type : }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M} ' .
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To evolve an optimum dose of $N$ and $P$ for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.6.1951/27.7.1951.
(iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedings per bunch. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $35.96^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 16.11 .1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4 .^{\prime \prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Heights and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954 (residual effect studied from 1952 onwards.) (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2280 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $402.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1472 | 2094 | 2767 | 2968 | 2325 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1530 | 2088 | 2225 | 2661 | 2126 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1407 | 2125 | 2697 | 3118 | 2337 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1347 | 2121 | 2790 | 3072 | 2333 |
| Mean | 1439 | 2107 | 2620 | 2955 | 2280 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or P. } & =100.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =201.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

## Crop:-Paddy (Kharif). Ref:~Mh. 52(166)51(142) 50(104) 49(86).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Paddy during past thrie years.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Padily. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. b) N.A iii) $15.6 .1952 / 118.81952$.
(iv) (a) N A. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. e) 8 seedlings per bunch. (v) Nil. (w) N. A. (vii) Unirrig ted. (viii) One weeding on 5.9.1952. , ix) $74.70^{\prime \prime}$. (15.6.1952 to 29.11.1752). (x) 29.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 leveis of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=32, N_{2}=64$ and $N_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$, , ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{2}: P_{3}=0, P_{1}=32, P_{2}=64$ and $P_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

N appiled as G.NC. and $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures were applied during the last three years and its residual effect is studied this year.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ alround the net plut. ivi; Yes.
4. GENER AL:
(i) Poor due to heavy rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height and grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1954$ residual effect studied from 1952 onwards). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karat, Kopergaon and Ratragiri, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULT; :
(i) $18^{\circ} 6$ ib az .
(ii) 308.1 lb .ac.
(iii) All the main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yietd of grain in 10. ,ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Nean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2051 | 1960 | 1948 | 1708 | 1919 |
| $P_{1}$ | 2029 | 1689 | 1487 | 1449 | 1664 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1696 | 2157 | 1948 | 1865 | 13.4 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1714 | 1991 | 2086 | 1872 | 17.6 |
| Mean | 1875 | 1957 | 1867 | 1724 | 1850 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of cody of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{s} \\ & 7.0 \mathrm{sb}, \mathrm{k} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:- Paddy Khurif). Ref:m Mh. 53(252) 52(166) 51(142)e0(104)/49(86).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. Ty pe :- 'M'.
Object :- To study the residual effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Paddy during past threz years.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (i) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) $12.6 .195317,8.7 .19$ '3. (iv; (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$. (c) 8 scedlings per buach. (v, Nil. , W. N.m. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Une weeding on 19,20.9.1953. (ix $4638^{\prime \prime}$, 12.6 .1953 to 19.11.1953). ( $x_{j}$ :9.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
12) + levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Napplied as G N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ af Super. These manures were applied during the threc years and its residual effect is studied this year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Poor. (i) Nil. (iii) Height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954 (residual effect studied from 1952 onwards). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igarpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratnagiri. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1942 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $576.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All the main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2064 | 2146 | 1909 | 2322 | 2110 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2146 | 2008 | 1718 | 1718 | 1898 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1718 | 1718 | 2216 | 2209 | 1965 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1462 | 2004 | 2121 | 1601 | 1797 |
| Mean | 1848 | 1969 | 1991 | 1963 | 1942 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =144.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =288.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy. Ref :- Complex experiments (T.C.M), 1953.
Centre :- Karjat (Maharashtra). Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-I (a) To study the effect of $N$ obtained from different sources in combination with $P$ in non-acid soils.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy to clay loam. (b) Poor in Lime, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, slightly acidic ; well supplied with organic matter. (iii) Transplanting on 28.7.1953. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) K-42 (Kolaba). (vil) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 9.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) +3 extra treatments.
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N . \quad S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. .
and 3 extra treatments.
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
N applied in two equal doses; half 5 days after planting and other half 20 days after planting. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at pudddling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded factorial with 3 extra treatments in each block. (ii) (a) 12 and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 59$. ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL:
(i) Lodging occurred in plots receiving higher doses of N. (ii) Severe attack by swarming catterpillar resulting in considerable damage to crop. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aduthurai, Sahaspur, Burdwan, Mankhanda and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2789 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $610.1 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2394 | 2496 | 2313 | 2401 | 2131 | 2557 | 2516 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2892 | 2679 | 2902 | 2827 | 2638 | 2861 | 2983 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2821 | 3186 | 3328 | 3111 | 3429 | 2780 | 3125 |
| Mean | 2706 | 2787 | 2848 | 2780 | 2733 | 2733 | 2374 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 2597 | 2577 | 2587 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 3166 | 2679 | 2922 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | - | 2597 | 3287 | 2942 |  |  |  |


| Mean yield for extra treatments. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}=2313 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}=3003 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}=2456 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{E} . / \mathrm{mean}=352.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| In tables $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{P}$. |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | 203. |
| S.E. of body of table $\quad=352.3 \mathrm{lo}$. | $=352.3$ |
| If table $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=249.1 \mathrm{lb}$ |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N | =203.4 |
| S.E. of body of table | $=35$ |

Crop: Paddy. (Kharif).
Site :- Mhasala Kolaba. Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) NiI. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late. (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. ec. N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 1 and 2.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. 64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
?. Control.
5. DESIGN:
(i) and (ii) 2 villages are selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random.
(iii) (a) $66^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) Nil. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $2015 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $138.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2080 |
| 2. | 2123 |
| 3. | 1841 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52 (27).
Site :- Karjat (Kolaba.) Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) Nil. (iv) Late K-42. (v) (a), (b), (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 31.10.1952 and 5.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
2. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Control.
4. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\circ}$. (iv) N.A.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Attack of rice skippers. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $1353 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $150.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly sign ficantly.
(iv) Av: yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1278 |
| 2. | 1785 |
| 3. | 995 |
| S.E./mean | $=75.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52 (279).
Site :~ Pen (Kolaba.) Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late K-42. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) Transplanting on 1.7 .1952 in one village. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 26 and 29.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
2. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Control.

## 3. DESIGN

(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within a selected village were selected at rando $n$. (iii) (a) $66^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2448 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $239.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2404 |
| 2. | 3026 |
| 3. | 1914 |
| S.E./mean | $=119.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Sudhogadh (Kolaba.)

Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(280). Type:- ' M '

Object :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) Black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) K-42. (v) (a), (b), (c), N.A. (d) $12^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 22.10 .1952 and 1.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected village were seiected at random. (iii) (a) $66^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$, (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $2226 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $446.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2458 |
| 2. | 2377 |
| 3. | 1843 |
| S.E./mean | $=223.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(281). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Alibag (Kolaba.) | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy in one village. (c) Nil. (ii) Black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Garlei-late. (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 3.11.1952 and 7.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory.
(ii) Nil
(iii) Grain yield
(iv) (a) No
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) Nal. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $4165 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $539.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $A v$. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 4595 |
| 2. | 4550 |
| 3. | 3351 |
| S.E./mean | $=269.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop : Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. } 52(283) . \\ \text { Site : Murud (Kolaba.) } & \text { Type :-‘M' }\end{array}$
Site : $\sim$ Murud (Kolaba.) Type:-'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wal in one field. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. cf F.Y.M. (iv) N.A (v) (a), (b), (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. and $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 28,29,30 and 31.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within selected village were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $3163 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $152.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3112 |
| 2. | 3469 |
| 3. | 2907 |
| S.E./mean | $=76.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Expts. on cultivators fields; Mh. 52(284):
Site :-Mangaon, (Kolaba.) Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.
Object:-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) Nil. (ii) Medium and loamy medium. (iii) 5 C.L.fac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late variety. (v) (a), (b), (c) (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. vii) Cnirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 29.10.1952 and 3.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) 2 viilages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected village were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1934 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $198.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1992 |
| 2. | 2103 |
| 3. | 1710 |
| S.E./mean | $=89.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). $\quad$ Ref:-Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52 (285).
Site :- Poladpur (Kolaba.)
Type :~ ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of N and P maneres on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late variety. (v) (a), (b), (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 5 and 6,11.1952.

## 2. TREATME $\backslash$ TS :

1. 64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
2. $64 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Control.
4. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $1110 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $327.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.]

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1004 |
| 2. | 1322 |
| 3. | 1005 |
| S.E./mean | $=163.66 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |



Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late to medium late. (v) (a), (b), (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 31.10.1952, 2.11.1952, 4.11.1952 and 5.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of rice skippers. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $3552 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $660.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3375 |
| 2. | 4859 |
| 3. | 2421 |
| S.E./mean | $=330.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(287). } \\
\text { Site :- Roha (Kolaba.) } & \text { Type :- ' } \mathrm{M} \text { '. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $12^{n} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 4.11 .1952 and 7.11.195:.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Control.

## 3. DESIGN

(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$, (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2346 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $204.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2312 |
| 2. | 2731 |
| 3. | 1995 |
| S.E./mean | $=102.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :m Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52 (288).
Site :- Shrivardham (Kolaba.) Type :- 'M'.
Object :-- To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 2223 and 27.10.1952 and 3.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ nad G.N.C. in 1: 1 ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $3118 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1104.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 lb ./ac

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3096 |
| 2. | 3381 |
| 3. | 2877 |
| S E./mean | $=552.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(289) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Mahad (Kolaba.) | Type :- ‘M'. |

Objcct :-To study the effect of N and P manures on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medinm black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Late. (v) 'a) (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.10.1952 to 11.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Control.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nıl. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $2954 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $172.9 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3067 |
| 2. | 3435 |
| 3. | 2362 |
| S.E./mean | $=86.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Bavala (Kolhapur.)

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M in one village. (iv) Local (medium). (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{n}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 21 and 25.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
5. $32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathbf{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C in $1: 1$ ratio $+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within a village were selected at random. (iii) 'a) and (b) N.A. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $813 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $37.12 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 760 |
| 2. | 850 |
| 3. | 830 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=18.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 53 (259). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Ajara (Kolhapur.) | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium reddish and black. (iii) 5 C.L.'ac. ff F.Y.M, (iv) Yedsai (medium), Somsal (late), Havala (medium) and Panwel (medium) one in each field. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) 9.6 .1952 . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 14.10.1952, 22.10.1952, 9.11.1952 and 22.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
5. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. +32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within a village were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) For one year only. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $2388 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $526.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2095 |
| 2. | 2237 |
| 3. | 2834 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=263.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(260).
Site :- Bhudargadh (Kolhapur.) Type :~ 'M'.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Medium and black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Medium and Warangal. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix, N.A. (x) 12.11.1952 for one field only.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
5. 32 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio $+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within these villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nol.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $15 \angle 0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $528.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1115 |
| 2. | 1866 |
| 3. | 1586 |
| S.E./mean | $=264.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(261).
Site :- Gadhinglaj (Kolhapur.) Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Grain in one village Paddy in other two villages. (c) Nil and $4-6$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. in two villages. (ii) Medium to deep black. (iii) 5 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Khavanisal (late), Motusal (medium) and Dharwoar (medium). (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 27.10.1952, 3.11.1952, 10.11.1952 and 18.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
5. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio $+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 3 villages were selected at random and within these villages 2 fields were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1567 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $397.4 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1288 |
| 2. | 1510 |
| 3. | 1904 |
| S.E./mean | $=162.3 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop: Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Experiments on cultivators' fiel is; Wh. $52(262$ ).
Site :- Paubala (Kolhapur.) Type : ' NI '.

Obicet :- io study the response of Paddy to the applicatons of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAI CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy, (c) Nii. (ii) Reddish, medium black and tlack, iii 5 C.l./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Mediun and late. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirigated. (viii) N.A. (ix N.A. (x) 6 to 27.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. $96 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
5. $32 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio $+32 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemca.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 3 villages were selected at random and within these villages 2 fields were selected at random. (iii (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\circ}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Poor. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yizld. (iv) (a) For one year only. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (y) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $616 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $101.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatrent differences are not significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 531 |
| 2. | 621 |
| 3. | 692 |
| S.E/mean | $=41.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :m Experiments on cultivators' fields ; Mh, 52(263).
Site :- Radhanagari (Kolhapur.) Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to the applications of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane in one field and Paddy in others. (c) 2 bags/ac. of A/S and 4 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and $\delta$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. in three fields and nil in other three. (ii) Reddish medium, medium black, sandy reddish and reddish. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Havala, big aviste, medium and early. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.10.1952 to 3.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
3. 32 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio +32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.
4. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 3 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) For one year only. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1825 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $269.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1768 |
| 2. | 1782 |
| 3. | 1924 |
| S.E./mean | $=110.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(1). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Dindori (Nasik.) | Type : ' ' M '. |

Object --To study the response of Paddy to the application of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) and (b) Paddy in 2 villages. No previous crop in 1 village. (c) 1 bag of G.N.C. in paddy villages. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local (early). (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) Between plants $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi), (vii) and (viii) N.A. (ix) 23.48". (x) Last two weeks of Nov. 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as (A/S+G.N.C).
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (as bonemeal).

Nitrogen as a mixture of G.N.C. and $A / S$ in $1: 1$ ratio of N. Phosphate was applied after ploughing. Nitrogen was applied in two equal doses one at transplanting and the other at the tillering stage.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages in the taluka is formed and 3 villages were random'y selected from the list. Two fields in each village were located by randomly selected numbers. (iii) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) At 1 village due to scarsity of water, development of grain was poor. (ii) No, (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1149 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 62.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 930 |
| 2. | 1211 |
| 3. | 1306 |
| S.E./mean | $=25.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy.
Site :- Igatpuri (Nasik.)

Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 522).
Type: ' M '.

Obyect :- To study the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(1) a) N.A. b) Kadwa wal at ne vilag: Harbhare gram; at 2 hegs o No. a. Medimmen.
 (vi N.A. (ii) N.A. (viii) N.A. ix: $123.33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20th October to Moc nter :95 and 4 th of Von, 145?
2. TRIATMENTS:
3. Control.
$264 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$ of N .
4. $64 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Bonemeal.

Vitrogen as a mixture of G.N.C. and AS ; phosphate dose was opled ater ploughing. Nitrogen was applied in 2 equal doses one at transplanting and the other at tilleriag.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) A list of villages, randomly selcted from all the villages in the taluka was formed and 2 villages were randomly selected from the list retaining the order of the list. The site in a village was located randomly from each selected village two tields were randomly selectet. (iii) $72^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vij) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2227 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $56.40 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1786 |
| 2. | 2066 |
| 3. | 2828 |
| S.E./mean | $=40.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :m Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(3).
Site :~ Nasik (Nasik.) Type :~ 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) No. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local (late). (v, (a) N.A. (b) Sowing is not done in rows. (c) N.A. (d) Between plants $3^{\prime \prime}$ to $4^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) $12.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11 .1952 at one village and 23.11 .1952 at the other.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as bonemeal.

Nitrogen as a mixture of G.N.C and A/S. Phosphate was applied after ploughing Nitrogen in two equal doses one at transplanting and the other at tillering.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages in the taluka was formed and necessary no. of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey number. No. of exptal. site 2 . (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The crop affected by late rains at one village. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) In one trial the yield of treatment 3 was very high and was treated as a missing value.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1502 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $179.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1272 |
| 2. | 1713 |
| 3. | 1521 |

S.E. of mean of $(1$ and 2$) \quad=89.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment $3 \nu \mathrm{l}$ । or $2 \quad=141.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :m Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(4). } \\ \text { Site :- Haveli (Poona.) } & \text { Type : ' 'M'. }\end{array}$
Site :- Haveli (Poona.) Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 3 to 7 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) Reddish black. (iii) $280 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}$ of F.Y.M. (iv) Ambe-Mohor (early). (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) Between plants $9^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) 20.74". (x) 1611.1952 to 27.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 64 lb ./ac. of N .
5. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N was applied as a misture of G.N.C. and A/S in 1:1 ratio of $N$. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (as Super) was applied after ploughing. Nitrogen in two equal doses, one at transplanting and the other at tillering.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages in the taluka was formed and a necessary no. of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by randomly selected survey no. No. of exptal. site 3. (iii) (a) Varies from village to village and site to site. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) N.A. (c) N.A.(v) (a) N.A. (1) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1918 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $42.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 944 |
| 2. | 1931 |
| 3. | 2880 |
| S.E./mean | $=24.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy(Kharif). Site :- Mulshi (Poona.)
 Type: ' Al '.

Object :- To study the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) No. (ii) Medium Hack. (iii) $2 x 0 \mathrm{lb}$ /plot of F.Y.M. (iv) Ambe-iviost r no,
 (x) 311.195 : to 14.111952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N was applied as a mixture of G.N.C. and $\mathrm{A}_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio of $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied after ploughng. Aitrogen was applied in two doses one at transplanting and the other at tiliering.
3. DESIGN :
(i), i) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in the taiuka is formed and a necenary number of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the order of the list. The site in a viliage was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of exptal. sites 4 . (iii) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$, (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) \.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $2070 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 122.0 b./ac.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1480.0 |
| 2. | $22: 4.5$ |
| 3. | 2516.8 |
| S.E./mean | $=60.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Bhor (Poona.)

Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(6). Type:- ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the response of Paddy to N and P .

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i (a) N.A. (b) Gram in one village and sugarcane in the other. (c) No manure for gram. Two bags/ac. of F.Y.M for sugarcane.(ii) Black at 3 sites, loamy at 1 site and reddish at 2 sites. (iii) 280 lb ./plot of IF.Y.M. (iv) Ambe-mohor no. 157. (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $1^{\prime}$ and between plants $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$. (vi), (vii) and (viii) N.A. (ix) $35 \cdot 33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.11.1952 and 23.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N was applied as a mixture of G.N.C. and A/S in $1: 1$ ratio of $N$. Phosphate as Super was applied after ploughing. Nitrogen was applied in two equal doses one at transplanting and the other at tillering.

## 3 DESIGN

(i), (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in a taluka was made and a necessary number of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of exptal. sites 4. (iii) (a) Varies from site to site. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.

## 4. GENERAL

(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953 for 1 year only. (b) and c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## ;. RESULTS

For village Nasarpur
(i) $3536 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $196.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) $\mathrm{A} v$, yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2342 |
| 2. | 3835 |
| 3. | 4430 |
| S.E./mean | $=139.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## For village Hathashi

(i) $3889 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 3862 |
| 2. | 3891 |
| 3. | 3912 |
| S.E./mean | $=22.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Paddy (Kharif). Ref:-Expt. on cultivators' field; Mh. 52(7).
Site :-Maval (Poona.) Type:~'M'.

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) No. (ii) Loamy at one village; loamy and tlack at other village. (iii) 280 lb./plot of F.Y.M. (iv) Local. (late) (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $12^{\prime \prime}$; between plants $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi), (vii) and (viii) N.A. (ix) $73.84^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1952 and 5.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N was applied as a mixture of G.N.C. and A/S in 1:1 ratio of N . Phosphate was arplied after p'oughing. Nitrogen was applied in two doses one at transplanting and the other at tillering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in a taluka is formed and a necessary no. of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of expltl. sites 4 . (iii) Varies from site to site. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and graia yield. (iv) (a, 1952-1953 (one year). (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2265 \quad 1 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $56.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $I^{h}$./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 1340 |
| 2. | 2084 |
| 3. | 3371 |
| S.E./mean | $=28.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(8). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Vahle Petha (Poona.) | Type :- ' M '. |

Object :-To stuiy the response of Paddy to the application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i. (a; N.A. (b. Paddy. (c) No. (ii) Reddish. (iii) $280 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{plot}$ of F.Y.M. (iv) Amme-Muhor no. 157. (v) (a, to (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $9^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants $9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 22.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
$264 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N was applied as a mixture of G.N.C. and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $\mathrm{I}: 1$ ratio of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied after ploughing. Nitrogen in two equal doses one at transplanting and the other at tillering.
3. DESIGN:
(i), (ii) A list of village; rand mmly selested from all the villages in a taluka is formed and necessary no of suitable villages were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the tist. The site in a village was located by randomly selected survey no. No. of exptal. sites 4 . (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Go d. (ii) No. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Na.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 2022 lb /ac.
(ii) $284.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatraent differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 1616 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 2060 |
| 3. | 2389 |
| S.E./mean | $=142.4 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac. |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(342). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Rajapur (Ratnagiri.) | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A.
(b) Paddy in all villages.
(c) Nil. (ii) Sandy. (iii) Nil. (iv) Lavesal. (v) (a) to
(e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 14.10.1952 and 21.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
5. 32 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as A/S and G.N.C. in 1:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) Villages were selected at random from among the Paddy growing villages and 2 fields were selected at random within each village (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1624 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $128.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 1028 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2. | 1690 |
| 3. | 2154 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=64.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif ).
Site :~ Lanja (Ratnagiri.)

Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(343). Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy-Paddy. (c) 5 to 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) Sandy laterite. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Patani waksal (mid late) and Bhadas. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 1.10.1952, 20.10.2952 and 28.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}$.
3. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1: 1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) Villages were selected at random form among the Paddy growing villages and 2 fields were selected at random within each village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1761 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $139.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly sigoificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1352 |
| 2. | 2054 |
| 3. | 1877 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=69.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(344).
Site :- Ratnagiri (Ratnagiri.) Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of N and P .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) Laterite. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. iv) Patani early. v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 19 to 25.9.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}$.
5. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i), (ii) Villages were selected at random form among the Paddy growing villages and 2 fields were selected at random within each village. (iii) (a) N.A, (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$, (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain'and straw yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) NA. (v) N.A. (vi) anc (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1834 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $998.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1576 |
| 2. | 1892 |
| 3. | 2035 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=499.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(345).
Site :- Sangameshwer (Ratnagiri.) Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 taskets/Guntha of F.Y.M. (ii) Laterite. (iii) 5 baskets/Guntha of F.Y.M. (iv) Bhadas (midlate) ; Patani (early) and Kolamba (midlate). (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 28.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. $96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. $32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) Villages were selected at random from among the paddy growing villages and 2 fields weace selected at random within each village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vii) Nil. (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $2114 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $214.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1937 |
| 2. | 2416 |
| 3. | 2290 |
| S.E./mean | $=107.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(268).
Site :- Dahanu (Thana.) Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '
Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) Clayey soft, medium black and reddish clayey. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. F.Y.M. (iv) Kolamba (mid late) and Local (medium). (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 12.10.1952 and 24.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i), (ii 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and within each village 2 fields were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil,
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1827 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $37.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1674 |
| 2. | 1842 |
| 3. | 1966 |
| S.E./mean | $=18.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(269). } \\
\text { Site :-Javhar (Thana.) } & \text { Type :- }{ }^{〔} \mathrm{M}^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Object :--To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) Black, (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Z-149 (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unitrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) $\mid$ to 5.11 .1951 .
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and within each village 2 fields were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1745 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $45.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1150 |
| 2. | 1747 |
| 3. | 2337 |
| S.E./mean | $=22.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh. 52(270). } \\
\text { Site :-Borivali (Thana.) } & \text { Type : }{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{'M}^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. in one village only. (ii) Laterite. iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) E.K. 70 (early) and 2149 (late). (v) (a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) and (ix) N.A. (x) 29 and 30.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lc}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and within each village 2 fields were selected at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) and ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $967 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $47.16 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 800 |
| 2. | 982 |
| 3. | 1120 |
| S.E./mean | $=23.58 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields ; Mh } 52(271) . \\
\text { Site :~ Wada, (Thana.) } & \text { Type :- ' } \mathbf{M} \text { '. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :--To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram and wal. (c) Nil. (ii) Black clayey. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Gurwal (late) ; chali; Ziniya (late) ; Kolamba 226. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii)N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 14 to 17.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N,C. $1: 1$ ratio.

3: 64 lb /ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and 2 fields were selected within a village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3473 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $146.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 3138 |
| 2. | 3823 |
| 3. | 3459 |
| S.E./mean | $=73.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). $\quad$ Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(274).
Site :- Thana (Thana.) $\quad$ Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.
a. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) Black loamy, reddish black. (iii) 5. C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Mid-late. (v) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 28.10.1952; 2.11.1952 and 7.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C.in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and 2 fields were selected at random within the selected village. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv〉 (a) No.
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N1.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2275 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.92 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1652 |
| 2. | 2281 |
| 3. | 2891 |
| S.E./mean | $=45.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref : Expts. on cultivators' fields; Mh. 52(275).
Site :~ Murhad (Thana.) Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the response of Paddy to application of $N$ and $P$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

Basal close of 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (ii) 2 villages were selected at random within the taluka and within cach vilage, 2 fields were sefcted at random. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS :

| (i) | $2849 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | 236.7 lb //ac. |  |
| (iii) | Treatments do not differ significantly |  |
| (iv) | Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. |  |
|  | Treatment | Av. yield |
|  | 1. | 2777 |
|  | 2. | 2988 |
|  | 3. | 2784 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=118.3 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac. |

Crop :- Paddy.
Centre :- Karjat (Maharashtra). Type :- 'MV'.

Object :-VIII, To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ on yield of different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam to clay loam. (b) Poor in lime, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, slighty acidic, well supplied with organic matter. (iii) Transplanting on 25.7.1953. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 varieties: $V_{1}=1 B 12-11, V_{2}=Z-31$ and $V_{3}=K-540$ (Improved).

N applied in two equal doses; half dose 5 days after planting and half dose 20 days after planting. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied at puddling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Confounded. (ii) (a) 9 plots; block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 60.5$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Lodgirg occurred in plots receiving higher doses of N . (ii) Considerable damage to crop by swarming catterpillar. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Ponnampet, Sahaspur, Burdwan, Mankhanda, Maruteru and Chalvai. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2050 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $592.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb,/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $V_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2074 | 1608 | 1608 | 1763 | 1825 | 1929 | 1535 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1971 | 2178 | 2572 | 2240 | 3008 | 1473 | 2240 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2261 | 2054 | 2126 | 2147 | 2033 | 2188 | 2219 |
| Mean | 2102 | 1916 | 2102 | 2050 | 2289 | 1863 | 1998 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 2054 | 2966 | 1846 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 2137 | 1182 | 2271 |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{3}$ | 2116 | 1691 | 2188 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =197.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =341.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chiplun.

Ref:- Mh. 50(146).
Type: ' C '.

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and number of seedlings per bunch on yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chiplun. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) As per treatments. (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) Warangal-487. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( 1 ) and ( 2 ) +4 selective tratments
(I) No. of seedlings/bunch: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=1, \mathrm{R}_{2}=2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=3$.
(2) 4 spacings: $S_{1}=4^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=12^{\prime \prime}$.

And 4 selective treatments are :
(a) $9^{* *}$ spacing with 6 seedlings/bunch.
(b) $12^{*}$ spacing with 6 seedlings/bunch.
(c) $9^{7 \prime}$ spacing with 9 seedl ngs/bunch.
(d) $12^{\circ}$ spacing with 9 seedlings/bunch
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16.
(b) N.A
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatperi, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1476 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $343.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of spacing, seedlings and selective vs others are highly significant ;

Spacing $\times$ seedlings, and selective treatments are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| (a) | $=1610 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| (b) | $=1806 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (c) | $=1834 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (d) | $=1632 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1421 | 1276 | 1201 | 788 | 1171 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1670 | 1576 | 1185 | 1059 | 1372 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ | 1796 | 1796 | 1875 | 1100 | 1642 |
| Mean | 1629 | 1549 | 1420 | 982 |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $S \quad=99.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $R \quad=86.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $=172.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chiplun.

Ref :- Mh. 51 (2!4).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of spacing and number of seedlings per bunch on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) and (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chiplud. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) Warangal-487. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) to (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +4 selective treatments
(1) No. of seedings bunch : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=1, \mathrm{R}_{2}=2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=3$.
(2) 4 spacings: $S_{1}=4^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{5}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=12^{\prime \prime}$.

And 4 selective treatments are:
(a) $9^{n}$ spacing with 6 seedlings/bunch.
(b) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing with 6 seedlings/bunch.
(c) $9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing with 9 seedlings/bunch.
(d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing with 9 seedlings/bunch.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Vadgaon, Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1311 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $226.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of spacing and selective $v$ others are highly significant. Main effect of seedlings, spacing $\times$ seedlings and selective treat ments are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| (a) | $=1642 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| (b) | $=1308 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (c) | $=1418 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (d) | $=1613 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1213 | 1349 | 1090 | 1046 | 1174 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1339 | 1339 | 1138 | 1147 | 1241 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1279 | 1648 | 1156 | 1245 | 1332 |
| Mean | 1277 | 1445 | 1128 | 1146 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{S} & =65.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of R } & =56.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =113.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 48 (14).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :- To find out the optimum spacing and number of seedings/bunch to get maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri.
(iii) $8.6 .1948 / 18$ to 20.7 .1948 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) transplanting. (c) -. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Puddling and planting on 18 and 20.7.1948; interculturing from 1 to 5.9 .1948 . (ix) $115.69^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 4 and 6.11.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
Seedlings/bunch : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=4, \mathbf{R}_{2}=6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=8 \mathrm{R}_{4}=10$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block ; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) sut-plot $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) Sub-plot $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. Main-plot $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 23-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 24 \times 100^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (v) $5^{\prime}$ at either end, 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Greatly affected by crabs. (iii) 'Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Karjat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $274.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 222.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) Only main-plot treatment effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1542 | 1480 | 1101 | 1374 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 1599 | 1405 | 1370 | 1458 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1582 | 1533 | 1381 | 1499 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 1691 | 1404 | 1331 | 1475 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 1515 | 1522 | 1274 | 1437 |
| Mean | 1586 | 1469 | 1291 | 1449 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=77.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=81.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at a level of $S$ |  |
| 4. $S$ means at a level of $R$ |  |
|  | $=147.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 49(24)/48(14).
Type: ' C '.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and number of seedtimes per bunch to get maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. Fallow in Rabi. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $4.6 .1949 / 24,25$ and 27.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Puddling and planting on 24 to 26.7.1949, interculturing on 16.9.1949. (ix) 125.68". (x) 10.11 .1949 ; 13.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
Seedings/burch : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $\mathbf{R}_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block ; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime}-8^{n \prime} \times 20$; $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively ; main-plot ( $8^{\prime \prime}$ spacing- $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 10^{\circ}$ spacing $-23^{\prime} .33^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing- $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ ). (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) 5 rows at either end, 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Few border plants eaten away by crab. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) Yes. c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Karjat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1016 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $150.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $136.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main-plot treatment effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 982 | 979 | 975 | 979 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1189 | 850 | 945 | 995 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1230 | $10: 0$ | 854 | 1045 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 1154 | 1102 | 873 | 1043 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 1158 | 1601 | 894 | 1018 |
| Mean | 1143 | 996 | 908 | 1016. |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means $\quad=38.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means $\quad=45.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at a level of $S=78.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at a level of $R=80.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

## Ref : $\sim$ Mh. 50(33)/49(24)/48(14).

Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and number of seedings per bunch for getting maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy (fallow in Rabi). (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) 12.6.1950/30.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -- (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding 1st week of Sept. 1950. (ix) $147.25^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.11.1950 to 17.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments:

3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

Seedlings/bunch : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=4, \mathrm{R}_{2}=6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=8, \mathrm{R}_{4}=10$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \cdot 8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$; $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively; main-plot: $-22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times$ $100^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing respectively. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ at either end and 2 rows on either side (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Karjat, Ratnagiri, Vadgaon. (b) N.A. i(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1032 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $366.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $202.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1081 | 1002 | 978 | 1020 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1057 | 994 | 918 | 990 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1155 | 975 | $105+$ | 1051 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 1087 | 1061 | 884 | 1011 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 1119 | 1097 | 952 | 1076 |
| Mean | 1112 | 1026 | 957 | 1032 |

## SE. of difference of two

| 1. S marginal means | $=94.7 \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means | $=67.4 \mathrm{lb} .1 \mathrm{cc}$ |
| 3. $R$ means at a level of $S$ | :=117.0 \%/ac. |
| 4. S means at a level of R | $=141 . \mathrm{i} \mathrm{fac}$. |



Object:--To find out the optimum spacing and number of seedlings per bunci for getting maxire m yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Paddy after Paddy (fallow in Rabi) (b) Padyy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Coarse :o medium black scil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Igatpuri. (iii) $66.1951 / 6.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b), Transplanting. c) - . (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-2:6 late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding on Ist week of Sept. 1951. (ix) 116.88". (x) 7.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings: $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
Seedlings/bunch : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=4, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=8, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=10$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=12$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime}$ $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ (v) $5^{\prime}$ or either end and 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Karjat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1543 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 300.7 lb ./ae.
(b) $134.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $R$ is significant, interaction $S \times R$ is highly significant. Effect of $S$ is not significant.

## 141

(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1470 | 1619 | 1470 | 1520 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 1632 | 1530 | 1271 | 1478 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1549 | 1561 | 1472 | 1527 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 1695 | 1587 | 1537 | 1606 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 1601 | 1572 | 1574 | 1532 |
| Mean | 1589 | 1574 | 1465 | 1543 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. S marginal means | $=77.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. R marginal means | $=45.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at a level of $S$ | $=77.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $S$ means at a level of $R$ | $=104.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :~ Mh. 49(16). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and bunch size required for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam (medium black) derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $6.6 .1949 / 6$ to 11.8 .1949 (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) No. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27 to 30.11 .1949 .

## 1. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments

3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

Seedings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively; Gross main-plot : $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}, 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ respectively. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Flowering started from 6.10.1949. (ii) Seedlings were affected by b'ast disease but were treated with perenox ( $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$. in 100 galls.) at the time of transplanting. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1947-51. (b) Yes. (c) N.A (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Two replications have been dropped from statistical analysis. In all there were 6 replications.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1771 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $386.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $188.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $S$ is not significant, effect of $R$ and interaction $S \times R$ are significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1521 | 1769 | 1531 | 1697 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 1857 | 1669 | 1615 | 1714 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1965 | 1865 | 1789 | 1813 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 2073 | 1765 | 1758 | 1865 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 1745 | 1911 | 1741 | 1799 |
| Mean | 1832 | 1796 | 1687 | 171 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $\quad=122.2 \mathrm{~B} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means $\quad=77.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at a level of $S \quad=133.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at a level of $R \quad=17 \mathrm{C} .3 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Ref :-Mh. 50(25) 49(10).
Type : ${ }^{*}$ C' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and buach size regured for Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAI CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, mednum black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii 11.6. $950+9.8 .1950$. (iv) (a) 2 plough ngs. b) Transplauting. (c) -. (d) and (c) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (hate. (vii) Unirrigated. (wii) Transplanting was done about 3 weeks late. Weeving was done twice in September. threshing was done on the aext day of harvest. ix) $124^{\prime \prime}$ ( (x) 26.11.1950, 29.11.1950, 30.11 .1950 and 1.12.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings: $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

Seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plotsitlock; 5 sub-piots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \cdot 8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$; $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} ; 24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respeciive.y; gross main plot $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime} ; 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$; $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ at either end and 2 rows on ether side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Long break of rains affected the growth. Difference in height and growth cue to dfferent spacings.
(ii) At flowering, attack of rice mealy bugs. Slight attack of blast. (iii; Dates of flowerngs anc grain yield. (iv) :a) 1947-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A (v) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Ratnagini and Vadgaon. (b) N.A (vi) Nil. (vii) Two replications were dropped as the yield were very low. The expt. was late out with 6 replications.

## 5. RESUE TS :

(i) $1351 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $(\mathrm{a} ; ~ 523.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $471.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yleld of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1442 | 1503 | 1350 | 1432 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 1956 | 1510 | 962 | 1476 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1673 | 1241 | 1010 | 1308 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 1654 | 735 | 1388 | 1259 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 1456 | 1207 | 1180 | 1281 |
| Mean | 1636 | 1239 | 1178 | 1351 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $\quad=165.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means $\quad=191.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at a level of $S \quad=333.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at a level of $R \quad=341.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref:-Mh. 51(32)/50(25)/49(16).
Type:-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Objeet :-To find out the optimum spacing and bunch size required for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. . (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $13.6 .1951 / 7.8 .1951$. to 14.8 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplantirg (c) . (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.111951 to 30.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
Seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/blocks; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $22^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$; $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$; $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for $8^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively; gross main-plot. $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$; $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$; $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ at either end, 2 rows on either side. (vi), Yes,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield alone. (iv) 1947-1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Originally there were 6 replications. Two of them were dropped from analysis as these were vitiated.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1413 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $466.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $249.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $\mathbf{R}$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yizld of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mcan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1326 | 1204 | 696 | 1075 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1568 | 1449 | 1231 | 1416 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1936 | 1497 | 1289 | 1574 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 1588 | 1374 | 1462 | 1474 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 1562 | 1496 | 1527 | 1528 |
| Mean | 1596 | 1404 | 1241 | 1413 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $\quad=147.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means $\quad=101.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at a levcl of $S=176.1 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at a level of $R=2163 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop:- Paddy (Khatif..
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref :- Mh. 52(59).
Type: 'C'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of kerping seculings for few days before transplanting on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1952 / 28.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Transplanting seedlings after being kept for

1. 2 days.
2. 4 days.
3. 6 days.
4. 8 days.
5. 10 days.
6. Fresh seedlings (control).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $16^{\circ} \times 1^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$, (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (ii) Grain yield, height and no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1951-54. b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. 'b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $8: 1.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $238.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b . /ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 965 |
| 2. | 728 |
| 3. | 1024 |
| 4. | 622 |
| S. | 678 |
| 6. | 851 |
| S.E./mean | $=84.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Paddy (Kharif). Ref:- Mb. 53(123).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. Type :- 'C''.
```

Object :-To study the effect of keeping seedlings for few days before transplanting on yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam; medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $14.6 .1953 / 3 . \AA .1953$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Transplantiog seedlings afer being kept for

1. 2 days.
2. 4 days.
3. 6 days.
4. 8 days.
5. 10 days.
6. Fresh seedlings (control).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ on either side ( 3 lines). (vi; Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Growth in the beginning was less vigorous. (ii) N.A. (iiii) Grain and 'straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1149 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $255.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gra $n$ in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1262 |
| 2. | 1312 |
| 3. | 1156 |
| 4. | 1113 |
| 5. | 837 |
| 6. | 1212 |
| S.E./mean | $=104.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref:m Mh. 53(281).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Type:- ' $C$ '.
Object:--To compare slant with strai ${ }_{j} h t$ method of transplanting seedlings.
4. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) K-42, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii, N.A. (ix) 134.02". (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Slant method of transplanting.
2. Straight transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. ,vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1373 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $191.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1306 |
| 2. | 1440 |
| S.E./mean | $=78.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:~Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref:- Mh. 53(144).
Type:- ' C '.

Object:-To study the effect of early harvesting of crop on the yield and getmination quality of the produce.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) No manuring is given. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $25.6 .1953 / 28.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) Two ploughirgs and one puddling for transplanting. (b) Transplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii), Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) A) per treatments between 3.11.1953 and 27.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Harvesting 20 days after flowering.
2. Harvesting 25 days after flowering.
3. Harvesting 30 days after flowering.
4. Harvesting 35 days after flowering.
5. Harvesting 40 days after flowering.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Moderate attack of severing catter pillers. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1635 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2586 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1431 |
| 2. | 1942 |
| 3. | 1825 |
| 4. | 1326 |
| 5. | 1650 |
| S.E /mean | $=149.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref:-Mh. 52(231).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. Type :- \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\).
```

Object :-To study the effect of broadcast $v s$ dibble method of planting on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 22.6.1952/ 128.1952 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (d) and (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (Jate). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $95^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 320 lb ./ac. of seed broadcast.
2. $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of seed broadcast.
3. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of seed broadcast.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of seed dibbled.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of seed dibbled.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $7.5^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i Sowing was much delayed. As the soil was cold the seedlings did not show proper growth in seedbed, they showed yellowing appearance. (ii) Nil. (iii) Initial weights, weight of straw and weight of grain. (iv) (a) $1952-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $542 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $128.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.
Treatment Av. yield
9. 481
10. 603
11. 595
12. 594
$5 . \quad 439$
S.E./mean $=64.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Panavel.

Ref : Mh. 52(33).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :--To find the best seed-rate for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Salt land from moderately saline to highly saline. (b) Refer soil analysis, Panavel. (iii) 28.6.1952. (iv) al N.A. (b) Rahu. ;c) As per treatments. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kala Rata 1-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $98^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seed-rates:-

1. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $35 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v; N.A. (vi Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) One replication was withered to some extent. The crop was verying from poor to fairly good in different plots. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1952-$ N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Yield data N.A. and hence not analysed.
7. RESULTS :
(i) 444.4 lb /ac.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 408.4 |
| 2. | 471.9 |
| 3. | 462.0 |
| 4. | 435.6 |
| S.E./mean | N.A. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Panavel.

Ref :- Mh. 53(116)/52(33).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find the best seed-rate for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Salt land from moderately saline to highly saline. (b) Refer soil analysis, Panaval. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a), (b) N.A. (c) As per teatroents. d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kala Rata 1-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Pruning 1.8.1953 and flowerng 13.9.1>53. (ix) 128". (x) 27.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Seed-rates:-

1. $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $35 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $15^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $889 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $219.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 815 |
| 2. | 926 |
| 3. | 944 |
| 4. | 853 |
| S.E./mean | $=109.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :~Mh. 48 (2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. | Type :~ 'C'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum number of seedlings per bunch and spacings for getting maximum yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Replication I vegetables, replication II, III and IV pine-apples and replication V and VI paddy. (c) Rep. I 800 lb . of G.N C., Rep. II, III and IV $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$. of G.N C. $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$. of fish and $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$. of F.Y.M./ plant. Rep. VI and VI $560 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of G N C. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) Froni 9 to $11.6 .1948 / 19$ to 29.7.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d), (e) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Patni-6 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $141.51^{\circ}$. (x) Between 7 and 9.10.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
No. of seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Main-plot : $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}, 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ and sub-plot $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}, 23^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for spacings. $12^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $8^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) Sub-plot $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory ; late transplanting. Lodging due to late harvest and because of heavy rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuai, Karjat and Vadgaon (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2004 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $394.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $269.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of R alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2003 | 1749 | 1618 | 1790 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2153 | 1786 | 1799 | 1913 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 2187 | 2126 | 2117 | 2.43 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 2262 | 2060 | 1804 | 2.42 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 2078 | 2055 | 2260 | 2131 |
| Mean | 2137 | 1955 | 1920 | 2004 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=101.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. R marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R \quad=172.2 \mathrm{lt}$./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :~ Pad dy (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :~ Mh } 49(2) / 48 \text { (2). } \\
\text { Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. } & \text { Type :~ 'C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object: - To find out the optimum no. of seedlings per bunch and spacing for getting the maximum yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) No cefinite rotation. (b) Replication I, II, III and IV cabbage; replication VI-fallow. (c) 5 C L./a:. of F.Y.M. and 800 lb ./ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Mala (low lying.) (b) N.A. (iii) 3, 4.6.1949, 8 to 247.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L.(ac. of F.Y.M in June.
(vi) Patni (early) . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Top dressing of 6 lb ./guntha manure mixture on 27.7.1949 2nd dose of $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{gunth}$ a on 5.8.1949. (ix) $105.90^{\prime \prime}$. ( x$) 6$, to8 8.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
No. of seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. "(iv) (a) nain-plot : $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}, 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and sub-plot: $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}, 23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$, and $22^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for spacengs $12^{\prime \prime}$, $10^{\prime \prime}$ and $8^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) Sub-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) Guard ring for each sub-plot of $10^{\prime \prime} \times 0^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing would consist of two rows on either side ; $5^{\prime}$ of rows on either end. In case of $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ spacmg, however, a ring of 2 rows on either side and 7 plants at one end and 8 plants at the other end (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal ; satisfactory growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Karjat and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2980 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $709.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $349.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3184 | 3141 | 2688 | 3004 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3286 | 2995 | 2986 | 3089 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 2627 | 3116 | 3079 | 2941 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 3079 | 3051 | 2905 | 3012 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 2801 | 2955 | 2814 | 2857 |
| Mean | 2995 | 3052 | 2894 | 2980 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal mean
2. $R$ marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=183.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=116.5 \mathrm{bb}$./ac.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$
$=201.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$=257.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref :-Mh. 50(2)/49(2)/48(2).
Type :r'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum number of seedlings per bunch and spacing for getting maximum yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 2, 4.6.1950/29.6.1950 to 25.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) and (c) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and top-dressing of manure mixture at the rate of $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{guntha}$ (vi) Patni-6 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Top-dressing in 3rd week of July. (ix) $97.65^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) 27.9.1950 to 18.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sab-plot treatments :
No. of seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) Main-plot : $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}, 23^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and sub-plot : $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}, 23^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} .8^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for spacings $12^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $8^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) Guard ring of each sub-plot for $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing would consist of two rows on either side and $5^{\prime \prime}$ of rows on either end. In case of $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ spacing, however, guard ring would be of two rows on either side and 7 plants at one end and 8 at the other.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination was fairly good. Normal growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1947--1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Karjat and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2389 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$,
(ii) (a) $389.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $183.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $S$ alone is significant.
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(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 2496 | 2369 | 2124 | 2330 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2432 | 2505 | 2251 | 2396 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 2632 | 2487 | 2114 | 2411 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 2568 | 2491 | 2242 | 2434 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 2541 | 2360 | 2223 | 2375 |
| Mean | 2534 | 2443 | 2191 | 2389 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=100.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=61.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. R means at the same level of S | $=105.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. S means at the same level of $R$ | $=138.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 51(2)/50(2)/49(2)/48(2).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.
Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum number of seedlings per bunch and spacing for getting maximum yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\left[\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C\right.$. mixed in $1: 1$ ratio $+64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) $3.6 .1951 / 30.6 .1951$ to 11.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) 4 to 12 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) N.A. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L. of F.Y.M. between 28th June to mid July 1951. Top-dressing of manure mixture at $8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/guntha}$ applied in 3rd week of July 1951. (vi) Patni-6 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $129.08^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.10.1951 to 9.10.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treat ments :
No. of seedlings/bunch : $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8, R_{4}=10$ and $R_{5}=12$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot design. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 5 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Main-plot : $24^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}, 23^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 100^{\prime \prime}$ and sub-plot: $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}, 23^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ for spacings $12^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $8^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) The guard ring of each sub-plot for $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings would consists of 2 rows on either side and $5^{\prime}$ of rows on either end. In case of $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ spacing the guard ring would te 7 plants at one end and 8 plants at the other. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop growth normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1947-1953$. (b) Yes. (c) N,A.
(v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Karjat and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2899 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $700.3 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $411.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of S alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 3085 | 3206 | 2291 | 2861 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 2727 | 3364 | 2543 | 2878 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 2904 | 3485 | 2686 | 3025 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 2682 | 3235 | 2580 | 2832 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 2940 | 3138 | 2616 | 2898 |
| Mean | 2868 | 3285 | 2543 | 2899 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =180.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =137.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =237.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =277.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. R marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref:- Mh. 52(308).
Type:- ' C '.

Object:-To ascertain whether drilling Paddy seed is better than transplanting.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) Drilling 28.5 .1942 , Transplanting 27,29.6.1952. (iv) (a), (b), (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Panael-61 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) N.A. (x) 15.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1 Drilling: 2 ploughings, 1 harrowing and weeding once, spacing $9^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ and seed rate $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. Transplanting : 4 ploughings, sowing on raised seed bed, spacing $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and seed rate $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Karjat and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3650 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $271.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2352 |
| 2. | 4948 |
| S.E./mean | $=95.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 51(120).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :~ 'C'.
```

Object :-To compare diffrent methods of planting Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i).(a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) Transplanting 19.8.1951 ; Drilling 29.6.1951 and Broadcasting 29.6.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 3 bakharings. (b) As per treatments (c) Transplarting - $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., broadcasting- $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and drilling-60 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A (v) $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unırrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) N.A. (x) 28.11.19j1.
2. TREATMENTS:

Methods of planting Paddy :

1. Transplanting.
2. Broadcasting the seed.
3. Drilling the seed.
4. DESIGN :
i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A.
(iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Heights, tillers, grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1952$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (y)
(a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $1403 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $462.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1070 |
| 2. | 1710 |
| 3. | 1430 |
| S.E./mean | $=327.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).

Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :~ 'C'.
Object :-To compare different methods of planting Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) 4.7.1952/8.8.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) As per treatments. (c) Transplanting, $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., broadcasting- $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and drilling- $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R. 8 (luchai). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $44.07^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Paddy transplanted.
2. Paddy broadcast.
3. Paddy drilled.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$;ac. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1570 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $369.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1530 |
| 2. | 1230 |
| 3. | 1950 |
| S.E./mean | $=261.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Rabi).
Ref:~Mh. 48(54).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- 'C'.
Object:-To find out the best method of taking a second crop of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) 26.12.1948. 29.2.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughiags and 2 bakharings. (b) As per teatments. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) 10 ) $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $53.97^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Transplanting.
4. Lehi.
5. Broadcast.
6. Broadcast biasi.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. b) N.A. (iii) 2 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yie'd. (iv) (a) 1948-1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi As it is a second crop (winter paddy) the yields are low. (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $285 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $178.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 380 |
| 2. | 380 |
| 3. | 280 |
| 4. | 100 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=126.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Rabi). Ref :~Mh. 49(79).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- ' C '.
Object:-To find out the best method of growing winter Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.12.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c), (d) and (c) N.A. (v) $145 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on 3.2.1950. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $80.13^{\circ}$. ( x ) 21.5.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Transplanted.
4. Lehi.
5. Broadcast.
6. Biasi.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A (iii)
8. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL
(i) N A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1949$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) As it is second crop, namely winter paddy the yields are low. (vii) Nil.

RESULTS :
(i) $65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $17.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 70 |
| 2. | 60 |
| 3. | 60 |
| 4. | 70 |
| S.E./mean | $=12.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 50(92).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- 'C'.
Object :-To find out the effect of early and late harvesting on grain formation of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Paddy-116. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $51.86^{\circ}$. (x) As per treatments.
2. TREATMENTS :

Dates of harvest.

1. 15 days early harvesting (1.11.1950).
2. 8 days early harvesting (8.11.1950).
3. Right time harvesting (15.11.1950).
4. 8 days late harvesting (22.11.1950).
5. 15 days late harvesting (29.11.1950).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 33^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1672 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $515.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 1870
2. 2130
3. 2210
4. 1900
$5 . \quad 250$
S.E./mean $\quad=364.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

## Ref: $\mathbf{~ M h . 5 3 ( 1 7 7 ) . ~}$

Type :-‘CV'.

Object :-To study the performance of transplanting in Deccan-canal areas.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat in Rabi. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. +75 Ib./ac. of A/S. (ii) (a) A-type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 25 and 26.7 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Drilling $12^{\prime \prime}$ and transplanting $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 4 plants at each spot. (v) 64 lb ./ac. of N in the form of G.N.C. and A/S in 1: 1 ratio, $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N at sowing; $16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of N 21 days after and $16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N 50 days alter sowing ; 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and $32 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{A}_{\text {. }}$ sowing. (vi) As per treatments. (vij) Irrigated. (iiiv) N.A. (ix)17.22 . (x) 24.10.1953 to 29.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 methods of planting : $P_{1}=$ Transplanting and $P_{2}=$ Drilling.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\quad \mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Koda 6-8-1, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Early kolky 70 and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Mahade 8-2.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block ; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $28^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$.
(b) $20^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ ring around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii; Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2151 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $388.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $406.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Sin., Padegaon.

Ref : - Mh. 51 (215).
Type :-‘CV’.
Object :-To study the effect of drilling and transplanting on the yield of different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Cotton. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S+G.N.C. in $1: 2$. (ii) (a) B-type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) $20.6 .1951 / 16.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) V.A. (b) As per treatmeats. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. for drilled. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ drilled and $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ transplanted. (e) 8 seedings/bunch for transplanted. (v) 96 lb ./ac. of $\mathbf{N}$, $\frac{2}{3}$ dose at sowing as G.N.C. $+\frac{1}{3}$ dose at flowering as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $14.68^{\circ}$. ( x ) $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{6 . 1 0 . 1 9 5 1}$ to 29.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treat ments :
8 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{K}-540 ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Pankharia ; $\quad \mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Jiresal ; $\quad \mathrm{V}_{4}=\mathrm{A}-90 ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{5}=\mathrm{M}-81 ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{6}=\mathrm{M}-249$; $\mathrm{V}_{7}=$ Krishnasal and $\mathrm{V}_{8}=$ Dodki.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 methods of planting: $P_{1}=$ Drilling and $P_{2}=$ Transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 8 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 27.2^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of blast and papdi which was controlled by spraying Perenox. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1209 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $431.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $215.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of V and interaction $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant. Effect of P is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 930 | 973 | 685 | 1423 | 1031 | 1355 | 1373 | 1944 | 1214 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 925 | 620 | 1078 | 1470 | 1540 | 1203 | 1339 | 1462 | 1204 |
| Mean | 927 | 797 | 881 | 1447 | 1285 | 1279 | 1356 | 1703 | 1209 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =215.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =53.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =152.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means
3. P means at a level of $V$
4. V means at a level of $P$
$=241.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(324).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To study the effect of drilling and transplanting on the yield of different varieties of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 lb ./ac. of N. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon (iii) 18.6.1952/26.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) 40 lb ./ac. for drilled paddy. (d) $12^{\circ}$ for drilling and $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ for transplanting. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch for dibbling. (v) 96 lb ./ac. of N : ${ }_{3}^{2}$ rd at sowing as G.N.C. and $\frac{3}{3}$ rd at flowering as A/S. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.11.1952 to 1.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
8 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{K}-540, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Pankharia, $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Jiresal, $\mathrm{V}_{4}=\mathrm{A}-90, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{5}=\mathrm{M}-81 \quad \mathrm{~V}_{6}=\mathrm{M}-249, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{7}=$ Krishnasal and $\mathrm{V}_{8}=$ Dodki.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 methods of planting : $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Drilling and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=$ Transplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 8 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/rain-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-N . A$. (b) NJ. (c) Nil. (v a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1967 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $269.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $248.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effects and interactions are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $V_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | $V_{4}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{6}$ | $V_{7}$ | $V_{\text {a }}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1774 | 1669 | 2119 | 2756 | 2334 | 2551 | 2729 | 270 | 2340 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1618 | 1016 | 1635 | 1169 | 1645 | 1422 | 2187 | 2059 | 1594 |
| Mean | 1696 | 1342 | 1877 | 1962 | 1989 | 1986 | 2458 | 2424 | 1967 |
|  | SE of difference of two |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. V marginal means |  |  |  |  | $=134.6 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  | 2. $P$ marginal meats |  |  |  |  | $=62.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  | 3. P means at a level of $V$ |  |  |  |  | $=175.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  | +. V means at a level of $P$ |  |  |  |  | $:=182.9$ |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh $53(349)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'C:'. |

Ohject :-Tu stud the effect of drilling and transplining on the yieid of different varietics of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 h .ac. of $V$. (i)) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 20.6.1953/i.8.1453. (iv) a) NA. (b. As per treatmehis. (c) 40 lb ./ac. for or H.d. d $12^{\prime \prime}$ - crilled and $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ trausplanting. (e) 8 seedings bunch for transplanting. (v) $96 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N} .2 / 3 \mathrm{~d}$ dese at sowing as G.N.C. $+\frac{1}{3}$ rd dose at flowering as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (wii) 3 werdings and 2 interculturings. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.10 .19$)<$ to 22.11 .1453.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

12 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Dodki, $\quad \mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Krishnasal, $\quad \mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Jiresal, $\mathrm{V}_{4}=\mathrm{A}-90, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{5}=$ Bhavadi, $\mathrm{V}_{6}=$ Patni No. 6, $V_{7}=$ K. 184, $V_{8}=$ E.K. 7, $V_{9}=$ Early Kolum-161-62, $V_{10}=$ Sathi 44.51, $V_{11}=$ Waner-1, $V_{12}=$ Sorta.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 methods of planting : $P_{1}=$ Drilling and $P_{2}=T$ ransplanting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot (ii) (a) 12 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\circ} \times 22^{\prime}$; main-plot size $: 72^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 2.5^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of blast was noticed which was controlled by spraying Perenox. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi, Nil. (vi $V_{10}$ was omitted from statistical analysis as the yield data was not available for one sub treatment viz transplanting under $V_{10}$ for all the four replications.
5. RESULTS :
(1) $1600 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $242.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(t) $195.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of $V$ and interaction $V \times P$ are highiy significant. Effect of $P$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 2413 | 2089 | 2251 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 2022 | 2286 | 2154 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 632 | 1069 | 851 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | 1574 | 1406 | 1490 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{5}$ | 2496 | 1900 | 2198 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{6}$ | 1278 | 1101 | 1190 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{7}$ | 1187 | 1678 | 1433 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{8}$ | 1049 | 1548 | 1299 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{9}$ | 2234 | 939 | 1587 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{11}$ | 1792 | 2045 | 1919 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{12}$ | 1114 | 1353 | 1234 |
| Mean | 1617 | 1583 | 1600 |

S E. of difference of two

| 1. V marginal means | $=121.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. P marginal means | $=41.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3, $P$ means at the same level of $V$ | $=138.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |
| 4. $V$ means at the same level of $P$ | $=66.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).

Site :- Rice Breeding Station, Chiplun.

## Ref:- Mh. 52(171).

Type : ' 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different combinations of $N$ and $P$ and spacings on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy, (c) N.A. (ii) (a) N.A. (b) Refer soil analysis, Chiplun. (iii) 7.6.1952/8 to. 10.8.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d As per treatments. (e) 8 seedlings/tunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Warangal-487. (vii) N.A. (viii) 5 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 7 to 9.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4).
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=5$ C.L. and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 levels af spacings: $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(4) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=64, \mathrm{P}_{2}=96$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=128 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3} \times 3$ factorial in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 24.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3.
iv) (a)
(a) $36^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (b)
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Below normal ; break in rains in the month of Sept. had a very bad effect on growth of Paddy.
(ii) Heavy attack of kapra during 1st and 2nd weeks of August, crop wes dusted with Gammaxene and plants were shaken machanically to disturb the bettles. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $885 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $146.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and S are highly significant and that of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is significant. All two factor interactions are significant. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop:- Paddy (Khcij) .
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri

Reí - Mh. 52(63).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object : -To study the effect of different combinations of $N$ and $P$ and spacings on the yield of Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Fallow in Rabi. (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium slack. (b) N.A. (iii) 9.6 .1952 , 23.7.1952. (iv) (a I ploughing efore sowing and 2 ploughings after sowing. (b) Tansplanting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding--3rd week of Sept. 1952. (ix) 127.91". (x) 9.11.1952.

## ․ TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{3}=96, N_{2}=128$ and $N_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 livels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5 \mathrm{CL}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of spacings: $S_{1}=5^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $F_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.
(4) 2 levels of $P_{2} O_{3}: P_{0}=0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $P_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ facterial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$, (o) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight crab attack. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 -N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $3448 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $314.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$.
(iii) Ncne of the effects and interctions is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 3380 | 3435 | 3535 | 3476 | 3424 | 3424 | 3476 | 3450 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 3458 | 3471 | 3408 | 3388 | 3503 | 3433 | 3457 | 3446 |
| Mean | 3419 | 3453 | 3471 | 3432 | 3463 | 3429 | 3466 | 3448 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 3362 | 3403 | 3521 | 3379 | 3479 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3476 | 3503 | 3421 | 3485 | 3449 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 3444 | 3448 | 3403 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 3458 | 3458 | 3539 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=64.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P, F$ or $S$ | $=52.5 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ table | $=90.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ table | $=74.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Ref:- Mh. 53(4)/52(63).
Type :- 'CM'.|

Object:-To find out the optimum dose of $N$ and $P$ required for Paddy, combined with the optimum spacing to be adopted at the time of transplanting.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy in Kharif and Pulses in Rabi. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow coarse soil. derived from Deccan trap rock. (b) N.A. (iii) $15.6 .1953 / 17.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings Puddling and planting on 17 th, 18th and 19th July, 1953. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-226 (late). (vii) Rainfed. (viii) Transp'anting and interculturing done as per departmental method. (ix) $123^{\circ}$. (x) 2nd week of Novemter 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All possible combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ :
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac. of F.Y,M.
(4) 2 spacings: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2^{8}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring. alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Paddv crop was fairly good throughout the season. (ii) Two months after transplanting the crop was affected by Jassids and then followed by severe attack of army-worms. (iii) Heighr, no. of tillers, date of flowering and yield data. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N A. (v) (a) Kumiha, Ratnagiri, Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2864 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $315.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of S and interaction, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant. Other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ,/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | S | $P_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2784 | 2896 | 2781 | 2680 | 2961 | 2832 | 2809 | 2820 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 2977 | 2852 | 2898 | 2848 | 2970 | 3019 | 2800 | 2909 |
| Mean | 2881 | 2874 | 2839 | 2764 | 2965 | 2926 | 2804 | 2864 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2899 | 2971 | 2906 | 2769 | 3082 |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 2862 | 2777 | 2773 | 2760 | 2849 |  |  |  |
| $S_{1}$ | 2780 | 2894 | 2619 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2982 | 2855 | 3060 |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$
$=52.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $P, F$ or $S$
$=64.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $N \times P, N \times F$ or $N \times S$ table
$=915 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of $F \times P, F \times S$ or $P \times S$ table
$=74.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site: Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref:- Mh. 53(6).
Type :m 'CM'.

Object :-To compare the Japanese method of Paddy cultivation with the Departmental method.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Pulses in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse sol derived from Deccan trap rock. (b) N.A. (iii) $16.6 .1953 / 31.7 .1953$. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings puddling and planting. Interculturing in August and September. (b) N.A. (c) $5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{g} u \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ha. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late . (vii) Rainfed. (viii) Weeding, interculturing as per treatments. (ix) $123^{\circ}$. (x) 31.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of the following

Departmental
$A_{0}=$ Flat bed with I C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=8 \mathrm{lb} . /$ guntha of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$
$\mathrm{C}_{0}=$ Spacing $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$
$\mathrm{D}_{0}=8$ seedlings/bunch
$\mathrm{E}_{0}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. + Green manuring $\mathrm{E}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. + Green manuring $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. +64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \quad$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. as Super
$F_{0}=1$ hand weeding $\quad F_{1}=1$ hand weeding +3 intercuitures.
Note: A and B are seed bed treatments while others are field treatments.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2^{6}$ Fact. Confd. (ii) (a) 8 plots/block; 8 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) For spacing $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime} \times 10.5^{\prime}$; for spacing $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}-18^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) For spacing $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$ for spacing $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$. (v) Two lines on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth fairly good till flowering and harvesting. (ii) Crop severely affected by Jassids and Army-worms. Spraying of $50 \%$ D.D.T. was done at intervals. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, date of flowering, yield data noted for 10 plants in each treatment. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (iv) (a) Ratnagiri, Karjat. Kosbad, Kopergaon, Khopoli, Phondaghat and Padegaon. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1644 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 363.9 bb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ and interactions $\mathrm{AE}, \mathrm{BE}$, are significant while other effects and interactions zre not significant.
(iv) Table of mean and differantial responses.

| Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Response } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\text {A }}+$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}+$ |  | ${ }^{\text {D }}+$ | E | $\mathrm{F}+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | -166 | - - | -180 -152 | $1-333$ | - $21-311$ | $\begin{array}{ll}-490 & 158\end{array}$ | $-241-91$ |
| B | - 36 | - $50-22$ | - - | $\begin{array}{ll}-179 & 107\end{array}$ | -99 27 | $232-304$ | $-65-7$ |
| C | + 33 | 200-134 | $\begin{array}{ll}-110 & 176\end{array}$ | - - | 101-35 | 200-134 | $\begin{array}{ll}-64 & : 30\end{array}$ |
| D | +417 | $562 \quad 272$ | 354480 | $485 \quad 349$ | - - | $617 \quad 217$ | $\begin{array}{ll}453 & 381\end{array}$ |
| E | +274 | -50 598 | $542 \quad 6$ | $441 \quad 107$ | $474 \quad 74$ | - - | $345 \quad 203$ |
| F | - 74 | -149 | $-103-45$ | -171 23 | $-38-110$ | - 3-145 | - - |

S. E. of mean response $=64.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of differential response $=90.99 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref:- Mh. 49(120).
Type: ' ${ }^{\text {CM }}$ '.

Object :-To evolve a suitable substitute for method of rabbing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6.1949/1, 3.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $125.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27, 28.10.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.

## Sub-piot treatments:

1. Rabbing every year.
2. Village compost every year at $10000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. A/S every year at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. G.N.C. every year at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
5. Rabbing in 1 st year and $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost in 2 nd yea .
6. $10000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in 1st year and rabbing in 2nd year.
7. Rabbing in 1st year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in 2 nd year.
8. 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in 1st year and rabbing in 2nd year.
9. Rabbing in 1st year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. in 2 nd year.
10. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in 1 st year and rabbing in 2 nd year.
11. Proper tillage (deep ploughing and clod crushing so that the plot is maintained in a good condition for sowing seed.
12. Sterl zing the soil with Formaldehyde ( $40 \%$ formaline).

As this happens to be the 1st year of the expt., there are only 6 independent sub-plot treatments i.e.

| $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing 1,5,7 and 9). | $\mathrm{T}_{4}=$ G.N.C. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N (4 and 10). |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Compost at $10000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ( 2 and 6). | $\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Propper tillage (11). |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ( 3 and 8). | $\mathrm{T}_{6}=$ Sterlizing the soil (12). |

3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block ; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime \prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Karjat, Ratnagir and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $648 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $158.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $218.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the cffects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | 614 | 638 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 737 | 598 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 694 | 665 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 706 | 628 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 639 | 583 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | 554 | 720 |
| Mean | 657 | 667 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $F$ | $=18.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $=31.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $=44.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $=63.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 50(34)/49(120).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To evolve a suitable substitute for method of rabbing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) Paddy after paddy (fallow in Rabi). (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Coarse to medium black soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 12.6.1950/20.7.1950. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $10^{\circ \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding in 3rd week of September 1950. (ix) $147.25^{\circ \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
Sub-plot treatments:
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Compost every year ( $10000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.).
$T_{8}=A / S$ every year ( $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ).
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=$ : G.N.C. every year ( $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ).
$T_{5}=$ Rabbing in first year and $100 c 0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=10000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in secord year,
$T_{7}=$ Ratbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in second year.
$T_{0}=$ Rabbing in the 1st year and 201b./ac. of $N$ as G.N.C. in second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{1_{0}}=30 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac. of N as G.N.C. in 1st year and rabbing in second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Proper tillage.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=$ Sterlizing the soil with formaline.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 (originaly with 6 replications). (iv) (a) (main-plot) $73^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 40^{\prime}$, (sub-plot) $18^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime}-1^{\prime \prime}$. (b) (sub-plot) $15^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) With two rows on either side and $I^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1318 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $390.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $336.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of F.Y.M. alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1215 | 1356 | 1285 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 1470 | 1307 | 1389 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1083 | 1547 | 1315 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 1178 | 1461 | 1319 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 1083 | 1428 | 1255 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 1383 | 1165 | 1274 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 1404 | 1462 | 1433 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 1230 | 1476 | 1353 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{9}$ | 1036 | 1330 | 1307 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{10}$ | 1166 | 1295 | 1248 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{11}$ | 872 | 1729 | 1084 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ | 1151 | 1428 | 1340 |
|  |  |  |  |

S.E of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means. $=50.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means. $=106.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment $\quad=213.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment $\quad=216.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :-Mh. 51(213)/50(34)/49(120).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object :-To evolve a suitable substitute for method of rabbing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.6.1951/6.7.1951.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Broadcasting in the raised seed beds. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $116.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21,22, 23 and 26.10.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M.: $\quad F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L.iac. of F.Y.M.
Sub-plot treatments: (Seed bed treatments)
$T_{1} 二$ Rabbing every year.
$T_{2}=$ Villa e compost every year at $10000 / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M.
$T_{3}=A / S$ every year at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
$T_{4}=$ G.N.C. every year at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
$T_{5}=$ Rabbing first year and 10000 lb ./ac. of co mpost in 2 nd year.
$T_{6}=10000 \mathrm{lb}$;ac. of compost in ist year and rajbing in 2nd year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in 1st year and $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $2 n d$ year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in ist year and rabbing in 2 nd year.
$T_{9}=$ Rabbing in ist year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in 2nd year.
$T_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb}$. ac. of N as G.N C. in ist year and rabbing in 2nd year.
$T_{11}=$ Proper tillage (deep ploughing and clod-crushing so that the plot is maintained in good condition for sowing seed.
$T_{12}=$ Sterilizing the soil with Formaldehyde ( $50 \%$ formaline;
3. DESIGN -
(i) Split-plot. (ii) a) 2 main-plots/replication; 12 sub-plot/main-plot. (b) N. A. (ii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) $15^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime \prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ ring alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of crabs. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-54. (b) Yes. (c; Nil. (v) (a)

Karjat, Ratnagir, Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) ail.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1321 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{jac}$.
(ii) (a) $\quad 40.6 \mathrm{lo}$. ac.
(b) $3+.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-p ot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1366 | 1358 | 1362 |
| T: | 1254 | 1394 | 132. |
| T ${ }_{3}$ | 1269 | 1430 | 1349 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | 12:2 | 1391 | 1321 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | 1310 | 1474 | 1392 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | 1331 | 1229 | 1280 |
| T7 | 1255 | 1500 | 1377 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | 1461 | 1263 | 1362 |
| T9 | 1336 | 1618 | 1427 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | 117) | 1308 | 131 |
| T 11 | 733 | 1198 | 965 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | 1163 | 1732 | 14.7 |
| Mean | 1233 | 1408 | 1321 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=80.1 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=161.2 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{hc}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=2.8 .0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=232.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Paddy (Kharif). <br> Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type:-‘CM'.

-     -         - 

Object :- To evolve a suitable substitute for method of rabbing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (al Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii, 8.6.1952 and 9.6.1952/16,17 and 18.7.1952. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $\cdots$ (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{n}$. (e) 8 seedings/bunch (v) Nil. (vi) Paddy Z-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings on 4, 12, and 16.9.1952. (ix) $127.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1 and 2.11 .1952 .
2. 'TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
Subb-plot treatments:
Seed bed treatments
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$T_{2}=$ Village compost every year at $10,030 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac. of F.Y.M.
$T_{3}=A / S$ every year at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N .
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=$ G.N.C. every year at 30 lb ./ac. of N
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost in the 2 nd year.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=10,000 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the 2 nd year.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=$ Rabbing in the 1st year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the 2 nd year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the ist year and rabbing in the 2 nd year.
$T_{9}=$ Rabbing in the 1st year and $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as 3.N.C. in the 2 nd year.
$\mathrm{T}_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the 1st year and rabbing in the 2nd year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Proper tillage (deep ploughing and clod crushing so that the plot is maintained in a good condition for sowing seed).
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=$ Sterilizing the soil with phenol.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i. Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (planned with 6 replications). (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} .4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime} .4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) A ring of $20^{\prime \prime}$ kept round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth of the crop was, in general, quite good. (ii) The common crab pest of the paddy tract had created a large number of gaps. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kırjat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1234 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) (a) $239.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $393.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of main-plot treatments and interaction main $\times$ sub are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=48.9 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment
$=191.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub.plot treatment
$=278.4 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
$=270.8 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
§ite:-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref: $:$ Mh. 53(7)/52(65)/51(213)/50(34)/49(120).
Type :- ${ }^{6} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out a suitable substitute to replace the method of rabbing which is particularly followed in the Konkan tract for raising the seedlings.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Pulse in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse derived from Deccan tract. (b) N.A. (iii) 15.5.1953/18.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b: Broadcasting in seed bed. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime 7} \times 10^{7}$. (e) 8 seedlings/ounch. (v) Nil. (vi) Padjy Z-31 (mid-late). (vii) Rainfed. (viii) Puddling and planting in July, 1953 weeding and interculturceg done as per treatments. (ix) $123^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28,10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.X.M.

## Sub-plot treatments :

Seed bed treatments :
$T_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Compost at 10 C.L./ac. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. o N every year.
$T_{4}=$ G.N.C. at $30 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Rabbing in the 1 st year and compost at 10 C.L.fac in the 2 ad year.
$T_{6}=$ Compost at 10 C.L/ac. in the 1st year and rabbing in the 2nd year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in Ist year and $A / S$ at 30 lb ./ac. of N in $2 \mathrm{nd} y \mathrm{car}$.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $3 . \mathrm{lb}$. ac. of N in Ist year and rabbing in 2nd year.
$T_{3}=$ Rabbing in Ist year and G.N.C. at 30 lb ./ac. of N in 2nd year. $T_{10}=G . V . C$. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in Ist year and raobing in 2 nd year $T_{11}=$ Proper tilage.
$T_{12}=$ Sterlizing the seed $i$ e $d$ with formaline.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. ii) (a; 2 main-pluts/bock; 12 suo-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii, 6 . (iv) (a; $13^{\prime} .4^{3} \times 13^{\prime} .4^{4 \prime}$,
(b) $15 \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Crop was poor throughout the season. (ii) The experiment was affected by the pests Jassids followed by Army-worms. The gruwth was affected. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, date of flowerigg atd yield. (iv, (a) 1949-54. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat and Vadgaor. (b) N.A. (vi) Rains sacitcd late. Heavy rains in the beginning. Seeflings of all the treatments were poor at the time of transplanting. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $767.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $209.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $139.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 694.5 | 742.5 | 718.5 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 802.0 | 783.6 | 792.8 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 741.5 | 761.3 | 751.9 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 856.7 | 896.4 | 876.5 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 713.7 | 745.4 | 732.1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 734.2 | 781.7 | 757.9 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 822.3 | 760.9 | 791.6 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 805.9 | 759.4 | 782.6 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{9}$ | 682.9 | 785.5 | 734.2 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{10}$ | 773.4 | 754.6 | 764.0 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{11}$ | 729.9 | 774.9 | 752.4 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ | 699.9 | 809.7 | 754.8 |
|  | 755.2 | 779.6 | 767.2 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=34.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plet treatment means | $=56.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=80.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=84.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :- Mh. 49(18).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for method of rabbing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black soil derived from trap rock $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ deep $\mathrm{pH}=6.5$ to 7. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) $10.6 .1949 / 23$ to 27.7.49. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings before puddlings and one after puddlings. (b) Transplanting (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding in 3rd week of August. (ix) $133^{\circ}$. (x) 21 to 24.11.1949,

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 leveis of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.

## Sub-plot treatments :

1. Rabbing every year.
2. 10 C.L./ac. of compost every year.
3. 30 lb ./ac of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
4. 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. every year.
5. Proper tillage alone every year.
6. 3300 lb ./ac. of formaline every year.
7. Rabbing in the first year and $10 \mathrm{CL} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the second year.
8. First year 10 C.L./ac. of compost and rabbing in the second year.
9. Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
10. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
11. Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
12. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.

In the first year there are only 6 distinct sub-plot treatments as follows :-
$T_{1}=$ Rabbing $(1,7,9$ and 11$) . T_{2}=10$ C.L./ac. of compost $(2$ and 8$)$.
$T_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}(3$ and 10$) . \quad \mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .(4$ and 12).
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Proper tillage (5): $\quad \mathrm{T}_{6}=3300 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of formaline (6).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Main-plot $60^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$; sub-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(l) Normal. (ii) Attack of blast disease in seed bed from 1st July 1949 ; seedlings were treated with Perenox at the time of transplanting. No pest or disease in field trial. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1502 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $352.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $276.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 50(27)'49(18). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object:-To find out a suitable substitute for method of rabbing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITINOS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black soil derived from trap rock $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2.5^{\prime}$ deep $\mathrm{pH}=6.5$ to 7. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 13.6.1950, 74 to 28.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) broadcast. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 6 seedlings, bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K. 42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding done in the end of August. Rain water kept circulating. (ix) $124^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22 to 25.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of Y.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. before puddling.
Sub-plot treatments :
$T_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as A/S every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{Ir} . \mathrm{ac}$ of N as G.N.C. every year.
$T_{5}=$ Proper tillage alone every year.
$T_{6}=3: 00 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of formaline every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$T_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Main-plot: $60^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. Sub-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) No disease in seed bed ; sporadic attack of blast was observed by 3rd week of September but it was partly checked by Perenox. Attack of paddy mealy-bugs to some extent. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1447 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $900.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $318.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mead |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1540 | 1591 | 1566 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 1364 | 1549 | 1457 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1285 | 1266 | 1276 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 1205 | 1652 | 1429 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 1485 | 1474 | 1480 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 1354 | 1757 | 1556 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 1156 | 1555 | 1355 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 1442 | 1402 | 1422 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{9}$ | 1390 | 1254 | 1322 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{10}$ | 1347 | 1688 | 1518 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{11}$ | 1530 | 1628 | 1579 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ | 1347 | 1475 | 1411 |
| Mean | 1371 | 1524 | 1447 |
|  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two.

1. main-plot treatment means
2. sub-plct treatment means
$=183.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment
$=159.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment
$=224.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=283.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref Mh. $51(31) / 50(27) / 49(18)$.
Type:- 'CM'.
Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for the method of rabbing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy, (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black soil cerived from trap rock $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 13.6.1951/25.7.1951. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Two ploughings prior to puddling, one pudding to field plots and one hand digging, one weeding. (ix) $124^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.11.1951 and 21.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. before puddling.

## Sub-plot treatments :

$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac. compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Proper tillage only.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=3300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of formaline every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 10 C.L./ac. compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=10$ C.L./ac. compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C, in the second year.
$T_{12}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Main-plot $60^{\circ} \times 40^{\prime}$. sup-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Rabbing showed the best results. The effect of rabbing and formaline are the best. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1801 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $788.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $380.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 1484 | 1557 | 1520 |
| T2 | 1605 | 2164 | 1884 |
| T3 | 1679 | 1864 | 1771 |
| T4 | 2059 | 1906 | 1982 |
| T5 | $1465{ }^{\circ}$ | 1725 | 1595 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | 1986 | 1882 | 1934 |
| T7 | 1540 | 1783 | 1661 |
| T8 | 2090 | 1636 | 1863 |
| T9 | 1435 | 2183 | , 809 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | 1827 | 2016 | 1921 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | 1746 | 1760 | 1753 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | 1802 | 2043 | 1922 |
| Mean | 1726 | 1876 | 1801 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=160.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=190.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=268.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=303.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :m Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :- Mh. 52(56)/51(31)/50(27)/49(18).
Type : ‘ CM '.

Object : - To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived from trap roek, $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 14.6.1952/25.7.1952. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $109^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.11.1952, 14.11.1952 and 18.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. tefore pudding.
Sub-plot treatments:
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Proper tillage only.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=3300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of formaline every year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 10 C.L./ac. of compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=10$ C.L./ac. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{1_{0}}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) main-plot: $60^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. Sub-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$, (b) $15^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of rice skipper observed. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (vi) and (vii Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $554.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $250.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of main-plot treatments alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T 1 | 1415 | 1369 | 1392 |
| T2 | 1492 | 1776 | 1634 |
| T3 | 1394 | 1710 | 1552 |
| T4 | 1623 | 1761 | 1692 |
| T5 | 1379 | 1642 | 1511 |
| T ${ }_{6}$ | 1473 | 1942 | 1708 |
| T 7 | 1305 | 1764 | 1535 |
| T8 | 1804 | 1807 | 1806 |
| T9 | 1514 | 1773 | 1644 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | I421 | 1816 | 1619 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | 1669 | 1853 | 1761 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | 1487 | 1568 | 1528 |
| Mean | 1498 | 1732 | 1615 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=113.1 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means
$=125.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment $=176.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment
$=203.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(124)/52(e6)/51(31)/50(27)/49(18).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. Type :- 'CM'.
Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for the method of rabbing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black derived frona trap rock $6^{\circ}$ to $2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 14.6.19.3; 127.7.1953. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) Broadcasting seed in seed bed. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 133". (x) 22.11.1953.

## 2. 1 TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. before puddling.
Sub-plot treatments :
$T_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as A/S every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{3}}=$ Proper tillage only.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=3300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. formaline every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year-
$\mathrm{T}_{9}=$ Rabbing la the first year and $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year
$T_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $A / S$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in tae second year.
$T_{32}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $G . N . C$. in the frst year and rabbing in the second ycar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block, 12 sub-plots/main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (is) (a) Main-plot: $60^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$,sub-plot : $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $16-8^{\prime \prime} \times 6-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} .8^{a}$ ring round the net plo: (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight crab attack observed in some places. Stemborer attack in mid.-October. (iii) Grain yield, straw yield and no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. iv) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnag.r!, and Vadgacn. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1360 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $300.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $325.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the sub-plot treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T ${ }_{1}$ | 1509 | 1426 | 1468 |
| T ${ }_{2}$ | 1212 | 1248 | 1230 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 1356 | 1457 | 1406 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | 1010 | 1089 | 1064 |
| T5 | 1178 | 1423 | 1300 |
| T6 | 1662 | 1857 | 1759 |
| T7 | 1163 | 1383 | 1273 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | 1255 | 1282 | 1268 |
| T ${ }_{3}$ | 1304 | 1496 | 1400 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | 1148 | 1536 | 1342 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | 1389 | 1420 | 1404 |
| T 12 | 1132 | 1686 | 1409 |
| Mean | 1279 | 1442 | 1360 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means
$=61.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
2. sub-plot treatment means
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment
4. main-plot trestment means at a level of sub-plot treatment
$=162.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=230.0 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=228.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop:- Paddy (Khaif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat

## Ref: Mh. $52(31)$.

Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To find the test combination of spacing and manurial dose for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black soil derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 10.6.1952/9.7.1952, 10.7.1952 and 11.7.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A.
(c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (i.)
(x) 2.11.1952 and 8.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\quad F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
(4) 2 spacings: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact.in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of rice skippers and insects. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Kosbad Phondagbat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1626 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $493.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. .
(iii) Main effects of N and S are highly significant others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2305 | 1624 | 1219 | 1629 | 1804 | 1529 | 1904 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1849 | 1586 | 1177 | 1655 | 1420 | 1334 | 1742 |
| Mean | 2077 | 1605 | 1198 | 1642 | 1612 | 1432 | 1823 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1767 | 1507 | 1021 | 1519 | 1345 | 1626 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2388 | 1704 | 1376 | 1766 | 1880 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 2073 | 1612 | 1242 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 2082 | 1599 | 1155 |  |  |  |  |

## S.E. of marginal mean of N

S.E. of marginal mean of $F, P$ or $S$
S.E. of body of $N \times P, N \times F$ or $N \times S$ table
S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{S}$ table
$=100.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=82.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=142.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=116.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat .

Ref :- Mh. 53(120)/52(31).
Type: ' 'CM'.

Object :-To find out the best combination of exasingand manurial fiose for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy loam medium black soil derived from trap rock $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ deep ; pH 6.5 to 7. (b) Refer soil analyis, Kariat. (iii) 14.6.1953/17.3.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weedıng. (ix) $133^{\circ}$. (x) 12.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{V}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{4}}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 leve's of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(iv) 2 spacing: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.
$P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super of N and $A / S$ and G.N.C. mıxed in $1: 1$ ratio. $\frac{1}{2}$ dose of $N$ and full dose of $P$ applied at puddling and the remainiug $\frac{1}{2}$ duse of N applied 6 weeks after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact in R B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ at either end and 4 lines each sice for $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and 3 lines each side for $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. In plots treated with N there is vegelative growth. Lodging and low yield observed. (ii) Attack of army-worms. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Kopergaon, Kosbad, Phondayhat, Ratnagiri and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $913 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $366.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is signiticant while other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N a}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1064 | 877 | 856 | 995 | 869 | 916 | 949 | 932 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 1094 | 907 | 68 ; | 908 | 883 | 759 | 1031 | 895 |
| Mean | 1079 | 892 | 770 | 952 | 876 | 837 | 991 | 913 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 972 | 811 | 729 | 785 | 189 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1186 | 973 | 8.1 | 1117 | 863 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1162 | 839 | 853 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 996 | 945 | 683 |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ S.E. of marginal mean of $P, F$ or $S$ S.E. of body of $N \times P, N \times F$ or $N \times S$ table S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{S}$ table
$=74.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=61.6 \mathrm{rb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=105.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=86.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop : Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.
Ref :- Mh. 53(139).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object:-To study the Japanese method of Paddy cultivation in relation to cultivation accorditg to the departmentally recommended method.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy K-42. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam, medium black, derived from trap rock. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 16.6 .1953 and $22.6 .1953 / 28,29$ and 30.7 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 to 3 ploughings. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $133^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Repl. I-13.11.1953; 14.11.1953 and II-15.11.1953; 16.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)

3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{6}$ confounded Fact. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $10^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime} .10^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime} .10^{\prime \prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $7^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Mild attack of swarming caterpilles. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (e) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Khopoli and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS : See Page (178)
Crop :- Paddy. (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 53(359).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Khopoli.
Type : ' CM '.

Object :-To assess the relative merits of Japanese method and departmental method of Paddy cultivation.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black to light soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Khopoli. (iii) 23.6.1953/1 and 2.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) K-42. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $124^{\prime} .04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.11.1953.
. 5 RESULTS: Ref:-Mh. 53 (139)
(i) $2148 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $558.08 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$ and E are significant. All other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Means and differential response in lb./ac.

| Factor | Mean response | $a_{0}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $b_{0}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $c_{0}$ | $c_{1}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $e_{0}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | -314 | - | - | -496 | -132 | -331 | -297 | -401 | -227 | - 388 | -240 | --311 | $-317$ |
| B | 148 | -34 | 330 | - | - | 200 | 96 | 251 |  | 11 | 285 | 186 | 110 |
| C | -464 | -481 | -447 | -412 | -516 | - | - | - 537 | -391 | -292 | -636 | -505 | -423 |
| D | - 179 | $-266$ | -92 | -76 | -282 | -252 | -106 | - | - | --302 | -56 | -133 | -225 |
| E | 244 | 170 | 318 | 107 | 381 | 416 | 72 | 121 | 367 | - | - | 385 | 103 |
| F | 154 | 157 | 151 | 192 | 116 | 113 | 195 | 200 | 108 | 295 | 13 | - | - |

S.E. of mean response $=98.67 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of differential response $=139.52 \mathrm{lb}$./ac
5. RESULTS: Ref:- Mh. $\mathbf{5 3}(359$ )
(i) 2092 M. 53 (3
(ii) 365.06 lb .ac.
(iv) Mean and differential response in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.


[^3]S.F. of differential response $=129.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (

1) Departmental method
(2) Japanese method
A. Seed bed
$a_{0}=$ Flat.
B. Manuring of seed bed
$\mathrm{b}_{0}=1$ C.L. of F.Y.M. $+8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{gunth} a$ of A/S.
$\mathrm{b}_{1}=1$ C.L. of F.Y.M. +16 lb. of A/S +16 lb./guntha of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super +1 layer of ash.

## C. Manuring of field

$c_{0}=5$ C.L. of F.Y.M. + green manure $+64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super
$\mathrm{c}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. + green manure + 100 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
D. Spacing
$\mathrm{d}_{0}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.
E. No. of seedling/bunch
$e_{0}=8$.
$e_{1}=4$.
F. No. of interculturing
$f_{0}=1$ hand weeding and no interculturing.
$f_{1}=1$ hand weeding and 3 interculturings.
Green manure and 1st dose of fertilisers applied on 31.7.1953. 2nd dose of manures on 4.9.1953. 3rd dose of manures on 30.9.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{6}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) $22.5^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$. (b) $19.5^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ and $19^{\prime} .2^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime} .2^{\prime \prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Little attack of bacteria blight. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953 -N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Kopargaon, Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Effects confounded are ABC $\mathrm{ADF}, \mathrm{CEF}, \mathrm{ABEF}, \mathrm{BCDF}, \mathrm{ACDE}$ and BDEF.
5. RESULTS : See page (178)

Crop : Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

Ref :- Mh. 53(344).
Type: ' ${ }^{\text {CM' }}$.

Object:-To study the combination of manurial applications with different cultural practices on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Koihapur. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) 60 lo./ac. in drilled. (d) As per treatments. (e) 6 seed/dibble. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Waksal 207/(mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, interculturings as per treatment. (ix) $43.03^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 30.10 .1953 and 31.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).

1. 2 levels of manures : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{M}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
2. 8 cultural operations.
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Drilling $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 3 interculturings.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Drilling $15^{\circ}$ spacing between rows with 5 interculturings.
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Drillings $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 3 interculturings.
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Drilling $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 5 interculturings.
$\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Dibbling $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 3 interculturings one way.
$\mathrm{C}_{6}=$ Dibbling $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 3 interculturings two way.
$\mathrm{C}_{7}=$ Dibbling $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 5 interculturings one way.
$\mathrm{C}_{8}=$ Dibbling $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows with 5 interculturings two way.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) For $9^{\circ}$ spacing $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$; for $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. and for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing $27^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1953 to 1954.
(b) Nil.
(c) Nil. (v)
(a) Kopergaon, Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2777 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $444.9 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) (a) Main effect of M alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 2803 | 2728 | 2765 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 2438 | 3260 | 2849 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 2813 | 3023 | 2918 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 2753 | 3290 | 3021 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 1954 | 3010 | 2482 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 2224 | 2881 | 2552 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 2365 | 3368 | 2866 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 2599 | 2929 | 2764 |
| Mean | 2494 | 3061 | 2777 |
| -S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ <br> 'S.E. of marginal mean of cultural operations S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =78.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =157.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac.} . \\ & =222.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac.} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref:- Mh. 53(39).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the combinations of manurial applications with different cultural practices on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) "A" type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 15.̃.1953.
(iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) As per treatments. (c) In drilled plot seed rate 60 lb ./ac. (d) As per treat nents.
(e) 6 seed/dibble
(v) 5 C.L. of F.Y.M. before sowing.
(vi) Krishncsal (late variety). (vii) Irrigated.
(viii) Weeding 4 times, 2 harrowings and 1 bund making. (ix) $17.22^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22.11.1953 and 23.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2.

1. 2 manures: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{M}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
2. 8 cultural practices :
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Drilling $15^{*}$ spacing 3 interculturings
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Drilling $12^{*}$ spacing 3 interculturings
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Dritling $15^{*}$ spacing 5 interculturings
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Drilling $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing 5 interculturings
$\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Dibrling $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing 3 interculturings (one way).
$\mathrm{C}_{6}=$ Dibbling $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing 3 interculturings (two way).
$\mathrm{C}_{7}=$ Dibbling $9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing 5 interculturings one way).
$\mathrm{C}_{8}=$ Dibbling $9^{*} \times 5^{*}$ spacing 5 interculturings (two way).
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 8$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $9^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 9^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 10^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ and $2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ around net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) The germination in all plots was fair. No gaps were observed. The dibbled plots were more uniform compared with drilled plots. The crop was healthy with vigorous growth. The plots with higher doses of $\mathbf{N}$ were dark green in colour. (ii) Slight attack of blast disease. No control measures were taken. (iii) Germination date, flowering date, ploughing, height and tillers. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $2950 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 487.2 lb ./ac.
(iii) Only interaction MC is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 3146 | 2404 | 2775 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 2984 | 2561 | 2772 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 2531 | 2884 | 2707 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 2894 | 2833 | 2863 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 2843 | 3489 | 3166 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | 3196 | 2949 | 3297 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 2944 | 2964 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | 2954 |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of manures | $=86.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of cultural operations | $=172.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=243.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(76).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the manurial requirements in combination with different spacings for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Paddy. (b) Gram in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 30 th June and 1st July 1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Krishna sal (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, 1 harrowing. (ix) $11.87^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17 and 18.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(3) 2 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.
(4) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as A/S. and G.N.C. in the ratio $1: 1, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super. G.N.C. and Super drilled on 28.6.1952. A/S broadcast on 6.10.1952. F.Y.M. spread in June.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of blast disease. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Chiplun, Igatpuri, Karjat, Kosbad, Nawapur, Phondaghat, Ratangiri ind Vadgaon.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii)Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1887 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $478.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of F.Y.M. is significant. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=97.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $F$ or $S$ | $=79.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P, N \times F$ or $N \times S$ | $=138.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times F$, or $P \times S$ or $F \times S$ | $=112.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 53(38).
Type: $\boldsymbol{r}^{〔} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.

Otject :--To study the manurial requirements in combinations with different spacings for Paddy.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' tvpe. (b) Refer soil analysis, Koperwaor. (iii) 9.7.1953. (iv (a) 1 ploughing and 1 planking. (b) Drilled. (c; 40 lb /ac. (d) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Krishasal (late variety). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeings 4 times and weedings 3 times. (x) $17.22^{\circ}$. (x) 20.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 le els of F.Y M.: $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L.lac.
(4) 2 spacirgs : $S_{1}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. F.Y.M. applied before sowing. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Super applied on 8.7.1753.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of blast disease ; no. control measures were taken. (iii) Germination date, flowering, theight, tillering and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2601 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $387.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2313 | 2706 | 2740 | 2584 | 2588 | 2587 | 2586 | 2586 |
| - $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2552 | 2687 | 2659 | 2693 | 2539 | 2610 | 2621 | 2616 |
| Mean | 2433 | 2696 | 2674 | 2639 | 2563 | 2598 | 2504 | 2601 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2405 | 2683 | 2708 | 2563 | 2634 |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 2460 | 2710 | 2641 | 2715 | 2493 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2481 | 2732 | 2,13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 2384 | 2670 | 2636 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=79.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of marginal mean of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F}$ or S | $=64.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. o bo ty of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ | $=111.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of tab.e $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{S}$ | $=91.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kosbad.
Ref:- Mh. 53(342). Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the manurial requirements in combination with different spacings for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 8 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Sandy loam to cla;. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kosbad. (iii) $5.7 .1953 / 108.1953$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) As per treatments. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) K-68-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) i Hoeing and weeding. (ix) $93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.10 .1933.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) (2), (3, and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(.) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.. M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacinss: $S_{1}=6 \times 6^{n}$ atd $S_{2}=4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$.

N as A/S and G.N.C. in the ratio i: 1 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. N applied in two doses on 10.8 .1953 and 6.9.1953, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ on 10.8. 1953 and F.Y.M on 1.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$., (v) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ alrou nd the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was checked due to attack of bugs. (ii) Bugs attack; Gammaxene was sprayed. (iii) Grain an fodder yield. (iv) (a) 195 - 54 (b) (A. (c. Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Ratnagiri, Vadgaon, Kopergaon and Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi and vii vil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1925 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $481.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ and S and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant while other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} .!a e$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1763 | 1602 | 1515 | 1687 | 1566 | 1364 | 1889 | 1627 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2195 | 2436 | 2038 | 2055 | 2391 | 2104 | 2343 | 2223 |
| Mean | 1979 | 2019 | 1776 | 1871 | 1978 | 1734 | 2116 | 1925 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1782 | 1585 | 1835 | 1666 | 2076 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2176 | 2453 | 1719 | 1802 | 2155 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | 2015 | 1930 | 1670 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1945 | 2108 | 1883 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=120.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P, F$ or $S$ | $=98.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P, N \times F$ or $N \times S$ table | $=170.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times F, P \times S$ or $S \times F$ table | $=138.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : PPaddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kosbad.

Ref:-Mh. 53 (341).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object:-To assess the relative merits of Japanese method and deparmental method of Paddy cultivation.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(ii (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 8 CL /ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Sandy loam to clay. (b) Recer soil analysis, kosbad. (iii) 23 to 29.6.1959/31.7.1953 to 6.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Kolpi-70 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $93^{*}$ (x) 9.10.1953 to 13.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of 6 factors each at two levels.
A. Seed bed: $A_{0}=$ Flat and $A_{1}=$ Raised.
B. Manuring of seed bed: $B_{0}=$ Departmental method :1 C.L./guntha of F.Y.M. $+8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{Ig}$ antha of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. $B_{1}=$ Japanese method: 1 C.L $/$ guntha of F.Y.M. $+16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{guntha}$ of $A / S+$ layer of ash.
C. Manuring of field : $\mathrm{C}_{0}=$ Departmental method : $5 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M + Green manuring $+64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N$ as $A / S+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Japanese method: 5 C.L./ac. ofF .Y.M + Green manuring $+100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
D. Spacing: $\mathrm{D}_{0}=10^{*} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}=9^{*} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$,
E. Number of seedings/bunch : $E_{0}=8$ and $E_{1}=4$.
F. No. of interculturings : $F_{0}=1$ hand weeding and no interculturing and $F_{1}=1$ hand weeding and 3 interculturing.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $2^{6}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 8 blocks/replication; 8 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing $33 \times 10^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} ; 20^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ spacing $33^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Attack of bugs checked the growth of crop to a great extent. (ii) Attack of bugs. Gammaxene was sprayed. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat and Khopoli. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS : (See page 186)

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kosbad.

Ref:- Mh. 52(378).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To compare Japanese method of Paddy cultivation with the local method.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +320 lb ./ac. of manure mixture $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of super. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kosbad. (iii) 0. . .9:2/2,7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 2 puddlings. (b) to (e) As per treatments. (v) © C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi, Zenia-31. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) N.A. ix) 28.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Local method.
2. Japanese method.
3. Local method+Seed beds highly manured as Japanese method.
4. Local method+interculturings as per Japanese method.
5. Local method (without manure mixture) $+64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $+32 \mathrm{lb} /$ ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. ( $\frac{1}{2}$ dose at planting $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering).
6. Local method (without manure mixture $+64 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{NC}+32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. $\frac{1}{2}$ dose at planting $+\frac{1}{2}$ at tillering) + Interculturing as per Japancse me hod.

Japanese Method: 1 Seed dipped in trine solution and treated with formaline diluted solutions for three hours. A layer of fine silted compost spread over the seed red. It was sown, broadcast at the rate of 5 to 6 lb. for 1 or $1 \frac{1}{2}$ gunthas of seed bed area, in long and narrow teds ( $6^{\circ}$ broad and $3^{\prime \prime}$ high). Compost manure given at 1 C.L. per guntha to seed bed. Fertilizers applied after germination at the following rate per square yard.

$$
0.5 \mathrm{oz} . \text { of } \mathrm{N} \text { as A/S } 15 \text { days after germination. }
$$

0.4 oz . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super 15 days afier germi at on.
$0.4 \mathrm{oz} . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Sulphate of potash 15 days after germination. light dressing.
(2) 1 weeding of seedlings.
(3) Transplanting done in straight rows of $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ with 4 seedlings/bunch. The seedlings thrust straignt in the puddle.
(4) 3 to 5 interculturings.
(5) Fertilizers given to supply the following quantities of nutrients per ac. of the field
$80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $A / \mathrm{S}$.
$70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Sulphate of Potash.

One half of the total dose applied at the time of transplanting, $\frac{1}{4}$ at tillering and $\frac{1}{4}$ at emergence stage.
Local Method: (1) Seedling raised in long and narrow beds. Compost given before sowing at the rate of
5. RESULTS: Ref: Mh. 53 (341)
(i) $954 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) $306.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ and interaction AC are highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Table of mean and differential responses in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


1 C.L./guntha. Seedrate 27 lb . for 5 gunthas of seed bed area. Manure mixture given at 12 to $15 \mathrm{lb} . /$ guntha. Seed treated with perenox.
(2) 1 weeding of seedlings.
(3) Transplanting ; done $8^{n} \times 8^{n}$ with 8 seedlings.
(4) 2 hand weedings
(5) Manure mixture will be given at the time of puddling to supply $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $60^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $58.5^{\prime} \times 10.5^{\prime}$. (v) $9^{\prime \prime}$ alround the ret p'ot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yleld. (iv) (a) $1952-$ N.A. (b) This is the first year of the experimet. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2612 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $416.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1791 |
| 2, | 3511 |
| 3. | 2281 |
| 4. | 2518 |
| 5. | 2654 |
| 6. | 2920 |
| S.E./mean | $=240.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref. :- Mh. 50(119)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type : ' CM '.

Object :-To find out the best time of sowing and optimum dose of manure for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Petween rows $12^{\prime \prime}$. e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding; 14.6.1950, 9.7.1950, 23.7.1950, 13.8.1950 and 5.9.1950. (ix) $22.91^{\circ}$. (x) 27.10 .1950 for 1 st and $2 \mathrm{nd}, 1.11 .1950$ for 3 rd and 4 th and 14.11 .1950 for 5 th dates of sowing.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments

5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=16.5 .1950, D_{2}=31.5 .1950, D_{3}=15.6 .1950, D_{4}=30.6 .1950$ and $D_{6}=15.7 .1950$.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=128 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 9 sub-plot/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 20^{\circ}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Affected by blast of Rui and Papade. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 19:9-1952, (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1448 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $570.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $429.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and D are significant. Also the interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{D}$ is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=133.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N or P marginal means
$=77.56 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $N$ or $P$ means at a level of $D$
$=175.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{D}$ means at a level of N or P
$=196.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 51(161).
Type :m 'CM'.

Object :-To find out the best time of sowing and optimum dose of manure for Paddy crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar in one block and wheat in the other, (c) 20 lb ./ac. of Na as: $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to Jowar and 40 lo lac . of $N$ as $A / S$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio to wheat. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Mil. (vi Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 7 weedings, spraying peronox on 30th August aad 30
 3 rd, 4 th and 5 th sowing date ; 16.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=16$. .1951, $\mathrm{D}_{2}=31.5 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{3}=15.6 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{4}=30.6 .951$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=15.7 .1951$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=128 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=64 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 9 sub-plots/main-jlot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $27.22^{\prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $2.89^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Blast and papade attack. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1642 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $454.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $311.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant, interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{D}$ is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 838 | 1938 | 1910 | 1562 | 1710 | 1690 | 1286 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 896 | 2041 | 2418 | 1785 | 1732 | 1762 | 1861 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1024 | 1868 | 2120 | 1671 | 1651 | 1725 | 1636 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1000 | 1731 | 2180 | 1637 | 1694 | 1587 | 1630 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 1130 | 1593 | 1940 | 1554 | 1592 | 1480 | 1586 |
| Mean | 978 | 1834 | 2114 | 1642 | 1676 | 1649 | 1600 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 942 | 1826 | 2260 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1030 | 1798 | 2119 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 960 | 1879 | 1961 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=107.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means

$$
=56.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

3. $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ means at a level of $\mathbf{D}$
$=127.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at a level of $N$ or $P$
$=149.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(191).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To find out the optimum manurial dose and best sowing date for Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sweet Potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Hand sowing. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $1^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding on 15.6.1952, 1.7.1952, 24.7.1952, 8.8.1952, 28.8 .1952 and 3.9.1952. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.11.1952, 11.11.1952, 14.11.1952 and 22.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing: $D_{1}=16.5 .1952, D_{2}=31.5 .1952, D_{3}=15.6 .1952, D_{4}=30.6 .1952$ and $D_{5}=15.7 .1952$.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=128 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:1 ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manuring done at sowing and on 6.9.1952 and 4.10.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) main-plots/block ; 9 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 18^{\circ}$. (b) $2)^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of tlast. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1560 \quad \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
ii) (a) $583.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $365.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $N$ and $P$ are significant. Effect of $D$ and interactions $N \times D$ and $P \times D$ are significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | Ds | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {2 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 627 | 759 | 795 | 836 | 866 | 777 | 703 | 855 | 772 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1399 | 2172 | 1993 | 1937 | 1383 | 1697 | 1493 | 1859 | 1779 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1839 | 2932 | 2679 | 1972 | $16 \mathrm{J9}$ | 2206 | 2003 | 2350 | 2260 |
| Mean | 1288 | 1954 | $1 \times 22$ | 1448 | 1286 | 1560 | 1383 | 1688 | 1504 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1264 | 1568 | 14.1 | 1343 | 1275 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1381 | 2291 | 2075 | 1510 | 1183 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1220 | 20.4 | 1909 | 1493 | 1400 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difierence of two

| 1. D marynal means | $=137.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. N or P mareinal means | $=367 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. N or P means at a level of D | $=149.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. D means at a level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ | $=183.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn, Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(278).
Type :~ 'CM'.

Object:-To study the effect of manurial doses combined with different cultural operations on the yield of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 20.6.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding on 8.7.1953 and 18.8.1953 ; Interculturing on 16.7.1953, 27.7.1953, 8.8.1953, 24.8.1953 and 3.9.1953. (ix) 16.35". (x) 11.11.1953 and 12.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 manurial doses : $M_{1}=64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(2) 8 cultural operations :

|  | Method of sowing | Spacing | Interculturing | Seedrate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | Drilling | $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (b) | Drilling | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (c) | Drilling | $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (d) | Drilling | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| (e) | Dibbling | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 one way | 6 seedsidibbse |
| (f) | Dibbling | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 two way | 6 seeds/dibble |
| (g) | Dibbling | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 one way | 6 seeds/dibble |
| (h) | Dibbling | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 two way | 6 seeds/dibble |

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) $132^{\circ} \times 74^{\prime}$ including water canal and bud. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$, $12^{\prime \prime}$ and $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. $27^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (v) 4, 3 and 2 rows on either side respectively for $9^{\prime \prime}, 12^{\prime \prime}$ and $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $1^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ at either end of the plot for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $3^{\prime}$ in case of $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Healthy and Normal. (ii) Slight attack of blast disease and was controlled by spraying perenox. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2604 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $405.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of manure alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}_{1}}$ | $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{M}_{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 2269 | 2247 | 2258 |
| b | 2317 | 2737 | 2527 |
| c | 2682 | 3043 | 2774 |
| d | 2443 | 2932 | 2743 |
| e | 2443 | 2680 | 2687 |
| f | 2329 | 2873 | 2504 |
| g | 2203 | 2769 | 2838 |
| h | 2440 |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of cultural operations
S.E. of marginal mean of manures
$=143.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=71.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table
$=202.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:~ Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 53(279).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object:-To assess the relative merits of Japanese and departmentally recommended methods of Paddy cultivation.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type, (b) Refer soil analvsis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.1953 / 25.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) As per treatments. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and e) As per treatments. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. Sann green manuring sown at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in early June and ploughed in the lst week of July; $32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as per treatmer tsfrom triple Super. (vi) Krishnasal. (vii) Irrigated (viii) Weeding 28.6.1953 and 9.10.1953, interculturing on 6.9.1953, 25.9.1953 and 10.10.1953. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

> (1) Departmental method
> A. Seed bed
> B. Manurirg of seed bed
> $\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ Flat.
> 8 lb . /gun tha of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
> $\mathrm{A}_{1}=$ Raised.
> $\mathrm{B}_{1}=1$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+16 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{guntha}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+$ layer of ash.
> $\mathrm{C}_{1}=5 \quad$ C L./ac. of F.Y.M. ${ }^{\circ}+$ G.M. +100 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
> $\mathrm{C}_{0}=5$ C.L./ae. of F.Y.M. + G.M. +64 lb ./ac.
> of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
> D. Spacing between bunches
> $\mathrm{D}_{0}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. E. Number of seedings/bunc
> $\mathrm{E}_{0}=8$.
> $D_{1}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$.
> - $E_{1}=4$.
> F. Number of interculturings
> $\mathrm{F}_{0}=$ One hand weeding and no inter-
> culturing.
> $F_{1}=$ One hand weeding and 3 inlerculturing.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $2^{6}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 64 (Plot wise yield data N.A. Hence analysed as R.B.D. with 64 treatments/ block). (b) N A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $10.5^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ and $10^{\prime} .11^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime} .0^{\prime \prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $0^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively (b) $7.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ and $7.5^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime \prime}$ spacing respectively. (v) Two rows on each side and two plants of each row at each end of the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil .
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2224 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 332.2 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects of $B, C$ and $E$ and interactions $A \times F$ and $C \times D$ are signi icant. Other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Mean and differential response in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.

Ref :-Mh. 53(335).
Type :-‘CM'.

O ject :-To study the optimum dose of $N$ and $P$ with different spacings fcr Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 300 lb ./ac. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Loam. (b) N A. (iii) 15.6.1953/12 to 19.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -- (d) As Fer treatments. (e) 3 seedings/ bunch. (v) N.A. (vi) Panavel-61 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $170^{\prime \prime}$. ( $\mathrm{x} ; 8, \mathrm{~s}, 101 \mathrm{~J} .1953$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 levels of $N: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=64 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=64, \mathrm{P}_{2}=96$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=128 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.

N as A/S and G.N.C. in 1:1 ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of Army worms. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953-54. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kosbad, Ratnagiri, Vadgaon, Karjat and Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $975 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $294.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and interactions is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | S2 | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 923 | 971 | 917 | 977 | 937 | 957 | 947 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1069 | 930 | 1036 | 963 | 1065 | 933 | 999 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 907 | 1053 | 955 | 1006 | 996 | 964 | 980 |
| Mean | 966 | 985 | 969 | 982 | 999 | 951 | 975 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1034 | 965 | 1002 | 997 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 898 | 1005 | 936 | 967 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 943 | 995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 989 | 975 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=60.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N, F$ or $S$ | $=49.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of $P \times N, P \times F$ or $P \times S$ table | $=84.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F, N \times S$ or $F \times S$ table | $=69.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.
$\dot{R e f}:-\mathrm{Mh}^{52(19)}$.
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the optimum req irements of N and P with basal dose of F.Y.M. in combination with spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy after Pa dy (b) Paddy in Kharif. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1952/ 236.1953 and 26.10 .195 .. (iv) (a) Ploughing and harrowing before transplanting. (b) Transplanting (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N A. (vi) Waksal. 207 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $70.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27 to 29.1 J. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{1}=61, P_{2}=9$, and $P_{3}=128 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 le.els of F. ، .M. : $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacings : $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ Factorial in R.B D. ,ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) 5 rows on enther sice on the net plot for $8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and 4 rows for $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. $3^{\prime \prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i Good. (ii) Nal (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Hata"
khamba. (b) N A. (vi, and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2944 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $3383 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $N$ and $P$ and interactions $N \times P, S \times F$ are significant, while other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=69.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mer $n$ of N or F or S | $=56.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times N, P \times F$ or $P \times S$ | $=97.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{S}$ or $S \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=79.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref:- Mh. $52(105) / 52(19)$.
Type : $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ 'CM'.

Object:-To study the optimum requirements of N and P with basal dise of F.Y.M. in combination with spacing required for paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Paddy, (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1953/ 13 to 17.7.1953, (iv) (a! 4 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) N.A. (e) 8 seedlings/ bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) Waksal-207. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing and weeding at the time of application of, N , Ist dose of N on 5.8 .1953 and 2 nd on 21.8.1953. (ix) $148.06^{\circ}$. (x) 1 to 2.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=64, \mathrm{P}_{2}=96$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=128 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
(3) 2 levels of F Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacings: $S_{1}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=10^{*} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 1$ tatio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Factorial in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) 'a) $33^{\prime} .4^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} .8^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} .4^{\circ}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good. (ii) Slight attack of blue beetle. (iii) Grain yield. iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (i) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3037 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $367.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of F and interaction $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant, while other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2978 | 2955 | 2935 | 2968 | 2944 | 3064 | 2848 | 2956 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3037 | 3065 | 3753 | 3094 | 3142 | 3355 | 2881 | 3118 |
| Mean | 3007 | 3010 | 3094 | 3031 | 3043 | 3210 | 2865 | 3037 |
| $S_{1}$ | 3219 | 3166 | 3244 | 3287 | 3133 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2796 | 2854 | 2945 | 2776 | 2954 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 3132 | 2996 | 2966 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{2}$ | 2883 | 3024 | 3223 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=75.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of marginal mean of $N$ or $F$ or $S$ | $=61.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times N$ or $P \times F$ or $P \times S$ | $=106.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times F$ or $F \times S$ or $S \times N$ | $=86.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 52(18).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.
Type: ' ${ }^{\text {CM' }}$.
Object: To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Paddy after paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1952/ Replication ist-14 7.1952, 2nd-13.7.1952, 3rd-7.7.1952, 4th-7.7.1952 and 5th-29.6 1952. (iv) (a) Puddling before transplanting. Seed-bed growth satisfactory. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings per bunch. (v) Pil. (vi) Patni-6 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 27 th and 30th July, 1952. (ix) $70.20^{*}$. (x) 1st and 2nd on 25.9.1952, 3rd and 4th on 23.9.1952 and 5th and 6 th on 22.9.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.

## Sub-plot treatments :

1. Rabbing every year.
2. $10,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathbf{F}$ Y.M. every year.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N every year.

4 G.N C. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N every year.
5. Rabbing in the 1st year and $10,100 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac. of F.Y.M. in the 2 nd year.
6. $10,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. in the 1st year and rab: ing in the 2nd year.
7. Rabting in the lst year and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the 2nd year.
8. $A / S$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. of N in the 1st year and rabbing in the 2nd year.
9. Rabbing in the 1st year and G.N.C. at 30 lb ./ac. of $N$ in the 2 nd year.
10. G.N.C. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the 1st year and rabbing in the 2nd year.
11. Proper tillage.
12. Sterlising the soil with Formaline.

Being first year of the experment, there are 6 distinct treatments as follows:
$T_{1}=$ Rabbing $(1,5,7,9)$.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10,(00 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of F Y.M. $(2,6)$.
$T_{3}=A / S$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}(3,8)$.
$T_{4}=G . N C$. at $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}(4,10)$. $\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Proper tillage.
and $\mathrm{T}_{6}=$ Sterilising the soi with Formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot (b) N.A. iii) 4. (originally planned with 6 replications). (iv) (a) $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Replications 5 and 6 affected by Sparrows, which were scared away. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2351 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $872.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $297.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 2494 | 2321 | 2407 (32) |
| T | 2151 | 2270 | 2210 (16) |
| T3 | 2289 | 2377 | 2:33 (16) |
| T ${ }_{4}$ | 2297 | 2397 | 2347 (16) |
| Ts | 2317 | 2399 | 2358 (8) |
| T6 | 2447 | 2443 | 2445 (8) |
| Mean | 2351 | 2351 | 2351 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of main-plot |  |  | $=125.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $T_{1}$ |  |  | $=52.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E, of marginal mean of $T_{2}, T_{3}$ or $T_{4}$ |  |  | $=74.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $T_{5}$ or $T_{6}$ |  |  | $=1054 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Paddy (Kharif).
Site : ${ }^{\text {Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. }}$

Ref:- Mh. 53(104)/52(18).
Type: ' $\mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Mala inter lying. Lime requirments in terms of $\mathrm{CaCo}_{3}=4.4$ ton/ac., pH value 5. (b) N.A. (iii) $4.6 .1953 / 6.7 .53$ to 8.7 .53 (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting (c) - . (d) $10^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Patni-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 22.6.1953. and 24.7.1953. Interculturing 12 to 14 th August 1953. (ix) $148.06^{44}$. (x) 10.10 .1953 16.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
2 levels of F.Y.M : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.

## Sub-plot treatments:

1. Rabbing every year.
2. $10,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. every year.
3. $A / S$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N$ every year.
4. C.N.C. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N every year.
5. Rabbing in the 1 st year and $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. in the 2 nd year.
6. $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac of F.Y.M. in the first year and rabbing in the 2nd year.
7. Rabbing in the 1st year and $A / S$ at 30 lb ./ac. of $N$ in the $2 n d$ year.
8. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the 1 st year and rabbing in the 2 nd year. .
9. Rabbing in the 1 st year and G.N.C. at 30 lb ./ac. of N in the 2 nd year.
10. G.N.C. at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathbf{N}$ in the 1 st year and rabbing in the 2 nd year.
11. Proper tillage.
12. Sterilising the soil with Formaldehyde. (Formaline)

A/S applied on 17.6.1953. and G.N.C. on 18.6.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 13^{\prime \prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$. $8^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination poor in formaldehyde plots. F.Y.M. plots could. produce medium type seedlings. In fie'd plots, the growth was normal. (ii) Slight attack of blue beetle. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 19521955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3201 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $702.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $520.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Sub-plot treatment means are highly significantly different, while others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 3331 | 3181 | 3256 |
| T 2 | 3174 | 3315 | 3245 |
| T3 | 3402 | 3006 | 3204 |
| T4 | 3317 | 3435 | 3376 |
| T5 | 3574 | 3346 | 3460 |
| T6 | 3081 | 3533 | 3307 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | 3236 | 3496 | 3366 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | 3263 | 3555 | 3409 |
| T9 | 3306 | 3342 | 3324 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3501 | 3403 | 3452 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | 3428 | 3247 | 3338 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | 1878 | 1462 | 1670 |
| Mean | 3208 | 3194 | 3201 |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=117.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means
$=212.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment
$=300.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment
$=311.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site : m Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.

R(f:~Mh. 52(168).
Type:~'CM'.

Object : - To study the optimum dose of N and P with basal manuring of F.Y.M. in combination with spacing for Faddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar in Rabi. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b; N.A. (iii) 15.6.1952/29.7.1952.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) As per treatments. (e; N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Ambemohor - 57.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) $74.70^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 22.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=178$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacings: $S_{1}=6^{*} \times 6^{*}$ and $S_{2}=8^{*} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.
$N$ in the form of $A / S$ and G.N.C. and $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Stem borer and leaf-roller affected the crop very severely. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratoagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1993 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $4392 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Spacing and F.Y.M. effects are significant while other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1999 | 1838 | 1731 | 2106 | 19:0 | 1866 | 1919 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2061 | 2216 | 2106 | 2171 | 2203 | 2074 | 2139 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1841 | 2002 | 1806 | 2036 | 2121 | 1721 | 1921 |
| Mean | 1967 | 2019 | 1881 | 2104 | 2098 | 887 | 1993 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2173 | 2024 | 2060 | 2137 |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 1761 | 2013 | 1702 | 2072 |  |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 1868 | 1894 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 2066 | 2143 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=89.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal of $P$ or $F$ or $S$ | $=3.2 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P$ or $N \times S$ or $N \times F$ | $=126.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S E. of body of table $P \times S$ or $P \times F$ or $S \times F$ | $=103.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
Ref :-Mh. 53(254)/52(1.68).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object:-To study the optimum dose of N and P with basal manuring of F.Y.M. in combination with spacing for Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 126.1953 22, 23.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) 一. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Ambemohor-157. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $46.38^{\circ}$. (x) 18.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 levels of N : $\mathrm{N}_{1}=96, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=128$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 2 spacings : $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=8^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, Kopergaon and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2502 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $458.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 2115 | 2347 | 2140 | 2321 | 2411 | 2050 | 2231 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 2611 | 2719 | 2721 | 2609 | 2604 | 2726 | 2665 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 2526 | 2693 | 2628 | 2590 | 2523 | 2696 | 2609 |
| Mean | 2417 | 2586 | 2496 | 2507 | 2513 | 2491 | 2502 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2460 | 2565 | 2528 | 2497 |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 2374 | 2607 | 2465 | 2517 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2402 | 2591 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 2432 | 2582 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=93.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $F$ or $S$ | $=75.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P$ or $N \times S$ or $N \times F$ | $=132.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $S \times P$ or $S \times F$ or $F \times P$ | $=108.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Paddy (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.

Ref:-Mh. 53(334).
Type:-‘CM'.

Object :-To study the Japanese method of Paddy cultivation in relation to the departmertal method.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 13.6.1953/21.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $46.38^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 17.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of the following :-
(1) Departmental method
(2) Japanese method
A. Seed bed
$\mathrm{A}_{0}=$ Flat $\quad \mathrm{A}_{1}=$ Raised bed.
B. Manuring of seed bed
$B_{0}=1$ C.L./ac of F.Y.M. $+8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{gunth} \quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{B}_{1}=1$ C.L./ac. of F Y.M. $+16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ of $A / S$ $16 \mathrm{lb} . /$ guntha of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+$ layer of ash
C. Manuring of field

| $\mathrm{C}_{0}=5$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. + green | $\mathrm{C}_{1}=5 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of F.Y.M +green manuring + |
| ---: | ---: |
| manuring $+64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as | $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}-80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |  |

D. Spacing
$D_{0}=10^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime \prime}$.

$$
\mathrm{D}_{1}=9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}
$$

E. Number of seedlings/bunch
$\mathrm{E}_{0}=8$.
$\mathrm{E}_{1}=4$.
F. Number of interculturings
$\mathrm{F}_{0}=1$ hand weeding and no inter-
$\mathrm{F}_{1}=1$ hand weeding and 3 nterculturings. culturing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{6}$ Confounded (ii) (a) 8 plots/block; 8 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) $10^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime} .10^{\prime \prime} \times 25^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ for $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $7^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (v! N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of Bacterial - blight. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 19.3-54. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Karjat, Khopoli, Kosbad and Kopergaon. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS: See page 201

| Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 49(87). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. | Type :"CM'. |

Object :-To find out a suitable substitue for rabbing Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) $320 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.6.194918.8.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $9^{n} \times 9^{n}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 harrowing. (ix) $34.83^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 4.12.1949.

## RESULTS: Reî. :-Mh. 53 (334)

(i) $2553 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $304.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iil) Main effects of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and E are highly significant ; other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Mean and differential response in lb ./ac.

| Factor | response | A |  | $\underset{B}{\text { Differential response }}$ |  | C |  | D + |  | E + |  | $-\quad \mathrm{F}+$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - | + |  |  | - | + | - | + |  |  |  |  |
| A | 133.75 | - | - | 266.86 | 0.64 | 123.26 | 144.24 | 131.66 | 135.84 | 57.60 | 209.90 | 169.73 | 97.77 |
| B | 161.99 | 295.10 | 28.88 | - | - | 275.89 | 48.09 | 139.08 | 184.90 | 168.44 | 155.54 | 223.30 | 100.68 |
| C | 417.22 | 406.73 | 427.71 | 531.12 | 3:3.32 |  | - | 329.78 | 504.68 | 430.45 | 403.99 | 408.51 | 425.93 |
| D | -20.33 | -22.42 | -18.24 | -43.24 | 2.58 | - 107.77 | 67.11 | - | - | -77.44 | 36.78 | -105.84 | 65.18 |
| E | -195.87 | -272.02 | -119.72 | -189.42 | -202.32 | -182.64 | -209.10 | $-2.2 .98$ | $-138.76$ | - | - | -287.51 | -104.23 |
| F | 114.87 | 150.85 | 78.89 | 176.18 | 53.56 | 106.16 | 123.58 | 29.36 | 200.38 | 23.23 | 206.51 | - | - |

[^4]
## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :

1. Rabbing every year.
2. Compost every year at 10 C.L /ac.
3. 30 lb ./ac of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
4. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C every year.
5. Rabbing in first year and 10 C.L/ac. of compost in the second year.
6. 10 C.L./ac compost in first year and rabting it the second year.
7. Rabbing in first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lc}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in second year.
8. $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
9. Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as G NC. in the second year.
10. $30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$ of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
11. Proper tillage alone.
12. Sterlising the soil with formaline,

In the first year of the experiment, there are only 6 distinct treatment; as follows: $T_{1}=$ Rabbing $(1,5,7$ and 9 ), $T_{2}=$ Compost at 10 C.L./ac. (2 and 6), $T_{3}=0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}(3$ and 3$), \mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $(4$ and 10$) . T_{5}=$ Proper tillage and $T_{3}=$ Sterilising the soil with formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plcts/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. .b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} .(v) 1.5^{\prime}$ round the oct plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-54. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat and Ratnagiri, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $923 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $1320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 206.8 l /ac.
(iii) Main effect of sub-plot treatments alone is significant. Others are not signtefcant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | 970 | 949 | 959 : 32 |
| T2 | 785 | 953 | 869 (16) |
| T3 | 1030 | 1093 | 1061 (16) |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | 885 | 970 | 927 (16) |
| T ${ }_{5}$ | 786 | 819 | 802 (8) |
| T6 | 803 | 817 | 810 (8) |
| Mean | 906 | 955 | 1973 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of main-plots <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $T_{1}$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $T_{2}, T_{3}$ or $T_{4}$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $T_{5}$ or $T_{6}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =19.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =36.6 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =51.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =73.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |

Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
Ref:- Mh. 50(105)/49(87).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.6 .1950 / 24.8.19:0. (iv) (a) N.A. b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding, (ix) N.A. (x) 7.12.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 levels of F.Y.M. ; $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Compost every year at 10 C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 10 C.L./ac. of compost in the second year.
$T_{6}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{c}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{11}=$ Proper tillage alune.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=$ Sterlising the soil with formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 (originally planned with 4 replications.) (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) and (vii) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop was damaged due to continuous rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, vigour of secdlings and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes, (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karjat, and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1302 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $1233.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $317.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main plot treatments, sub-plot treatment and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1500 | 1445 | 1473 |
| T2 | 983 | 1227 | 1105 |
| T3 | 1399 | 1496 | 1448 |
| T4 | 1101 | 1420 | 1261 |
| T ${ }_{5}$ | 1281 | 1340 | 1311 |
| T ${ }_{6}$ | 1172 | 1428 | 1300 |
| T 7 | 1433 | 1445 | 1439 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | 1113 | 1332 | 1223 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{9}$ | 1399 | 1256 | 1328 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | 950 | 1378 | 1164 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ | 1147 | 1399 | 1273 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ | 1147 | 1441 | 1294 |
| Mean | 1219 | 1384 | 1302 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=290.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=183.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-p'ot treatment means at a level of mean-plot treatment | $=258.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=382.0 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
Ref:= Mh. 51(143)/50(105)/49(87).
Type:~ 'CM'.
```

Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6.1951/1.8.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - . (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weedıng on 11.9.1951. (ix) $35.96^{\circ}$ (x) $28,29.11$.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac. nf compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
$T_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. every year.
$T_{5}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 10 C.L./ac. of compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second y sar.
$\mathrm{T}_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{1_{0}}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Proper tillage alone.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=$ Sterlising the soil with Formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1954$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri, Karja: and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1232 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $198.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $129.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


## S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=40.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=64.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=91.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=96.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Paddy (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(167)/51(143)/50(105)/49(87).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. Type :- ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.
Object :-To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 20.6.19521 6.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) $74.70^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 24 and 25.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 levels of F.Y.M.: $\quad \mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Rabbing every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 10 C.L./ac. of compost in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in the first year and 30 lb ./ac. of N as A/S in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{8}=301$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Proper tillage alone.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}=$ Sterlising the soil with Formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\circ}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot ${ }_{n}$ (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Igatpuri,
Karjat and Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1372 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $546.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1638 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 1342 | 1330 | 1336 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 1280 | 1450 | 1365 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1428 | 1494 | 1461 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 1409 | 1374 | 1391 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1315 | 1321 | 1318 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 1389 | 1478 | 1434 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 1377 | 1491 | 1434 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 1248 | 1352 | 1300 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{9}$ | 1295 | 1383 | 1352 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{10}$ | 1396 | 1204 | 1389 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{11}$ | 1333 | 1358 | 1268 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ | 1359 |  |  |
| Mean |  | 1356 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=111.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=81.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=115.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=159.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Paddy (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(253) 52(167)/51(143)/50(105)/49(87).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. Type :- 'CM'.
```

Object :--To find out a suitable substitute for rabbing of Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Paddy. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black (b) N.A. (iii) 15.6.1953;
2.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting (c) --. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 8 seedlings/bunch. (v) Nil. (vi) NA. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $45.38^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 23.11 .1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments

2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L.fac.
Sub-plot treatmerts :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{Rab}^{\prime}$ ing every year.
$T_{2}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$. ac. of N as $A / S$ every year.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=30 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G. 1 C every year.
$T_{5}=$ Rab ing $n$ the first year and 10 C.L/ac. of compost in the second year.
$T_{6}=10 \mathrm{CL}$.;ac. of compost in the iirst year and rabbing in the second year.
$T_{7}=$ Rabbing in the brst year and 30 b , ac . of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in the second year.
$T_{8}=3010 . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N$ as $A / S$ in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{9}=$ Rabbing in the first year and $3 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the second year.
$\Gamma_{10}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. in the first year and rabbing in the second year.
$\mathrm{T}_{11}=$ Proper tillage alone.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}-$ Sterilising the soll with Formaline.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 12 sub-plets/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii 4 . (iv) (a) $21^{\circ} \times 15^{\circ}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi, Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Repln. I suffered from uneven water level and had poor yield. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a; 19ł9-19J4. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) a Igatpuri, Karjat and Ratnagiri. (b; N. A. (vi) and (vii) Nıl.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\quad 1305 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $1265.0 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $428.4 \mathrm{lb} \cdot \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interaction are not signinicant.
(iv) Av. yield of graia in Ib., ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | 955 | 1068 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 854 | 1239 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 1292 | 1260 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 1380 | 1318 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 1611 | 1437 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 1330 | 1220 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 1371 | 1166 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{8}$ | 1928 | 1321 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{9}$ | 1334 | 1217 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{10}$ | 1752 | 1469 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{11}$ | 1157 | 1043 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{12}$ | 1176 | 1415 |
|  | 1349 |  |
|  |  | 1264 |
| Mean |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=258.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=214.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment | $=303.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=388.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rubi).
Ref:- Mh. 48(57),
Site :- Govt. Seed Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :m 'M'.

Object:-To determine the efficacy of P manure and its method of application.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.10.1948. (iv) (a) 2 bakherings and 2 heavy bakherings. (b) By Rabi Tiffan. (c) N.A. (d) Between rows-18". (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) I.P.52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding on 29.2.1948. (ix) Nil. (x) 4.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. Seed soaked in solution of double Super ( $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super).
5. Seed soaked in solution of Ammo. phosphate ( $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ).
6. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S broadcasted.

5 . $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ} \times 18^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) No reasons given in the records for low yields.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $218.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $44.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 200.8 |
| 2. | 142.4 |
| 3. | 252.4 |
| 4. | 281.6 |
| 5. | .217 .2 |
| S.E./mean | $=31.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 48(81).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To see the effect of Bone Super for top dressing on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 lb ./ac. of $N$ as ${ }^{\prime} A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio. (ii) (a) 'D' type.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) October 1948. (iv) (a) Ploughings and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Niphad. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 6.49*. (x) February 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 56 lb ./ac. of Bone Super.
5. $56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bone Super $+56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S.
6. $56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.50 gunthas. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yjeld. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1948 (alternate year). (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhmanpur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason gi ien by A.R.S. for low yields. (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $259 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ sigaificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 297 |
| 2. | 309 |
| 3. | 227 |
| 4. | 253 |
| 5. | 260 |
| S.E./mean | $=38.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Crop Res. Stn., Badnapur.

Ref:- Mh. 53(14).
Type:- 'N'.

Object :-To compare $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ as a source of N with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Ammonium chloride for increasing the yield of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Badnapur. (iii) 11.10 .1953 and 12.10.1953. (iv) (a) Bakharing on 3.10.1953. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) P.W. 5. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) $1.62^{\prime \prime} \quad$ (x) 26.2.1954 to 1.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=$ Ammonium chloride and $S_{3}=C / N$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $60^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (b) $57^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime} \quad$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Due to continuous absence of rain during Rabi season, the growth of the crop remained stunted. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $304 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $23.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of 'level of $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\ldots$ | - | 307 |  |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 330 | 299 | 307 | 312 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 315 | 315 | 302 | 311 |
| Mean | 323 | 307 | 305 | 304 |

S E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}=5.97 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{S}=7.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=10.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref:- Mh. 51(209).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of $\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) NA. (b) Gram; ic) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 intercultures. (ix) $6.00^{*}$ from Sept. to Dec. (x) 9.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control:
4. 10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $235 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $77.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 220 |
| 2. | 223 |
| 3. | 261 |
| S.E./mean | $=27.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $52(328)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Dhullia. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To compare calcium cynamide with $A / S$ as a source of $N$ for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Chavali and Bajri. (c) 5C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +2 bags of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N,A. (iii) 21.11.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) S C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Motia. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) 19.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as calcium cynamide.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. and calcium cynamide in $1: 1$ ratio.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $65^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 2.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory growth due to low rainfall. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $377 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $46.13 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 393 |
| 2. | 396 |
| 3. | 339 |
| 4. | 381 |
| S.E./mean | $=32.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Dhullia.

Ref:- Mh. 53(350)
Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To compare calcium cynamide with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ as a source of N for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 7.11.1953. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Drilling ${ }^{\text {(c) }} 60 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Nil. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad-Improved. (vii) Irrigated.
(viii) 1 weeding. (ix) A.A. (x) 24.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}$. and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as calcium cynamide.
6. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathbf{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. and calcium cynamide in $1: 1$ ratio.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $50^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ on one side only. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Rust attack. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Padegaon and Amreli. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $715 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $154.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 596 |
| 2. | 831 |
| 3. | 868 |
| 4. | 565 |
| S.E./mean | $=108.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 53(383).
Type : ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the usefulness of Chinamug as G.M. to Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamng. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 28.10.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 0.47". (x) 10.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Growing Chinamug (Wheat in Rabi).
4. Burying Chinamug from plot 1 (Wheat in Rabi).
5. Growing Chinamug and burying in situ.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) and (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was satisfactory. (ii) White-ants trouble observed. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $426 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$,
(ii) $259.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 496 |
| 2. | 327 |
| 3. | 434 |
| S.E./mean | $=183.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(384).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Sannhemp as G.M. on dry Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sannhemp-Wheat. (b) Sannhemp. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 22.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $63 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $0.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sann grown for green manuring and tender tops and leaves burried in the same site.
4. Sann grown and removed.
5. Tender shoots and stripped leaves from treatment 2 burried.
6. Control (Wheat in Rabi).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) and (b) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of white-ants. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (ivy (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $310 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $160.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 284 |
| 2. | 408 |
| 3. | 373 |
| 4. | 174 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(385). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '. |

Object:-To study the effect of compost prepared from legume (Sann and Chinamug) grown in Kharif, on Wheat in Rabi.

1. BASAL GONDITIONS:
(i) (a Chinamug and Sann-Wheat. (b) Chinamug and Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) Chinamug and Sann; 24.6 1953, Wheat $26 .{ }^{\circ} 0.1953$. (iv) (a) N A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad. (viii) Unirr gated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $0.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Chinamug and Sann; 7.8.1953 and Wheat ; 25.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (Wheat in Rabi).
4. Sann grown (Compost to be applied to the same plot).
5. Chinamug grown (Compost to be applied to the same plot).
6. New Site plot Compost of Chinamug to be applied).
7. New Site plot (Compost of Sann to be applied).
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) and (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was satisfactory. (ii) White-ants trouble observed. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 19521955. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 814 & \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $78.52 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatmests do not differ significantls.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 765 |
| 2. | 884 |
| 3. | 794 |
| 4. | 880 |
| 5. | 749 |
| S.E./mean | $=55.53 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : - Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $49(45)$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site : - Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :~' ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object:- To find out a suitable time and method of application of different kinds of manures to dry Wheat.

1. BASAL CO. DITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer s sil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 9.11 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$ between r.ws. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Motia. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 27.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4).
(1) 3 dates of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{D}_{1}=8.10 .1949 ., \mathrm{D}_{2}=10.10 .1949$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=9.11 .1949$.
(2) 2 scurces of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=A / S$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(4) 2 methods of application : $M_{1}=$ Surface and $M_{2}=$ Drilling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $38^{\prime} \times 2.6^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Drying of plants in early stage was observed. (ii) Very few plants were attacked by loose-smut. The attack was not considerable. (iii) Grain and chaff yieid. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Expt. failed in 1948.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1004 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $114.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects or interactions differs significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=1022 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 933 | 1003 | 1004 | 980 | 948 | 1013 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1000 | 1018 | 952 | 990 | 973 | 1007 |
| Mean | 966 | 1011 | 978 | 985 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 933 | 1015 | 933 | 960 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 10.0 | 1007 | 1023 | 1010 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ | $=28.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of marginal mean of NS or M | $=23.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of bedy of $D \times N S$ or $D \times M$ tables | $=40.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N S \times M$ table | $=33.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control mean | $=16.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site : -Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref : $w$ Mh. 50 (62).
Type: :^' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To find out a suitab'e time and method of application of different kinds of manures to dry Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet.
(b) Refer soil aralysis Jalagaon. (iii) 26.10 .1950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$
between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Motia, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 91 cents. (x) 15.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( 1, (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 dates of applications of $N: \quad D_{1}=24.9 .1950, D_{2}=9.10 .1950$ and $D_{3}=24.10 .1950$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=G$ N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
(3) 2 levels of $N: N_{0}=0$ and $._{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(4) 2 methods of application: $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Surface and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $38^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ on either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Drying of plants in early stage was observed Rainfall was less than average. (ii) Infection of loose smut of wheat in few plots was observed. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) Nii. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 1043 lb .ac.
(ii) $77.86 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects or interactions differs significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | Control |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1016 | 1037 | 1035 | 1029 | 1022 | 1036 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1077 | 1062 | 1037 | 1059 | 1058 | 1056 |
| Mean | 1046 | 1050 | 1036 | 1044 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 1040 | 1026 | 1054 | 1040 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 1048 | 1073 | 1018 | 1046 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ | $=19.47 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of NS or M | $=15.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $D \times N S$ or $D \times M$ table | $=27.53 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N S \times M$ table | $=22.48 \mathrm{ib} / / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control mean | $=11.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :~Mh. 51(74).
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :--To find out a suitable time and method of application of different kinds of manures to dry Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type havirg a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$ (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2.11 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$ (between rows). (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Motia. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Nii. (ix) 0.2". ( $x^{\prime}$ ) 22.2.195?.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 3 dates of application of $N: D_{1}=24.9 .1951, D_{2}=9.10 .1951$ and $D_{3}=24.10 .1951$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: N_{n}=0$ and $N_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(4) 2 methods of application: $M_{1}=$ Surface and $M_{2}=$ Drilling.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D
(ii) (a) 24.
(b) N.A
(iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ} 4^{\circ}$. (b $38^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$
(v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\circ}$ on either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
i) Drying of seedlings observed in early stage. (ii) Attack of white-ants observed at the time of flowering. Attack of loose-smut also observed. Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil (vii) Expt. vitiated during 1952.
s. RESULTS :
(i) $643 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $120.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of sources, methods and dates and their interactions are not significant. Control vs. others alsu is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control mean } \quad=631 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 673 | 709 | 634 | 672 | 667 | 678 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 629 | 636 | 649 | 638 | 625 | 650 |
| Mean | 651 | 672 | 641 | 655 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 620 | 708 | 610 | 646 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 682 | 637 | 672 | 663 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ | $=30.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N S$ or $M$ | $=24.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $D \times N S$ or $D \times M$ table | $=42.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $M \times N S$ table | $=34.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control mean | $=17.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 49(30). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- ‘M'. |

Object :-To study the effects of leguminous crop (chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Diep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 4.11 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gulab (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 27.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. No manure (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi).

Treatments applied to previous crop Chinamug.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D
(ii) (a) 5
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$
(v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

- (i) Germination and stand was good, normal uniform growth in all plots (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS
(i) $965 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $70.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 935 |
| 2. | 943 |
| 3. | 927 |
| 4. | 959 |
| 5. | 1050 |
| S.E./mean | $=31.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).<br>Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(41).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effects of leguminous crop (Chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type with depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 19.10.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gulab (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 91 cents. (x) 14.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 50 lb . $/ \mathrm{c}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. No manure (fallow in Khar fand sown in Rabi).

Treatments applied to previous crop Chinamug.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Rainfall was less than average and it affected the grouth. (ii) Drying of plants in early stage of the crop was observed. Infection of loose-smut was also marked in many of the plots. (iii) Grain yield. (iv (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $555 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $55.81 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 506 |
| 2. | 574 |
| 3. | 498 |
| 4. | 545 |
| 5. | 652 |
| S.E./mean | $=24.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 51(45). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep tlack cotton type having depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2.11 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 60 lb./ac. (d) $13^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Motia (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 19 ents. (x) 22.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. No manure (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi).

Treatments applied to previous crop Chinamug.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime} .3^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Drying of seedlings observed in early stage. Attack of white-ants observed. Attack of loose-smut also observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1夕54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nıl.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $103.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 508 |
| 2. | 507 |
| 3. | 478 |
| 4. | 538 |
| 5. | 723 |
| S.E./meaan | $=46.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 52(71),

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. Type:. 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop (Chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type haying a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2010.1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$ between rows; irregu'ar between plants. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Motia (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 20 cents. (x) 6.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no manure).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. No manuring (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi). Treatments applied to previous crop Chinamug.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 1 x^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was not vigorous owing to the lack of sufficient rains. The height was balow normal. The grain size was thin. (ii) Plar ts were attacked by white-ants and root-rot. Hence the quantity of yield was very much less. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1354. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Monol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $95 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.71 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments wiffer significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 67 |
| 2. | 77 |
| 3. | 74 |
| 4. | 84 |
| 5. | 176 |
| S.E./mean | $=24.01 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$ |

Object : - To study the effect of leguminous crop (Chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep b'ack cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to 13 . (o Rafer soilanalysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 28.10.1953. (iv , (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $13^{\prime \prime}$. (e) NA. (v) Nil, (vi) Motia early) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 48 cents. (x) $10.2 .19 j 4$.
2. TREATME $\backslash T S$ :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. No manure (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi).

Treatments applied to previous crop Chinamug.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Tie growth was satisfactory. (ii) Few plots dried due to attack of white-ants. The damage was negligible. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $501 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.77 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 510 |
| 2. | 513 |
| 3. | 526 |
| 4. | 452 |
| 5. | 507 |
| S.E./mean | $=35.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:-Wheat (Rabi), <br> Site :-Agri. Res. Sin., Jeur.

## Ref :-Mh. 51(104).

## Type:-'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Zinc Sulphate on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 12.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seed drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Vijaya .(vii) Unirrigated. (vi i) 3 intercultures. (ix) N.A. (x) 21.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure (control).
4. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
$\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applied with seed.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Due to the scanty rains after sowing the crop could not gain its maximum growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Late rains were absent. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $409 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $115.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain is lb ./ac.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 328
2. 481
$3 . \quad 417$
S.E./mean $\quad=40.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 52(363).
Site: Agri Res. Stn., Jeur.
Type :- ' M '.
```

Object:-To study the effect of Zinc Sulphate on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (d) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} /$ /ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Vijaya (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $19.51^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure (Control).
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
3. 20 lo ./ac. of $\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) $84^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime \prime}$. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 1 y^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$, v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was chzeke ! due to scanty rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) 1951-contnted. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Sh lapur, Cnas. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $67 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $42.11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ sigrificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yreld |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 62 |
| 2. | $4 t$ |
| 3. | 95 |
| S.E./mean | $=14.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- W ieat (Rabi). | Ref:~Mh. $53(5)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur. | Type :~ 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of Zine Sulphate on Wheat.
I. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.0.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ha:rowings. (b) Seed drilled. (c) 40 b .'ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (v) Nil. (vi) Vijaya. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 Intercultures. (ix 5.88". (x) 3).1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure Control.
2. 10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZaSO}_{4}$.
3. 20 !b./ac. of $\mathrm{ZiSO}_{4}$.
$\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applie J on 11.1J 1953.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$, (b) $27^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and bhusa yield. (iv) (a) 1951 - continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $583 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1844 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.
Treatinent Av. yieid
7. 515
8. 683
$3 . \quad 550$
S.E./mzan $\quad=65.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 52(306).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn, Kopergaon.
Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To see the effect of Calcereous Ammonium Nitrate, Urea fertilizers, Calcium Cynamide and other fertilizers on Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 25.10.1952. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb .'ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ tetween rows. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Irr gated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $11.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.3.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. No top dressing.
2. Urea alone at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
3. A/S alone at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. Calcereous Ammonium Nitrate alone at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Calcium Cynamide alone at 0 lb ./ac. of N .
6. G.N.C.+Urea (ratio $1: 1$ ) at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
7. G.N.C.+A/S $(1: 1)$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
8. G.N.C. + Calcereous Ammonium Nitrate ( $1: 1$ ) at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
9. G N.C. + Calcium Cynamide in $1: 1$ at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (b) $16^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring alround. (vi) Yes
11. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS
(i) $785 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $171.70 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 790 |
| 2. | 815 |
| 3. | 764 |
| 4. | 815 |
| 5. | 783 |
| 6. | 861 |
| 7. | 727 |
| 8. | 736 |
| 9. | 780 |
| S E./mean | $=121.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $48(9 i)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Mr. Huskells' fertilizers on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergacn. (iii) 23.9.1948, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Unirrim gated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $33.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.3.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
3. Mr. Huskells' fertilizers at $600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$, (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$, (wi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was cheked due to continuous rains. (ii) Rust was observed but it was checked by dustirg suiphur. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1948^{\circ}-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.4. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $434 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $172.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
7. 464
8. 407
$3 . \quad 432$
S.E. $/$ mean $=99.2 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :-Mh. 50(143).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (ii) 16.10.1950. (iv) a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) Drilled. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{*}$ between rows. (e) 一. (b) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrıgated. (viii) 1 hoeing and 1 weeding, (ix) $21.26^{\circ}$. (x) 27.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $3 \mathrm{bags} / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.V.C. $+50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $A / S$.
4. 32 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 154^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 136$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 -N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

RESULTS :
(i) $1357 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $16.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1325 |
| 2. | 1389 |
| S.E./mean | $=11.81 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :-Mh. 51 (210).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object : - To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 24.10.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $34.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. 3 bags/ac. of G.N.C. $+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
2. $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 154^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 136^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory, (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1950-\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2018 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $193.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1859 |
| 2. | 2178 |
| S.E./mean | $=136.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 51(211). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. } & \text { Type :m 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To find out the suitability of green manuring in comparison with F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) A typs. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 18.11.1951. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 7 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 hoeing and 1 weeding. (ix) $34.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5,6,7-4-1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $5000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M.
2. Sann green manuring. (Quantity N.A.)
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 1 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1161 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1050 |
| 2. | 1273 |
| S.E./mean | $=49.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 53(243). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri, Res |  |

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. Type :~ 'M'.
Object :--To study the effect of Sann green manuring with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar (Rabi)-Wheat. (b) Sann green manuring. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 23.10.1953. (iv) (a) Twice harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenpha 1 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing once. (ix) 4.17". (x) 13.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sann grown for G.M.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Sann crop at sowing and then Sann used as G.M.
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied at the time of burying of Sann crop.
6. 30 lb ./ac. of $N$ as $A / S$ applied at the time of burying of sano.
$5,60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied at the time of burying of Sano.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2.
(iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$.
(b) $34^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$, (v) $4^{\prime}$ all round.
(vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Very light attack of rust. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 952-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $1641 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $282.0 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. | 1477 |
| 2. | 1692 |
| 3. | 1785 |
| 4. | 1604 |
| S. | 1645 |
| S.E./mean | $=199.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(247).
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object: -To find out the suitability of green manuring as compared to F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Sann for green manuring. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer sail analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 22.10.1953. (iv) (a) I harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $4.17^{\prime}$. (x) 14.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. Sann green manuring only.
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at sowing of Sann.
6. $5 \mathrm{CL} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. before sowing Wheat.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $78^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $72^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Very light attack of rust. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-55. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $987 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 339.7 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 830 |
| 2. | 889 |
| 3. | 1255 |
| 4. | 973 |
| S.E./mean | $=151.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{fc}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :-Mh. 52(346).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of compost prepared from legume crops (Sann and Chinamug) on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Sann and Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing and 4 harrowings. (b) Drill. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -.
(v) Nil. (vi) K-25. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix) $503^{\prime \prime}$. (a) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. Growing Sann in Kharif and using its compost for Wheat crop on the same site.
5. Growing Chinamug in Kharif and using it as compost in Rabi on the same site.
6. Sann compost brought from out side.
7. Chinamug compost brought from out side.

Amount of different green manuring crops N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $54^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $236 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $60.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 316 |
| 2. | 161 |
| 3. | 183 |
| 4. | 235 |
| 5. | 285 |
| S.E./mean | $=42.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). | Ref : $\sim$ Mh. $53(354)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :-‘M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of compost prepared from Sann and Chinamug on Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Sann+Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii)
N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (c) (v) Nil. (vi) K-52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix' $8.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control
2. Growing Sann in Kharif and use it as compost for wheat in Rabi.
3. Growing Chinamug in Kharif and used it as compost for wheat in Rabi.
4. Sa: n compost brought from out side.
5. Chinamug compost brought from out side.

Other details N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $51^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A.
(ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1950-19j3.
(b) No.
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $142 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $20.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 132 |
| 2. | 130 |
| 3. | 150 |
| 4. | 161 |
| 5. | 136 |
| S E /mean | $=14.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :-Mh. 49(141). } \\
\text { Site :-Agri. Res Stn., Mohol. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Onject :-To study the effect of leguminous crop (gram) raised with and with out $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a: Gram-Wheat. (b) Gram (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium back. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) NiI. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix) $1.14^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (ci Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $293 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $43,30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 288 |
| 2. | 300 |
| 3. | 297 |
| 4. | 279 |
| 5. | 303 |
| S.E./mean | $=19.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $50(9)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 25.10 .1950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ (d) $12^{n}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. once in 3 years (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing 4 times. (ix) $9.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
5. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) $115^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $23^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ airound net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Rainfall was nct well distributed. Due to want of mulch and cordition of soil, sowing
was delayed. After sowing no rain was received which affected the growth of the crop.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $261 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $69.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treaument do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 247 |
| 2. | 235 |
| 3. | 256 |
| 4. | 269 |
| 5. | 258 |
| SE./mean | $==31.22 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 51(9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer scil ana'ysis, Mohol. (iii) 29.9 .1951 . (iv) (a) $\ddagger$ times harrowing. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 times interculturing. (ix) 7.49". (x) 25.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) $38^{\prime} \times 115^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ alround net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Crop was fair and normal. But the yield was not satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 19491954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The atmosphere was not cloudy during the time of grain formation. No rains were received during growth and there was no moisture in the soil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $288 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $88.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.
Treatment Av. yield
11. 265
12. 299
13. 269
14. 295
$5 . \quad 312$
S.E./mean $\quad=39.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop: $\sim$ Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 52(111). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :" 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (ii) 1.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) Harrowed 5 times. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered seed drill. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. once in 3 years. (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and weeding. (ix) $5.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram crop.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $115^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $23^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ alround net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(ii) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Experiment failed in 1953. (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1738 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $786.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ s gnificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1684 |
| 2. | 1800 |
| 3. | 1704 |
| 4. | 2013 |
| 5. | 1491 |
| S.E./mean | $=351.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 53(211). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Mo hol. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Groundnut grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (r) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 23.9.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 21.11.1953 and bullock hoeing on 8.12.1953. (ix) 8.89". (x) 8,9.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Groundnut crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Groundnut crop.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Groundnut crop.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (iii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $319 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.05 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 310 |
| 2. | 343 |
| 3. | 319 |
| 4. | 353 |
| 5. | 271 |
| S.E./mean | $=13.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). Ref :-Mh. 49(59).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Object :-To study the effect of a leguminous crop Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 18.9.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lh} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) Nil. (v) Ni). (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) On2 interculturing. (ix) 1.14*. (x) 16.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Niphad. (b) N.A. (iv) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $363 \quad \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb,/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 334 |
| 2. | 346 |
| 3. | 364 |
| 4. | 396 |
| S. | 374 |
| S.E./mean | $=26.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat $\langle R a b i$.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:-Mh. 53(209).
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effects of leguminous crop Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug - Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (b) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 18.10.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 4 coultered drill. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 bullock interculturings. (ix) $8.89^{\circ}$. (x) 22 to 25.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
7. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round net plot.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The crop was very much affected owing to heavy moisture cor tent in the soil. The stand of the crop was also very much uneven. (ii) The crop was a tacked slightly by root-rot. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Niphad and Jalagaon. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $191 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.7 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 174 |
| 2. | 184 |
| 3. | 192 |
| 4. | 197 |
| 5. | 209 |
| S.E./mean | $=45.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 51(118). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To determine the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 7, 8.11.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drill. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\prime \prime}$; between plants-irregular. (e) N.A. (v) N 1. (vi) NP-52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 5.28". (x) 28.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 leve's of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $49.5^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yee.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nii.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $747 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $117.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 827 | 773 | 787 | 760 | 720 | 773 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 613 | 760 | 813 | 613 | 747 | 709 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 667 | 907 | 760 | 780 | 773 | 757 |
| Mean | 702 | 813 | 787 | 684 | 747 | 747 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ S.E. of body of table
$=30.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=39.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $=67.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref: Mh. 52(147).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To determine the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) 'a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 28.19.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) By tiffan. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between lines $10^{\circ}$. (e)-. (v) Nil. (i) NP—52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) 1.79". (x) 20.2.1953.
2. TREATME\TS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $49.5^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $633 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of P alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 635 | 582 | 524 | 680 | 624 | 609 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 580 | 620 | 624 | 660 | 697 | 636 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 614 | 544 | 657 | 667 | 787 | 654 |
| Mean | 610 | 582 | 601 | 669 | 702 | 633 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=23.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P | $=30.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table | $=52.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 53(200).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagour.
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To determine the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 25.10.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 4 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) NP- 52 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $1.58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.2 .1954 to 21.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

## All combinations of (1) and (2)

(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $33.8^{\prime} \times 17.3^{\prime}$. (b) $33.0^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) $10^{\circ \prime}$ round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $526 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $160.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}^{\prime}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{0}$ | 633 | 607 | 510 | 550 | 377 | 535 |
| $N_{1}$ | 570 | 467 | 540 | 555 | 414 | 509 |
| $N_{2}$ | 507 | 515 | 630 | 494 | 513 | 532 |
| Mean | 570 | 530 | 560 | 533 | 435 | 526 |

- S.E. of marginal mean of $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =41.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =53.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =92.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop :~ Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref: : Mh. 53(228).
Type :m 'M'.

Object :-To compare the effects of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 25.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 4 bakhering. (b) Sown by tiffan. (c) $5010 . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) NP—52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.58". (x) 20.2.1954 to 21.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $50.3^{\prime} \times 22.8^{\prime}$. (b) $49.5^{\prime} \times 22.0^{\prime}$. (v) $10^{\circ}$ round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil .

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $591 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $115.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=36.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=51.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :- Mh. 53(229).
Type:- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of green manuring on Wheat in comparison with A/S.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 24.101953. (v) (a) 4 bakharings and 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) Hawral. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.58*. (x) 19,20.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. Green manuring with $\mathrm{Sannh}^{2} \mathrm{mp}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of sowing.
5. Sowing early mung during Kharif to be followed by Wheat (Mung $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ).
6. Ammo. Phos. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N one month before sowing.
7. Ammo. Phos. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of N at the time of sowing.
8. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of N at the time of sowing.

Sannhemp and mung were sown on 25.6.1953 and were buried on 8.3.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $50.5^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $49.5^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all around the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1143 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $238.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatmetns do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1028 |
| 2. | 1110 |
| 3. | 1142 |
| 4. | 1056 |
| 5. | 1420 |
| 6. | 1102 |
| S.E./mean | $=106.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :-Mh. 53(230).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of methods of application of different doses of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield and quality of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) $29,30.10 .1953$. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat, Hawaral (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.24^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of Feb. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments:

4 methods of application of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{By}$ hand placement, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{By}$ hill placement, $\mathbf{M}_{3}=$ By mixing with seeds and $M_{4}=B y$ brcadcasting. .

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=30 \mathrm{ib}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) $\mathcal{f}(\mathrm{a}) 4$ main-plots/block; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) :N.A. (b) $1 / 67$ th of an ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $169 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $85.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $44.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{N}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $M$ marginal means | $=11.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means | $=30.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $M$ | $=22.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{c}$. |
| 4. $M$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$ | $=34.21 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.
Ref:- Mh. 52(146).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of pre-treated wheat seed with different fertilizers on Wheat crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat - Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur.
(iii) 1.11.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings and 2 ploughings. (b) By tiffan. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat-Hawral. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.78". (x) 23.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Dry seed (control).
2. Seed soaked in water.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ solution (one molar).
4. Mono. Pot. Phosphate solution (one molar).

Seed soaked for 24 hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ij) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th. ac.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $552 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $126.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of grain in lbs/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 549 |
| 2. | 653 |
| 3. | 503 |
| 4. | 501 |
| S.E./mean | $=56.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref:- Mh. 53(199).
Type :~'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of wheat seed pre-treated with different fertilizel solutions on the yield of Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular crop rotation. (b) Wheat. (c) N A. (ii) (a) Black cetton soil. (b) Refer soil abalysis, Nagpur. (iii) 25.10.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings and 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat-Hawral. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.58". (x) 20.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Dry seed (control).
4. Seed soaked in pure water.
5. Ammonium sulphate solution (one molar).
6. Mono. Pot. Phosphate (one molar).
7. Sodium nitrate (one molar).

Seed soaked for 24 hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) L. sq. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 5.
(iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$
(b) $1 / 90$ th ac.
(v) $1 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{2}$ plot to plot. (vi, Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1096 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $188.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1076 |
| 2. | 1103 |
| 3. | 1019 |
| 4. | 1148 |
| 5. | 1135 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=84.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 53(337). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :-'M'. |

Object : - To find out the N requirements of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 2.11.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M, (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $4.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.3 .1954 .
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1 ; 1$ ratio.
6. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Calcium Cynamide.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. + Calcium Cynamide in 1:1 ratio.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 70^{\prime}$. (b) $8.3^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes,
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A. (b) N.4. (c) Nit. (d) (a) Dhullia and Kopergaon. (b, Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) 1438 lb . ac .
(ii) $324.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Trestments do not differ signiaicantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1495 |
| 2 | 1280 |
| 3. | 1400 |
| 4. | 1283 |
| 5. | 1585 |
| S. E./mean | $=229.3 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop: Wheat (Rabi). | Kef :-Mh. 52(310). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type : ' 'ill'. |

Object :--To study the utility of Sann hemp as a green manuring crop for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b; V.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Mediun black-loamy. (b) Refer soit analysis, Niphad. (iii) 1.8.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (o) Drilled. c) 40 lb. /ac. (d) $10^{\circ}$ between rows. (c) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fallow in Kharif.
4. Sann hemp grown in Kharif and buried.
5. Sann hemp grown with $501 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super grown in Kharif and dumped.
6. Sann hemp grown in Kuarif, ouried +50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to Wheat crop.
7. Sann hemp grcwn in Kharif, buried $+30 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied to Wheat.
8. Sann hemp grown in Kharif, buried $+60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied to Wheat crop.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (i) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a; $72^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 2.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1958. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. v) (a) Kopergaon and Khopoli. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $1565 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) $230.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1379 |
| 2. | 1379 |
| 3. | 1570 |
| 4. | $164 j$ |
| 5. | 1720 |
| 6. | 1697 |
| S.E./mean | $=162.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 52(330).
' Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the utility of Mung crop as a green manuring crop for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black, loamy. (b) Refer soil ;analysis, Niphad. (iii) 1.8 .1952 . (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\mu}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irri gated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 11.3.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (fallow in the past).
2. Situ-green manure buried in the same site.
3. Burying mung grown in another plot.
4. Rotational effect.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2. (iv) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Growth good. Rainfall was inadequate. The area was heavily infested with weeds especially, hariyali, (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954 (modified in 1953 to 19-4). (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1297 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $249.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1193 |
| 2. | 1511 |
| 3. | 1327 |
| 4. | 1157 |
| S.E./mean | $=176.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 53(353). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn , Niphad. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the utility of Mung crop as a green manuring crop for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Sweet-potato. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black, loamy. (b) Refer ssil analysis, Niphad. (iii) $1.11 .1 \mathrm{c53}$. (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb /ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 4.65". (x) 16.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (Fallow in the past).
2. Situ green manure buried in the same plot.
3. Burying Mung grown in another plot.
4. Rotational effect.
5. Fallow (another plot).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $56^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954 (modified in 1953-1954), (b) No. (c; Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. ${ }^{\text {W. RESULTS }}$ :

(i) $806 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $122.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 692 |
| 2. | 971 |
| 3. | 708 |
| 4. | 813 |
| 5. | 846 |
| S.E./mean | $=86.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : - Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mih. 50(57) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site: Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Chinamıg raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy, medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 24.7 .950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C L /ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Jay-Vijay. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Gap filling and hand weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 7.2.1451.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control ( $\mathrm{nO} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinzmug in Kharif.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug in Kharif.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug in Kharif.
7. Fallow in Kharif and sann in Rabi.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ sing round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Fair stand and growth gappy. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $263 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $43.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 242 |
| 2. | 267 |
| 3. | 232 |
| 4. | 255 |
| 5. | 321 |
| S.E./mean | $=19.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res.Stn., Niphad.
Ref :~Mh. $51(60)$.
Type :~ ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the sucseeding cereal crop Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy, medium black.
(b) Refer soil analysis Niphad. (iii) 25.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) No ploughings. (b) Drilled. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $10^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $1^{7 \prime}$. (x) 16.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug in Kharif.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{z} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug in Kharif.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug in Kharif.
5. Fallow in Kharif and grown in Rabi.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(v) $5^{\prime}$ ring round the net piot.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Graia yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i, $382 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $76.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 312 |
| 2. | 363 |
| 3. | 330 |
| 4. | 421 |
| 5. | 486 |
| S.E./mean | $=34.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}.$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :- Mh. 53(60).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and F.Y.M. manures applied to Bajra in Kharif on Wheat in Rabi.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Bajra-Wheat. (b) Bajra. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy to clay loam, medium black to deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 18.10.1953. (iv) (a) One iron and 2 wooden ploughings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Kenphad- $28^{\prime}$ (yellow, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 harrowings. (ix) $4.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13 and 14.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=2.5$ and $F_{2}=5$ C.L./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
These manures were applled to the previous crop Bajra in Kharif and the residual effect on wheat in Rabi is studied.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was normal ; however gappy growth in few plots due to foot rot and rat trouble. (ii) Foot rot and rat trouble. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $486 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $110.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Ar. yield of grain in lb/ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean f N | $=27.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal man of $\mathbf{P}$ or F | $=22.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=390 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=31.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: :Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :-Mh. 53(61).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.
Type : $\sim^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the residual effect of leguminous crop (Mung) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Mung-Wheat. (b) Mung. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy to clay loam in texture ; medium black in colour. Depth of the soil $3^{\prime}$ to $7^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 20.10.1953. (iv) (a) 1 iron and 2 wooden ploughings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kenphad K-28 (yellow, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 harrowings. (ix) $4.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Mung in Kharif.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Mung in Kharif.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Mang in Kharif.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Mung a Kharif.
5. Fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied to the previcus legume (Mung in Kharif) crop and its residual effect was studied on the succeeding cereal (Wheat in Rabi).
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

4, GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Foot rot was seen. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $315 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $46.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 292 |
| 2. | 319 |
| 3. | 315 |
| 4. | 305 |
| 5. | 344 |
| S E./mean | $=20.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 48(60).
Type :-' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 4.11.1948. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. ix) 22.47". (x) 13.3.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact in R.8.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain y:eld. (iv) (a) 1943-1949 (modified in 1950). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $323 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $120.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 387 | 343 | 389 | 409 | 382 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 324 | 348 | 299 | 343 | 329 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 263 | 263 | 335 | 288 | 287 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 263 | 325 | 243 | 349 | 295 |
| Mean | 309 | 320 | 317 | 347 | 323 |

S.E.-of marginal mean of N or P
S.E. of body of table
$=30.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$=60.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=60.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :~Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 49(94).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirement of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 7.11.1949. (iv) a), (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 23.32". (x) 12.3.1950 to 13.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of . : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=32, N_{2}=64$ and $N_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super on 6, 7.11.1949.
3. DESIGN .
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $28^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $444 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $168.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 351 | 571 | 369 | 607 | 475 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 495 | 506 | 490 | 523 | 504 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 455 | 300 | 459 | 412 | 407 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 319 | 356 | 445 | 458 | 395 |
| Mean | 405 | 433 | 441 | 500 | 444 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=42.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 49(95).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirement of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 1.111949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 23.32". (x) 6.3.:950 to 7.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{3}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super on 27.10.1949 and 28.10.1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $16^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1007 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $180.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is highly significant while $P$ and NP are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 782 | 956 | 925 | 1169 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 715 | 1024 | 1212 | 1206 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 830 | 995 | 1263 | 1004 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 898 | 908 | 1008 | 1218 |
| Mean | 806 | 970 | 1102 | 1149 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=48.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=90.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 50(117). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Wheat crop with and without basal dose.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 30.10.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrizated. (viii) I harrowing. (ix) 22.91". (x) 24.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=32, P_{2}=64$ and $P_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super on 30.10.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $22,7^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $528 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $110.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ and interactions NP, PF are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 432 | 507 | 603 | 646 | 547 | 521 | 572 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 352 | 435 | 556 | 620 | 491 | 469 | 513 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 415 | 513 | 576 | 597 | 526 | 532 | 519 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 346 | 485 | 652 | 712 | 549 | 560 | 537 |
| Mean | 387 | 485 | 597 | 644 | 528 | 521 | 535 |
| $\mathbf{F}_{0}$ | 370 | 457 | 606 | 651 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 404 | 514 | 588 | 638 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=19.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=13.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=39.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times P$ table | $=27.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 51(160).
Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type :~' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with and without F.Y.M. for Weeat crop

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a' Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) No manure. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 27.10.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by $12^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 harrowing and 1 weeding. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18.1^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) NA. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $963 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $286.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interactions NP, NF are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 473 | 586 | 704 | 713 | 619 | 608 | 630 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 958 | 896 | 865 | 807 | 882 | 738 | 1025 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1021 | 1014 | 1002 | 1225 | 2066 | 1130 | 1002 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1215 | $1: 09$ | 1258 | 1371 | 1288 | 1343 | 1234 |
| Mean | 917 | 951 | 957 | 1030 | 963 | 955 | 973 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 856 | 972 | 984 | 1007 | 955 |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 978 | 931 | 931 | 1052 | 973 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=50.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=35.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of tody of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=101.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=71.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :~Mh. 52(194).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with and without F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 27.10.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by $12^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R.R. Wheat. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $11.01^{p}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1294 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $244.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant, that of P is significant while other effects not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 876 | 988 | 940 | 942 | 937 | 939 | 935 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1158 | 1199 | 1376 | 1264 | 1249 | 1241 | 1257 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1150 | 1389 | 1447 | 1489 | 1369 | 1337 | 1401 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1451 | 1476 | 1647 | 1912 | 1621 | 1633 | 1609 |
| Mean | 1159 | 1263 | 1352 | 1402 | 1294 | 1288 | 1301 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1180 | 1229 | 1409 | 1332 | 1288 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1139 | 1298 | 1297 | 1472 | 1301 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=43.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=30.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ table | $=61.22 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=86.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: : Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(280).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To find out the optimum requirement of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with and without F.Y.M for Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon (iii) 26.101952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by $12^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R.R. Wheat. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $16.35^{\circ}$. (x) 8.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=32, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=64$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=32, \mathrm{P}_{2}=6+$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times i 8^{\circ}$ (b) $28.3^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (i) Attack of rust was observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953 (modified in 1950). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1012 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $245.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and interactions NP and NF are significant. Others are not significant.
(iy) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 881 | 915 | 917 | 973 | 922 | 894 | 950 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 910 | 901 | 919 | 1029 | 940 | 936 | 944 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 949 | 1061 | $1 \mathrm{C99}$ | 1236 | 1061 | 1086 | 1036 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1056 | 1191 | 1089 | 1146 | 1020 | 1143 | 1097 |
| Mean | 949 | 1017 | 1006 | 1071 | 1012 | 1015 | 1007 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 983 | 1048 | 998 | 1028 | 1015 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 914 | 986 | 1014 | 1114 | 1007 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=43.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $F$ | $=30.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=86.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ or $P \times F$ table | $=61.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref:-Mh. 52(325).

Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Calcium Cynamide on grow,h and yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajri. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 31.10.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.YM. (vi) R.R. Wheat. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $11.01^{\nu}$. (x) 5.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone.
4. G.N.C. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio
5. Calcium Cynamide alone.
6. G.N.C. + Calcium Cynamide in $1: 1$. ratio

Total N in top dressed is 40 lb ./ac. Calcium Cynamide applied to soil a fortnight before sowing. Half dose of $A / S$ and cake at sowing and half dose at tillering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A. (iii) 3
(iv) (a) $56^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(b) $45.376^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-[953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $560.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $92.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 616 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 612 |
| 3. | 545 |
| 4. | 469 |
| S.E./mean | $=53.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 51(22).
Site :-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.
Type:- M'.

Object :-To determine the highest yield obtainable under different manurial treatments.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Mejium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 2.10.:951.
(iv) (a) Harrowing, cleaning before sowing. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.W. 3. (vii) Irrizated. (viii) Bund making and hoeing. (ix) 4.03". (x) 1.3.1753.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $40 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
ii) 6 .
v) (a) N.A
(b) $50^{\circ} \times 133^{\prime}$. , , V) V.A. ivi, Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vit) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1480 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $215.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1564 |
| 2. | 1470 |
| 3. | 1467 |
| 4. | 1419 |
| S.E.imean | $=88.0 \mathrm{lb},{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.
Ref :- Mh. 52(42).
Type:- 'M'.
Object :-To determine the highest yield obtainable under different manurial treatments.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) 5200 lb ./ac. of Paddy Fertilizer Mixture. (ii) (a) Medium black.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 10.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) One ploughing and 5 harrowings before sowing.
(b) Sown behind a two cultered seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat P.W.3. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One cultivator and 2 weedings. (ix) $0.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 43.1053 to 9.3.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.

440 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. cf $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.
N as 'Paddy Fertilizer Mixture' and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied in two equal doses, one at sowing and the other after two months.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $56^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (b) $50^{\circ} \times 13.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Nil. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2212 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $188.4 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2286 |
| 2. | 2280 |
| 3. | 2127 |
| 4. | 2157 |
| S.E./mean | $=77.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 3 ( 1 9 )}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani. | Type:- 'M' |

Object:-To determine the highest yield obtainable under different manurial treatments.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Yellow soyabean. (c) 200 lb ./ac. of Super. (ii) (a) Medium light black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 12.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) Twice harrowing and twice cleaning of plots. (b) Sown by country seed drill. (c) Nil. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) Nil. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat P.W. 3. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 bullock hoeing and 1 working of cultivator. (ix) 2.65". (x) 25.2.1954 to 28.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 100 lb /ac. of $\mathrm{N}+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

3 DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 (iv) (a) $16.5^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (b) $13.5^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Nil. (iv) (a) 1951 -contd. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $140 \mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
ii) $158.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment difference are not significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1526 |
| 2. | 1376 |
| 3. | 1316 |
| 4. | 1384 |
| S.E./mean | $=64.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat. | Ref :- Mh. 53(69). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agricultural College Farm, Poona. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the availability of N from calcium cynamide in comparison with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sann for green manuring. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 21st October 1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings in Sept. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Sann green manured. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One interculturing and top dressing with $20 \mathrm{l}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . (a) $3.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17th March 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. $49 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as calcium cynamide.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as calcium cynamide and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ along length and $2^{\prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.

## 4. T GENERAL

(i) N rmal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) No. (vi) Nu. (vii) This expt. was a failure in 1952.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1622 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $161.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1580 |
| 2. | 1601 |
| 3. | 1762 |
| 4. | 1580 |
| S. | 1591 |
| S.E./mean | $=96.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site : - Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the proper time and method of application of fertilizers.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) NP-52. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Phos. drilled.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Phos. broadcasted.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Ammo. Phos. applied at planting.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Ammo. Phos. applied at 2nd irrigation.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Originally the expt. was laid out as Latin square but as replication wise data was not available it was analysed as R.B.D.
.5. RESULTS :
(i) $260 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) $74.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
1.208
10. 412
11. 260
12. 276
$5 . \quad 190$
S.E. $/$ mean $=33.38 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). Ref :- Mh. 53(274).
Site :- Govt. Seed Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :-'M'.
Object :-To find out the optimum dose of $N$ to be given in the form of $C / N$ and $A / S$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil (as it is rabi season). (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
(2) 3 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953 N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $748 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $195.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | - | - | 680 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 862 | 647 | 754 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 901 | 721 | 811 |
| Mean | 882 | 684 |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Grop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 48(70).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.
Type :- 'M'.

Object:- To compare different sources of $N$ for Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa (iii) 21.10 .1948. (iv) (a) anv (b, N.A. (c) 60 to $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1 \mathscr{L}^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Improvec Wheat (medium, (vii) Irrigated , viii) 2 wee ings. (ix) Nil. (x) Ist week of Feb. 1949.

## 2. TREATMEVTS

1. No manure.
2. $25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cattle dung.
3. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{3c}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. at sowing $+15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. top dressed.
4. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $v / \mathrm{S}$ at s wing Iriled with see $1+15 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed.
5. $10 \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as 4 mmo . Phos with se $: 1+15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Phos. top dressed.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{M}+{ }^{7}$. lb . ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ with seed +7.5 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed.
7. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. basal dressing $+7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Phos. with seed $+7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Phos. top dres sed.
8. $101 \% / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as F.Y.M. basal dressing $+7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. with seed $+7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. top dressed.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{th}$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (iii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1940-$ N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS
(i) $5.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $175.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do rot differ significantly.
(iv) Ar. yeeld of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 455 |
| 2. | 530 |
| 3. | 65 |
| 4. | 510 |
| 5. | 6.0 |
| 6. | 660 |
| 7. | 650 |
| 8. | 420 |
| S.E./nean | $=62 . \mathrm{ilb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref :-Mh. 53(292).
Type:-' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of N in different forms alone and in combination with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram and Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (ii, $2610.19^{<3}$ (iv) a 3 bakharings. (b) Tiffan sowing. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Howrah Wheat (medium), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 13 and 14.21954.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. 15 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. 15 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
8. $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+15 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C.
9. $7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+7.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. +15 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ on all sides. (vi) Yfs.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. Lodging to the extent of $10 \%$. (ii) No. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) $1951-\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $505 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $136.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 472 |
| 2. | 557 |
| 3. | 541 |
| 4. | 519 |
| 5. | 577 |
| 6. | 420 |
| 7. | 450 |
| S.E./mean | $=61.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). <br> Site :-Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. <br> Ref:-Mh 51(176). <br> 'Type :- 'M'.

Obj ct :-To find out a suitable combination of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Low fertility soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 14.11 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 60 to $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Inproved Wheat. (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super: $P_{0}=0, \quad P_{1}=15, \quad P_{2}=30, \quad P_{3}=45$ and $P_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $749.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $124.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain lb./ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=37.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P | $=41.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=71.0 \mathrm{lb} ., \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).

Ref:- Mh. 52(206).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable combination of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) $111.1 \geqslant 52$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $-9^{\circ}$ and $t^{\prime \prime}$ plant to plant. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Wheat $\mathrm{Hy}-65$. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil. (x) 19.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=50 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Seed mixed with respective dose of manure.
3. DESIGN
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15. (b) N.A. (ii) $3 . \quad$ (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $435.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $167.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{8}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 313.3 | 480.1 | 473.4 | 346.7 | 460.1 | 414.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 360.0 | 553.4 | 513.4 | 340.0 | 413.4 | 436.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 440.1 | 460.1 | 460.1 | 346.7 | 566.7 | 454.7 |
| Mean | 371.2 | 497.8 | 482.3 | 344.5 | 480.1 |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of N S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ S.E. of body of table
$=43.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=55.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=96.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop m: Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :., Mh. 53(294).
Site : ${ }^{\text {Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. }}$
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable combination of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Wheat crop (low fertility soil).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa, (iii) 30.10.1953. (iv) (a) 6 bakharings. (b) Tiffan sowing. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{Hy}-65$ (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 4.2.1954 to 5.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (al N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1473 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $225.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 1467 | 1363 | 1226 | 1577 | 1732 | 1473 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 1480 | 1220 | 1257 | 1613 | 1627 | 1439 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 1754 | 1167 | 1430 | 1508 | 1674 | 1506 |
| Mean | 1567 | 1250 | 1304 | 1566 | 1677 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=58.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=75.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=130.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref:- Mh. 52(207).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. Type :~ ' $M$ '.
Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Wheat (high fertility soil).
A. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) High fertility soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 30.10.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 60 to $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing between rows-1'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{Hy}-65-4$. (vii) Irrigated, (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) Nil. (x) 17.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(?) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied mixed with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 623.7 lb ./ac.
(ii) $87.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 646.8 | 613.4 | 580.1 | 540.1 | 6001 | 596.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 620.1 | 620.1 | 633.4 | 586.7 | 686.8 | 629.4 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 686.8 | 653.4 | 626.7 | 646.8 | 600.1 | 642.7 |
| Mean | 651.2 | 629.0 | 613.4 | 591.2 | 629.0 |  |


| S.E of marginal mean of N | $=22.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=29.01 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=50.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref Mh. 53(293). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site : $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object : - To determine the optimum dosage of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Wheat (high fertility soil),

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium tlack soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 29.10.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 6 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ between lines and $3^{\prime \prime}$ between plants (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{Hy}-65$ (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii 2 weedings. (ix) Nil (x) 4, 5.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled with seed.
3. $\operatorname{DESIGN}$ :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Failly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1835 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $202.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1790 | 1937 | 1915 | 1867 | 1900 | 1882 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1774 | 1597 | 1738 | 1740 | 1943 | 1758 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1846 | 1902 | 1923 | 1813 | 1852 | 1867 |
| Mean | 1803 | 1812 | 1859 | 1807 | 1898 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=52.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=67.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=116.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).

## Ref : Mh. 50(80).

Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied in 1948.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 18.10.1950. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) 18 lines/plot. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P. 52 . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $1.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22 to 24.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
8. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
10. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
11. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied in 1948.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ between plots.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Wheat grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-N.A. (Residual effect from 1949). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nıl.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $490.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.84 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 467 |
| 2. | 463 |
| 3. | 540 |
| 4. | 497 |
| 5. | 495 |
| 6. | 493 |
| 7. | 493 |
| 8. | 466 |
| 9. | 493 |
| S.E./mean | $=24.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).

Ref: $\mathrm{Mh} .51(106)$.
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type ;"'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of cotton seedcake in comparison with other manures on Wheat yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Me jium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.10 1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by tiffan with 3 pairs. (c) 50 lo./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) HY-65-4. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 3.79". (x) 17.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
4. 2) $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N is cotton seed cake decorticated.
1. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake undecorticated.
2. 2$) \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. No manure (Control).
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\circ} \times 161^{\circ}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ between plots.
(vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination counts and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v, (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $620 \quad 1 . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $60.32 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 608 |
| 2. | 605 |
| 3. | 628 |
| 4. | 682 |
| S. | 577 |
| S.E./mean | $=26.96 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 52(39).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of Cotton seed cake in comparison with other manures on Wheat yield.
I. BASAL COMDITIONS:
(i) (a) Ni., (b) Mug-Udid followed by Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black (b) N.A. (iii) 26.10 .1952. (iv) a N.A. (b) Sowing by tiffan with 3 pairs. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) and (c) N.A. v) Nil. (vi) Hy-65-4. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.48* (x) 14.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. 20 lb /ac. of N as G N.C.
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cotton seed cake decorticated.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cotton seed cake undecorticated.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. Control (no manure).
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (i) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $438 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $11.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 459 |
| 2. | 420 |
| 3. | 428 |
| 4. | 436 |
| 5. | 445 |
| S.E./mean | $=5.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 53(264).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim.
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of N in different forms.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 25.10.1953. (iv) (ai N.A.
(b) Sowing by tiffan with two pairs. (c) N.A. (d) 24 lines/plot. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Hybrid-l2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 1.64". (x) 15.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Fertilizers drilled with the seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $45^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination counts and grain yield. (iv) 1953-continued. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $514.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $12.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and S and control vs., others are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | - | - | 496.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 522.5 | 506.7 | 514.6 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 537.1 | 527.2 | 532.1 |
| Mean | 529.8 | 516.9 |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean } & =3.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
S.E. of body of table $\quad=4.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).

## Ref :- Complex experiments (T.C M.), 1953.

Centre :~ Niphad (Maharashtra). Type :- 'M'.

Object.-I (a) To study the effect of types and levels of $N$ and $\mathbf{P}$ on non-acid soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam in texture, mecium to deep black in colour.
(b) Deficient in organic matter, non-acidic in reaction, pH . varies from 7.5 to 80. (iii) 3.11 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kenphad No. 25 (mproved rust resistant variety). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedines and one intercultivation. (ix) $35.00^{\prime \prime}$. $x$ 2nd and 3 rd week of April, 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2), (3) +3 extra treatments.
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2} \approx \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lc} / \mathrm{ac}$. (as triple super)

3 extra treatments are: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, $\mathrm{T}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Triple super drilled a week tefore the seed was sown. Nitrogenous fertilizers were drilled at the time of sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $44^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL ;
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight damage caused ty rats. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956 . 'b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kotah, Obedullaganj. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1245 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $376.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interactions are significant
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1264 | 1276 | 994 | 1178 | 1247 | 1303 | 983 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1195 | 1000 | 1436 | 1210 | 1285 | 1007 | 1338 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1530 | 972 | 1533 | 1345 | 1474 | 1261 | 1300 |
| Me 2 n | 1330 | 1084 | 1321 | 1244 | 1335 | 1190 | 1207 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | - | 1112 | 1526 | 1319 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | - | 953 | 1112 | 1032 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | - | 1181 | 1325 | 1253 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{1}=1482 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& \mathrm{T}_{2}=1035 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& \mathrm{T}_{3}=1225 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In $N \times P$ and $S \times P$ tables.
S.E. of marginal reans of $N, P$ or $S$
S.E. of body of table
$\ln S \times N$ table.
S.E. of marginal means of $S$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =125.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =217.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =153.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).

## Ref:- Complex experiments (T'C.M.), 1953.

Centre :- Niphad (Maharashtra). Type :- 'M'.
Object :--II, To study the best time of application of $N_{\gamma}$

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam in texture-medium to deep black in colour.
(b) Deficient in organic matter ; non-acidic in reaction ; pH . varies from 7.5 to 8.0 . (iii) 4.11 .1953 . (iv) (a N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{7}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kenphad -25 (improved rust resistant variety). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one intercultivation. (iv) $35.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) April 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +one control (no manure).
(1) 3 sources of $N$ (each at 20 lb ./ac of $N$ ): $S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / N$ and $S_{3}=$ Urea.
(2) 2 times of application: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ at sowing and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ at first irrigation.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $44^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal, no lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kotah, Banaras, Pusa, Satna, Paliad. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1070 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects, control $v s$. others and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| T1 | 1084 | 1114 | 990 | 1063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 | 1089 | 1132 | 1176 | 1132 |
| Mean | 1086 | 1123 | 1083 | 1097 |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =42.4 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =34.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =59.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:- Complex experiments ('T.C.M.), 1953. Centre :- Niphad (Maharashtra). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of artificial fertilizers in conjunction with organic manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam in texture, medium to deep black in colour. (b) Deficient in organic matter; non-acidic in reaction pH. 7.5 to 8.0. (iii) 3.11.1953. (iy) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kenphad-25. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one intercultivation. (ix) $35.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 30.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2 and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=5$ C.L. and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as triple super and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. Triple Super drilled a week before sowing and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled at the time of sowing. F.Y.M. spread over the'plot evenly and mixed with the soil a week before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ factorial (confounded). (ii) (a) 9 plots/block and 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $40^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Crop slightly damaged by rats. (ii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Obedullaganj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $877 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $164.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ alone is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=54.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=94.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- Complex Experiments (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre : - Niphad (Maharashtra). Type :- 'M'.
Object :-III To study the effect of Potash and minor elements on the yield of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam in $t$ xture medium to deep black in colour.
(b) Deficient in organic matter, non-acidic in reaction pH. 7.5 to 8.0 . (iií) 611.1453 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Kenphad- 25 (improvec, rust resistant variety). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one intercultivation. (ix) $35.00^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 31.3.1954 and 1.4.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

A set of 32 out of 256 treatment combinations formed of 7 minor elements and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
A. Magnesium Mg. Sulphate) at 0 and 2 cwt ./ac.
B. Iron (Ferrous Sulphate) at 0 and $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
C. Manganese (Mn. Sulphate at 0 and $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
D. Zinc (Zn. Sulphate) at 0 and $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
E. Copper (Cu. Suiphate at 0 and 20 lb . ac .
F. Borax (granulated Borax) at 0 and 1 ) $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
G. Molybdenum (Sod. Molybdate) at 0 and 2 ox.'ac.
K. Potassium (Pot. Suiphate) at 0 and $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Triple Super given to all the plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $1 / 8$ of $2^{8}$ factorial (confounded). (ii) (a) 8 plots/block and 4 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $44^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## Ref. : Complex Experiments (T.C.M.), 1953

5. RESULTS :
(i) $1307 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $193.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects are not significant.
(iv) Mean and differential response in $1 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Mean |  |  | B | C | D | E | F | G | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factor | Response | -ve | +ve | -ve +ve | -ve tve | -ve tve | -ve +ve | -ve +ve | -ve +ve | -ve +ve |
| A | -18.10 | - | - | +100.38 -136.58 | +2222 ... 58.41 | $-63.36+27.15$ | $-73.23+37.03$ | $-128.36+92.15$ | $-98.74+62.53$ | +60.06-96.26 |
| B | -11.52 | $+106.96$ | $-130.00$ |  | Confounded | Confounded | +20.57 -43.61 | $-51.84+28.80$ | Confounded | Confounded |
| C | -91.33 | --51.00 | $-131.65$ | Confounded |  | Confounded | Confounded | $-323.35+140.70$ | $-116.01-66.65$ | Confounded |
| D | -13.99 | -59.24 | +31.27 | Confounded | Confounded |  | +74.87 - 102.85 | + $0.82-28.80$ | $-46.08 \quad+18.10$ | Confounded |
| E | -18.92 | -74.05 | +36.20 | +13.16 51.01 | Confounded | $+69.94-107.79$ |  | Confounded | Confounded | Confounded |
| F | -15.63 | -125.89 | +94.62 | $-55.95+24.68$ | $-247.66+216.40$ | $-0.82-30.44$ | Confounded | - - | Confounded | Coafounded |
| G | -38.67 | -119.31 | +41.56 | Confounded | -63.36 -13.99 | $-70.76-6.58$ | Confounded | Confounded | - - | Confounded |
| K | -35.38 | +42.79 | $-113.55$ | Confounded | Confounded | Confounded | Confounded | Confounded | Confounded | - - |

[^5]Crop:-Wheat (Rabi). Ref :-Expts. on cultivators'fields Mh 53(78).
Site :-Malegaon, (Nasik.)
Type:-'M'.
Object:-To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming zoaditions to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(1) (a) N A. (b) Bajri. (c) N.A. (ii) Black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local, (v) (a) N.A.
(b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) N.A. (d) Distance between rows varying from $8^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.44^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$N_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
A/S and Urea were applied in 2 doses. ist dose was applied 8 days prior to sowing and 2 nd dose was appiied one month after sowing. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was applied in one dose.
3. DESIGN:
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, random'y selected from all the villages in the taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaning the serial order of the list. The site 10 a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of exptal. sites 3. (Originally planned with 4). (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv; N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillerings, length of earbead, no. of grain per caraead were noted at random for each treatment. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1927 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $308.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 1995 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1604 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1968 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1674 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 2103 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 2218 |
| S.E./mean | $=178.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Baglan (Nasik)

Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(59).
Type: © ${ }^{\prime}$ M'.

Object:-To find out the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to differeat levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajri at 5 villages. Sunnhemp at 1 village. (c) N.A. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5000 lb./fc. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local at 5 villages. Pusa-4 at 1 village. (v) (a) N.A. (b. Triplicate sowing. (c), (d) and (c) N.A. (vi) 3rd week of November to 1 st of December 1953. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $065^{\circ}$. (x) 3rd and 4tb week of March 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Urea were applied in two doses. Ist dose was applied 8 days prior to sowing and 2 nd cose ane month after sowing. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super was given with Ist dose of N .
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in a taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental site 6. (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillering, length of earhead, no. of grain/earhead were noted at random for each treatment. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Ail.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1149 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $102.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 978 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1083 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1173 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1084 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 1244 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 1333 |
| S.E./mean | $=41.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref :- Expts. on cultivator's fields Mh. 53 ( $\mathbf{( 1 0 )}$ ).
Site :- Kalwan (Nasik.)
Type :~ 'M'.
Object:-To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Bajri. (c) N.A. (ii) Black. (iii) $5000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. at one village and 4030 lb ./ac. at the other village. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Triplicate sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) Last week of Nov. 1953. :vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd week of March 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Half dose of $A / S$ and Urea with $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied 8 days prior to sowing and remaining half of $A / S$ and Urea was applied one month after sowing.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i), (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all villages in a taluk was formec and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental site 2 . (ii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$ (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillering, length of earhead, no. of grain per earhead were note's at random for each treatment. (iv) (a) 1953-l956. (b) N.A. (c) NA. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $827 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) $332 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatm. $\mathbf{n}$ differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in It./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 665 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 749 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 855 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 804 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 514 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 976 |
| S.E /mean | $=24.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref:- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(81).
Site :- Chandor (Nasik.)

Object:-To find the response of Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different levels of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIO\S :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bairi at two villages, Paddy at 1 village and Lucerne at 1 village. (c No manure at two villages, 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.iv. at one villase of paddy and 60 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. at another village (ii) Black. (aii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Duplicate sowing. (ci to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of February to 1st week of March 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$0=$ Control.
$N_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. ac . of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{l}}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{E}}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and A/S were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half of A/S and Urea was applied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i), (i) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in the taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey number. No. of experimental site 4. (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, No. of tillering, length of earhead, No. of grain per earhead were noted at random for each treatment. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1858 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $224.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatmet:t differences are highly significant
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1389 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1435 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1678 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1794 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 2225 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 2625 |
| S.E./mean | $=112.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(82). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Niphad, (Nasik.) | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To find the response of Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different levels of $N$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Kulthi at one village--Bajri at other. (c) N.A. (ii) Deep black. (iii) 5 C L./ac. of F.Y.M.
(iv) Local at one village and Kenphad at other village. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) N.A.
(d) N.A. (c) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.81^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

$0=$ Control
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$,
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half dose of Urea and A/S was applied one month after.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in the Taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaining the serial crder of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey number. No. of experimental site 2 . (iii), (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillerings, length of earhead and no. of grain per earhead. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1323 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.8 \mathrm{jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1060 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1365 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1410 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1375 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | $\therefore 1330$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 1400 |
| S.E./mean | $=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :m Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Yeola (Nasik.) Type :- 'M'.

Object : - To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane at one village, Bajri at other village. (c) 60 C L., ec. of F.Y.M. to sugarcane. (ii) Deep black. (iii) 5 C L./ac of F.Y.M. (iv) Loca, at one village and Kenphad at other. (v) (a N.A. (b) Duplicate sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.39^{\circ}$. (x) 2nd and 4th week of March 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$N_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. ac of $N$ as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ wire broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing. The remaining half of $A / S$ and Urea was applied ove month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in the taluk was formed and a necessary number of suit ble villages growing wheat; were taken from the list retaining the order of the list. The site in the village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental site 2 . (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillering, length of earhead, no. oi grain/earhead. (iv) (a) 19531956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil, (vii) Ni!.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2148 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $312.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1678 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2223 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2169 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2113 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 2395 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ | 2313 |
| S.E./mean | $=220.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(84).
Site :- Sinnar. (Nasik.) Type:~ ' M '.

Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra. (c) N.A. (ii) Medium Black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.76^{*}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \Rightarrow 20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super.
Super and half of Urea and A/S were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing, The remaining half of Urea and A/S was applied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in the Taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list, retaining the serial order of the list. The site in the village was located by randomly selected survey no. No. of experinental site 2. (iii. (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillering, length of earhead, no of grains in each earhead, (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1237 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .{ }^{\prime}$
(ii) $43.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 1071 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1155 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1234 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1275 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{P}$ | 1325 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1359 |
| S.E./mean | $=30.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Wheat (Rabi). Ref: Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(87).
Site :- Malegaon. Nasik. Type :~ ' N '.
Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivators' farming conditions to different level of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra. (c) N.A. (ii) Black. (iii) 5 C.L.ac. of F Y.M. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise-sowing. (c) N.A. (d) Spacing between rows varied from $9^{* \prime}$ to $12^{*}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.44^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and A/S were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing. The remaining half of $A / S$ and Urea was applied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages of the Taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) were taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. Number of experimental site 6. (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Hight, no. of tillering, length of earhead, no. grain per sarhead. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) N.A. (c) N:A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil, (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1243 \quad \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
(ii) $274.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 1009 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1233 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1185 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1118 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1533 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1377 |
| S.E/mean |  |
|  |  |
|  | $=11 \mathrm{l} .6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Site :- Baglan (Nasik.)

Ref :-Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 53(88). Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat under cultivatiors farming conditions' to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajri at 5 villages. Sannhemp at 1 village. (c) N.A. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 500 lb /ac. of F Y.M. (iv) Local at 5 villages and Pusa-1 at 1 village. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Tripticate sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) 3rd week of Nov, and ist of Dec. 1953 (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.65^{\prime}$. (x) 3rd and 4th week of March 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1^{\prime}}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and remaining half of Urea and A/S was supplied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages of taluk was formed and a necessary num er of suitable villages (growing Wheat) were taken from the list retaining the sericl crder of th. list. The si e in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental site 4. (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, no. of tillerings, length of earhead and no. of grain per earhead. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1078 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $81.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| O | 881 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1019 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1134 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1046 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1179 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{P}$ | 1210 |
| S.E./mean | $=40.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(89). } \\ \text { Site :- Chandori (Nasik.) } & \text { Type :-‘'M'. }\end{array}$

Object:-To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators' farming conditions, to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra at 3 villages and Paddy at 1 village. (c) 10 to 15 C.L., fac. of F.Y.M. for Bajra. No manure for Paddy. (ii) Black at 3 places. Loamy or laterite at one village. (iii) 5 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local at 3 villages and Niphad at 1 village. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) N.A. (d) Spacing between rows varied from $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (vi) 10 to 20.11.1953. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.58^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.2.1954 to 17.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}=2 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. of N as Urea $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and A/S were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and remaining half of Urea and A/S was supplie 1 one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages of the taluk was formed and a necessary number of surtable villages (growing Wheat) was taken from the 1 st retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a survey no. No. of experimental sites $=4$. (iii (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GEVERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, length of earhead, no. of grains per earhead and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1660 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $137.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 1095 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1559 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1664 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1549 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1952 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 2143 |
| S.E./mean | $=68.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :-Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(90). } \\
\text { Site }:{ }^{-} \text {Niphad (Nasik.) } & \text { Type : } \sim^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators farming conditions, to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra. (c) N.A. (ii) Deep black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local variety at 2 villages and Kenphad variety at 1 village. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$\mathrm{O}=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half of Urea and $A / S$ was supplied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages in a taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) was taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randmly selected survey no. No. of experimental sites 3 , (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, length of earhead, no. of grains per earhead and grain yield (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(I) 1387 lb./ac.
(ii) $158.8 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| O | 1150 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1352 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1540 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1278 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1440 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1560 |
| S.E./mean | $=91.60 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :-Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(91). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Dindori (Nasik.) | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators' farming condit ons, to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a; N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) 6 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) Medium black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) N.A. (d) Between rows $9^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.71^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and remaining half Urea and A/S was applied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages of the taiuk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) was taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a survey no. No. of experimental sites 2 . (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, number of tillers, length of earhead, no. of grains per earhead and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1564 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $62.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are sigoificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./2c.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | 1096 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1306 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1399 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1320 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{P}$ | 1384 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{P}$ | 1681 |
| S.E./mean | $=44.0$ |
|  |  |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(92).
Site :- Yeola (Nasik). Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators' farming conditions, to different leveis of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra at one village and Tag at other village. (c) 15 to 20 C.L. of F.Y.M. to Bajra crop.
(ii) Deep black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local at one village and Kenphad variety at other village.
(v) (a) N.A. (b) Duplicate sowing. (c) N.A. (d) and (e) N.A. (vi) 5th to 9th of Decembe: 1954.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 0.39". (x) 2nd and 4th week of March 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

O = Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea+20 lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and A/S were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half was supplied one month after sowing.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in a taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) was taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in a village was located by a randomly selected survey no. No of experimental sites $=2$. (iii) (a) $53 \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, length of earhead, no. of grains per earhead and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1351 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $413.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. vield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 890 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1120 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1185 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1290 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1560 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{P}}$ | 2060 |
| S.E./mean | $=292.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(93), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Sinnar (Nasik) | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators' farming conditions, to diferent levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra. (c) NA. (ii) Medium bla־k. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Cross-wise sowing. (c) N.A. (d) and (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.76^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea+ 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Urea $+20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ was broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half was supplied one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) A list of villages, randomly selected from all the villages in a taluk was forred and a necessary number of suitable villages (growing wheat) was taken from the list. The site in a village was located by randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental. sites $=2$. (iii) (a) $53^{\circ} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, number of tillers, length of earheads, number of grains per earhead and grain yield. (jv) (a) 1953 to $19: 6$. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1207 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $28.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 950 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1194 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1295 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1214 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | $1: 87$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1280 |
| S.E./mean | $=29.00 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi) | Ref :- Expt. on cultivators' fields, Mh. 53(94). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Nandgaon (Nasik.) | Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ '. |

Object :- To find the response of irrigated Wheat, under cultivators' normal practices, to different levels of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Bajra. (c) 5 to 6 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. at one viliage. 15 to 16 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. at. 2nd village. (ii) Medium Black (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Local. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Duplicate sowing at one place. No. of rows harvested at 2 nd place is 44 . (c) N.A. (d) Spacing between rows $9^{n}$. (e) N.A. (vi) 30.11 .1953 to 1.12 .1953 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $2.02^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.3 .1954 at one village. 13.3.1954 at 2nd village.
2. TREATMENTS :
$0=$ Control.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{\prime}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Urea.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
$\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
Super and half of Urea and $A / S$ were broadcasted 8 days prior to sowing and the remaining half one month after sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) and (ii) A list of villages randomly selected from all the villages in a taluk was formed and a necessary number of suitable villages was taken from the list retaining the serial order of the list. The site in the village was located by randomly selected survey no. No. of experimental site $=2$. (iii) (a) $53^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, length of earhead, number of grains per earhead ard grain yield (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1299 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $228.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| O | 918 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1385 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1170 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1278 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{P}$ | 1373 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ | 1670 |
| S.E/mean | $=161,2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :~ Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref: Mh. 51(166).
Type:- 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of N in combination with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of different varieties of Wheat.
】. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 4 and 5.11.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by $10^{\circ}$ Tiffan. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{Jac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) 5.28". (x) 21 and 22.3.52.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{NP}=52, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Hawara and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=\mathrm{AO}=90$.
(2) 3 doses of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=10$ and $N_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$.
(3) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounding. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block ; 3 blocks/replication, (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $628 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $136.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Mean | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P_{0}$ | 637 | 603 | 477 | 572 | 537 | 610 | 570 |
| $P_{1}$ | 583 | 680 | 750 | 671 | 693 | 680 | 640 |
| $P_{2}$ | 637 | 713 | 577 | 642 | 533 | 717 | 677 |
| Mean | 619 | 665 | 601 | 628 | 588 | 669 | 629 |
| $V_{1}$ | 580 | 647 | 537 |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{2}$ | 657 | 760 | 590 |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{3}$ | 620 | 590 | 677 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =32.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S. E. of body of table } & =55.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. 52(154). }}$
Type :~ 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of N in combination with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of different verieties of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nii. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagour. (iii) 26.10.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with Argada. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $0.70^{\circ}$. (x) 21.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All comdinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{NP}-2, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=\mathrm{AO}-90$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Hansa 3.
(2) 3 levels of N as A/S : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block ; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 161^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $590.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $255.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All the main effects and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 546.5 | 640.3 | 559.4 | 582.1 | 531.9 | 566.9 | 646.1 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 602.8 | 573.6 | 606.1 | 594.2 | . 534.4 | 659.5 | 588.6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 610.3 | 605.3 | 570.3 | 595.3 | 553.6 | 578.6 | 653.7 |
| Mean | 586.5 | 606.4 | 578.6 | 590.4 | 539.9 | 601.7 | 629.4 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 473.6 | 558.6 | 587.8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 652.7 | 613.6 | 538.6 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 631.9 | 646.9 | 609.4 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =60.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =104.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop : Wheat (Rabi).
Ref. : Complex experiments (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre : Niphad (Maharashtra) Type: $\sim^{*} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$
Object : VIII, To study the effect of N and P on yield of different varieties of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam in texture, medium to deep black in colour. (b) Deficient in organic matter, non-acidic in reaction, pH .7 .5 to 8.0 (iii) 5.11 .53 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c; 40 lb /ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As under treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one intercultivation- (ix) $35.00^{*}$. (x) $26,27.3 .1953$.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0,\left\lfloor\mathrm{P}_{1}=20\right.$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 varieties: $-\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Niphad $-4 ; \mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Kenphad No. 25 and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ B.N. No. 177.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Triple Super.
Triple super drilled one week before sowing and $A / S$ drilled at the time of sowing.

DESIGN:
(l) $3^{3}$ Fact. (confounded) (ii) (a) 9 plots/block ; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 . (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $40^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$ (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil.
-4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal
(ii) N
Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-
(b) No (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Kotah, Banaras,
Pura, and Paliad (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1357 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 110.3 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $V_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $V_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1141 | 1453 | 1463 | 1352 | 1323 | 1426 | 1308 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1275 | 1297 | 1263 | 1279 | 1183 | 1445 | 1209 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1322 | 1486 | 1513 | 1440 | 1449 | 1542 | 1330 |
| Mean | 1246 | 1412 | 1413 | 1357 | 1318 | 1471 | 1282 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 1197 | 1456 | 1302 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 1396 | 1522 | 1495 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | 1146 | 1259 | 1442 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=36.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
body of table

$$
=63.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop. : Wheat (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 49 (31).
Type: ' ${ }^{C}$ '

Object :-To find out a suitable date and spacing for sowing Wheat in Khandesh tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type baving a depth of 10 to 13 feet
(b) Refer soil analysis, Jalgaon. (iii) According to treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 50 lb .ac.
(d) According to treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gulab (Mid-late) (vii) Unirrigated (viii) N.A,
(ii) Nil. (x) 19 to 22.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
5 sowing dates : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=8.10 .49, \mathrm{D}_{2}=15.10 .49, \mathrm{D}_{3}=22.10 .49, \mathrm{D}_{4}=29.10 .49$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=8.11 .49$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings between rows : $-S_{1}=13^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=16^{\prime \prime}$
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Main-plot $39^{\prime} \times 44^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ (b) Sub-plot $33^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1947-50. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karad, Mohol, Niphad, Padegaon, Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Expt. failcd in 1948.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1101 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $117.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
(b) $123.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1052 | 1053 | 1163 | 1206 | 1080 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1134 | 995 | 1155 | 1055 | 1119 |
| Mean | 1093 | 1025 | 1159 | 1131 | 1099 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=47.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

2, S marginal means $\quad=31.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S means at a level of $\mathrm{D} \quad=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at a level of $S \quad=71,0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Wheat (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 50(42)
Type :m ' C '.

Object :-To find out a suitable date and spacing for sowing Wheat in Khandesh tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth oi 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer to soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) According to treatments. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 5 to 6 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) According to treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gulab (Mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 0 inches 91 cents. (x) 15 to 25.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

Sowing dates: $D_{1}=6.10 .50$. (Two weeks before normal sowing date) $D_{2}=13.10 .50$. (one week before normal sowing date) $D_{3}=20.10 .50$. (Normal sowing date) $D_{4}=27.10 .50$. (One week after normal sowing date) $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{5}}=3.11 .50$. (Two weeks after normal sowing date)
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings between rows : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=13^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=16^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ and $30^{\prime} \times 2 \exists^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime}$ (main-plot) $39^{\prime} \times 44^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (b) Sub $33^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) This year the rain fall was less than average. (ii) New plants dried in eariy stage and infecticn of loosesmut was observed to some extent. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1947-1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karad, Mohol, Niphad, Padegaon and Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5 RESULTS:

(i) $915.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $71.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $97.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatments and sub-plot treatments are highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 820 | 944 | 986 | 1039 | 1026 | 963 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 766 | 770 | 989 | 888 | 923 | 867 |
| Mean | 793 | 857 | 987 | 964 | 974 | 915 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $D$ marginal means | $=29.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=25.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$ | $=56.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=49.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(135).
Type:- ' C '.

Object :-To find out a suitable spacing and seedrate for dry Wheat

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) $2+10.1953$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gulab (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) N A. (ix) $0.48^{\circ}$. (x) 14.21954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 seedrates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=30, \mathrm{R}_{2}=4$ 3 and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$ (main-plot) $84^{\prime} \times 63^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL

(i) Germination was quite satisfactory. Growth of the crop was vigorous. General condition of the crop was satisfactory. (ii) Plants dried up to some extent by the attack of white ants. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) $1952-1954$. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (b) N A. (vi, Nil. (vii) Experiment failec in 1952.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $827 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (c) $223.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $123.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 861 | 826 | 765 | 817 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 761 | 860 | 888 | 836 |
| Mean | 811 | 843 | 826 | 827 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. R marginal means | $=91.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=41.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at a level of $R$ | $=72.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $R$ means at a level of $S$ | $=104.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi). . Ref:Mh. 48(96).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karad. Type :r'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings with different sowing dates on yield of Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

2 TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

5 sowing dates : $D_{1}=1.10 .1948, D_{2}=8.10 .1948, D_{3}=15.10 .1948, D_{4}=22.10 .1948$ and $D_{5}=29.10 .1948$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not good. Highly affected by hariyali (weeds). (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $108.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $76.01 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $29.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 74 | 62 | 68 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 81 | 61 | 71 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 135 | 126 | 131 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 156 | 136 | 146 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 117 | 141 | 129 |
| Mean | 113 | 105 |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. D marginal means } & =31.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. } S \text { marginal means } & =7.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. } S \text { means at the same level of } D & =17.17 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. } D \text { means at the same level of } S & =33.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

## Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref:-Mh. 48(21).
Type :-‘C'.
Object :-To find out a suitable date and spacing for sowing Wheat crop so as to avoid rust and obtain high yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black, A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $\{50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) 2 bags/ac. of G.N.C. on 10.10 .1948 and Sulphur dusting, (vi) Niphad 4 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 2.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing : $\quad D_{1}=10.10 .1948, \quad D_{2}=20.10 .1948, \quad D_{3}=30.10 .1948, \quad D_{4}=9.11 .1948$ and $D_{5}=$ 19.11.1948.

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plo
(ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replications ; 2 [sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a)
$41^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot $33^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ and main-plot $36^{\prime} \times 69^{\prime}$ (net). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Crop affected by rust. (iii) Height, length of the pannicle, no of graia, pannicle and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) atd (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1001 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $403 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $178 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{dc}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1111 | 1171 | 1141 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 1236 | 1125 | 1180 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1048 | 1045 | 1047 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 847 | 1021 | 934 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | 703 | 703 | 903 |
| Mean | 989 | 1013 | 1061 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=164.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=45.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$ | $=102.5 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=79.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site : : Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 49(36) 48(21)
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To find a suitable date and spacing for sowing Wheat crop so as to avoid rust and obtain high yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings and 2 levelings. (b) Drilling. (c) $50 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Top-dressing of $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. at the time of sowing on $9.10 .1949 .10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S at the time of flowering on 28.11.1949. (vi) Niphad 4. (vii) Irrigated. viii, 1 weeding. (ix) Nul. (x) 20.2.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
5 dates of sowing : $\quad D_{1}=10.10 .1949, \quad D_{2}=20.10 .1949, \quad D_{3}=30.10 .1949, \quad D_{4}=9.11 .1949$ and $D_{5}=$
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Gross main-plot: $40^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$ and $38^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$. Sub-plot: $20^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $19^{\prime} \times 41^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (b) Sub-plot: $15^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $15^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (v) $4^{\prime}$ along the read lines for both spacings. 2 rows on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1291 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $373.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $201.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects and interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1345 | 1263 | 1315 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 1322 | 1210 | 1304 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1227 | 1352 | 1319 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1350 | 1180 | 1218 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 1351 | 1264 | 1261 |
| Mean | 1319 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=152.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=52.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$
$=116.3 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S$
$=173.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:~Mh. 50(20)/49(36)/48(21).
Type:- " $\mathbf{c}$ ".

Object :-To find out a suitable date and spacing for sowing. Wheat crop so as to avoid rust and obtain high yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Korergaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 50 lb ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Top dressing $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. at thertime of sowing and 4 lb .11 oz ./sut-plet of G.N.C. at flowering and 10 lb /sub-plot as $A / S$ at flowering. Manuring on 1 and 12.10 .1950 . (vi) Niphad 4 (early). (vii) 12 irrigations as and when required. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 2i.3.1951 to 30.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=10.10 .1950, \mathrm{D}_{2}=20.10 .1950, \mathrm{D}_{3}=30.10 .1950, \mathrm{D}_{4}=9.11 .1950$, and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=19.11 .1950$. Sub-plot treatments :

2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Subplot $20^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $19^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (b) Sub-plot $15^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $15^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (v) 2 rows on either sides $3^{\prime}$ at either head-lines. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1670 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $219.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $263.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of D is highly significant. Main effect of S is significant and interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Meari |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1686 | 1791 | 1739 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 1873 | 1941 | 1507 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1671 | 1719 | 1695 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1440 | 1668 | 1554 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 1479 | 1437 | 1458 |
| Mean | 1630 | 1711 | 1670 |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal meas
$=89.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=52.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$
$=117.3 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
4. D means at the same level of $S$
$=122.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.<br>\[ \begin{aligned} \& Ref :- Mh. 48(33) .<br>\& Type :- 'C'. \end{aligned} \]

Object :--To see the effect of different spacings and sowing dates on the yjeld of Wheat crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No definite rotation followed. b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 16.91948 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb .'ac. (di As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat (Jay). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix; 5.38". (x) 31.1.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=16.9 .1948, D_{2}=23.9 .1948, D_{3}=30.9 .1948$ (normal date of scwing; $D_{4}=7.10 .1948$ and $D_{5}=14.10 .1948$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{*}$ and $S_{3}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ of rows on either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Much variation in the growth of crop. (ii) Rust was seen on wheat. (iii, Grain yield. (iv) (a) 19481949 to 1950. (b) No. (c) N A. (v) (a) Jalagaon, Karad, Niphad, Padegacn, Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Reasons are not known fir very great variation in yield.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $64 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $102.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $84.14 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects and irteraction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 35 | 46 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 28 | 40 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 64 | 59 | 62 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 72 | 75 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 108 | 108 |  |
| Mean | 61 | 66 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =41.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =21.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =48.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =54.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $S$ marginal means

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref: ${ }^{-}$Mh. 49(55).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To see the effect of different spacings and sowing dates on the yield of Wheat crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) No definite rotation. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mobol. (iii) 16,23 and $30.9 .1949,7$ and 14.10 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Jay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing 6 times. (ix) $1.14^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6,10 and 16th January 1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments:
5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=16.9 .1949, \mathrm{D}_{2}=23.9 .1949, \mathrm{D}_{3}=30.9 .1949$ (normal scwing date), $\mathrm{D}_{4}=7.10 .1949$ and $D_{5}=14.10 .1949$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication, 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.' (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ of rows on either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of the crop was normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1949$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon, Karad, Niphad, Padegaon and Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $198 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $51.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $26.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 149 | 138 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 210 | 205 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 207 | 208 | 207 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 213 | 222 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 214 | 214 | $21 \%$ |
| Mean | 159 | 197 | $19 \%$ |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=20.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S marginal means
$=6.77 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$
$=15.36 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S$
$=23.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 49(90). <br> Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Nagpur. Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of different seed rates and different spacings on yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CJNDITIONS :
(i) (a) N particsiar crop rotution followed. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nıpor. (iii) $6,7.11 .1949$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) NP—52. (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.95. (x) 23.2.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 spacings between lines: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=40, \mathrm{R}_{2}=50$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (al 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16_{1^{\prime \prime}}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) G aneral damage due to foot rot. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $747.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $208.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $72.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 688.0 | 792.0 | 720.0 | 776.0 | 744.0 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 75.0 | 824.0 | 704.0 | 752.0 | 758.0 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 728.0 | 808.0 | 664.0 | 760.9 | 740.2 |
| Mean | 722.7 | 808.0 | 696.0 | 762.9 | 747.2 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means

$$
=76.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

$$
\text { 2. } R \text { marginal means } \quad=22.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

$$
\text { 3. } R \text { means at the same level of } S \quad=45.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

$$
\text { 1. S means at the same level of } R \quad=84.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref:- Mh, 50(108)
Type:- ' C '.

Object: - To study the effect of spacings (line to line) and different seed rates on the yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 22.10.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P.52. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $3.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 spacings between lines: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $R_{1}=40, R_{2}=50$ and $R_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $624.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $144.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $87.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $S$ and $R$ are significant while interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 414.4 | 657.0 | 582.3 | 614.4 | 569.9 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 507.4 | 740.9 | 644.3 | 682.6 | 643.8 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 452.5 | 753.8 | 752.1 | 675.3 | 658.4 |
| Mean | 458.1 | 717.2 | 659.5 | 657.4 | 624.7 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =52.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =27.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =55.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =69.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

## Ref:- Mh. 51(119). <br> Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To find out suitable line to line spacing and seed rate for Wheat in Nagpur tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No particular rotation followed. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 6.11.1951. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Drilling. (c) and (d) As under treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P. 52 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Negligible (Rabi season). (x) 10.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 spacings between lines : $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=15^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates: $R_{1}=40, R_{2}=50$ and $R_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $565 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $117.9 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $83.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 588 | 552 | 501 | 566 | 552 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 552 | 545 | 501 | 595 | 548 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 552 | 646 | 545 | 639 | 595 |
| Mean | 564 | 581 | 516 | 600 | 565 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $\quad=43.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. R marginal means $\quad=26.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S=52.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R=61.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: : Wheat (Rabi).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref:- Mh. 52(I34).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out most suitable line to line spacing and seed rate for Wheat in Nagpur tract,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular rotation followed. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refcr soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 29.10.19s2. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings and 2 ploughings. (b) Tiffan sowiags. (c) and (d) As under treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.P. 52 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $1.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments:
4 spacings between lines : $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=9^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $R_{1}=40, R_{2}=50$ and $R_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) Sub-plot $40^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$; main-plot size $40^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Graın yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1951$ (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $544.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $128.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $50.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of $\mathbf{R}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 480.9 | 584.4 | 546.3 | 486.4 | 524.5 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 520.9 | 580.8 | 711.5 | 625.6 | 532.2 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 568.1 | 711.5 | 517.3 | 511.8 | 577.2 |
| Mean | 523.2 | 625.6 | 517.3 | 511.8 | 544.7 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =46.9 \mathrm{lb} \cdot \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =16.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =32.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =53.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. R marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.
Ref :- Mh. 48(27).
Type: " C '.

Object:-To ascertain the economic seed rate for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular. (b) Lucerne. (c) F.Y.M. and G.N.C. (arnount N.A.). (ii) (a) Loamy-Mediumdepth upto $6^{\prime}$. (b) A good percentage of silt ; clay and fine sand ; $\mathrm{pH}-7.5$ to 8 . (iii) 16.11.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by drilling with 3 coultered drill $10^{\prime \prime}$. (c) As under treatments. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $3.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

5 seed rates:

1. 40 lb ./ac.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. 70 lb ./ac.
5. $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $32.75^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth of the crop was normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1944-1945 to 19481949 (Rabi). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon and Jalagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1456 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1560 |
| 2. | 1465 |
| 3. | 1468 |
| 4. | 1408 |
| 5. | 1380 |
| S.E. mean | $=37.05 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ac. |

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :-Mh. 48(26).
Type: ${ }^{\prime}$ 'C".

Object:-To ascertain the proper time of sowing of dry Wheat with suitable spacing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy-Medium-depih upto 6 feet. (b) A good percentage of silt-clay and fine sand ; $\mathrm{pH}-7.5$ to 8 . (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. 'vi) Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $3.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments:

5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=24.9 .1948, \quad D_{2}=1.10 .1948, \quad D_{3}=8.10 .1948, \quad D_{4}=15.10 .1948$ and

$$
D_{5}=22.10 .1948
$$

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 spacings: $S_{1}=10^{\circ}$ and $S_{2}=13^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Mainplot: $36^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$; Sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $36^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) Main-plot : $30^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$; Sub-plot : $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}-10^{\circ}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ on either length wise direction and 2 rows on either breadth wise direction (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon,

Karad, Mohol, Padegaon and Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $530 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $36.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $22.29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 400 | 378 | 562 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 372 | 546 | 489 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 572 | 600 | 559 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 644 | 604 | 622 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 618 | 538 | 511 |
| Mean | 521 |  | 530 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=14.83 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S marginal means $\quad=5.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S means at the same level of $D \quad=12.86 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $D$ means at the same level of $S \quad=17.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Wheat (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :-Mh. 49(42).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and date of sowing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii)' (a) Loamy-Medium-depth upto 6 feet. (b) A good percentage of silt-clay and fine sand; pH 7.5 to 8. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows: as per treatments and between plants : irregular. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Wheat Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil. (x) 19.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=24$ to 26.9.1949, $D_{2}=1$ to $3.10 .1949, D_{3}=8$ to $10.10 .1949, D_{4}=15$ to 17.10.1949 and $D_{5}=22$ to 24.10.1949.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=13^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) Sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $36^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) Main-plot: $30^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ and sub-plot: $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ of rows on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Late rains and heavy rains in the middle of October affected the crop. The crop was below normal. (ii) Crop affected by seedling blight and slightly from loose smut. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Jalagaon, Karad, Mohol, Padegaon and Shahada.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $245.0 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $79.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $46.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of $S$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 204 | 200 | 202 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 239 | 237 | 215 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 226 | 296 | 220 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 316 | 250 | 306 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 370 | 240 | 260 |
| Mean | 251 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. } D \text { marginal means } & =32.66 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. } S \text { marginal means } & =12.03 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. } S \text { means at the same level of } D & \\ \text { 4. } & =27.29 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
4. D means at the same level of $S$ $=37.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:-Mh. 50(58).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find the proper time of sowing with different spacing for dry Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i)(a) No particular rotation followed. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy-medium-depth upto 6". (b) A good percentage of sitt-clay and fine sand; pH 7.5 to 8 . (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As under treatments. (e)-. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 20th and 21st February 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=1.10 .1950, D_{2}=8.10 .1950, D_{3}=15.10 .1950$ and $D_{4}=22.10 .1950$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings : $S_{1}=10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=13^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Mainplot: $36^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$; sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $36^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) Sub-plot: $30^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}-10^{\prime \prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ on either length wise direction and 2 rows on either breadth wise. (vi) Yer.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon, Karad, Mohol, Padegaon and Shahada. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $326.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $47.80 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $24.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ is highly significant and main effect of $S$ is significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 275 | 264 | 311 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 314 | 367 | 369 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 364 | 332 | 365 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 380 | 318 | 355 |
| Mean | 333 |  | 325 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=19.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S marginal means
$=6.99 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$
$=13.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S$
$=21.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 48(98).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type:- ' C '.

Object:-To find out whether there is any inter-relation between sowing dates and spacing on lncidence of on Wheat (irrigated).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As uncer treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (d) As under treatments. (e)--. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) As under treatments. (viii) N.A. (ix) $22.47^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 13.2.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=6.10 .1948, \mathrm{D}_{2}=13.10 .1948, \mathrm{D}_{3}=20.10 .1948, \mathrm{D}_{4}=27.10 .1948$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=3.11 .1948$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Subplot : $14^{\prime} \times 46^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $15^{\prime} \times 46^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $10^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 (variety changed in 1951-1952.). (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Jalgaon, Shahada and Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

- RESULTS :
(i) $358 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $128.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $80.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{D}$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 279 | 266 | 272 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 356 | 297 | 327 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 349 | 356 | 352 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 245 | 310 | 278 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 563 | 559 | 561 |
| Mean | 358 | 357 | 358 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad$ D marginal means | $=52.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=20.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$ |  |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $S$ |  |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref:~Mh. 49(121). <br> Type :~ ' C '.

Object :-To find out whether there is any inter relation between sowing dates and spacings on incidence of rust on Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No fixed rotation. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon.
(iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M•
(vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $23.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 sowing dates: $D_{1}=6.10 .1949, D_{2}=13.10 .1949, D_{3}=20.10 .1949, D_{4}=27.10 .1949$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=3.11 .1949$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 17.42^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Rust appeared in the middle of Dec. 1949. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon, Shahada and Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1119 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $261.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $204.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 897 | 1022 | 959 |
| $D_{2}$ | 1064 | 1160 | 1112 |
| $D_{3}$ | 1232 | 1177 | 1204 |
| $D_{4}$ | 1012 | 1139 | 1076 |
| $D_{5}$ | 1312 | 1177 | 1244 |
| Mean | 1103 | 1135 | 1119 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $\quad=106.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means $\quad=52.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D \quad=117.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S \quad=149.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Wheat $(R a b i)$, | Ref :- Mh. 50(147). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To find out whether there is any inter-relation between sowing date and spacing on incidence of rust on Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. on 3.12.1950. (vi) Niphad-4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) $22.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=6.10 .1950, D_{2}=13.10 .1950, D_{3}=20.10 .1950, D_{4}=27.10 .1950$ and $D_{5}=3.11 .1950$. Sub-plot treatments :

2 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Subplot: $29^{\circ} \times 21.5^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $30^{\prime} \times 21.5^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) Sub-plot : $25^{\prime} \times 17.42^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-N.A. (b) N.A. (c) No. (v) (a) Shahada, Niphad, Mohol and Jalagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $832 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $282.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $184.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 686 | 680 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 868 | 667 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 762 | 820 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1025 | 989 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 873 | 947 |
| Mean | 843 | 821 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad$ D marginal means | $=115.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=47.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$ | $=106.6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $\quad$ D means at the same level of $S$ | $=137.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 51(216).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Object:--To find out the optimum spacing and sowing date for Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :


#### Abstract

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Hand sowing. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) R.R. variety. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One weeding. (ix) 14.68". (x) 1.3.1952 for first two sowing dates and: 14.3.1952 for last three sowing dates.


2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments:
5 dates of sowing : $\quad D_{1}=6.10 .1951, \quad D_{2}=13.10 .1951, \quad D_{3}=20.10 .1951, \quad D_{4}=27.10 .1951$ and $D_{5}=3.11 .1951$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.

## 3. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ DESIGN :

(i) Sp it-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Sub-plot : $29^{\prime} \times 21.5^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $30^{\prime} \times 21.5^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) Sub-plot: $25^{\prime} \times 17.42^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-N.A. (modified in 1951-1952 with different variety) (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Shahada, Niphad, Mohol and Jalagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vij) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1494 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $238.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $163.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av: yield of grain in $\mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 1506 | 1373 | 1440 |
| $D_{2}$ | 1410 | 1484 | 1447 |
| $D_{3}$ | 1417 | 1473 | 1445 |
| $D_{1}$ | 1675 | 1579 | 1627 |
| $D_{5}$ | 1586 | 1442 | 1514 |
| Mean | 1519 | 1470 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $D$ marginal means
$=97.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=42.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same evel of $D$
$=94 .+\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S$
$=118.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :-Wheat }(\text { Rabi }) . & \text { Ref :-Mh. } 48(49) . \\ \text { Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Shahada. } & \text { Type :-‘C'. }\end{array}$

Object:-To determine the suitable sowing date and spacing for maximum yield of Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No definite rotation. (b) Chafa gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Madium black. b. N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $65 \mathrm{tb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Rabi season hence rainfall negligible. (x) 7 and 8.1.1949; 20, 23 and 25.2.1949 and 4, 5 and 6.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plat treatmeats :
5 sowing dates : $\quad D_{1}=3.10 .1948, \quad D_{2}=6.10 .1948, \quad D_{3}=13.10 .1948, \quad D_{2}=20.10 .1948$ and $D_{5}=27.10 .1948$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 spacings : $S_{1}=10^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=13^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 51^{\prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) Sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}$ ard $36 \times 26^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ of rows on either end of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory (ii) Some of the plants died due to the attack of white ants on their roots. (iii) Weight of grain and bhusa yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 to 1950-1951. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Padegaon, Jalagaon. Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) Many plants after germiration became dry and died. Some plants died when they were in earhead stage. This is probably due to soil being unfavourable to wheat crop. (vii) Originally it was proposed that the first date of sowing be 29.9 .1948 ; but due to the rain, it uas done on 3.10 .1948 .
5. RESULTS
(i) $145.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $77.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $32.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 130 | 132 | 131 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 122 | 99 | 110 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 144 | 142 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 138 | 181 | 160 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 173 | 198 | 186 |
| Mean | 141.5 | 150.5 | 145.5 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad$ D marginal means | $=31.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. S marginal means | $=8.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{D}$ | $=18.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. D means at the same level of $S$ | $=33.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Grop:- Wheat (Rabi)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Shahada.

## Ref :-Mh. 49(6).

Type:- ' C '.
Object:-To find out the optimum sowing date and spacing for high yield in dry land.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No definite rotation. (b) Lucerne. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilied. (c) $65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) - (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $37.30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $1,2,3,14$ and 21.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments:

5 sowing dates : $D_{1}=6.10 .1949, D_{2}=20.10 .1949$, (Local sowing date), $D_{3}=27.10 .1949, D_{4}=3.11 .1949$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=10.11 .1949$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=10^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=13^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Mainplot: $36^{\prime} \times 51^{\prime}$; sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}$ and $36^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$ for $S_{2}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side ; $3^{\prime \prime}$ length of row on either end of net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Crop was normal except for the fact that there was $3^{\prime \prime}$ rain on 12 th October which slightly affected treatment $\mathrm{D}_{1}$. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain. (iv) (a) $1948-49$ to $1950-51$. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Padegaon, Jalagaon, Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (c) N A. (vi) Since there was continuous rains from 25th Sept. to 1st Oct., soil was not in a condition for drilling hence the first sowing was done on 6.10.1949. Due to $3^{\prime \prime}$ rain on 12 th Oct. night, sowing of 13 th had to be postponed by 7 days and 2 nd sowing could be done only on 20.10.1949. (vii) Expt. failed in 1950.

## RESULTS :

(i) $386.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $113.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $88.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av . yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 164 | 187 | 17. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 374 | 370 | 372 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 501 | 517 | 509 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 478 | 521 | 499 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 369 | 388 | 37.9 |
| Mean | 377 | 397 | 387 |

S.E of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=46.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means $=23.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{acc}^{\prime}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $D$ $=51.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $S$ $=58.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref:- Mh. 48(71)
Type :- 'C'.

Object :--To find out the optimum seed rate for Wheat crop (irrigated).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Wheat-Gram. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 10.10 .1948 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Imported wheat (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil (Rabi season). (x; 1st week of Feb. 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Three seed rates :-

1. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre (dimensions N.A.) (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1940-41$ to 1948-49. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $766 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $210.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 767 |
| 2. | 733 |
| 3. | 800 |
| S.E./mean | $=85.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 48(72).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm,Tharsa.
Type:- 'C'.
```

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate for Wheat crop (unirrigated).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Wheat-Wheat-Gram. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Morand no. II (medium black). (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 10th Oct. 1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Tiffan sowing. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) --. (v) Nil. (vi) Improved Wheat (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings on 24.10 .1948 and 16.12.1948. (ix) Nil (Rabi season). (x) First week of Feb. 1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Three seed rates :

1. 40 lb ./ac.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1940-41 to 1948-49. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $907 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $95.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 860 |
| 2. | 933 |
| 3. | 957 |
| S.E./mean | $=38.99 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Site :~ Govt. Exptl. Farm,Nagpur.

Ref:- Mh. 52(145).
Type:- ' $D$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of harmones and chemicals for the control of weeds.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular crop rotation followed. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) Last week of Oct-1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 5 bakharings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nii. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $1.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of Fet. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Hand weeding.
5. Feronoxene.
6. Chloroxene.
7. Feronoxene and Diesel oil. (Details N.A.).
8. DESIGN :
(i) L. Sq. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $551 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 56.87 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 495 |
| 2. | 565 |
| 3. | 582 |
| 4. | 536 |
| 5. | 575 |
| S.E./mean | $=25.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheât (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 53(227). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :~ 'D'. |

Object :-To study the effect of harmones and chemicals on weeds of Wheat crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No particular crop rotation followed. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer sol analysis, Nagpur. (iii) Last week of October 1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and, bakharings. (b) By Tiffan. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.58", (x) Last week of Feb. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (No harmones or chemical or weeding)
4. Hand weeding.
5. Feronoxene,
6. Chloroxene.
7. Feronoxene and Diesel oil.
(Details N.A.).
8. DESIGN :
(i) L.Sq.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A:
(b) $24^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. ivi Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi, and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS
(i) $495 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $211.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 483 |
| 2. | 530 |
| 3. | 467 |
| 4. | 518 |
| 5. | 475 |
| S.E./mean | $=94.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Wheat (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 48(93).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.
Type:- 'D'.
```

Object : - To control the incidence of loose-smut in Wheat by seed treatment.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No fixed rotation. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loamy. (b) Refer scil analysis, Niphad. (iii) N.A. (jv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) --. (v) Nil. (vi) Broach in all cases except. Tr. 7. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seed treated with :

1. Control.
2. $\frac{1}{2}$ hour solar heat.
3. 1 hour solar heat.
4. it hours solar heat.
5. 2 hours solar heat.
6. N.P. 165.
7. Untreated seed of Vijay.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4: (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1948-$ N.A. (b) First year of the expt. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $302 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $6.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | $A v$, yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 297 |
| 2. | 316 |
| 3. | 312 |
| 4. | 382 |
| 5. | 300 |
| 6. | 164 |
| 7. | 345 |
| S.E./mean | $=3.15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : - Wheat $(R a b i)$. | Ref :- Mh. 48(94). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site $:-$ Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :- 'D'. |

Object :-To control the incidence of blight disease on Wheat seedlings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Not fixed. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 24.10 .1948 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) -- (v) Nil. (vi) Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seed treated with :

1. Cereson.
2. Untreated.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 26.67^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-N.A. (b) First year. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $525 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $34.92 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 554 |
| 2. | 497 |
| S.E./mean | $=11.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wheat (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $48(95)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :- 'D'. |

Object :- To control the incidence of blight discase on Wheat seedlings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No particular. (b) and c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 24.10.1948. (iv) (a) 1 harrowing and 2 ploughings. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d/: $2^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) --. (v) Nil. (vi) Vijay, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Ceres. n treated.
4. T.M.T.D.
5. Spergon.
6. A.A. Grano.
7. Untreated.
(Details N.A.).
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.5^{\prime} \times 26.67^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Its.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49. (b) First year of the experiment. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $489 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $46.05 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 447 |
| 2. | 512 |
| 3. | 516 |
| 4. | 487 |
| 5. | 486 |
| S.E/mean | $=23.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |



Object :-To compare $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on yield of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) By tiffan. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and I weeding. (ix) $34.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.12.1953.

## TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=45 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1850 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $778.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Control $=1679 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
\(\left.\begin{array}{l|lll} \& \mathrm{N}_{1} \& \mathrm{~N}_{2} \& \mathrm{~N}_{3} <br>
\hline \mathrm{~S}_{1} \& 1952 \& 1983 \& 2061 <br>

\mathrm{~S}_{2} \& 1600 \& 1693 \& 2154\end{array}\right]\)| 1999 |
| :--- |
| Mean |


| S.E. of N or control mean | $=246.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of S means | $=201.1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v s$. any other mean in the table | $=426.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=348.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif)._ Ref:- Mh. 49(105).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. ' $\quad$ ype :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.7.1949. (iv) (a), (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 heeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $38.39^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
9. 20 lb ./ac of N as G.N.C.
10. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
11. 20 lb ./ac. of $N$ as $A / S$.

Manuring on 20.7.1949.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2201 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $368.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2100 |
| 2. | 2146 |
| 3. | 2266 |
| 4. | 2246 |
| 5. | 2026 |
| 6. | 2153 |
| 7. | 2253 |
| 8. | 2153 |
| 9. | 2466 |
| S.E./mean | $=150.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Jowar (Kharif). <br> Ref :- Mh. 50(132)

Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.7.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $22.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (No manure)
2. 20 lb . ac . of N as T.C.
3. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as T.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{Jac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied on 13.7.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-Contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola. (b)
N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1218 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $224.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1186 |
| 2. | 1246 |
| 3. | 1133 |
| 4. | 1320 |
| 5. | 1146 |
| 6. | 1160 |
| 7. | 1353 |
| 8. | 1246 |
| 9. | 1173 |
| S.E./mean | $=91.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar, (Kharif).
Ref :. Mh. 51(185)
Site :- Govt. Seed \& Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 20.7.1951.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium.)
(vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $26.30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4, 5.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
$6 \quad 10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as G.N.C.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. 10 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
8. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 19 and 20.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes. .
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and bhusa yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1606 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $302.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib. /ac.
Treatment Av yield

1. 1533
2. 1643
3. 1657
4. 1506
$5 . \quad 1513$
$6 . \quad 1556$
7.1583
$8 . \quad 1658$
9.1805
S.E./mean $\quad=123.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(225).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :-'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii)
1.8 .1952. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $101 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{lac}$. d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium!. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $12.09^{\prime \prime}$ (d) 5.1 .1953 .
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as A/S.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 1.8.1952
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. 'vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and bhusa yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $681 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $402.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 542 |
| 2. | 899 |
| 3. | 506 |
| 4. | 788 |
| 5. | 864 |
| 6. | 626 |
| 7. | 623 |
| 8. | 490 |
| 9. | 789 |
| S.E./mean | $=164.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 53(236).
Site : Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur.
Type:-'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 12.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings in April. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings, 1 thinning and 1 weeding. (ix) 34.91" (x) 2.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
6. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1953. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $1159 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $472.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1189 |  |
| 2. | 1400 |  |
| 3. | 1200 |  |
| 4. | 899 |  |
| 5. | 1217 |  |
| 6. | 983 |  |
| 7. | 873 |  |
| 8. | 1413 |  |
| 9. | 1260 |  |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=192.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 50(133).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar after Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.7.1951.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ac. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $22.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
6. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied to previous Jowar crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1266 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $265.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1266 |
| 2. | 1300 |
| 3. | 1293 |
| 4. | 1233 |
| 5. | 1146 |
| 6. | 1260 |
| 7. | 1380 |
| 8. | 1200 |
| 9. | 1320 |
| S.E./mean | $=108.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).<br>Ref :- Mh. 53 (235).<br>Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of different organic and inorganic manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 12.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (v.) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings, 1 weeding and 1 thinning. (ix) $34.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung.
6. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied to previous year Jowar crop.
3. DESIGN :
(j) R.B.D. (ii) (al 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 , (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 w.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1568 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 532.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly,
(iv) Av. yield of grain $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1680 |
| 2. | 1527 |
| 3. | 1360 |
| 4. | 1320 |
| 5 | 2033 |
| 6. | 1260 |
| 7. | 1687 |
| 8. | 1537 |
| 9. | 1710 |
| S.E./mean | $=217.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 51 (186).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :~ ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To judge the manurial value of cotton seed cake on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $26.30^{\circ}$. (x) $26,27.12 .1951$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 20 ll ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as decorticated cotton seed cake.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as undecorticated cotton seed cake.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 16.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and bhusa yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Nagpur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2181 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $204.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 2080 |
| 2. | 2276 |
| 3. | 2224 |
| 4. | 2144 |
| S.E./mean | $=91.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 48(80).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Bone Super as top dressing of rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 1$ ratio, (ii) (a) D type.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) September 1948. (iv) (a) Ploughing and harrowing. (b) N.A.
(c) N.A. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M.35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $6.4^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) February 1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. $56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bone Super.
3. $56 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bone Super $+56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. $56 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 .
(iv) (a)
) N.A.
(b) 0.50 gunthas.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1948. (b) No. (c! Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason given for low yields. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $445 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $165.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 380 |
| 2. | 507 |
| 3. | 387 |
| 4. | 424 |
| 5. | 529 |
| S.E./mean | $=67.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 51(92).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied to Cotton crop in the form of F.Y.M. and C/N in previous year.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 24.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hceings and 1 weeding. (ix) 24.32 ${ }^{\text {F }}$. (x) 4.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in the ratio $1: 1$.

Manures applied to previous crop cotton.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 161_{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1376 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 250.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | Control $=1180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1358 | 1468 | 1145 | 1324 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1503 | 1485 | 1340 | 1443 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1458 | 1368 | 1458 | 1428 |
| Mean | 1439 | 1440 | 1314 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=72.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of bory of table | $=125.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 52(122).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures (F.Y.M and C/N) applied to cotton crop in previous year

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $8-10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (ii) Improvedi Soaner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings, 2 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) 26.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in the ratio 1:1.

Manures applied to previous cotton crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) NiJ.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $435 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 264.0 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction are not significant. "Control $v s$, others" effect is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Contr | $=447 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{/ac}$. |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 492 | 380 | 412 | 428 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 275 | 540 | 457 | 42 + |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 437 | 480 | 427 | 448 |
| Mean | 401 | 467 | 432 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.E. of } \\ & \text { S.E. of } \end{aligned}$ | ginal $m$ table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =76.2 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =132.0 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :: Jowar (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 51(93) } \\
\text { Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To test the residual effect of manures applied to Cotton crop in the previous year.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 24.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $8-10 \mathrm{ib} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $13^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoter (late). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and weeding. (ix) 24.32", (x) 3.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20,1 \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+C / N$ in the ratio 1: 1.

Manures applied to previous crop cotton.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1158 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 182.5 lb ./ac.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1070 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1160 | 1218 | 1128 | 1169 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1125 | 1335 | 1158 | 1205 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1188 | 1103 | 1098 | 1130 |
| Mean | 1158 | 1219 | 1127 |  |

S.E. of marginal means of S or $\mathrm{N} \quad=52.68 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. S.E. of body of table

$$
=91.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :~ Mh. 52 (121)
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures (G.N.C. and C/N) applied during previous year to Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 24.6.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A, (c) 8-10 lb./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Improved Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) 27.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20 . \mathrm{N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C., $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C .+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1: 1. ratio Manures applied to previous crop cotton.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \dot{\times} 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5, RESULTS:
(i) $244 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $179.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=127 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 245 | 265 | 450 | 320 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 192 | 180 | 107 | 159 |
| $\cdot \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 322 | 297 | 250 | 290 |
| Mean | 253 | 247 | 269 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ or $N$ | $=51.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=89.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ Jowar (Kharif). | Ref : $\quad$ Mh. 48(45). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :-'M'. |

Object:-To test the effect of the application of different manures in varying quantities by different methods.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 14.7.1948. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 1 light bakharing. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $8-10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Nil. (ix) $31.52^{\prime \prime}$, (x) 24.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 2 manures: $\mathrm{N}_{1}=10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N drilled with seed and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N ; half drilled with seed and half top dressed.
(2) 4 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=A / S, S_{3}=$ Red label mixture and $S_{4}=$ F.Y.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. © One row on either side of a plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1945-1949. b No. (c, N.A. (v) (a; and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $976 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $175.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $N$ is significant while main effect of $S$, interaction $S \times N$ and control vs. others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=877 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

> Ref :- Mh. $49(72)$.
> Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To test the effect of the application of different manures in varying quantities by different methods of application.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b, Cotton. (c) 5 C.L./ac.. of F.Y.M. $+550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G N.C. (ii) (a, Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 24.7.1949. 'iv', 'a 1 heavy and I light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{lb} /$ /ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late:. 'vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $42.93^{\circ}$. (x) 19.1.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( 1 ) and (2) + a control (no manure,
(1) 2 manures: $N_{1}=10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$ drilled with seed and $N_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N , half drilled uith seed and half top dressed.
(2) 4 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=A / S, S_{3}=$ 'Red label' mixture ard $S_{4}=$ F.Y.M.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$, (v) One row on either side of a plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1945-1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $880 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $102.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of S and "control vs. others" are significant while N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are not significant.
( $v$, Av yield of grain in lb /ac.
Control $=777 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ | Meau |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{1}$ | 822 970 858 863 <br> 867 998 875 895 | 877 <br> $N_{2}$ | 809 |  |  |
| Mean | 844 | 984 | 866 | 877 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=29.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=20.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=41.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 48(44).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :~'M'.
Object:-To find out the effect of $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the ferm of G.N.C., and A/S singly and in consbination.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 14.7.1948. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Improved Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $31.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.12 .1948.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Cattle dung (F.Y.M.).
7. 10 lb ./ac. of N as $G . \mathrm{N} . C .+10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S.

Manuring on 24.6.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$.
(v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) 1945-1949.
(b) No. (c) N.A.
(v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $997 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $142.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
$1 . \quad 910$
2. 987
3. 1073
4. 990
$5 . \quad 978$
$6 . \quad 1042$
S.E./mean $\quad=57.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref :-Mh. 49(71).

Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the form of G.N.C., F.Y.M. and A/S applied aione and in combinatıon.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M $+550 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. of N as G.N.C. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 24.7.1949. (Iv) (a) 1 heavy and 3 light bakharings. b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N A. (v) Nil. (vi) Improved saoner (late). (vii, inirigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.1.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. No manure.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathbf{S}$.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung (F.Y.M.).
5. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C .+10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Time and method of application N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $65^{\prime} \times 161^{\prime}$. (v) One row on eicher side of each plet. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. iv; (a) 1945-1949. (i) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

| (i) 935 lb . ac . <br> (ii) $118.6 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. |  |
| (iv) Av. yield of grai | lb./ac. |
| Treatment | Av. yield |
| 1. | 898 |
| 2. | 922 |
| 3. | 967 |
| 4. | 917 |
| 5. | 950 |
| f. | 953 |
| S.E.mean | $=48.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif). <br> Site :-Govtl. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :-Mh. 48(38).
Type:-'M'

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied to cotton crop in previous year.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cetton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Blacl cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) $12.7 .19+8$. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to 10 Ib./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix/ 31.52*. (x) 27.12.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of N as pondretta compost.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as pondretta compost.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. +20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{F} . Y . \mathrm{M} .+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as 'red label' mixture.
8. $40 \mathrm{ll} /$ /ac. of N as 'red label' mixrure.

Manure: spplied to cotton crop in previous year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Gcod. (ii) Powdery mildew attack in Nov. 1948. No control measures. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) 1946-49. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $862 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $133.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 913 |
| 2. | 827 |
| 3. | 817 |
| 4. | 887 |
| 5. | 903 |
| 6. | 797 |
| 7. | 888 |
| 8. | 865 |
| S.E /mean | $=54.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 49(65).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :-'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied to Cotton crop in previous year.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 14.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (viii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as pondretta compost.
6. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as pondretta compost.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as 'red label' mixture.
10. 40 lb ./ac. of N as 'red label' mixture.

Manures applied to cotton crop in previous year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-49. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $877 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $197.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 822 |
| 2. | 997 |
| 3. | 792 |
| 4. | 793 |
| 5. | 950 |
| 6. | 925 |
| 7. | 943 |
| 8. | 893 |
| S.E./mean | $=80.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 48(39;. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To test the residual effect of manures applied to Groundnut crop during previous year on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii; (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 12.7.1948. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b. Sowing by tiffan. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\circ}$. (e) NA. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 3 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $31.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.

Manures applied to groundnut crop in 1947.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii; 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-49.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) and
(b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii). Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $975 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $145.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do $n$ st differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1022 |
| 2. | 917 |
| 3. | 947 |
| 4. | 1023 |
| 5. | 967 |
| S.E./mean | $=59.57 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 49(66).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type:-'M'.
```

Object:-To test the residual effect of manures applied to Groundnut crop in previous year on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut--Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black zotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 14.7.1949. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 1 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 10 lb./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.

Manures applied to groundnut crop in 1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1949 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1461 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $137.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1353 |
| 2. | 1443 |
| 3. | 1457 |
| 4. | 1502 |
| 5. | 1552 |
| S E./mean | $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Ref:-Mh. 53(172).
Type:- ${ }^{6}{ }^{M}$ '.
Object:-To compare and test the effect of different doses of A/S and C/N on the yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ; half as compost and half as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 11.8 .1953 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings, 1 weeding and 1 thinning. (ix) $26.38^{\circ}$. (x) 27.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=45 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.

Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each $n^{i n} t$. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kadbi and grain yield. (iv) (a) Not contd. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1461 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $188.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant while main effect of S is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 49(48).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :-'M'.
Object :-To compare the effect of T.C. on Jowar with other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 22.7.1949. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) 5 to $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ} \times 12^{\circ}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $49.64^{* \prime}$. (x) 22.12 .1945

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Cattle dung.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G. N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N$ as $A / S$.

Manures top dressed on 28.7.1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $68^{\prime} \times 18 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 (expt. in 1948 failed due to late sowing and adverse weather conditions. Modified in 1949). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vij) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $877 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $160.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 764 |
| 2. | 1110 |
| 3. | 861 |
| 4. | 774 |
| 5. | 840 |
| 6. | 816 |
| 7. | 994 |
| 8. | 759 |
| 9. | 976 |
| S.E./mean | $=65.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type:- 'M'.
Object :-To find out the residual effect of T.C. and other manures applied to Jowar in 1947-48.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana (iii) 22.7.1949. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) 8 to 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner. (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 10 C.L./ac. of Farm compost.
5. 20 C.L.lac. of Farm compost.
6. 10 C.L./ac of Cattle dung.
7. 20 C.L./ac. of Cattle dung.
8. 4 maunds of G.N.C. with seed at sowing.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ with seed at sowing.

Manures applied in 1947-48.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) ${ }^{\Phi} 2 \frac{2}{2}^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947-contd. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $891 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $128.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 770 |
| 2. | 910 |
| 3. | 963 |
| 4. | 887 |
| 5. | 1000 |
| 6. | 900 |
| 7. | 810 |
| S.E./mean | $=52.24 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

```
Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 51(111).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To find out the effect of T.C. in comparison with other manures an Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 16.7.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 8 to $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late; (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $33.22^{\circ}$. (x) 18.12 .1951 .
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
7. 40 le ./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
10. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
11. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied on 28.7.1951.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 -Contd.. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2079 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $237.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 2025 |
| 2. | 2043 |
| 3. | 2043 |
| 4. | 2044 |
| 5. | 1941 |
| 6. | 2016 |
| 7. | 2109 |
| 8. | 2085 |
| 9. | 2407 |
| S.E./mean | $=96.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif). Ref:mh. 51(110).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration. Farm, Buldana. Type :~ © $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To judge the manurial value of cotton-seed cake to Jowar crop in comparison with other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 16.7.1951. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) 5 to $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, 2 hoeing. (ix) $33.22^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 18.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

15 lb ./ac. of N in the form of

1. Decorticated cotton-seed cake.
2. Undecorticated cotton-seed cake.
3. G.N.C.
4. A/S.
5. Control (no manure)

Manuring on 28.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii)
5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b)
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Washim.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1886 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $192.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1972 |
| 2. | 1813 |
| 3. | 1915 |
| 4. | 1942 |
| 5. | 1790 |
| S.E./mean | $=85.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Ref : - Mh. 51(208).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.
Type :-‘M"
Object :-To study the effect of different doses of Zinc Sulphate on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 Interculture. (ix) $6.10^{\prime \prime}$ from Sept. to Dec. (x) 9.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 10 lb ./ac. of Zinc Sulphate.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of Zinc Sulphate.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $355 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment. | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 343 |
| 2. | 404 |
| 3. | 317 |
| S.E./mean | $=34.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref :- Mh. 53(154).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To find out suitable combination of N and P with and without F.Y.M. to Rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super. (ii) (a) Medium and deep black. (b N.A. (iii) 22.9.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ betwecn rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii 2 interculturing. (ix) 7.66". (x) 18.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \quad P_{0}=0, \quad P_{1}=10, \quad P_{2}=20$ and $P_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of F.Y. M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $54^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $52^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 Counts, 3 heights and yield data. (ivi (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $628 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $198.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is significant while other main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 466 | 536 | 695 | 601 | 574 | 576 | 573 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 536 | 667 | 823 | 569 | 649 | 652 | 645 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 544 | 719 | 685 | 691 | 660 | 590 | 731 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 486 | 702 | 728 | 599 | 629 | 576 | 682 |
| Mean | 508 | 656 | 733 | 615 | 628 | 598 | 658 |
| $F_{0}$ | 473 | 627 | 716 | 579 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 544 | 685 | 750 | 652 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=49.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $F$ | $=35.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ or $P \times F$ table | $=70.18 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=99.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop : Jowar (Kharif).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:~Mh. 50(38).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To find the effect of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 12.7 .1950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$, (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weedings en 15.7.1950, 27.7.1950 and 30.8.1950; hoeings on 18.7.1950, 30.8.1950 and 2.9.1950. (ix) 21.73". (x) 12.10.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (control).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow (No Groundnut crop in the previous year).

These treatments applied to previous Groundnut crop. All manures applied before sowing in full and spread evenly over the field.
3. DESIGN :
) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem borer and red spot observed on leaves of jowar. (iii) Grain and chaff yield of jowar and udid. (iv) (a) 1949-50; 1954-55. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Jowar was mixed with udid. Experiment vitiated in 1949 for jowar crop.
5. RESULTS :
Jowar crop Udid crop
(i) $2537 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $360.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 2639 |
| 2. | 2557 |
| 3. | 2704 |
| 4. | 2750 |
| 5. | 2535 |
| S.E./mean | $=161.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 51(41),
Type :- ${ }^{\text {' }}$ '.

Object :-To find out the effects of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to the leguminous crop (Groundnut; and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to $13^{\prime}$ (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 5.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{n}$ between rows and irregular between plants. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Un.. irrigated. (viii) Weeding on 23.8 .1951 ; hoeings on $30.7 .1951,13.8 .1951$ and 21.8 .1951 . (ix) $20.14^{*}$ (x) 5.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (control).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. No manure (fallow for Groundnut).

Treatments applied to Groundnut crop. All manures applied before sowing in full and spread evenly in the field.

DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{A}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Attack of stem-borer observed on Jowar. Damage not serious. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) $1949-50$; 1954-55. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Udid grown in Jowar rows and for which analysis was carried out separately and separate form filled.
5. RESULTS:

Jowor crop Udid crop
(i) $1676 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) $199 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $344.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $36.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1548 |
| 2. | 1731 |
| 3. | 1558 |
| 4. | 1712 |
| 5. | 1830 |
| S.E./mean | $=154.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 199 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 172 |
| 3. | 219 |
| 4. | $2: 1$ |
| 5. | 132 |
| S.E/mean | $=16.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :~ Mh. 52(67).
Type:-‘M'.

Object:-To find out the effect of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$ (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows and irregular between plants. (e) N.A. 'v' Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 14.8.1952 ; hoeings on 7.7.1952 and 10.8.1952. (ix) 17.61". (x) 26.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (control)
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. No manure (fallow for Groundnut of previous year).

Treatments applied to leguminous crop. All manures applied before sowing in full and spread evenly in the field.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of loose-smut disease and stem-borer. (iii) Gain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Udid grown in between Jowar rows for which analysis was carried out separately.
5. RESULTS:

## Jowar crop

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 738 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $134.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 607 |
| 2. | 787 |
| 3. | 693 |
| 4. | 709 |
| 5. | 896 |
| S.E./mean | $=60.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Udid crop

(i) $233 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.08 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain $\ln 1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 228
2. 205
3. 240
4. 275
5. 215
S.E $/$ mean $=23.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Object:-To find out the effect of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) GrounJnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling (c) 3 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ beetween rows and irregular betwean plants. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeings on 16.7.1953 to 12.8.1953; weedings on 16.7.1953 and 12.8.1953. (ix) 23.77". (x) 24.11.19:3.

2 TREATMENTS:

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (control).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. No manure (fallow for Groundnut of previous year).

Treatments applied to leguminoue crop. Manures applied before sowing in full and sprcad evenly in the field.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth and general condition of the crop was satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Udiul grown in between Jowar rows for which analysis was carried out separately.
5. RESULTS

| Jowar yield |  | Udid crop |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { (i) } 1394 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$ |  | (i) $486 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, <br> (ii) 89.28 lb ./ac. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (iii) The treatments dif | nces are highly significant. | (iii) Treatmen | differ significantly. |
| (iv) Av. yield of grain | $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{ac}$. | (iv) Av. yield | in lb./ac. |
| Treatments | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| 1. | 1254 | 1. | 482 |
| 2. | 1248 | 2. | 483 |
| 3. | 1226 | 3. | 573 |
| 4. | 1383 | 4. | 523 |
| 5. | 1861 | 5. | 350 |
| S.E/mean | $=57.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | S.E./mean | $=39.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 49(27).

Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of Jowar (without F.Y.M.)

## 1. BASAL CO $\triangle$ DITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Sowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a deepth of 10 to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2.7.19i9. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sced drilled. (c 3 lb . Jowar and 6 lb. udid per acre. (d) Between rows is $18^{*}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinning on 14.7.1949. ; 4 times weeding and 3 times hoeing. (ix) $44.17^{\prime \prime}$. $\langle x\rangle$ 7.12.1949. for fowar; 19:10.1949 for udid.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) $108^{\prime} \times 168^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\circ} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round net piot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Stem-borer attack is not considerable. (iii) Weight of udid grain. Weight of Jowar grain and kadbi. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Purpose was to study the yield of Jowar only and as such yield of udid is not given.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1213 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $158.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 858 | 1081 | 1214 | 1472 | 1156 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1002 | 1125 | 1214 | 1475 | 1204 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1007 | 1110 | 1392 | 1581 | 1273 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 906 | 1234 | 1255 | 1472 | $121:$ |
| Mean | 943 | 1138 | 1269 | 1500 | 1213 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N or P
S.E. for body of table
$=39.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=79.4 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif ). | Ref :- Mh. 50(37). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of kharif Jowar (without F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon, (iii) 8.7.1950. (iv) (a) NA. b Seeds drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of jowar with 6 lb ./ac. udid. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 times hoeing and 2 times weeding. (ix) $21.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.10 .1950 for udid, 7.12 .1950 for jowar.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) $168^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\circ}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) The jowar crop was attacked by borer and Millipeds. (iii) Weight of udid and jowar grain and kadbi. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No (b) N.A. (vi) Nil (ivii) Purpose was to study the yield of jowar only hence only Jowar yield is given.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1634 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $450.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1293 | 1792 | 1363 | 1803 | 1563 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1236 | 1484 | 1856 | 1806 | 1596 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1392 | 1582 | 1528 | 2025 | 1632 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1479 | 1546 | 1810 | 2139 | 1744 |
| Mean | 1350 | 1601 | 1639 | 1943 | 1634 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =112.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =225.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |


| Crop :-Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :"Mh. $51(40)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site : - Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type : ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object:-To study the N and P requirement of kharif Jowar (without F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundout. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soi[ analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) I1.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 3 lb ./ac. for jowar a二d $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. for udid. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 times hoeing and 2 times weeding. (ix) 20.14". (x) 30.9 .1951 for udid and 5.12 .1951 for jowar.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40$ and $P_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) $108^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$ (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of Jowar and udid grain and kadbi. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) The season was not favourable for pulse crop as there was a long break of rains at a time when pulse crop was in flowering stage. (vii) Purpose was to study the yield of jowar crop only. Hence the yield of $u d i d$ not recorded.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $841 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $151.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 695 | 875 | 835 | 782 | 797 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 896 | 853 | 967 | 789 | 876 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 805 | 799 | 837 | 841 | 821 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 920 | 844 | 862 | 846 | 868 |
| Mean | 829 | 843 | 875 | 815 | 841 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=37.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=75.5 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 48(17).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of kharif Jowar (with F.Y.M.)

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 25.6 .1948 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. jowar with $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $u$ did. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. given on 8.8 .1948 (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $24.46^{*}$. (x) 1.12.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and) (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R,B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) $108^{\prime} \times 168^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Continuous rainfall during July and August caused weekness in plants. Rainfall in November caused lodging in Jowar. Some grain germinated on the earbeads. Grain became black. Yield reduced to $40 \%$ due to November rains. (ii) The crop was attacked by caterpillers and stemborers. (iii) Weight of udid and jowar grain. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. 'c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Continuous rainfall during July and August. (vii) Purpose was to study the yield of jowar only and as such yield of udid not given.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1392 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $280.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $N$ and interaction NP are significant. Effect of $P$ is not significant.
(iv) $\mathrm{A} v$, yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1138 | 1383 | 1643 | 1359 | 1381 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1201 | 1398 | 1481 | 1169 | 1312 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1392 | 1200 | 1800 | 1368 | 1440 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1404 | 1249 | 1310 | 1788 | 1438 |
| Mean | 1284 | 1307 | 1558 | 1421 | 1392 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =70.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =140.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 49(26).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and P requirement of kharif Jowar (with F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{1 3}^{\prime}$ (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 1.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of jowar and 6 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of udid. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ row spacing for jowar. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Thinning on 14.7.1949, 4 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) $44.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.10 .1949 for udid and 5.12.1949 for jowar.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) $108^{\prime} \times 168^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$.
(b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Stem-borer attack. (iii) Weight of udid grain, jowar grain and kadbi. (iv) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Purpose was to study the yislds of jowar only and as such yield of udid not given.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1508 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $182.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lis./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1121 | 1448 | 1339 | $1{ }^{\circ} 42$ | 1438 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1195 | 1497 | 1582 | 1965 | 1560 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1140 | 1492 | 1710 | 1837 | 1557 |
| $\mathrm{Pa}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | 1302 | 1309 | 1625 | 1671 | :477 |
| Mean | 1190 | 1412 | 1564 | 1866 | 1508 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  |  | $=45.51$ |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $=91.0$ |  |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :~ Mh. $50(36)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :" 'M'. |

Object :-To study the N and P requirement of Kharif Jowar (with F.Y.M.)

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a, Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 8.7 .1950 . (iv) (a) NA. b) Drilled. (c) 3 lo./ac. of jowar and $6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of udid. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L. of F.Y.M./ac. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weadings. (ix) $21.74^{*}$. (x) 1.10 .1950 for ulid, 7.12 .1950 for jowar.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and 2 )
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super applied befor sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) $168^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a; $42^{\prime}>27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(ii) Good. (ii) Attack of borers and Millipeds on jowar crop. (iii) Weight of udid and jowar grain and kadbi. (iv) (a) 1948-5I. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (ii) Nil. (vii) Purpose was to study the yields of jowar crop only and as such yield of udid not given.
5. RESULTS :
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 2410 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $441.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2222 | 2553 | 2251 | 2742 | 2442 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2456 | 2169 | 2369 | 2671 | 2416 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2221 | 2465 | 2461 | 2837 | 2496 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2009 | 2061 | 2417 | 2662 | 2287 |
| Mean | 2227 | 2312 | 2375 | 2728 | 2410 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =110.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =220.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 51(39). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the $N$ and $\mathbf{P}$ requirement of kharif Jowar (with F.Y.M.)

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 10.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. jowar and $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. udid . (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 times hoeing and 2 times weeding. (jx) 20.14". (ix) 30.9.1951 for udid, 5.12.1951. for jowar.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40$ and $P_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) $168^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ al round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of jowar and udid grain and kadbi. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) The season was not favourable for pulse crop as there was a long break of rains at time when pulse crop was in flowering stage. (vii) Purpose was to study the yield of jowar crop only and as such yield of udid not given.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $920 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $135.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 939 | 903 | 839 | 871 | 888 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 850 | 1116 | 852 | 800 | 905 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 850 | 1026 | 877 | 929 | 921 |
| $P_{3}$ | 918 | 994 | 950 | 994 | 964 |
| Mean | 889 | 1010 | 880 | 899 | 920 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =33.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =67.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(68). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :--To study the manurial (N, P and F.Y.M.) requirements of kharif Jonar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having, a jepth of 10 to 13 fect. (b) Refer soll aralysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 25.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) " bo./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) Nil. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeings 2 times, keeding 2 times, (ix) $17.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of $\langle 1,(2 ;$ and, 3$)$
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{1}=20$ and $P_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ ) and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=10$ C.L.fac.

N as A/S and G.N.C. in 1:1 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) $84^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $2^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v, $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Germination was sstisfactory. The Jowar crop suffered as there was no rain at proper time. The Udid crop also suffered due to break of rain at the time of its flowering. (i) Ser ous attack of stem-jorer. Attack of long smut disease. (ii) Dites of germination, flowering and naturity. Weight of Jowar grain and kabdi and udid. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Break o. rain. (vii) Purpose was to study the yields of jowar only and as such yield of udi.l was: not given.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $956 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $164.4 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 959 | 1059 | 872 | 963 | 967 | 959 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 961 | 943 | 940 | 948 | 932 | 964 |
| Mean | 960 | 1001 | 906 | 956 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 982 | 905 | 963 | 950 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | 939 | 1098 | 848 | 961 |  |  |
|  | S.E. of N marginal mean |  |  |  | $=4: .1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  | S.E. of $P$ or F marginal mean |  |  |  | $=33.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  | S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ table |  |  |  | $=58.5 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $=47 .+\mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Jowar (Kharif ). } & \text { Ref:- Mh. 53(127). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the manurial (N, P and F.Y.M.) requirement of Kharif jon ar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) $7 \frac{1}{2}$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) No. (vi) Aispurt. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeings on 17.7.1953. and 23.8.1953 (ix) 23.77". (x) 14.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:1 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) $84^{\prime} \times 108^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Dates of germination, flowering and maturity. Weight of jowar grain and karbi. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Purpose was to study the yield of jowar only and hence the yield of udid not given.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1845 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $167.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $F$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P_{1}$ | 1793 | 1810 | 1877 | $F_{2}$ |  |
| $P_{2}$ | 1829 | 1824 | 1932 | 1827 | 1747 |
| Mean | 1811 | 1817 | 1904 | 1810 |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1699 | 1771 | 1838 | 1794 | 1930 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 1924 | 1864 | 1972 | 1920 |  |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=41.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $F$ or $P$ marginal mean | $=34.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ or $N \times F$ table | $=59.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times F$ table | $=48.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Ref.: Mh. 52(315)
Type :- ' M '
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures without a basal dose of F.Y.M. applied to cotton crop on yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 30.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) -(v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $17.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=A / S$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied to previous crop cotton.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of millipeds, stemborer and long smut. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $566 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and selective vs others are significant while all other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Selective treatments.
$\mathrm{P}_{0}=572 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$P_{1}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=636 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 566 | 585 | 582 | 578 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 558 | 577 | 634 | 590 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 487 | 462 | 512 | 487 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 569 | 521 | 470 | 543 |
| Mean | 545 | 554 | 549 |  |

S.E. of the maginal mean of NS $\quad=24.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the maginal mean of $\mathbf{P} \quad=21.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of the body of table
$=43.23 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$
S.E. of selective treatments
S.E. of selective $v s$ any other mean in the body of table $=52.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif)
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref. :- Mh. 52(103).
Type:~'M'

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures with basal dose of F.Y.M. applied to Cotton crop on yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 30. 6. 1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 16.7. 1952 and 14.8.1952, hoeing on 10.7.1952 and 8.8.1952. (ix) $17.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.11. 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $N \quad S_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $S_{2}=A / S$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Manures applied to previous cotton crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Germination was not satisfactory. No rains at proper time. (ii) Attack of Millipeds, stemborer and long smut and seasonal abnormalities, hence less yield. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c, N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Udid is a minor crop and hence the yield is not given.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $424 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $146.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Selective treatments S and N effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Selective treatments
$\mathrm{P}_{0}=470 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=529 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=295 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 456 | 399 | 416 | 424 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 481 | 589 | 572 | 547 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 273 | 342 | 386 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 301 | 507 | 331 | 380 |
| Mean | 378 | 459 | 426 |  |

S.E. of the marginal mean of NS
S.E. of the marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of the body of table
S.E. of selective treatments
S.E. of selective $v s$ any other mean
in the body of table $=89.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Site : AAgri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(101).
Type :-' M '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Jowar (with and without F.Y.M.)

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram and Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.9.1951. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) N.A. (x) 26.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and N as G.N.C. applied on 5.9.1951 and F.Y.M. on 5.8.1951.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $46^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Sugary secretion. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-continued. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $593 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 203.3 lb .ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 594 | 671 | 749 | 648 | 666 | 07 | 625 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 438 | 656 | 570 | 538 | 543 | 584 | 502 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 713 | 538 | 545 | 558 | 588 | 623 | 553 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 715 | 452 | 525 | 615 | 577 | 525 | 629 |
| Mean | 607 | 579 | 597 | 590 | 593 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 589 | 515 | 669 | 667 | 610 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 626 | 644 | 526 | 513 | 577 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=50.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=35.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{/ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of tables $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=71.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=101.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : - Jowar (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.
Ref:- Mh. 52(377).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the optimum N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Jowar (with and without F.Y.M.)

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.10.1952. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{a}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $3.44^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super: $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=10, P_{2}=20$ and $P_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very poor growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-52$; contd. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chas and Sholapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 34 | 36 | 211 | 55 | 84 | $\leq 3$ | 115 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 135 | 48 | 49 | 77 | 77 | 96 | 58 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 72 | 62 | 26 | 91 | 88 | 106 | 69 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 102 | 92 | 119 | 54 | 92 | 94 | 89 |
| Mean | 86 | 59 | 126 | 69 | 85 | - |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 94 | 79 | 96 | 79 | 87 |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 78 | 40 | 156 | 59 | 83 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=24.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=17.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=48.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of the body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=34.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Jowar (Rabi)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref. :- Mh. 53(178).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requirements of Jowar (with and without F.Y.M.)

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.9.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings and one ploughing. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $5.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5. 2. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and N as G.N.C. applied on 23. 9. 1953 and F.Y.M. on 17.5-1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $46^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 37^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $777 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $299.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interaction $N \times F$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 773 | 789 | 943 | 808 | 828 | 818 | 839 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 668 | 697 | 716 | 768 | 712 | 795 | 629 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 675 | 1031 | 773 | 827 | 827 | 853 | 798 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 844 | 685 | 586 | 847 | 745 | 687 | 794 |
| Mean | 740 | 801 | 754 | 813 | 777 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | 613 | 806 | 949 | 783 | 789 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 866 | 791 | 561 | 842 | 765 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=74.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of the marginal mean of $F$ | $=53.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=149.9 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of tables $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$. | $=106.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.

Ref. :- Mh. 53(298).
Type: $\boldsymbol{- c}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$.

Object :--To study the effect of Calcium Cynamide in comparison with the other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied one month before sowng. (vi) Shendli 4-5. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38^{\circ}$. xi 16.7. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Calcium Cynamide
6. 60 lb ./ac. of N as Calcium Cynamide + G.N.C. in I: 1 ratio.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A.
(iii) 2 .
(iv) (a) $43^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$.
(b) $34^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$, (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of stemborer ; affected plants removed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1304 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $216.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments are not significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1367 |
| 2. | 1360 |
| 3. | 935 |
| 4. | 1552 |
| S.E /mean | $=152.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 52(81).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the suitability of Dicalcium Phosphate in place of other phosphatic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) No. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 29.9.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) Drilling. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +60 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied on 28.9 .1952 . (vi) M.35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 1.3.1953 to 3.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Dicalcium Phosphate.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

Manures broadcast on 29.9.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) White chiklta disease. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1612 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $385.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments are not signficantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1648 |
| 2. | 1375 |
| S.E./mean | $=111.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 53(40). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the suitability of Dicalcium phosphate in place of other phosphatic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. . (iii) 22.9.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L/.ac. of F.Y.M. applied before sowing. (vi) M.35-1 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 2 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 11.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. 20 ib ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Dicalcium Phosphate.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Uniform and healthy. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination, dates of flowering, height, tillers and fodder yield etc. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1432 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $304.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1399 |
| 2. | 1465 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=89.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Jowar }(R a b i) . & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 53(251) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of inorganic manures in combination with green manuring on Rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sann. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) H type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 18.9.1953. (iv) !(a) Harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (late,. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $4.17^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ (x) 17.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sann for G.M.
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sann and sann used as G.M.
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Jowar at burying of sann as G.M.
6. 30 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied to Jowar at burying of sann as G.M.

5 . 60 lb ./ac. of N as A/S applied to Jowar at burying of sann as G.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2 .
(iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$
(b) $34^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ all arourd. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The crop was healthy but due to attack of chiklta disease the crop suffered to a considerable extent. (ii) Chiklta disease. (iii) Germination data, flowering data, height, tillers etc. and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1011 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $287.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1290 |
| 2. | 860 |
| 3. | 914 |
| 4. | 1112 |
| S. | 880 |
| S.E./mean | $=203.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref : $\sim$ Mh. 53(213). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type : ' M '. |

Object:-To study the residual effect of leguminous crop Groundnut grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 23.9.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years and 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. applied once in 3 years. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 21.11.1953 and 8.12.1953. (ix) 8.89". (x) 3.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Fallow in previous season.
2. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Groundnut (control).
3. $\quad 50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Groundnut.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Groundnut.
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Groundnut.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D.
(ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround, (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Below normai. (ii) The crop was attacked by stemborer, root-rot, sugar disease; aphis were also seen during grain formation. (iii) Weight of fodder and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The soil in which the crop was grown is very heavy. Continuous and heavy rain in october had adverse effect on crop growth.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $286 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $68.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 236 |
| 2. | 272 |
| 3. | 268 |
| 4. | 337 |
| 5. | 316 |
| S.E./mean | $=30.4 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:-Mh. 49(61).
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To see the residual effect of a leguminous crop Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Jowar. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 18.9 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) 1.14". (x) 16.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fallow in previous crop season.
4. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug (control).
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug.
6. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chi namug.
7. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug.
8. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$ (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) and (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $553 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(v) Av yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 457 |
| 2. | 591 |
| 3. | 547 |
| 4. | 509 |
| 5. | 660 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 53(214). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :-' 'A1'. |

Object : - To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Jowitr (Rabi).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug_Jowar. (b) Chinamug. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Mecium black. (b) Refer soll analysis, Mohol. (iii) 18.10.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years ard harrowing 4 times. (b) Seeds drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. is applied once in three years. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 bullock hoeings. (ix) $8.89^{\circ}$. (x) 4.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fall $w$ in previous crop season.
4. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug (control).
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug.
6. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug.
7. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Chinamug.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good. (ii) In early stage, the crop was slightly affected by sugary disease and in the advanced stage by aphis. (iii) Weight of fodder and grain. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $516 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $114.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 486 |
| 2. | 465 |
| 3. | 540 |
| 4. | 524 |
| 5. | 566 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=51.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. 49(142).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram -Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (al 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-3s-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $1.14^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Fallow in previous season.
2. No manure applied to previous crop Gram.
3. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super arplied to previous crop Gram.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop Gram.
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop Gram.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $\frac{1}{2} g u n t h a$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yos.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $233 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $116.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 124 |
| 2. | 209 |
| 2. | 235 |
| 4. | 250 |
| 5. | 197 |
| S.E./mean | $=51.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : $\sim$ Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 50(7). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : $\sim$ Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 288.1950 . (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied once in 3 years. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $9.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Fallow in previous season.
2. No manure applied to previous crop Gram.
3. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop Gram.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previouscrop Gram.
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to previous crop Gram.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Sowing was delayed for want of proper mulch condition of soil. Germination satisfactory but growth of the crop was $\varepsilon$ dversely affected by rains after sowing. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $960 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $302.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 944 |
| 2. | 973 |
| 3. | 943 |
| 4. | 963 |
| 5. | 975 |
| S.E./mean | $=135.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop : } \sim \text { Jowar }(R a b i) . & \text { Ref }:-\mathrm{Mh} .51(11) . \\
\text { Site }:- \text { Agri. Res. Stn., Motiol. } & \text { Type :-'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of ieguminots crep Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cerea crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) 'a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Mediun bleok. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 20.9.19:1. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years and 4 herrowings. (b) Seeds drilied. (c) 4 lo./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. given once in 3 years. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 intercuiturings and weeding. (ix) 7.49". © $\quad$ 7.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Fallow in previous season.
4. No manure applied to previous crop Gram.
5. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to previous crop Gram.
6. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to previous crop Gram.
7. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}$. applied to previous crop Gram.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) $153^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(v) $6^{\circ}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Sugary disease was noted. (iii) Weight of grain. (iv) (a) 1749 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. $\langle v\rangle$ (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (wi) The atmosphere was not cloudy as is essential at the time of grain formation. There was no rain during the life of the crop. There was no moisture in the soil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $956 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $183.1 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1123 |
| 2. | 736 |
| 3. | 861 |
| 4. | 974 |
| 5. | 1085 |
| S.E./mean | $=82.0 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Sin, Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. 53(212). Type := ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of Gram raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Rabi Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 19.9.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years and 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling with a 3 coultered dri!!. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied once in 3 years. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One bullock hoeing and 2 interculturings. (ix) 8.89". (x) 3.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Fallow in previous year.
2. No manure applied to previous crop Gram.
3. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Gram.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Gram.
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to previous crop Gram.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) The crop was attacked by aphis at grain formation stage. Sugary disease was seen during early stage of the crop. (iii) Fodder and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Septe mber and October rains were not favourable to the crop. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 276 lb ./ac.
(ii) $125 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 332 |
| 2. | 263 |
| 3. | 250 |
| 4. | 296 |
| 5. | 241 |
| S.E./mean | $=55.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 48(35).
Type :m 'M'.

Object :-To study the deleterious effect of town compost on Rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments, (ii) (a) Medjum black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.10.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v/ Nil. (vi) M35.1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding, hand hoeing and interculturing. (ix) 5.38". (ix) 15.2.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure.)
(1) 2 levels of T.C.: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=5$ C.L./ac. of T.C.
(2) 3 times of application of T.C. : $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Every year, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Every altercate year starting from 1948 and $T_{3}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1949.
There are only three independent treatments this year viz. $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ control, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=2 \frac{1}{2}$ C.L./ac. ard $\mathrm{M}_{3}=5$ C.L./ac. of T.C.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. ( 3 for $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and 2 each for $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ ). (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ allround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $250.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $68.3 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 235 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 265 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 258 |
| S.E. for $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $16.11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. for $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $19.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Ref:- Mh. 49(57);48(35).
Type:- 'M'.

Object: - To study the deleterious effect of town compost on Rabi Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b; Refer soil analysis, Mohol.
(iii) 17.9.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows is apart. (v) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) M-35.1. 'vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing on 25.10 .1949 . (ix) $1.14^{*}$. (x) 9.2 .1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All comb nations of ( 1 ) and (2) + a control (no manure.)
(1) 2 levels of T.C. : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=5$ C.L.fac.
(2) 3 times of application of T.C.: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Every year, $\mathrm{T}_{2} \approx$ Every alternate ycar starting from 1948 and $T_{3}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1949 .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Germination poor. Grain formation and yield affected for want of cloudy weather, (ii) Sugary disease. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1943 to 195:. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $199 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $71.15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1b./ac.

## Control $=171 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. .

|  | $T_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ | $T_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 223 | 203 | 205 | 210 |
| $C_{2}$ | 184 | 189 | 219 | 197 |
| Mean | 203 | 196 | 212 | 204 |


| S.E. of $C$ marginal mean | $=16.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $T$ marginal mean | $=20.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=29.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:-Mh. 50(72)/49(57)/48(35).
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the deleterious effect of town compost on Rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
 (iii) 12.10 .1950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) 9.91 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.3.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and $(2)+a$ control (no manure).
(1) 2 levels of T.C. : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 3 times of application of T.C. : $T_{1}=$ Every year, $T_{2}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1943 and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Germination satisfactory. Crop had a stunted growth for want of rains after sowing, (ii) Nil. (iii), Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1952$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $195 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=147 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 210 | 184 | 216 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 203 | 206 | 201 |
| Mean | 206 | 195 | 208 |


| S.E. of C marginal mean | $=13.9 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of T marginal mean | $=17.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=24.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). Ref :~Mh. 51(83)/50(72)/49(57)/48(35).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the deleterious effect of town compost on Rabi Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 5.10.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 4 lb .ac. (d) Rows $18^{n}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M一 35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 harrowings and 3 interculturings. (ix) 7.49". (x) 12.2.1952.

## TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 2 levels of C.T. : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 3 times of application of T.C.: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Every year, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1948 and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Every alternate year starting from 1949.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very bad. (ii) The sugary disesease noted. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Experiment failed in 1952. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $106 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $65.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |  | $=97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T1 | T 2 | T3 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 98 | 114 | 155 | 122 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 74 | 127 | 79 | 93 |
| Mean | 86 | 120 | 117 | 104 |
| S.E. of C marginal mean |  |  | $=15.4 \mathrm{lb}$ |  |
| S.E. of T marginal mean |  |  | $=18.8 \mathrm{lb}$ |  |
| S.E of body of table |  |  | $=26.6 \mathrm{lb}$ |  |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref:- Mh. 52(348), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of burying the green leaves and teader tops oi the Sain srop on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) M dium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (di Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e;-. (v) Nil (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculture. (ix) $5.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Sann grown for green manure ; the leaves and teader tops are cut and buried on the same site.
4. Sann grown for G.M. ; cut and left as such.
5. Bury the stripped leaves and tender shoots from Treat. 2 on a new site.
6. No manure (control).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $58^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $132 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 203 |
| 2. | 156 |
| 3. | 86 |
| 4. | 83 |
| S.E./mean | $=61.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Ref :"Mh. 53(357).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type:-'M'

Object:-To study the effect of burying only green leaves and tender tops of Sann crop on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sann. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $8.89^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS
3. Sann grown for G.M. ; the leaves and tender tops are cut and buried on same site.
4. Sann grown for G.M., cut and left as such.
5. Bury the stripped leaves and tender shoots from Treatment (2) on a new site.
6. No manure (control).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $58^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$.
(b) $55^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $238 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $23.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 275 |
| 2. | 220 |
| 3. | 261 |
| 4. | 198 |
| S.E./mean | $=16.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref. : $\mathbf{~ M h}$. 52(347).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of only burying the green leaves and tender tops of Chinamug crop on Rabi Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowing. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{n}$ apart. (e) - . (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $5.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Chinamng grown for G.M., ; the tender tops and leaves are cut and buried on the same site.
2. Chinamug grown for G.M., cut and left as such.
3. Bury the stripped leaves and tender shoots from Treat. 2 on some new site.
4. No manure (control).
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $58^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (i) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $205 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $27.02 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 243 |
| 2. | 147 |
| 3. | 240 |
| 4. | 190 |
| S.E./mean | $=19.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref. :-Mh. 53(356).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ M'.

Object:-To study the effect of only burying the green leaves and tender tops of Chinamug crop on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A.
(iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e, 一. v, Nil. (vi) M-35-1.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $8.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Chinamng grown and buried on the same site.
4. Chinamug grown, cut and left as such.
5. Bury the stripped leaves and tender shoots from Treat, 2 on new site.
6. No manure (control).
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A.
(iii) 2 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $55^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $963 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $184.99 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 917 |
| 2. | 1090 |
| 3. | 902 |
| 4. | 943 |
| S.E./mean | $=130.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Expll. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref:-Mh. 51(124).
Type: ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 14.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Lines $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $38.29^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Source of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is N.A. Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1107 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 254.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 1000 | 1080 | 1147 | 960 | 907 | 1018 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1347 | 1107 | 1147 | 1027 | 1120 | 1149 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 1013 | 1187 | 1160 | 1213 | 1200 | 1155 |
| Mean | 1120 | 1124 | 1151 | 1067 | 1076 | 1107 |
|  | S.E. of N marginal mean S.E. of $P$ marginal mean |  |  |  | $=65.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $=84.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(137).
Type: ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 16.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) Argada sown. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 weedings. (ix) $29.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Source of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is N.A. Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1215 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $215.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 907 | 973 | 1000 | 760 | 1440 | 1016 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1253 | 1307 | 1413 | 1253 | 1293 | 1304 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1227 | 1387 | 1373 | 1360 | 1280 | 1325 |
| Mean | 1129 | 1222 | 1262 | 1124 | 1338 | 1215 |

S.E. of N marginal mean
S.E. of $P$ marginal mean
S.E. of body of table
$=55.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=71.7 \mathrm{bb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$=124.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: : Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :- Mh. 53(226).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 17.7.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Improved Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 39.10". (x) 22.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30, \mathrm{P}_{3}=45$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled along with the seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 . (b)
(b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Jowar grain and cobs yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1789 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $249.6 \mathrm{lb} .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 1750 | 1790 | 2030 | 1557 | 1777 | 1781 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 1933 | 1950 | 1683 | 1630 | 1523 | 1744 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 2030 | 1904 | 1790 | 1923 | 1563 | 1842 |
| Mean | 1904 | 1882 | 1834 | 1703 | 1621 | 1789. |


| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=64.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of $P$ marginal mean | $=83.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=144.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$ |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Ref:- Mh. 51(125).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :--To study the effect of different doses of N and method of their application.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur.
(iii) 13 th and 14 th July 1951. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Argada sown. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) and (e, N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Saoner-late. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) 38.29". (x) 4.1.195.2.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=5, N_{2}=10, N_{3}=15$ and $N_{4}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 methods of application of $A / S: M_{1}=$ drilled and $M_{2}=$ broadcast.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Jowar cobs and grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1933 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $192.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $186.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | - | 1928 | 1960 | 1888 | 2008 | 1946 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | - | 1936 | 1776 | 2024 | 2040 | 1944 |
| Mean | 1884 | 1932 | 1868 | 1956 | 2024 |  |

S.E. of difference of two.

1. N marginal means
$=85.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. M marginal means
$=58.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $M$ means at the same level of $N$
$=117.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of M
$=119.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(139).
Site :mGovt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of N and method of their application.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton. Jowar (b) Cotton.
(c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton: (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 15.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) Sowing by Argada. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Saoner (medium). (viii) Unirrigated. (vii) 4 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) 29.32". (x) 18.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
5 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=5, N_{2}=10, N_{8}=15$ and $N_{4}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments
2 methods of application of A/S : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ drilled and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ broadcast .

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1352 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $363.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $146.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ | - | 1240 | 1360 | 1488 | 1568 | $4: 4$ |
| $M_{2}$ | - | 1312 | 1336 | 1408 | 1488 | 1386 |
| Mean | 1160 | 1276 | 1348 | 1448 | 1528 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=161.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $M$ marginal means $\quad=46.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{M}$ means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=92.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{M} \quad=175.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site : -Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(225). <br> Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of various manures applied to previous cotton crop on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer scil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 15.7 .1953 . (iv' (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $39.10^{\circ}$. (x) 23.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 row spacings for cotton crop : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 8 manurial doses: $M_{0}=$ No manure, $M_{1}=10$ C.L./ac. of F.X.M., $M_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$ drilled, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N top dressed, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ Sanvhemp witheut $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{5}=$ Sannherep with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{7}=$ Udid with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, manures applied to previous cetton crop and now residual effects studied.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $8 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (o) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Jowar grain and cobs yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3940 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $387.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{7}}$ | - Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | 3613 | 420 | 4012 | 4075 | 4319 | 4013 | 4125 | 3766 | 4015 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3656 | 3878 | 3897 | 3937 | 3972 | 4131 | 3400 | 4044 | 3865 |
| Mean | 3634 | 4039 | 3954 | 4006 | 4145 | 4072 | 3763 | 3905 | 3940 |


| S.E. of M marginal means | $=136.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of S marginal means | $=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=193.5 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref:- Mh. 53(56).
Type : ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of repeated manuring of soil with different kinds of fertilizers.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil atalysis, Nanded. (iii) 30.6.1953. (iv) (a) Three bakharings. (b) Seed mixed with sulphur was drilled with a three coultered $12^{\prime \prime}$ wooden drill. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) PJ 4K. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $45.13^{*}$. (x) 9.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Ammo. chloride.

Manures drilled at sowing on 29.6.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either flank and $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Yield of straw and final stand. (iv) (a) 1953 to N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) To study the cumulative effect of repeated manuring, soil samples studied before and after application of manures.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $306 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $87.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only $\mathbf{N}$ effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 298 | 370 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | - | 310 | 358 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | - | 317 | 367 | 342 |
| Mean | 245 | 308 | 365 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of } \mathrm{N} \text { marginal mean } & =20.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S, E. of } \mathrm{S} \text { martinal mean } & =25.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =-35.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site:- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref : Mh. 53(54).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of organic and inorganic manures applied to the pre vious Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 21.10.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Seed mixed with Sulphur was drilled with a 3 coultered $12^{\prime \prime}$ wooden drill. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nii. (vi) PJ 4 R . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 hoeing only. (ix) 45.31". (x) 18.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of organic manures: $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=4$ ton/ac. of F.Y.M. and $M_{2}=4$ ton/ac. of T.C.
(2) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Residual effect of treatments applied to previous cotton crop studied on Jowar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (o) $121 \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) 3 rows on either flank and $3^{\prime}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem borer. Affected plants removed on 17.12.1953. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height and weight of earhead. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $599 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $65.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of M and N are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


Crop :~ Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Ref :- Mh. 51(159).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To find out the optimum N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soii analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 6.10.1951, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.' (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One hoeing and 2 weedings. (ix) 14.68". (x) 6.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30, \mathrm{P}_{2}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 doses of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=5$ and $F_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $17^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$, (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b), (c) N.A. (v) (a), b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2241 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $481.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All main effects and interections are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{0}$ | 1484 | 1647 | 1877 | 2003 | 1753 | 1834 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 2081 | 2435 | 2389 | 2165 | 2267 | 2375 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 2007 | 2205 | 2433 | 2544 | 2298 | 2450 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 2184 | 2541 | 2663 | 3196 | 2646 | 2724 |
| Mean | 1939 | 2207 | 2340 | 2477 | 2241 |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 2097 | 2327 | 2470 | 2491 | 2346 |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 1782 | 2087 | 2211 | 2463 | 2136 |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$
S.E. of marginal mean of $F$
S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ S.E. of body of table $F \times N$ or $F \times P$
$=85.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$=60.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=170.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=120.4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 52(323).
Type': ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out the optimum N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Jowar

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 300 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Cake (1:2). (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.9 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.(e)--. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 2 weedings. (ix) 11.01". (x) 22.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60$ and $N_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=30, P_{2}=60$ and $P_{3}=90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of compost: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=5$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=10$ C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super. N applied in 3 doses viz. $\frac{1}{3}$ at sowing as cake, $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake (1:1) and $1 / 6 \mathrm{~N}$ as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ applied at flowering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL .
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Yields are very high but no reason is attributec'. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3127 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $984.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the main effects and their interactions is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2798 | 3340 | $35: 8$ | 3435 | 3:83 | 3210 | 3355 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2794 | 2617 | 3603 | 3325 | 3085 | 3113 | 3056 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2881 | 2792 | 3208 | 3199 | 3020 | 3208 | 2832 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\hat{s}}$ | 2902 | 3266 | 2962 | 3349 | 3120 | 3.95 | 3044 |
| Mean | 2844 | 3004 | $33: 2$ | 3327 | 3127 |  |  |
| $C_{1}$ | 3010 | 3180 | 3248 | 3288 | 3181 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 2577 | 2827 | 3417 | 3366 | 3072 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=174.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of C | $=123.0 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=343.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{sc}$. |
| S.. of body of table $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=246.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site:-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

## Ref: -Mh 53(20). <br> Type :-‘M'.

Object :-To determine the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on Jowar and its residual effect on the soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
[^6]
## 2. TREATMENTS :

$T_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Am. Chloride +10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Am, Chloride +20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$T_{7}=$ No manure ( 3 plots/block).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 .
(iv) (a) $60^{\prime} \times 8.50^{\prime}$.
(b) $58^{\prime} \times 6.25^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Y'es.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $734.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $237.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 583.3 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 856.1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 826.1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 786.1 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 883.7 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 853.6 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 606.6 |
| S.E. for $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | $=56.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. for any other mean | $=97.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of difference of $T_{7}$ and any other mean | $=112.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 52(210).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effect of dicalcium phosphate as compared to Super on the yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 18.6.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughing on 1.6 .1952 . (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Godgaraye (medium-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) 22.03". (x) 23.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as dicalcium phosphate.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. $\quad$ (v) $6^{\prime}$ allround. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Due to vigorous vegetative growth of plants the height was $11^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. The crop lodged during Óctober by winds. (ii) Attack of stemborer noticed. (iii) Fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) As there was no grain formation, fodder yield data analysed.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $15409 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1892 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb.jac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 16087 |
| 2. | 14730 |
| S E./mean | $=546.3 \mathrm{fb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Kef : Mh. $53(115)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona. | Type :- M. |

Object :- To compare the yield data of fowar treated with dicalcium phosphate and sintle Super.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Double bean. (c) Nil. ;ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 26.6 .1953 (iv) (a) Ploughing with 4 bullock plough to a deptb of $3^{*}-8^{*} 075.4 .1953$ and 2 harrowings (b) Drilled. (c) to (e) N.A. iv) 15 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. + top dressing of $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N to the whole expt. on 26.6.1953. (vi) Madgarya (Mid-late). (vii) Rainfed. ,viii) Interculturing by slit blade on 15.7 1953. (ix) 10.85". (x) 11.11.1953 to 16.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as dicalcium phosphate.
2. 20 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ail rounc. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) $95 \%$ germination ; uniform growth. (ii) Army-worms. Dusting of Gammaxene. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1270 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $306.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1230 |
| 2. | 1310 |
| S.E./mean | $=88.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). | Ref:- Mh. 52(327). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : - Agri. Res. Stn., Shahada. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the usefulness of Chinamug as a green manure on Jowar.

## 1. ${ }^{7}$ BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Chinamug in Kharif. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 19.9.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) ! interculture. (ix) N.A. (x) 19.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Grow Chinamug in Kharif and bury in situ.
2. Grow Chinamug in Kharif and bury in another plot.
3. Observe the effect of Chinamug grown in treatment 2 (this was fallow in Kharif).
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $100^{\prime} \times 17.5^{\prime}$. (b) $88^{\prime} \times 12.5^{\prime}$, (v) $6^{\prime} \times 2.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Gaps in crop growth due to defective soil moisture. (ii) Attack of leaf hoppers; gammaxenc dusted. (iii) Grain yield, (iv) (a) 1952. (b) First year of experiment. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $780.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $132.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 814 |
| 2. | 676 |
| 3. | 851 |
| S.E./mean | $=93.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : $\quad$ Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 48(102).
Type :-‘M'.

Object :-To study the manurial requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar after gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 16.10 .1948 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ beetween rows. (e) 一, (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{M}-35-1$. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=12.5, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=25$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=37.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=2.5$ ton/ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A.-1950-51. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $262 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 118.7 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 49(135).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the manurial requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $38.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=12.5, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=25$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=37.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels F Y.M : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=2.5$ ton/ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$
(v) N A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A.-1950-1951. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $672 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $235.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 712 | 631 | 647 | 725 | 679 | 666 | 692 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 632 | 687 | 768 | 577 | 666 | 699 | 633 |
| Mean | 672 | 659 | 707 | 651 | 672 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 686 | 661 | 725 | 658 | 682 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 658 | 657 | 690 | 644 | 662 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=58.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $F$ | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of bocy of table $N \times P$ or $N \times F=83.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=58.9 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :- Mh. 50(155).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To study the manurial requirements of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar after gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil.
(vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $24.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C.: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=12.5, N_{2}=25$ and $N_{3}=37.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=2.5$ ton/ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Nil. (b) N.A.-1950-1951. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $867 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $218.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{F}$ effects and interaction $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=54.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $F$ | $=38.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times P$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=77.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=54.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(66).<br>Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To study the N and P requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Re'er ail analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 7.10.1951. (iv) (a) 2 barrowings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\hbar}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) M.35-1 (medjum). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $6.36^{\prime \prime}$. x) 8.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combination of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0 . \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (iii) Nil. (iii) Height and count per plot. (iv) (a) 1951 ts 1955. (bl and (c) No. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $636 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $166.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 535 | 597 | 642 | 643 | 604 | 608 | 600 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 688 | 742 | 653 | 636 | 680 | 779 | 580 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 625 | 579 | 607 | 586 | 599 | 588 | 610 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 664 | 694 | 665 | 615 | 660 | 687 | 632 |
| Mean | 628 | 653 | 642 | 620 | 636 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | 691 | 679 | 665 | 627 | 666 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 565 | 627 | 619 | 613 | 606 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathbf{P}$ | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of F | $=29.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=83.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=58.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 52(95).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the N and P requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 8.10.1952. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nll. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 leve's of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.

## 3. 7 DESIGN :

(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}$. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Above normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, count and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1404 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $291.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1166 | 1315 | 1420 | 1284 | 1296 | 1286 | 1307 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1455 | 1308 | 1519 | 1170 | 1363 | 1366 | 1360 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1539 | 1514 | 1475 | 1446 | 1494 | 1546 | 1441 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1300 | 1482 | 1677 | 1383 | 1460 | 1309 | 1611 |
| Mean | 1365 | 1404 | 1523 | 1321 | 1404 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0}$ | 1350 | 1309 | 1554 | 1294 | 1377 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1380 | 1500 | 1491 | 1348 | 1430 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of $N$ or $P$ | $=72.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $F$ | $=51.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P$ | $=145.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $F \times N$ or $F \times P=$ | $=102.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur,

Ref :- Mh. 53(147).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 15.10.1953. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Broadcast. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $9.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{0}=0, P_{1}=10, P_{2}=20$ and $P_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4 \times 2$ Fact. in R B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (vi) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Just below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, count and grain yield. (iv) (a) 195 to 1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $705 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $171.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N and F effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 521 | 668 | 766 | 850 | 701 | 774 | 629 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 547 | 619 | 753 | 656 | 644 | 614 | 674 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 584 | 661 | 761 | 678 | 671 | 783 | 559 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 521 | 820 | 987 | 896 | 806 | 929 | 683 |
| Mean | 543 | 692 | 817 | 770 | 705 | . |  |
| $F_{0}$ | 591 | 811 | 843 | 854 | 775 |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 496 | 573 | 791 | 686 | 636 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=42.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $F$ | $=30.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=85.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $F \times N$ or $F \times P$ | $=60.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site: Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

## Ref :- Mh. 51(234).

Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the direct and residual effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) As peï treatments. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 7.10.1951. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $M-35-1$. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $24.81^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 12.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ B.M. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 7 intervals of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with its levels :-
(a) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every year.
(b) 20 lb ./ac. every alternate year starting with 1951.
(c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every alternate year starting with 1952.
(d) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every 4 th year starting with 1951.
(e) 40 lb ./ac. every 4th year starting with 1952.
(f) 40 lb ./ac. every 4th year starting with 1953.
(g) $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. every 4th year starting with 1954.

For this year, control plots are 9.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) ${ }^{( }$(a) N.A
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
.4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yieid. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $682 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $184.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb,/ac.

## Control $=656 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.



| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=53.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of time of application | $=65.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=92.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v s$. any mean in the body of table | $=48.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur

Ref :~Mh. 52(370)/51(234).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the direct and residual effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar after Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medjum deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowing. (b) Drillings. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (vlii) 4 interculturings. (ix) 20.76". (x) 9.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure.)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ B.M. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 7 intervals of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with its levels:-
(a) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every year.
(b) 20 lb ./ac. every alternate year starting with 1951.
(c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every alternate year starting with 1952.
(d) 40 lb ./ac. every 4th year starting with 1951.
(e) 40 lb ./ac. every 4th year starting with 1952.
(f) 40 lb ./ac. every 4 th year starting with 1953.
(g) 40 lb ./ac. every 4 th year starting with 1954.

For this year control plots are $S$.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4, (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $809 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $172.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

## 373

(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Cottrol }=758 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 765 | 834 | 796 |
| b | 804 | 977 | 775 |
| c | 829 | 817 | 903 |
| d | 793 | 874 | 805 |
| e | 906 | 890 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=38.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of time of application | $=61.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=86.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v s$ any mean in the body of table | $=47.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. Type : ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the direct and residual effect of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 12.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 35.96*. (x) 1.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no manure)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ B.M. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Super.
(2) 7 intervals of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with its levels :
(a) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every year.
(b) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every alternate year starting with 1951.
(c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every alternate year starting with 1952.
(d) 40 lb ./ac. every. 4 th year starting with 1951.
(e) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. every 4 th year starting with 1952.
(f) 40 lb ./ac. every 4 th year starting with 1953.
(g) 40 lb ./ac. every 4th year starting with 1954.

For this year control plots are 3.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $473 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $103.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=479 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | 433 | 463 | 448 |
| b | 420 | 469 | 444 |
| c | 425 | 504 | 464 |
| d | 487 | 433 | 460 |
| e | 551 | 476 | 513 |
| f | 547 | 453 | 500 |
| Mean | 477 | 466 |  |
|  |  | $=21.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S | $=36.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of time of application | $=51.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of tody of table | $=33.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :- Mh. 48(107).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the time and method of application of G.N.C. to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 9.10.1948. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb /ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{*}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=12.5$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=25 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 metheds of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Placement of G.N.C. in rows and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Placement of G.N.C. between rows.
(3) 3 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{T}_{1}=30$ days before sowing, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=15$ days before sowing and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=3$ At the time of sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $35^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$. alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil, (ii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $452 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $108.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Control ws Others effect is highly significant. Other effects are not signifieant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Control $=356 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T2 | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 487 | 510 | 507 | 501 | 510 | 492 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 450 | 569 | 481 | 500 | 519 | 430 |
| Mean | 468 | 539 | 494 | 500 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 462 | 536 | 544 | 514 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 474 | 542 | 442 | 486 |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } T & =27.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of marginal means of } N \text { or } R & =22.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } T \times N \text { or } T \times R & =38.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } N \times R & =31.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi),<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.<br>Ref:- Mh. 49(130).

Object:-To study the time and method of application of G.N.C. to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e)--. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1; (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $38.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=12.5$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Placement of G.N.C. in rows and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Placenient of G.N.C. between rows.
(3) 3 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=30$ days tefore sowing, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=15$ days before sowing and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ At the time of sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) $18 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $714 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $231.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $T$ and control $v$ s others are highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control |  |  | $=613 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T 1 | T2 | T ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 659 | 715 | 622 | 665 | 609 | 722 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 749 | 1026 | 615 | 797 | 836 | 757 |
| Mean | 704 | 870 | 618 | 731 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 668 | 884 | 615 | 722 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 740 | 857 | 622 | 739 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ | $=57.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or R | $=47.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $T \times N$ or $T \times R$ | $=81.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $=66.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Ref :~Mh. 50(164).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type:-' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the time and method of application of G.N.C. to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black (deep). (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\circ}$ betwecn rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $24.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=12.5$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 methods of application of $N: \quad R_{1}=$ Placement of G.N.C. in rows and $R_{2}=$ Placement of G.N.C. between rows.
(3) 3 times of application : $T_{1}=30$ days before sowing, $T_{2}=15$ days before sowing and $T_{3}=$ At the time of sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$, (b) $12^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1950$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $897 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $148.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control is others effect is highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | Control |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | T3 | Mean |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 996 | 1018 | 918 | 977 | 986 | 967 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 943 | 977 | 907 | 943 | 995 | 889 |
| Mean | 969 | 998 | 913 | 960 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 954 | 1093 | 919 | 991 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 985 | 896 | 906 | 929 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ | $=37.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or R |  |
| S.E. of body of table $T \times N$ or $T \times R$ | $=52.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S E. of body of table $N \times R$ |  |
|  | $=42.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar. (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 48(108).
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To find out the optimum dose and frequency of applying F.Y.M. to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 14.10 .1948 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure; 3 plots/block).
2. 3 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
3. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
4. 4 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 4 years starting with 1946.
5. 8 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 4 years starting with 1946.
6. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
7. 12 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $66^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (b) $63^{\prime} \times 37^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring, alround. (vi) Yes,
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1951. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $333 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $99.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. 'Control $v s$ others' is also not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 328 |
| 2. | 320 |
| 3. | 290 |
| 4. | 333 |
| 5. | 379 |
| 6. | 350 |
| 7. | 332 |
| S.E./mean (other than control) | $=49.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $\boldsymbol{v}$ s. any other mean | $=57.18 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). | Ref. :~Mh. 49(134). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :-'M’. |

Object:-To ind out the optimum dose and frequency of applying F.Y.M. to Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIO VS :

(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysss, Shotapur.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) --. (v) Nil. (vi M-35-1
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii, 2 interculturinys. (ix) $38.17^{\prime \prime}$. ( X ) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure ; in 3 plots/block).
2. 3 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
3. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
4. 4 tor/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 4 years starting with 1946.
5. 8 ton ac of F.Y.M. once in 4 years statting with 1946.
6. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
7. 12 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.BD. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime \prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (b) $63 \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring ahround.
(vi) Yes.
9. DESIGN :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1951. (b) No (c) Nil. (v) (a; N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $372 \quad 1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $102.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not difer significantly. Control vs others is also not significirt.
(iv) Av. yie!d of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 336 |
| 2. | 331 |
| 3. | 347 |
| 4. | 416 |
| 5. | 431 |
| 6. | 411 |
| 7. | 406 |
| S E./mean tother than control: $=51.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of control $v s$ any other mean $=59.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Jowar (Rabi., } & \text { Kef. :-Mh. 50(163). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res., Stn., Sholapur. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the optimum dose and frequency of applying F.Y.M. to Jowar.

## 1. BASAL COVDITIONS:

(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black (deep). (r) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Dritled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} /$ ac. (d $18^{\pi}$ jetween rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $24.04^{*}$ ( (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure; in 3 plots'block).
2. 3 ton/ac of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1945.
3. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with $19+6$.
4. 4 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
5. 8 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
6. 6 tor/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
7. 12 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R B.D
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $63^{\prime} \times 37^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal-growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1951. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $123.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. Control $v s$ others is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$

| Treatments | Av. yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 389 |  |
| 2. | 350 |  |
| 3. | 400 |  |
| 4. | 424 |  |
| 5. | 613 |  |
| 6. | 395 |  |
| 7. | 389 |  |
| S.E./mean (other than control) | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of control $v$ any other mean | $=71.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Ref:-Mh. 51(233)
Type :~' ${ }^{\prime}$ '
Object :- To find out the optimum dose and frequency of applying F.Y.M. to Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur.
(iii) 29.9 .1951 and 25.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.
(e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $24.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13 and 18.2 .1952 .

## 2. TREATMENIS :

1. Control (no manure; in 3 plots/block).
2. 3 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
3. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 3 years starting with 1946.
4. 4 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 4 years starting with 1946.
5. 8 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 4 years starting with 1946.
6. 6 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
7. 12 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. once in 6 years starting with 1946.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $63^{\prime} \times 37^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was checked to a considerable extent due to excess of moisture in the soil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1951. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $267 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $67.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. Control $v s$, others is not significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 236 |
| 2. | 243 |
| 3. | 273 |
| 4. | 261 |
| 5. | 300 |
| 6. | 201 |
| 7. | 276 |
| S.E./mean (other than control) | $=33.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v$. any other mean | $=38.93 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).

Ref :-Mh. 51(220).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of zinc sulphate on Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 8.10.1951. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{n}$ between rows. (e) - . (v Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix $24.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring alround. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) and (c) Nc. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $687 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $177.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 705 |
| 2. | 656 |
| 3. | 701 |
| S.E./mean | $=62.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar $($ Rabi $)$. | Ref:- Mh. 52(367). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of zinc sulphate on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil anelysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10.1953. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 20.76". (x) 11.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 10 Ib ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
5. 20 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.

Manuring done on 10.10.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii; Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $594 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $176.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield

1. 559
2. 599
3. 623
S.E./mean $=62.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

## Ref :- Mh. 53(372).

Type :m 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of zinc sulphate on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 16.10.1953. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 35.96". (x) 4.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.

Manured on 16.10.1953.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 8.
(iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$.
(b) $14^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime}$ ring alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $584 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $282.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 670 |
| 2. | 573 |
| 3. | 508 |
| S.E./mean | $=99.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 52(369).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of application of different minor elements on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 9.10.1952. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 20.76". (x) 9.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control
4. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Ammonium Molybdate.
5. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$
6. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
7. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$
8. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Borax
9. $1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$,
10. $2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ ton/ac. of F.Y.M.
11. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Sulphur,
12. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cobalt chloride
13. $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$.
14. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) II. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $11^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (vi N.A. (vi) Yes.
15. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-175; (modified ia 1953-54). (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
16. RESULTS :
(i) $720 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $153.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. y yeld of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | 585 | 7. | 1406 |
| 2. | 540 | 8. | 646 |
| 3. | 731 | 9. | 810 |
| 4. | 754 | 10. | 585 |
| 5. | 572 | 11. | 718 |
| 6. | 572 |  |  |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=108.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 3 ( 3 7 1 ) .}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of the application of different minor elements an dowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) 'a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 15.10.1953. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{*}$ betheen rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 35.96". (x) 6.3.9.4.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. All manures present.
2. Only Boron absent, all others present.
3. Oniy Manganese absent, all others present.
4. Only Magnesium absent, all others present.
5. Only Copper absent, all others present.
6. Only Zinc absent, all others present.
7. Only Cobalt absent, all others present.
8. Only Sodium absent, all others present.
9. Only Sulphur absent, all others present.
10. Only Iron absent, all others present.
[Boron as Borax at $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . ; \mathrm{Mn}$ as $\mathrm{MaSO}_{4}$ at $9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Mg as $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ at $2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . ; \mathrm{Cu}$ as $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ at $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $; \mathrm{Zn}$ as $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ at $4 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.; Co as $\mathrm{CoCL}_{2}$ at $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Sodium as Sodium Molybdate at $\frac{1}{4}$ lb./ac. ; Suipher at 2 lb ./ac. and Fe as $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ at $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$./ac.]
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) Crop growth checked due to excess of moisture in the soil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956 (modified in 1953.) (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS:
(i) $303 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.89 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 278 |
| 2. | 231 |
| 3. | 276 |
| 4. | 299 |
| 5. | 358 |
| 6. | 356 |
| 7. | 289 |
| 8. | 283 |
| 9. | 323 |
| 10. | 334 |
| S.E./mean | $=42.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 51 (108).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm Washim. Type : 'M'
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To see the effect of cotton-seed-cake in comparison with other manures on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 14.7.1951. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings, (b) N.A. (c) 6 lb./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 thirning. (fix) 29.74". (x) 10.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no manure).
2. G.N.C. at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
3. Cotton-seed-cake (decorticated) at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. Cotton-seed-cake (undecorticated) at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 15 lb ./ac. of N .

Manures applied on 13.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Attack of top-shoot borers. (iii) Germination counts and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1618 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $125.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatments | Av. yieid |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1407 |
| 2. | 1651 |
| 3. | 1606 |
| 4. | 1643 |
| 5. | 1785 |
| S.E./mean | $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Jowar (Kharif). <br> Ref:- Mh. 52(130). <br> Site : Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. <br> Type :-‘'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of cotton-seed-cake in comparison with other manures on Jowar yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.7.1952. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) By tiffan. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii, 3 hoeings, 1 weeding and 1 thinning. (ix) $17.95^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. G.N.C. at $15 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$ of N .
3. Cotton-seed-cake (decorticated) at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N .
4. Cotton-seed-cake (undecorticated) at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Mild attack of top-shoot borers which was controlled by removing affected shoots. (iii) Germination counts, height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952 . (bi and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $979 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $222.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1062 |
| 2. | 1042 |
| 3. | 948 |
| 4. | 979 |
| S. | 867 |
| S.E./mean | $=99.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : JJowar (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 49(125).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of T. C. and other manures on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 14.7.1949. (iv) (a) 1 bakharing. (b) N.A. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d: $18^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $63.59^{*}$. (x) 18.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. T.C. at 10 C.L./ac.
5. T.C. at 20 C.L./ac.
6. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac.
7. F.Y.M. at 20 C.L./ac.
8. G.N.C. at $4 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1950. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $427 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $107.2 \mathrm{ld} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 427 |
| 2. | 468 |
| 3. | 370 |
| 4. | 480 |
| 5. | 395 |
| 6. | 421 |
| S.E./mean | $=43.8 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop: Jowar (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim.
Ref :-Mh. 51(107).

Object :--To study the residual effect of manures applied in 1948-1949.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1951. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) 14 lines per plot. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $29.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19,20.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control. (no manure).
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
9. 20 lb .lac. of N as G.N.C.
10. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
11. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
13. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Attack of top-shoot borers. (iii) Germination count, height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953 (residual effect from 1949). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
. RESULTS:
(i) $1261 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $139.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1237 |
| 2. | 1362 |
| 3. | 1387 |
| 4. | 1254 |
| 5. | 1200 |
| 6. | 1175 |
| 7. | 1277 |
| 8. | 1260 |
| 9. | 1195 |
| S.E./mean | $==56.9 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ac. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref. :- Mh. 53(169)/51(107)
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration. Farm, Washim. Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied in 1948-1949.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 10.7 .1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) By tiffan. (c) $8-10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner. (late!. (vir: Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 thinning. (ix) $38.55^{\circ}$. x) $\approx 5.12 .1953$.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Control no manure).
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{o}^{\text {e } \mathrm{N}}$ as T.C.
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{C}$.
4. 20 ib./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A}_{i} \mathrm{~S}$.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a s. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Due to heavy rains the crop suffered; the crop was seen to be sickly pale yellow in colour. (ii) Attack of top-shoot borers; no control measures taken. (iii) Grain yield iv) (a) $1948-1953$ (residual effect from 1949. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS:
(i) $1367 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $13^{7.8} \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grais in it ac.

| Treatments | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| I. | 1333 |
| 2. | 1418 |
| 3. | 1429 |
| 4. | 1402 |
| 5. | 1339 |
| t. | 1424 |
| 7. | 1318 |
| 8. | 1360 |
| 9. | 1280 |
| S.E. mean | $-56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref. :~Mh. 53(168)
Site :- Govt seed and Demons Farm, Washim.
Type ' M '.

Object :-- To study the effect of different doses of N applied in different forms on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.7.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) By tiffan. (c) 8.10 lb ./ac. (d) 14 rows/plot. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late'. (vii) Unirrigated. viii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings. (ix) $38.55^{\circ}$. (x) 25.12 .1953 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure ( 2 plots/block).
2. 15 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 45 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. 15 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
6. $30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
7. $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Fertilizer mixture. ( 80 lb . of G.N.C. +27 lb . of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. )

Manures applied at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Ye,.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of top-shoot borers. No control measures taken. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953contd. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1700 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $137.6 \mathrm{~B} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1548 |  |
| 2. | 1587 |  |
| 3. | 1747 |  |
| 4. | 1870 |  |
| 5. | 1619 |  |
| 6. | 1837 |  |
| 7. | 1965 |  |
| 8. | 1581 |  |
| S.E /mean (Treat 1) |  | $=43.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E./mean (Treats. $2,3, \ldots 8$ ) | $=61.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref :- Mh. 49(92).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of different manures on Jowar.
4. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeomtal. (iii) 13.7.1949. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) 4 to $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) $4 \frac{1}{4}$ ton/ac. of T.C. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $46.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Nov. 1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. T.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. Cow-dung manure at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. Cow-dung manure at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. G.N.C. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
7. G.N.C at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
8. A/S at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
9. $A / S$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) No. (b) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $1001 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $218.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1034 |
| 2. | 954 |
| 3. | 960 |
| 4. | 780 |
| 5. | 840 |
| 6. | 1074 |
| 7. | 1141 |
| 8. | 1007 |
| 9. | 1221 |
| S.E./mean | $=89.04 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

```
Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.
Ref:~Mh. 50(111).
Type:- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of different manures on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 2 nd week of July 1950. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) 4 to $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) 4 ton/ac. of T.C. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.96^{\circ}$. (x) Last week of Dec. 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Control (no manure).
2. TC. at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
3. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. Cow-dung manure at 20 lb ./ac. of N ,
5. Cow-dung manure at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N .
6. G.N.C. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ,
7. G.N.C. at 20 lb ./ac. of N
8. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 10 lb ./ac. of N .
9. $\mathrm{A}_{j} \mathrm{~S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
10. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL
(i) Unsatisfactory owing to draught. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Crop was adversely affected by draught. (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $479 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) 353.9 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(Iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 647 |
| 2. | 430 |
| 3. | 337 |
| 4. | 250 |
| 5. | 430 |
| 6. | 800 |
| 7. | 527 |
| 8. | 367 |
| 9. | 524 |
| S.E./mean | $=1445 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

## Ref :~Mh. 51(149). <br> Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of different manures on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 3rd week of July 19j1. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) 5 lb ./ac, (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. .(viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 39.57". (x) Last week of Dec. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. T.C. at 20 lb ./ac. of N.
5. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. Cattle-dung at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
7. Cattle-dung at 40 lb ./ac. of N .
8. G.N.C. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
9. G.N.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
10. A/S at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
11. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) One line on two sides and 4 plants of each line on the other two sides. (vi) Yes.
13. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
14. RESULTS:
(i) $738 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $162.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 764 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 674 |

3. 707
4. 620
5. 600
6. 795
$7 . \quad 844$
7. 744
$9 . \quad 904$
S.E./mean $=60.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref:- Mh. 51(148).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :- To study the effect of different sources of N on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 3 rd week of July 1951. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Hand dibbling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi; Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 3 hosings and 2 weedings. (ix) $39.5^{\circ}$. (x) Last week of Dec. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. G.N.C.
4. Decorticated cotton-seed-cake.
5. Undecorticated cotton-seed-cake.
6. $A_{i} S$.

Quantity, time and method of application of $N$ are N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a; 4. (b. N.A. (iii’ 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (ii, Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $400 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $142.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb.jac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 416 |
| 2. | 384 |
| 3. | 368 |
| 4. | 432 |
| S.E./mean | $=63.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Expll. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref: Mh. 53(273).
Type :- 'M'.

Object: -To study the effect of Sodium Nitrate on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a Jowar-Groundnut-Coton. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer toil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 15.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings on 10,18 and 25.6 .1953 . (b) Hand dibbling. (c) N A. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 37.63". ( $x^{\prime}$ 31.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (two plots/block).
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. $A / S$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. A/S at $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

5 Sodium Nitrate at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
6. Sodium Nitrate at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

7 Sodium Nitrate at 45 lb ./ac. of N.
8. G.N.C. at $1 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIG.V
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) One line on each side and 4 plants on the other two sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $959.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $209.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 852 |
| 2. | 736 |
| 3. | 1152 |
| 4. | 1080 |
| 5. | 1104 |
| 6. | 968 |
| 7. | 1096 |
| 8. | 792 |
| S.E/mean (Treat 1) | $=93.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E./mean (Treats 2, 3, .....8) | $=66.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | ---- |
| Crop :-Jowar. | Ref :-Complex Expts. (T.C.M.), 1953. |
| Centre :-Akola (Maharashtra). | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-II, To study the best time of application of N .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam. (b) Neutral in reaction. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between plants $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$ and between rows $16.5^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.J. 164 (improved). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $25^{\circ}-30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 2 times of application of $N$ : $D_{1}=$ At sowing and $D_{2}=$ At first irrigation.
(2) 2 sources of $N\left(\right.$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.) : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Urea.

Manures broadcast 3-4 days before sowing and thoroughly mixed with soil by one bakharing.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $34.57^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Incidence of striga in patches was fairly common. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956.
(b) No. (c) N A. (v) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1745 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $297.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Time of application of N is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=1654 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | Control $=1654 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1973 | 1522 | 1748 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1847 | 1728 | 1788 |
| Mean | 1910 | 1625 | 1768 |
|  | S.E. of marginal meanS.E. of body of table |  |  |

Crop:-Jowar.
Ref :-Co mplex Expts. (T.C.M.), 1953.
Centre:-Akola (Maharashtra). Type: : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.
Object :-IV To study the effect of types, levels and methods of application of phosphatic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam. (b) Neutral in reaction. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between plants $9^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ and between rows $16.5^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.J. 164 (improved). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $20^{\circ \prime}$ to $30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) +2 control plots/block.
(1) 3 sources of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Super, $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Nitro. Phos and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Ammo. Phos.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 methods of application : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcast before final cultivation and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ applied $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\mu}$ below seed.

Manures applied 3-4 days before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v, N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Incidence of striga in patches was fairly common throughout the experiment. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-56. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2549 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $254.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects, interactions and control vs others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control |  |  | $=2459 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | M ean | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 2621 | 2575 | 2482 | 2559 | 2524 | 2594 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 2542 | 2534 | 2631 | 2569 | 2514 | 2624 |
| Mean | 2582 | 2555 | 2556 | 2564 | 2519 | 2609 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2579 | 2468 | 2511 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2584 | 2641 | 2602 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=73.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $M$ | $=59.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times M$ or $S \times P$ table | $=103.9 \mathrm{r} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=84.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| S.E. of control mean | $=103.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Jowar. } & \text { Ref. :- Complex Expts. (T. C. M.), } 1953 . \\ \text { Site :- Akola (Maharashtra). } & \text { Type :- ' } \mathrm{M}^{\prime}\end{array}$
Object:-I (a) To study the effect of types and levels of $N$ and $P$ on non-acidic soils.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam to clay loam. (b) Neutral in reaction. (iii) 3rd week of July, 1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 7 lb ./ac. (d) between plants $9^{\prime \prime}-12^{\prime \prime}$ and between rows $16.5^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N. J. 164 (improved). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $20^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Urea.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manures applied 3-4 days before sowing by broadcast.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) 1 ncidence of striga in patches. (iii) Yield data. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2685 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $241.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av . yield of gra in in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2359 | 2633 | 2823 | 2654 | 2730 | 2726 | 2728 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2608 | 2682 | 2719 | 2682 | 2669 | 2732 | 2700 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2509 | 2821 | 2672 | 2719 | 2643 | 2850 | 2747 |
| Mean | 2525 | 2712 | 2738 | 2685 | 2681 | 2769 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | - | 2728 | 2633 | 2681 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | - | 2696 | 2842 | 2769 |  |  |  |

For $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ table

| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ column | $=80.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ column | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of rows | $=62.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| $\quad$ For $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{P}$ table |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=98.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of columns | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of rows | $=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| $\quad$ For $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ table |  |
| S.E. of tody of table | $=80.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Karbir (Kolhapur).

Ref. :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(337)
Type :- 'M'

Object :-To find the effect of manures on the yield of Jowar under cultivators' field conditions,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 18. 12. 1952 and 30. 12. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./guntha.
5. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./guntha + Bonemeal at 22.5 lb ./guntha.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 fields were selected at random in each of two villages selected at random from Jowar growing villages. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENLRAL:
(i) N.A. (ii: N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a: N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N A. (il) Nil. vi Nil.
8. RESULTS :
$\therefore \quad 892 \quad 10.3 \mathrm{c}$
(ii. $4900 . \mathrm{fac}$.
(iii) Trestments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av, yold of yrain in lb ./ac.

| Treatments | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 760 |
| 2. | 888 |
| 3. | 1130 |
| S.L /mean | $=28.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators" fields Mh. 52(338).
Site :- Godhingly (Kolhapur). Type :- 'M'.
```

Object:-To ind the effect of manure mixtures on the yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N A. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb. /guntha.
3. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./guntha + Bonemeal at 22.5 lb ./guntha.
4. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 fields were selected at random from exch of two villages selected at random from Jowar growing villages. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\circ} \times 60^{\circ}$. (iv) N.A.
5. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $1120 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $74.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treaments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yicld of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 996 |
| 2. | 1102 |
| 3. | 1262 |
| S.E./mean | $=37.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Hatkanglde (Kolhapur).

Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(339). Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find the effect of manure mixture on the yield of Jowar under cultivators' field conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 22 and 28.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS
3. Control.
4. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./guntha.
5. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./g untha+Bonemeal at 22.5 lb ./guntha.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 fields were selected at random from each of two villages. selected at random from Jowar growing villages. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\circ}$, (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) N.A.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1620 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $355.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av: yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1280 |
| 2. | 1740 |
| 3. | 1840 |
| S.E./mean | $=177.6 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif). Ref :~ Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(340). Site :~ Shilor Dist. (Kolhapur). Type :~ 'M'.

Object :-To find the effect of manure mixture on the yield of Jowar under cultivators' field conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N,A. (x) 5 and 23.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./guntha.
5. Manure mixtureat 14.25 lb ./guntha + Bonemeal at $22.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{guntha}$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 fields were selected at random in each of the 2 villages selected at random from jowar growing villages. Results from one field were, however, not available. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iji) Graio yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) NiJ.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1541 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $312 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly,
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 1330 |
| 2. | 1774 |
| 3. | 1519 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=180.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(341). Site:-Kagal (Distt. Kolhapur). Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find the effect of manure mixture on the yield of Jowar under cultitators' field conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS ;
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) (a) to (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 20.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Manure mixture at $14.25 \mathrm{lb} . \mid$ guntha.
5. Manure mixture at 14.25 lb ./ guntha + Bonemea! at 22.5 lb ./guutha.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 fields were randomly selected in the village selected at random from the jowar growing villager. (iii) (a) N.A. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$, (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv, (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $1502 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $136 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1512 |
| 2. | 1673 |
| 3. | 1320 |
| S.E./mean | $=96.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(264). Site :- Tasgaon (South Satara). Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find the effect of manure mixture on the yield of Jowar under cultivaters' field conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) Black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (iv) Mandapuri. (v) (a) to
(c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $15^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A.
(x) 25.11 .1952 ; 5 to 26.12 .1952 and 11.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN:
(i) and (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were also selected at random. (iii) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $3153 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $168.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 2881 |
| 2. | 3122 |
| 3. | 3462 |
| S.E./mean. | $=84.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref:- Expts. on cultivators' fields Mh. 52(266). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Miraj (South Satara). | Type :m 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of manures on the yield of Jowar under cultivotors' felc's conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram-Tobacco ; Jowar-Turmeric. (c) Nil. (ii) Deep black. (iii) 5 C.L./ac. cf F.Y.M. (iv) Marna depuri (late); Digraji and Tabmbad bhondi (mid late). (v) (a) One clod crushing and two harrowings ; one ploughing and three harrowings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} ; 12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 23.11.1952; 5 and 28.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 64 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N.C}$. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 64 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) and (ii) 2 villages were selected at random and 2 fields within the selected villages were also selected at random. (iii) (a) $72^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. (iv) N.A.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) One year only. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1544 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $440.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1352 |
| 2. | 1534 |
| 3. | 1747 |
| S.E./mean | $=220.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop:- Jowar.
Ref. :Complex Expts. (T.C.M.), 1953.
Site :- Akola (Maharashtra). Type :- 'MV'
Object:-To study the effect of N a 1 P on the yield of different varieties of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b: N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loan to clay to :m. (b) Neutr in reaction. (ii) 3rd week of July, 1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $710 ., a c$. (d, Between p ant. $9^{4} \times 12^{\circ}$ and netween rows $16.5^{*}$. (e) N.A. (it, As per treat neats. (vii) Uairrigated (niii N.A. (ix $20^{*}$ to 3$)^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N, A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levcls of $N: \quad N_{0}=0, N_{1} \rightarrow 2$ and $N_{2}-40 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}-40 \mathrm{ib}$. fac.
(3. 3 tarctics: $\quad V_{1}, V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$; deti is N.A.

Manures applad 3-1 diys lefure sowing by br sadeast.
3. DESIGN :
 (a;N.A. b $\quad 27 \times 27^{\prime} . \quad$; N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normai. (ii) Iacidence of striga in $p$ itches was farly common. (iii) Yeld Jata. iv. (a) 1953-1956.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) No. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 3043 ir/ac.
(ii) $124.5 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $N, P$ and $V$ and interactions $N P$, VP are highy signficant. Interaction $V N$ is also significant.
(iv) Ar. yield of grain in lb./ac.


| Crop:- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref. :- Mh. 51(72). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site : Agri.Res, Stn., Chas. | Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ ’. |

Object:- To stuly the efeet of tillige oparations amarowing-an n-int routuring, on the growth ard yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

 medium. (vii) Uairrigated (viii) N.A. ix) $9.63^{\prime \prime}$. (29.9.195. to 15.2 .175 ). (x, 15. 2. 192.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of interculturings :- $\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=4$.
(2) Number of harrowings :- $\quad \mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Count, height and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952 to 1955-19:6. b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $336 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $115.8 \mathrm{lb} . / 2 \mathrm{c}$.
(i.i) Main effect of H alone is significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 334 | 336 | 462 | 377 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 178 | 329 | 472 | 326 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 378 | 328 | 392 | 366 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 238 | 280 | 313 | .277 |
| Mean | $\cdot 282$ | 318 | 410 | 336 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathbf{I} & =33.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of H } & =28.94 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =57.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop : Jowar (Rabi).
Site :wAgri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref:-Mh. 52(101).
Type:- ${ }^{*}$ C'.

Object:-To study the effect of tillage operations (harrowing-cum-interculturing) on the growth and yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black and medium. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.10 .1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) $41 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $2.24^{\prime \prime}$ (3.10.1952 to 1.2.1953). (x) 1.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of interculturings :- $I_{1}=1, I_{2}=2, I_{3}=3$ and $I_{4}=4$.
(2) Number of harrowings :- $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
3. DESIGN :

[^7]4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, count and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-52 to 19:5-56. (b) No, (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $504 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 581 | 463 | 443 | 496 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 477 | 450 | 554 | 494 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 456 | 526 | 684 | 555 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 446 | 511 | 417 | 471 |
| Mean | 490 | 497 | 524 | 504 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I |  | $=34.48 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of H |  | $=29.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=59.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Ref: Mh .5 3(153).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Object:-To study the effect of tillage operations (harrowing-cum-interculturing: on the growth and yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL. CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gran-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black and medium. (b) N A. ii 21.9.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $7.66^{\circ}$. (21.9.1953 to 11.2.1954). (x) 11.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of interculturings: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=4$.
(2) Number of harrowings: $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime \prime}$. (b $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nii. (iii) height, count and grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-52$ to $1955-56$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $150.0 \mathrm{ob} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

## 401

## (iv) Av. yiold of errain in tb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mesa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 594 | 585 | 568 | 582 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 636 | 603 | 650 | 630 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 659 | 587 | 715 | 654 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 600 | 603 | 570 | 591 |
| Mean | 622 | 595 | 626 | 615 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of I | $=43.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of H | $=37.5 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=75.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 48(16).
Type :- ' C '.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depih of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 26.8.1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, (b) Seeds driled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding and interculturing on 19.9.1948. (ix) $34.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.12 .1948 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $S_{1}=4, S_{2}=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) For mainplots; $150^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}, 150^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ and $150^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. For sub-plots; $50^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. $50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ and $50^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (vi) 4 rows on either side and $5^{\prime}$ of row at each end of net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Stemborer attack at the young stage, caterpillers on leaves. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) $1948-49$ to 1954-55. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $729 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $72.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $107.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Spacing alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 652 | 655 | 776 | 694 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 672 | 722 | 783 | 726 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 723 | 745 | 832 | 767 |
| Mean | 682 | 707 | 797 | 729 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means
$=24,2 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{scc}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=35.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=61.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ae}$.
3. C means at the same level of S
$=55.9 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 49(148).
Type:- ' C '.

Object:-To study the spacing-cum-seed rate effect on Jowar under Khandesh conditions.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 3.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri(late). vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings ard 3 hoeings. (ix) 31.18*. (x) 11.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings between rows: $C_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $C_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
3 seed rates: $S_{1}=4, S_{2}=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication, 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150 \times 120^{\prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}, 42^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ and $38^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ for $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v: 4 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1954 (b No. (c) Nil, (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $823 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $106.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $98.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 775 | 766 | 814 | 785 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 820 | 805 | 831 | 819 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 816 | 837 | 940 | 864 |
| Mean | 804 | 803 | 862 | 823 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{C}$ marginal means
$=35.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=32.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $C$
$=56.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $C$ means at the same level of $S$
$=58.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
```

Ref:~Mh. 50(35).
Type:-' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar - Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 11.7.1950. (iv) a) N.A. (b) Seeds drillëd. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 24.8.1950. hoeing on 1.8.1950 and 8.8.1950. (ix) $21.73^{\prime \prime}$. ( ( x ) 10.12 .1950 .
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-Plot treatments :
3 spacings : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=15^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates: $S_{1}^{\prime \prime}=4, S_{2}=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) For subplots $50^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime} ; 50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime} \times 42$ for $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ spacing respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) 4 rows on either side and $5^{\prime}$ of row at both ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The plots sown with 4 lb . seed rate and $15^{\prime \prime}$ spacing produced very small earheads and also thin type of kadbi. Plots with 4 lb . seed rate and $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing have produced bigger earheads. (ii) Attack of stem borer to a small extent was observed at the early stage of the crop. (iii) Weight of jowar grain and kadbi, (iv) (a) 1948-49 to 1954-55. (b) No. (c) N A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $929 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $150.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $91.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interaction $C \times S$ is highly significant and main effect of $S$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $S_{1}$ | 882 | 854 | 940 | 892 |
| $S_{2}$ | 877 | 907 | 973 | 919 |
| $S_{3}$ | 1000 | 1022 | 906 | 976 |
| Mean | 920 | 928 | 940 | 929 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means $\quad=49.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. S marginal means $\quad=30.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $C \quad=52.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. C means at the same level of $S \quad=66.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 51(38) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. } & \text { Type :- 'C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 10.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) .Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 15.8 .1951 and 23.8.1951; hocing 13.8.1951, 17.8.1951 and 23.8.1951. (ix) 20.14". (x) 4.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=30^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $S_{1}=4, S_{2}=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split plot. (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 114^{\prime}$ (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Gross plot size of main-plot: $150^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ for $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing, $150^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$ for $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $150^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ for $30^{\prime \prime}$ spacing Sub-plot $50^{\prime} / 36^{\prime}$ for $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing, $50^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$ for $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ for $30^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$.
(v) Two rows on either side and $5^{\prime}$ of row at both ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) $1948-49$ to 1954-55. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The spacing i.e. main-plot treatment is diffarent from those of last two years.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1370 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $419.6 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $156.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Seed rate alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 1414 | 1445 | 1507 | 1459 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1339 | 1350 | 1257 | 1315 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1257 | 1334 | 1418 | 1336 |
| Mean | 1337 | 1380 | 1394 | 1370 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means $\quad=140.1 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=523 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ mean at the same level of $C$

$$
=90.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

4. C means at the same level of $S \quad=158.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 52(66). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. } & \text { Type :- 'C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) $7 \frac{1}{2}$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii)
(a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon.
(iii) 29.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (r) Nil.
(vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) N.A. (ix) 17.61". (x) 24.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=30^{\circ}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates : $S_{1}=4, S_{2}=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split plot. (iii) (a) 3 main-plots/block, 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 114^{\prime}$. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $50^{\circ} \times 36^{\prime}$ for $18^{\prime}$ spacing, $50^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$ for $24^{\prime \prime}$ spazing and $50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ for $30^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ rows on either side and $5^{\prime}$ of row at both ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of stemborers was observed in the early stage. Attack of long smat disease was also observed in all the plots. (ii) Grain and kadoi yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 to 1954-5;. ( $)$ No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) aind (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $696 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $195.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $1565 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 683 | 804 | 728 | 737 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 723 | 650 | 651 | 675 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 670 | 718 | 640 | 676 |
| Mean | 691 | 724 | 673 | 696 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means
$=65.2 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=51.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $C$
$=90.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $C$ means at the same level of $S$
$=98.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Jowar (K harif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 53(125).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(l) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) $7 \frac{1}{2}$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 28.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing on 18.7.1953, weeding on 25.7.1953 and 10.9.1953. (ix) 23.77". (x) 24.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=30^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $S_{1}=4, S:=6$ and $S_{3}=8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $150^{\circ} \times 114$. (iii) $\kappa$. (iv) (a) Gross plot size of main-plot; $50 \times 36^{\prime}$ for $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing; $50^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$ for $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ for $30^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. For sub-plot : $50^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}, 50^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$ and $50^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$ for $18^{\prime \prime}, 24^{\prime \prime}$ and $30^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on cither side and $5^{\prime}$ of row at both ends. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) General condition of the crop was good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1949$ to 1954-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1595 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $161.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $183.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1479 | 1759 | 1634 | 1624 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1700 | 1669 | 1451 | 1607 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1592 | 1619 | 1447 | 1553 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | -1590 | 1682 | 1511 | 1595 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $C$ marginal means
$=53.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=51.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S means at the same level of $\mathbf{C}$
$=106.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. C means at the same level of $S$
$=101.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
```
Crop:-Jowar (Rabi), Ref :-Mh. 53(53).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Object :-To find out the suitable combination of harrowings and interculturings.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 24.9.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) \(4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (d: \(18^{\prime \prime}\) apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35*. (vii) Unirrigated. (vi), As per treatments. (ix) \(5.88^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 3.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of interculturings: \(\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3\) and \(\mathrm{I}_{4}=4\).
(2) Number of harrowings : \(\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3\) and \(\mathrm{H}_{3}=4\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) \(3 \times 4\) Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) \(46^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}\). (b) \(40^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}\). (v) \(3^{\prime}\) all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -con inued (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Experiment failed in 1951. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(560 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(243.6 \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) None of the effects is signicart.
(iv) Ar, yield of grain in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{3}\) & \(\mathrm{H}_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{I}_{1}\) & 487 & 575 & 836 & 633 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{I}_{2}\) & 623 & 379 & 441 & 481 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{I}_{8}\) & 535 & 742 & 527 & 601 \\
\hline If & 628 & 534 & 415 & 526 \\
\hline Mean & 568 & 558 & 555 & 560 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{S.E. of marginal mean of I S.E. of marginal mean of H S.E. of body of table}} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& =70.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =60.98 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =121.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
\]}} & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Crop :- Jowar. & Ref:- Mh. \(48(34)\). \\
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. & Type :- ' C '.
\end{tabular}

Object :-To find out suitable spacing and seed rate for Jowar crop.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling with coultered drills. (c) As per treatments. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M - 35-1. (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) \(5.38^{\prime \prime}\). (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 row spacings : \(C_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(C_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}\).
Sub-plot treatmenis :
3 seed rates: \(S_{1}=3, S_{2}=4\) and \(S_{3}=5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) 2 rows on either side and \(3^{\prime}\) of rows on either end of the sub-plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1949 to 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(862 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(136.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(150.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Noie of the effects is sigaificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in \(1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) & \(\mathrm{C}_{2}\) & \(\mathrm{C}_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 832 & 936 & 968 & 909 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{2}\) & 839 & 919 & 871 & 876 \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{3}\) & 887 & 758 & 758 & 801 \\
\hline Mean & 850 & 871 & 866 & 862 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

S E. of difference of two
1. C marginal means
\(=45.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=50.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=86.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=83.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).

Crop:- Jowar.
Ref :- Mh. 49 (56).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn. Mohol.
Type :- ' C '.

Object :- To fnd out suitable spacing and se d rate for Jowar crop.

\section*{1. BASAL CONDITIONS :}
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analyss, Mohol. (iii) 4.10.1549. (iv) (a) N.A. (0) Driling with coultered drifl. (c) and (d) As per trearments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) :1-35-1 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii 6 interculturings. (ix) 1.14". (x) 23.2.1950.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS:}

Main-plot treatments :
3 row spacings : \(C_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(C_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}\).
Sub-plot treatments:
3 seed rates : \(S_{1}=3, S_{2}=4\) and \(S_{3}=5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a' 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub plots-main plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) Two rows on either side and \(3^{\prime}\) of rows on either end of the net plot. 'vii Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Sugary disease was observed. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1943-49 to 1952-53. (b) : o. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(462 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(143.8 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(78.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Main effect of \(S\) alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{r|ccc|c} 
& \(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}\) & \(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}\) & \(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}\) & 560 & 540 & 387 & 496 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\) & 527 & 439 & 429 & 455 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 450 & 457 & 365 & 424 \\
\hdashline Mean & 512 & 479 & 394 & 462
\end{tabular}

S E. of difference of two
1. C marginal means
2. \(S\) marginal means
3. \(S\) means at the same level of \(C\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& =47.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =26.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =45.7 \mathrm{bb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =60.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
\]
4. C means at the same level of \(S\)

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. 50 (10).
Type :- 'C'.

Object:- To find out suitable spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar crop.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) No. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iji) 27-28.10.1950. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings and ploughing once in 3 years. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturings 4 times. (ix) \(9.91^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 14.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : \(\mathrm{C}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(\mathrm{C}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}\).
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates: \(S_{1}=3, S_{2}=4\) and \(S_{3}=5 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(36^{\circ} \times 19^{\prime}\). \(36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}\) and \(36^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}\) for \(12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(18^{\prime \prime}\) spacings respectively. (b) \(30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) 2 rows on each side and 3 feet of row at both the ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 to 1951-52. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) For want of mulch condition in the soil, the sowing was delayed. There was no rain after sowing which affected radly the growth of the crop, though the germination wass satisfactory.
\[
1
\]
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(202 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(90.99 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(58.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{l|lll|l} 
& \(C_{1}\) & \(C_{2}\) & \(C_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 231 & 230 & 223 & 188 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\) & 186 & 202 & 166 & 278 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 173 & 218 & 192 & 186 \\
\hline Mean & 197 & 217 & 202
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two
1. C marginal means
\[
\begin{aligned}
& =30.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =19.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =32.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =40.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
\]
2. \(S\) marginal means
3. S means at the same level of \(C\)
4. \(C\) means at the same level of \(S\)

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn, Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. 51(12).
Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To find the optimum spacing and economic seed rate for Jowar crop.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 12.10.1951.
(iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) M.35-1. -
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) \(7.4^{\prime \prime}\). (x) 12.2.1952.

\section*{2. TREATMENTS :}

\section*{Main-plot treatments :}

3 row spazings : \(C_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, C_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(C_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}\).

\section*{Sub-plot treatments :}

3 seed rates : \(S_{1}=3, S_{2}=4\) and \(S_{3}=5 \mathrm{jb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) \(36^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}, 36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}\) and \(36^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}\) for \(12^{\prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}\) and \(18^{\prime \prime}\) spacings respectively. (b) \(30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}\). (v) 2 rows on each side and \(3^{\prime \prime}\) at both ends of net plot. (vi) Yes.

\section*{4. GENERAL:}
(i) Normal and healthy. (ii) Sugary disease was noted. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-49 to 1951-52. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) It was not cloudy as was desired during the stage of grain formation, no rains were received during crop period. There was no moisture in the soil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(966 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) (a) \(130.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(b) \(206.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{c:ccc:c} 
& \(C_{1}\) & \(C_{2}\) & \(C_{3}\) & Mean \\
\hline \(\mathrm{S}_{1}\) & 868 & 1041 & 1078 & 996 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\) & 993 & 902 & 894 & 929 \\
\(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\) & 863 & 1041 & 1011 & 972 \\
\hdashline Mean & 908 & 995 & 994 & 96
\end{tabular}
S.E. of difference of two
1. C marginal man
\(=43.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\)
\(=68.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=119.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}\).
\(=106.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}\).
2. \(S\) marginal means
3. \(S\) means at the same level of \(C\)
4. \(C\) means at the same levei of \(S\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Crop - Jowar (Kharif). & Ref:-Mh. \(51(122)\). \\
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. & Type :- ' \(\because\).
\end{tabular}

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing for Jowar crop.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N. A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 16.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb /ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. 'v) N.A. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings. (ix) 38.29". (x) 4.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

3 row to row spacings: \(C_{1}=12^{\circ}, C_{2}=18^{*}\) and \(C_{3}-21^{\circ}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. 'ii, (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(56^{\prime} \times 16_{6}^{\prime \prime}\), (v) N.A. (v.) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and cobs yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(2073 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(225.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantiy.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
\(C_{1}\) & 2144 \\
\(C_{2}\) & 1980 \\
\(C_{3}\) & 2100 \\
S.E.'mean & \(=112.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :- Mh. 52(140),
Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing for Jowar crop.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar, (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Naझpur. (iii) 15.7.1952. (iv) (a) \(5-6\) bakharings. (b) Sown by argada with Sarata. (c) \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Soaner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 to 5 boeings and 1 weeding. \(\begin{array}{llll} & (i x) & 29.32^{\circ} & \text { (x) 8.12.1952. }\end{array}\)
2. TREATMENTS:

3 row spacings : \(\mathrm{C}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{C}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}\) and \(\mathrm{C}_{8}=24^{\prime \prime}\).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(66^{\prime} \times 165^{\prime}\). (b) N.A. (vi) Yes.

4, GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil,
5. RESULTS:
(i) \(1037 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(125.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av yield of grain in \(\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
Treatment Av. yield
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) & 1040 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{2}\) & 1050 \\
\(\mathrm{C}_{3}\) & 1020
\end{tabular}
S.E. \(/\) mean \(=62.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).

\author{
Crop:- Jowar (Kharif). \\ Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur .
}
Ref:- Mh. 53(223).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effezt of different methods of sowing Jowar.
1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur.
(iii) 19.7.1953. (iv) (a) 5-6 bakharings. (b) As per treatments. (c) \(10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\). (d) N.A. (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) \(33.70^{\circ}\).
(x) 23.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 methods of sowing :
1. Argada sowing.
2. Dibbling one plant at a place.
3. Dibbling two plants at a place.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) \(40^{\prime} \times 27.2^{\prime}\). (v) N.A. (vi) Y'es,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Cobs and grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) \(1993 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
(ii) \(166.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\).
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yeld of grain in \(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{ac}\).
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Treatment & Av. yield \\
1. & 2068 \\
2. & 1875 \\
3. & 2038 \\
S.E./mean & \(=83.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}\).
\end{tabular}

\author{
Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). \\ Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. \\ ```
Ref:- Mh. 53(224). Type : ' 'C'
```

}

Object :-To find out the effect of tupping on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Blazk cotton so!!. (ग) Refer soil analvsis, Nagpur. (iii) 18.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by Argada. (c) 10 b. ac. (d) and (e: N.A. v) Nil. (vi) Improved Saonar (late, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hociags and 3 weedings (ix) $3910^{\circ}$. (x) 23.121953.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. No topping.
4. Topping after 40 days of sowing.
5. Topping after 60 days of sowing.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iil) Grain yield. (iv; (a) N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (v) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $1713 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $203.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments dilfer highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib ./ac.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 1998
2. 1520
3.1622
S.E $/$ mean $=83.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Jowar.<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :-Nh. 53(222).
Type:- ' C '.

Ohject : - To compare the effect on yield of Jowar sown mixed with udid and Tur.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) NA. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 197.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39.10^{\circ}$. (x) 2412.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Jowar alone, seed rate $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. Jowar at $7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ udid at $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. Jowar at $7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .+$ tur at $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Jowar manured at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. DESIG $\vee$ :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) 0.62 th. ac. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Yield of $t u r$ and $u d i d$. N.A.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $1796 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $309.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1778 |
| 2. | 1446 |
| 3. | 1830 |
| 4. | 2131 |
| S.E./mean | $=138.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref : - Mh. 51(158)

Type :- 'C'.
Object:-To find out the suitable sowing date for Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{cake}$ in $1: 2$. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ on 29.12.1951, $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ on 12.1.1952, $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ on 2.2.1952, $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ on 15.2.1952, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{b}}=21.2 .1952$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

5 sowing dates: $D_{1}=1.8 .1951, D_{2}=15.8 .1951, D_{3}=30.8 .1951, D_{4}=14.9 .1951$ and $D_{5}=: 30.9 .1951$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $34.03^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) No.
(b) and (c) No.
(v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $348 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $48.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 272 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 286 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 374 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 427 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 380 |
| S.E./mean | $=24.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref: - Mh. 51(157).<br>Type:- ' $C$ '.

Object :-To find the suitable sowing date for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) NIL. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padezaon (iii) As per treatments. (iv) a) N.A. (b) Sowing by driling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\text {a }}$ tetween rows. e) N.A. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cake at sowing. (vi) Elichpuri. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $1+68^{\prime \prime} .(x) D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ on 27.1 .1951, $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ on 1.12.1951 and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ on 9.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 sowing dates: $\mathrm{D}_{1}=15.6 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{2}=30.6 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{3}=15.7 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{4}=30.7 .1951$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=1 \mathrm{c} .8 .1951$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $30.03^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) About $50 \%$ damage due to attuck of birds. (iii) Grain and fodder yteid. (iv, (a) 1951-1953.
(b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii Nil.
5. Results:
(i) 695 H 年.
(ii) $238.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment diferences are highly sigaificant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 360 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 470 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 1290 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 1287 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 274 |
| S. E./mean | $=119.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref. :-Mh. 52(193).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type : ' C '.
```

Object :-To find a suitable sowing date for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B’ type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Hand sowing. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cake. (vi Elichpuri. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $\mathrm{D}_{1} \& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}}$ on 4. 12. 1952, $D_{3}$ on 20.12.1952. $D_{4}$ on 25.12.1952 and $D_{5}$ on 25.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:-

5 sowing dates : $-D_{1}=15$ th June, 1952, $D_{2}=30$ th June, 1952, $D_{3}=15$ th July, 1952, $D_{1}=30$ th July, 1952 and $D_{5}=14$ th August, 1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $34.03^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very low yeld, (ii) Attack of stemborer and birds. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 19511953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS: :
(i) $576 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}, \cdots$
(ii) $173.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


## Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). <br> Site :-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

## Ref :-Mh. 52(45)

Type :- ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ '.

Object :-To determine the optimum spacing for Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

i) Groundnut -Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 6. 10. 1952. (iv) (a) 6 harrowings. (b) Sown by dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2-3 seed per dible thinned to one. (v) Nil. (vi) P. J-4 R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $25.38^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 21.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 plant to plant spacings: $\mathrm{P}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 row to row spacings : $R_{1}=3^{\prime \prime}, R_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}$ and $R_{3}=9^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 120$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal (ii) Nil. (iii) Stem thickness and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1552 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1388 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $291.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1062 | 1469 | 1289 | 1273 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1283 | 1297 | 1534 | 1371 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1268 | 1640 | 1651 | 1520 |
| Mean | 1204 | 1468 | 1491 | 1388 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal means |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=68.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

## Crop :-Jowar (Kharif). <br> Site :-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(24). <br> Type :-'C'.

Object:-To determine the optimum spacing for Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 4.10.1953. (iv) (a) 5 harrowings. (b) Sown by dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments.(e) 2-3 seed per dibble thinned to one. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4 R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) 34.23*. (x) 7.4.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 plant to plant spacings: $P_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, P_{2}=15^{\prime}$ and $P_{3}=18$.
(2) 3 row to row spacings: $R_{1}=3^{\prime \prime}, R_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}$ and $R_{8}=9^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 120$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and Kadbi yield and stem thickness. (v) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and , c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $777.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 258.7 lb /ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 794.4 | 7512 | 777.6 | 774.4 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 842.4 | 764.4 | 700.8 | 769.2 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 854.4 | 741.6 | 774.0 | 790.0 |
| Mean | 830.4 | 752.4 | 750.8 | 777.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  | $=61.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. |  |  |
| S E. of body of tatle |  | $=105.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Site :wGovt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref :-Mh. 53(22).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ '.

Object:-To determine the optimum spacing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar - Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soilanalysis, Parbhani. (iii) 26.6 .19 ;3. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) By dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) $2-3$ seeds per hill thinne 1 to one. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J. $4-\mathrm{K}$. (vii) Unurigatec. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $34.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 row to row spacings : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plet treatments :
3 plant to plant spacings: $P_{1}=3^{*}, P_{2}=6^{\prime \prime}$ and $P_{3}=9^{* *}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block, 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $1 / 172$ th ac., $1 / 132$ th ac., and $1 / 110$ th ac., for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $1 / 272$ th ac., $1 / 218$ th ac., and $1 / 181$ th ac. for $12^{\prime \prime}$, $15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (v) Two border rows werc discarded for every plot at harvest. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil, (iii) Kadbi thickness and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $673 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $242.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $163.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the efiects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $P_{1}$ | 702 | 628 | 684 | 671 |
| $P_{2}$ | 632 | 685 | 715 | 677 |
| $P_{3}$ | 618 | 658 | 735 | 670 |
| Mean | 651 | 657 | 711 | 673 |

S E. of difference of two

| 1. $\quad \mathbf{R}$ marginal means | $=80.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means | $=54.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{R}$ |  |
| 4. $\mathbf{R}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{P}$ |  |
|  | $=94.6 \mathrm{lb} . / 11.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 48(7).
Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.
Type :- ' C '.

Object :-To ascertain the best seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Groundnut or Gram - Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Kight black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Par hani. (iii) 25.6.1948. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 4 bakharings. (b) and (d) Sown $15^{*}$ apart by seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.4-K. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One hoeing and one weeding. (ix) $42.12^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.12 .1948 .
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{R}_{2}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (b) $57^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) Two border rows discarded for every plot at harvest. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stand was gappy. (ii) Nil. (iii) Measurements of kadbi thickness (bottom and central node) of 25 plants for each plot. Kadbi and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947-1949. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) The stand was gappy and hence the results are not reliable.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $35.01 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $26.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

| Av. yeld of grain in lo./ac. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\mathbf{A v}$ yield |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 41.26 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 35.14 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 28.65 |
| S.E./mean | $=9.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref :- Mh. 49(14).
Type :-'C".

Object :-To ascertain the best seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton Groundnut or Gram-Jowar, (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 27.6.1919. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 4 bakharings. (b) By seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.4-K. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $39.05^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=8, \mathrm{R}_{2}=10$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ (b) $57^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) Two border rows were discarded for every plot at harvest. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stand was gappy. (ii) Nil. (iii) Measurements of kadbi thickness (bottom and central node) of 25 plants for each plot and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947-1949. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) anc (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $219.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $44.46 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{/ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $R_{1}$ | 234.3 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 220.8 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 201.8 |
| S.E./mean | $=15.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

> Crop :~ Jowar (Rabi).
> Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref:- Mih. 48(8)-

Object :-To determ ne the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 13.10.1948. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 4 harrowings. (b) Sown by seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (d 18 apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) 44.49". (x) 21.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates : $\mathbf{R}_{1}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $57^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows all round plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Uneven stand. (ii) Heavy attack of sugary disease and aphids. (iii) Diameter of stalk at lower most internode; and central internodes, grain and kadbi yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1954. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Excessive rains in November affected the yield.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $20.52 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 55.48 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 95.76 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 76.76 |
| S.E./mean | $=7.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.<br>Ref:- Mh. 49(13).<br>Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To determine the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 14.10.1949. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Sown by seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 40.30". (x) 25.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac , $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $63^{\circ} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $57^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on all sides of the net plot size. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kadbi and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1954 . (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $256.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $44.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 262.4 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 244.3 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 263.6 |
| S.E./mean | $=15.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref :- Mh. 50(23).
Site :- Govt. Main. Farm, Parbhani.
Type:- 'C'.
Object : To determine the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jawar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 9.10 .1950 . (iv) (a) 4 horrowings. (b) Sown by seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weedings. (ix) 29.34*; (x) 20.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $57^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows fall round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Diameter of stalk at lower most internode and central internode and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947-1954. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $583.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $76.38 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 605.0 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 561.0 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 582.0 |
| S.E./mean | $=27.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.
Ref:- Mh. 51(23).
Type :- ' C '.
Object :-To determine the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 15.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Sown by seed drill. (c) As per treatment 1 d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $28-60^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 38$ thac. (v) 2 rows on all sides.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. Thickness of the stem at the lowest and at the central internodes. (iv) (a) 1947-1954. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Crop received little or no rain. during growth period. Conditions were generally droughty. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1120 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.2 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1161 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 1137 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1064 |
| S.E./mean | $=12.00 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref : - Mh. 52(44).<br>Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To determine the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 7.10.1952. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Sown by seed drill. (c) As per treatments. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $25.38^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 22.3 .1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac., $\mathrm{R}_{2}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $63^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 38$ th ac. (v) 2 rows on all sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield, thickness of the stem at the lowest and at the central internodes. (iv) (a) 1947-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1128 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $174.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1189 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1125 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1068 |
| S.E./mean | $=62.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

C rop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref :- Mh. 53(23).
Type :~ ' C '.

Ot ject : - To determine the optimum seed rate for Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 8.10.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) Sown by sesd drill. (c) As per treatments. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) P.J.-4-R. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $34.23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.4 .1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} ., \mathrm{R}_{2}=8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $1 / 33.5$ th ac. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) 2 rows on all. sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and kadbi yield. Thickness of the stem at the lowest and at the central internodes. (iv) (a) 1947-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $846.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $272.8 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{cc}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 734.0 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 826.0 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 976.0 |
| S.E./mean | $=96.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.

## Ref :- Mh. 51(178).

Type :- ' C '

Object :-To find out the effect of dates of sowing on the growth and yield of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) As per treatments, (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 3 coultered Poona seed drill. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$; between plants-irregular. (e) N.A." (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and hoeings. (ix) $26.62^{*}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS

6 dates of sowing: $\quad D_{1}=17.8 .1951, \quad D_{2}=30.8 .1951, \quad D_{3}=24.9 .1951, \quad D_{4}=6.10 .1951, \quad D_{5}=17.10 .1951$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}=27.10 \cdot 1951$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) The crop was affected in seedling stage by Jowar stem fly. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS :
(i) $861 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $254.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatrent | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1097 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $6!9$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 844 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 865 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 959 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 778 |
| S.E./mean | $=113.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Ref :-Mh. 52(211).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Type:-'C'.

Object :- To find out the effect of dates of sowing on growth and yield of Jowar

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) As per treatmens. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 3 coultered Poona seed drill. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$; between plants-irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii; Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings and hoeings. (ix) $22.03^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS

5 sowing dates : $D_{1}=17.8 .1952, D_{2}=30.8 .1952 . D_{3}=24.9 .1952, D_{4}=6.10 .1952$ and $D_{5}=17.10 .1752$.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (ai 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) The treatments were affected in early stages by Jowar stem fly. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1277 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $413.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 1301 |
| $D_{2}$ | 1301 |
| $D_{3}$ | 1410 |
| $D_{4}$ | 1338 |
| $D_{5}$ | 1032 |
| S.E./mean | $=206.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Ref :-Mh. 52(213).
Type:-'C'.
Object:-To study the effect of different preparatory tillages on yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 20.6.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Eetween rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants-irregular. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding from 27.6.1952 to 30.6 .1952 and interculturing on 7.7.1952. (ix) 22.03". (x) 16.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Harrowing only.
4. Ploughing year after year.
5. Plcughing every alternate year.
6. Ploughing every third year.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $124^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Withering was noticed. For want of rain, the growth was checked. There was (no grain formation (ii) Attack of stem borer was noticed to the extent of $20 \%$. (iii) Fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1956. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $9030 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $967.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 7442 |
| 2. | 10302 |
| 3. | 9464 |
| 4. | 8911 |
| S.E./mean | $=395.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Ref:-Mh. 53(191).
Type:-‘C'.
Object : - To study the effect of different tillages on the yield of Jowar.
a. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light brown, shallow to medium; depth 1 foot.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 16.9.1953. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Maldandi. 35-1, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing thrice and weeding once. (ix) $5.24^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26. J. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Harrowing only,
2. Ploughing every year.
3. Ploughing alternate year.
4. Ploughing every third year.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7 (including 3 dummies). (b) ${ }^{2}$ N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Faulty germination. Yield affected due to heavy rains. (ii) Attack of stem fly at carly stage. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $267.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Trea tment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 290.4 |
| 2. | 202.4 |
| 3. | 303.6 |
| 4. | 272.8 |
| S.E./mean | $=37.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 48(105). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :~ 'C’. |

Object: - To study the optimum frequency and time of barrowings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ betwees rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

One harrowing in each month as follows:-

1. May.
2. May and June.
3. May, June and July.
4. May, June, July and August.
5. June.
6. June and July.
7. June, July and August.
8. July.
9. July and August.
10. August.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1949. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## - RESULTS :

(i) $359 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $133.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.

| (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | Av. yield |
| 1. | 295 |
| 2. | 242 |
| 3. | 403 |
| 4. | 392 |
| 5. | 276 |
| 6. | 441 |
| 7. | 340 |
| 8. | 357 |
| 9. | 421 |
| 10. | 422 |
| S.E./mean | $=66.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Shola pur.

## Ref: Mh. 49(133). <br> Type: ' 'C'.

Object :-To study the optimum frequency and time of harrowing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Harrowings as per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $38.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

One harrowing each in the following months:

1. May.
2. May and Junc.
3. May, June and July.
4. May, June, July and August.
5. June.
6. June and July.
7. June, July and August.
8. July.
9. July and August.
10. August.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1949. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS :
(i) $205 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $114.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 154 |
| 2. | 106 |
| 3. | 307 |
| 4. | 273 |
| 5. | 87 |
| 6. | 198 |
| 7. | 142 |
| 8. | 302 |
| 9. | 306 |
| 10. | 177 |
| S.E./mean | $=57.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 48(103) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :- C. |

Object : -To study the optimum frequency and time of interculturing of Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer so 1 analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) 39.18". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

One interculturing per month :

1. October.
2. October and No ember.
3. October, November and December.
4. October, November, December and January.
5. November.
6. November and Decemter.
7. November, December and January.
8. De.ember.
9. December and January.
10. January.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $200^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $197^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) $15^{\prime}$ alaround the net plot. vil Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) Nii. (v) (a) Mohol, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS :
(i) $229 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $60.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 232 |
| 2. | 334 |
| 3. | 207 |
| 4. | 176 |
| 5. | 214 |
| 6. | 261 |
| 7. | 205 |
| 8. | 202 |
| 9. | 270 |
| 10. | 188 |
| S.E./mean | $=30.0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 49(129).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To study optimum frequency and time of interculturing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sbolapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Ni'. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $3817^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

One interculturing each month :

1. November.
2. November and December.
3. November, December and January.
4. November, December, January and February.
5. December.
6. December and January.
7. December, January and February.
8. January.
9. January and February.
10. February.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $197^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) No.
(c) Nil. (v)
(a) N
N.A. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS:
(i) $209 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 270 |
| 2. | 271 |
| 3. | 222 |
| 4. | 143 |
| 5. | 220 |
| 6. | 264 |
| 7. | 191 |
| 8. | 177 |
| 9. | 129 |
| 10. | 204 |
| S.E./mean | $=43.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Ref:- Mh. 50(153).
Type:- 'C'.
Object : To find out optimum frequency and time of interculturing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nal.
(vii) M-35-1. (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $24.04^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

One interculturing each month :

1. October.
2. Octoter and November.
3. October, November and December.
4. October, November, December and January.
5. November.
6. November and December.
7. November, December and January.
8. December.
9. December and January.
10. January.
11. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $197^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS:
(i) $331 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $110.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 352 |
| 2. | 380 |
| 3. | 366 |
| 4. | 360 |
| 5 | 464 |
| 6. | 331 |
| 7. | 234 |
| 8. | 347 |
| 9. | 240 |
| 10. | 238 |
| S.E./mean | $=55.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 51(65).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the effect of harrowing and interculturing on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Desp black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 6.10.1951. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Numter of harrowings: $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
(2) Number of interculturings : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=4$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} .7^{\prime \prime} \times 48^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} .7^{\prime \prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (i) Nil. (iii) Height, count of plants and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $381 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 110.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of H alone is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{H}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 263 | 430 | 449 | 381 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 257 | 399 | 538 | 411 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 226 | 482 | 490 | 399 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ | 240 | 403 | 361 | 335 |
| Mean | 256 | 428 | 459 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of I } & =31.93 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{H} & =27.65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =55.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(94).
Type:-'C'.

Object :-To find out the effect of herrowing and intercultaring on yield of Jowar in dry tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10.1952. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of harrowings: $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
(2) Number of interculturings: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=4$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) $31^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime} \times 48^{\prime} \ldots$ (b) $26^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Above normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 heights and 2 counts. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) As the sowing was delayed, only 2 interculturings were given instead of 3 and 4 interculturings. Hence $I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$ were pooled with $I_{2}$.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $984 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $H$ and interaction $H \times I$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 751 | 1214 | 936 | 967 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 936 | 1041 | 991 | 989 |
| Mean | 890 | 1084 | 977 |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of H
S.E. of marginal mean of I
S.E. of body of table
$=39.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=45.1 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$=78.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).

## Ref :-Mh. 53(146).

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type : " ${ }^{〔}$ C’.

Object :-To find out the effect of harrowing and interculturing on yiel. of Jowar in dry tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Re'er soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 14.10.1953, (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) N.A. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d, $18^{*}$. e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi M. $35-1$ (medjum). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) $9^{\circ}$. (x) 2821554.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) Number of harrowings :- $\mathrm{H}_{1}=2, \mathrm{H}_{2}=3$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}=4$.
(2) Number of interculturings: $-\mathrm{I}_{1}=1, \mathrm{I}_{2}=2, \mathrm{I}_{3}=3$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}=4$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 4$ Fact. in R B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \cdot 7^{\prime \prime} \times 43^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime}-7^{\prime \prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. ( : N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, count of plants and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1955 , (b) No, (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N A. (vi) Nil. (vii) As the sowiny; of Rabi Jowar was delayed, only 3 interculturings were given instead of 4 interculturings. Hence $I_{4}$ pooled with $I_{3}$.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $466.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $95.83 \quad \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of H and interaction $\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{I}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb . iac.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{1}$ | 394 | 456 | 472 | 441 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 444 | 521 | 503 | 489 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | 370 | 490 | 543 | 468 |
| Mean | 395 | 490 | 515 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of H | $=23.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | $=27.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ | $=19.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table | $=58.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 48(104).
Type :-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object:-To find out the proper time of sowing Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar after gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{*}$. (x) N.A.

## TREATMENTS :

15 dates of sowing: $\quad D_{1}=13.9 .1948, \quad D_{2}=15.9 .1948, \quad D_{3}=17.9 .948, \quad D_{4}=19.9 .19 .8$, $D_{5}=21.9 .1948, \quad D_{6}=239.1948, \quad D_{7}=25.10 .948, \quad D_{8}=15.10 .1948$, $D_{9}=17.10 .1948, \quad D_{10}=19.10 .1948 \quad D_{11}=2310.948, \quad D_{12}=25.10 .1948$, $D_{13}=27.10 .1948, \quad D_{14}=29.10 .1948$. and $D_{16}=31.10 .1948$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv
(a) (a) N.A.
(b) $27^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A.-1949. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $123 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $81.56 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 152 | $\mathrm{D}_{9}$ | 123 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 119 | $\mathrm{D}_{10}$ | 86 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 149 | $\mathrm{D}_{11}$ | 119 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 164 | $\mathrm{D}_{12}$ | 81 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 35 | $\mathrm{D}_{19}$ | 40 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 248 | $\mathrm{D}_{14}$ | 36 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ | 252 | $\mathrm{D}_{15}$ | 36 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | 188 |  | 63 |

$$
\text { S.E. } / \text { mean } \quad=40.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 49(132).
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out suitable time of sowing Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar after gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur.
(iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a). 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{n \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) 38.17". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

6 sowing dates: $\quad D_{1}=13.9 .1949, \quad D_{2}=15.9 .1949, \quad D_{3}=17.9 .1949, \quad D_{4}=7.10 .1949, \quad D_{5}=9.10 .1949$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}=11.10 .1949$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A.-1949. (b) No. (b) Nil. (v) (a) Mohol.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $222 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 87.28 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 239 |
| $D_{2}$ | 177 |
| $D_{3}$ | 225 |
| $D_{4}$ | 176 |
| $D_{5}$ | 240 |
| $D_{6}$ | 277 |
| S.E./mean | $=43.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Ref :- Ma. 48(112)
Type: ' C '.

Object :-To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Mil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Reter soll analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowinys. Ploughings as per treatment. (b) Drilling ci $4 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fc}$ (d) $18^{n}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-i5-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercuiturogs. (ix) $39.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$A=$ Harrowed onlv.
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{B}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting wath 1947.
$\mathrm{B}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starti'g with 1948.
$C_{1}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ - Ploughed every 4 years stirti.g with 147.
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=\mathrm{PI}$ ) ${ }^{2}$ hed every 4 years starting with 1948.
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years stattirg with $19+9$.
$D_{1}=$ Ploughed every 6 years startilg with 1946.
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 6 yeurs stating with 1947. $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years statting with 1948. $\mathrm{D}_{4}=$ Ploughed every 6 year stating vith 1949. $D_{5}=$ Ploughed evcry 6 years stast ag with 1950. $D_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 yeat starting with 1951.

For this sear treatments are:

1. Harrowed only ( $A$ ).
2. Ploughe in $19: 6 \mathrm{~B}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ).
3. Ploughed in $1447\left(B_{2}, C_{2}\right.$ and $\left.D_{2}\right)$.
4. Ploughted in $1948\left(B_{3}, C_{3}\right.$ and $\left.D_{3}\right)$.
5. No ploughing ( $C_{4}, D_{4}, D_{5}$ and $\left.D_{6}\right)$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$, $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (v) As per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1956. (b) As 'per ploughing 'r otation. (c) Nol. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $301 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $84.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ sigaificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. $A$ | 298 |
| 2. $\left(B_{1}+C_{1}+D_{1}\right)$ | 281 |
| 3. $\left(B_{2}+C_{2}+D_{3}\right)$ | 312 |
| 4. $\left(B_{3}+C_{3}+D_{3}\right)$ | 316 |
| 5. $\left(C_{4}+D_{4}+D_{5}+D_{6}\right)$ | 297 |
| S.E./mean | $=52.87 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 49(144)/48(112).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type:-' $C$ '.

Object : - To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer ioil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing as per treatments. 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $1 \mathrm{~g}^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-I. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (iv) $38.17^{*}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$A=$ Harrowed only.
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1946.
$B_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1947.
$B_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1948.
$C_{1}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1947.
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1948.
Treatments for this year are :

1. Harrowed only (A).
2. Ploughed in $1946\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}, \mathbf{C}_{1}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$.
3. Ploughed in $1947\left(B_{2}, C_{2}\right.$ and $\left.D_{2}\right)$.
4. Ploughed in $1948\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$.
5. Ploughed in $1949\left(C_{4}\right.$ and $\left.D_{4}\right)$.
6. No $p$ oughing ( $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ ).
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1949.
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1946.
$D_{2}=$ Ploughed e very 6 years starting, with 1947.
$D_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1948. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{4}}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1949. $D_{5}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1950. $D_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1951.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (i) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) As per rotation.
8. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nu. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1956. (b) As por ploughing rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $\vdots 28 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $48.79 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. $A$ | 347 |
| 2. $\left(B_{1}+C_{1}+D_{1}\right)$ | 337 |
| 3. $\left(B_{2}+C_{2}+D_{2}\right)$ | 307 |
| 4. $\left(B_{3}+C_{3}+D_{3}\right)$ | 291 |
| 5. $\left(C_{4}+D_{4}\right)$ | 347 |
| 6. $\left(D_{5}+D_{6}\right)$ | 339 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=33.03 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref: $\sim$ Mh. 50(165)/49(144)/48(112).
Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sh lapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. Ploughing as per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) NiI. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) 24.04". (x) N A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$A$ = Harrowed only.
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with
$B_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1947.
$\mathbf{B}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1948.
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1947.
$C_{3}=$ Ploughed ever $y 4$ years starting with 1948.
$C_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1949.
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{1}}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting wtth 1947.
$D_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1948.
$D_{4}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1919.
$D_{5}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1950.
$D_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1951.

Treatment for this year are :

1. Harrowed only (A).
2. Ploughed in 1946, $1949\left(B_{1}\right)$.
3. Ploughed in 1947, $1950\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$.
4. Ploughed in $1948\left(B_{3}, C_{3}, D_{3}\right)$.
5. Ploughed in 1946, $1950\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.
6. Ploughed in $1947\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$.
7. Ploughed in $1949\left(C_{4}, D_{4}\right)$.
8. Ploughed in $1946\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$.
9. Ploughed in $1950\left(\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$.
10. No ploughing ( $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ).
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $42^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alrourd. (vi) As per rotation.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yie'd. (iv) (a) 1946-1956. (b) As per ploughing rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS :
(i) $440 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $76.73 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(i.) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. $A$ | 426 |
| 2. $B_{1}$ | 470 |
| 3. $B_{2}$ | 444 |
| 4. $\left(B_{3}+C_{3}+D_{3}\right)$ | 443 |
| 5. $C_{1}$ | 435 |
| 6. $\left(C_{2}+D_{2}\right)$ | 415 |
| 7. $\left(C_{4}+D_{4}\right)$ | 402 |
| 8. $D_{1}$ | 453 |
| 9. $D_{5}$ | 448 |
| 10. $D_{6}$ | 466 |
| S.E./mean | $=91.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). Ref :- Mh. 51(235)/50(165)/49(144)/48(112).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., S holapur. Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 28.9.1951. (iv, (a) 4 harrowings. Ploughings as per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$. between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $24.81^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 7.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$A=$ Harrowed only.
$B_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1946.
$B_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1947.
$B_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1948.
$C_{1}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1947. $C_{3}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1948.
$C_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1949. $D_{1}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1946. $D_{2}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1947. $D_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1948. $D_{4}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1949. $\mathrm{D}_{5}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1950. $\mathrm{D}_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1951.

Treatments for this year are

1. Harrowed only (A). 7. Ploughed in $1948\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$.
2. Ploughed in 1946, $1949\left(B_{1}\right)$.
3. Ploughed in 1947, $1950\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$.
4. Ploughed in 1948, $1951\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}\right)$.
5. Ploughed in 1946, $1950\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.
6. Ploughed in 1947, $1951\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.
7. Ploughed in $1949\left(C_{4}, D_{4}\right)$.
8. Ploughed in $1946\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$.
9. Ploughed in $1947\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$.
10. Ploughed in $1950\left(\mathrm{D}_{5}\right)$.
11. Ploughed in $1951\left(D_{6}\right)$.
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii)
(a) 14. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$.
(v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi)

As per rotation.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1946-1956$. (b) As per ploughing rotation (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $351 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $60.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A. | 340 | 7. | $\left(C_{3}+D_{3}\right)$ |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). . Ref :- Mh. 52(373)/51(235)/50(165)/49(144)/48(112).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. Type :-‘C’.

Object :-To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 5.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) 4 harrowings, ploughing as per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{b}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 20.76". (x) 9.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

$A=$ Harrowed only.
$\mathbf{B}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1946.
$\mathbf{B}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1947.
$\mathbf{B}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1948.
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploushed every 4 years starting with 1947.
$C_{3}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1948.
Treatments for this year are

1. Harrowed only (A).
2. Ploughed in 1946, 1949 and $1952\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1}\right)$.
3. Ploughed in 1947, $1950\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$.
4. Ploughed in 1948, $1951\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}\right.$ ).
5. Ploughed in 1946, $1950\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$.
6. Ploughed in 1947, $1951\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.
7. Ploughed in 1948, $1952\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1949.
$D_{1}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1945.
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1947.
$D_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1943.
$D_{4}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1949.
$D_{5}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1950.
$D_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1951.
8. Ploughed in $1949\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$.
9. Ploughed in $1946,1952\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$.
10. Ploughed in $1947\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$.
11. Ploughed in $1948\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$.
12. Ploughed in $1950\left(D_{5}\right)$.
13. Ploughed in $1951\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$.
14. DESIGN :

- (i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) As per iotation.

4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1956 . (b) As per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $524 \quad \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $89.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yicld |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A | 498 | 8. | $\left(C_{4}+D_{4}\right)$ |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). Ref :~ Mh. 53(375)/52(373);52(235)/50(165)/19(144)/48(112).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type: ' ' C '
Object :-- To determine the optimum frequency of ploughing for Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 14.10.1953. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. Ploughing as per treatment. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 intercuituriags. (ix) $35.96^{*}$. (x) 13.1954

## 2. TREATMENTS :

$A=$ Harrowed only.
$\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1949.
$\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Ploughed every 3 jears starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{B}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1947.
$\mathrm{B}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 3 years starting with 1948.
$C_{1}=$ Ploughed elery 4 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1947.
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 4 years starting with 1948.
$D_{1}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1946.
$\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1947. $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1948.
$D_{4}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1949. $D_{5}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1950. $D_{6}=$ Ploughed every 6 years starting with 1951.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 45^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) As per rotation.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was checked due to excess of rainfall and late sowing. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1956. (b) As per ploughing rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (iii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $168 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $50.16 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yjeld |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. A | 166 | 8. $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 189 |
| 2. $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 188 | 9. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 176 |
| 3. $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | 185 | 10. $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 137 |
| 4. $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | 182 | 11. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 127 |
| 5. $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 173 | 12. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 170 |
| 6. $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 155 | 13. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 173 |
| 7. $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 146 | 14. $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 181 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=25.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :-Mh. 51(231).
Type:-‘CV'.

Of ject :-To study the suitable sowing date for Jowar varieties.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $7.49^{\prime \prime}$. (i) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{M}-351$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Nandyal.
(2) 5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=1.8 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{2}=16.8 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{2}=1.9 .1951, \mathrm{D}_{4}=16.9 .1951$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=1.10 .1951$.
3. DESIGN
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1953$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $252 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 136 | 208 | 172 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 223 | 265 | 244 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 184 | 181 | 183 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 353 | 208 | 280 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 439 | 324 | 382 |
| Mean | 267 | 237 | 252 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $V$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $D$ <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=16.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$ |
|  |  |  | $=25.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$ |
|  |  |  | $=36.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

## Ref:-Mh. 52(366).

Type :-‘CV’.

Object :-To study the suitable sowing dates of Jowar varieties.

1. BaSal CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb /ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $5.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{M}-35-1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Nandyal.
(2) 5 dates of sowing: $D_{1}=1.8 .1952, D_{2}=16.8 .1952, D_{3}=1.9 .1952, D_{4}=16.9 .1952$ and $D_{5}=1.10 .1952$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $46^{\prime} \times 19.50^{\circ}$, (b) $40^{\circ} \times 13.50^{\circ}$. (v) $3^{\circ}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A.
(iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953.
(b) No.
(c) Nil. iv) ia and
(b) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $253 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2686 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 225 | 227 | 226 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 283 | 144 | 2 i 3 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 442 | 435 | 448 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 242 | 113 | 8 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 163 | 227 | 200 |
| Mean | 273 | 233 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.E. of } \\ & \text { S.E. of } \\ & \text { S.E. of } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { an of } V \\ \text { an of } D \end{gathered}$ |  | ./ac. ./ac. ./ac. |

## Crop :-Jowar.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :m Mh. 53(338).
Type : $\sim^{\prime} \mathrm{CV}$ '.

Object :--To find out a suitable sowing date for Jowar varieties.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium biack. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vil) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix: 8.89". (x) 8 and 9.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
5 sowing dates : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=1.8 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{2}=16.8 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.9 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{4}=16.9 .1953$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=1.10 .1953$.
Sub-plet treatments :
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{M}-35-1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Nandyal.
3. DESIG. :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 5 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $46^{\prime} \times 19 \frac{1}{1}^{\prime}$.
(b) $40^{\circ} \times 13 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of stem-borer and sugary disease observed. (iii) Grain and fodder yield.
(iv) (a) 1951 N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $338 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $88.74 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $56.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $D$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 285 | 369 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 292 | 278 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 271 | 255 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 473 | 393 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 398 | 368 |
| Mean | 344 | 333 |
|  |  | 28.5 |
|  |  | 363 |
|  |  | 383 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means $=44.38 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. V marginal means $\quad=17.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. V means at the same level of $\mathrm{D} \quad=39.65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $V \quad=52.48 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

## Ref:-Mh. 51(219).

Type :-‘CV’.

Object :-To study a suitable sowing date and variety of Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings. (ix) $24 \cdot 81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $V_{1}=M-35-1$ and $V_{2}=$ Nandyal.
(2) 5 dates of sowing: $\quad D_{1}=29.7 .1951, \quad D_{2}=14.8 .1951, \quad D_{3}=28.8 .1951, \quad D_{4}=12.9 .1951$ and $D_{5}=$ 27.9.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth checked due to excess of moisture in soil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $151 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $55.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of D and V are highly significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 82 | 72 | 77 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 78 | 62 | 70 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 122 | 133 | 127 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 280 | 119 |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 325 | 237 | 281 |
| Mean | 177 | 124 |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of D |  | $=22.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{sc}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of V |  | $=14.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=31.89 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 52(351). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type : ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{CV}{ }^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study suitable sowing date and variety of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer scil analysis, Sholapur.
(iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $8^{\prime \prime}$ letween rows. (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 20.76". (x) 16.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{M}-35-1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=-$ Nandyal.
(2) 5 dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=25.7 .1952, \mathrm{D}_{2}=9.8 .1952, \mathrm{D}_{3}=24.8 .1952, \mathrm{D}_{1}=11.9 .19: 2$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=25.9 .1952$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) i(a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was checked due to severe attack of pests. (ii) Appearance of sugary disease and also attack of stemborer. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) and (c) Nc. (a) (a) and (b) N.A. (v) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESLLTS:

(i) $287 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $D$ and $V$ are highly significant while their interaction is not significant. (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 180 | 247 | 213 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 208 | 230 | 219 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 177 | 337 | 257 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 232 | 460 | 346 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 319 | 487 | 403 |
| Mean | 223 |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of V | $=18.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of D | $=30.01 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agi. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :- Mh. 53(361).
Type:- 'CV'.

Object:-To study the effect of sowing dates on yield of different varieties of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapun.
(iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -.
(v) Nil. (vi) As per 1 reatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $35.96^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{M}=35-1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Nandyal.
(2) 5 dates of sowing : $D_{1}=27.7 .1953, D_{2}=10.8 .1953, D_{3}=25.8 .1953, D_{4}=17.9 .1953$ and $D_{5}=23.9 .953$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth poor (ii) Severe attack of stem borer and chikata disease. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-53. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $120 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $47.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of D and V are highly significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 122 | 56 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 138 | 63 | 100 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 78 | 70 | 74 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 203 | 107 | 155 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 220 | 87 | 179 |
| Mean | 152 |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } V & =12.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of marginal mean of } D & =19.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
S.E. of body of table $\quad=\mathbf{2 7 . 3 9 \mathrm { lb } / \mathrm { ac } .}$

Cop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref:- Mh. 49(104).
Type :- ' CV '.

Object:-To find out the economic method of Jowar cultivation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) Ist week of October 1949 . (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) $12 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.70^{\circ}$. (x) Ist week of March 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 methods of cultivation : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Local method and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Improved (Dr. Kulkarni's) method.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Unarlehi and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{M}-35$ Sholapur.
Details of Dr. Kulkarni's method.

1. Jowar to be taken after leguminous crop or fallow.
2. Deep ploughing in summer and 4 to 5 bakharings in monsoon.
3. Application of G.N.C. at $8 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and mixing it in soil.
4. Prepariny ridges and furrows at $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\prime \prime}$ distance Breadth of ridges should be $18^{\circ}$. Kidges are to be cut at the ends on one of the etther sides as in sugarcane.
5. Dibbling of seeds in both sides of ridges keeping $\mathbf{1 8}^{\prime \prime}$ distance between two dibbles. Dibbling on both sides should not be opposite but diagonal.
6. Irrigation at an interval of 10 to 12 days according to soil moisture.
7. When crop has grown up to $6^{\prime \prime}$ height 1 or 2 weedings by hand at an interval of 15 days.
8. Bone super phosphate at $4 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. mixed with G.N.C. at 4 cags/ac. to be spread hy hand around each plant, a little away from stem, about an inch or two deep and covered with earth.
9. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. 'ii 6. (iv) (1) N.A. (b) $1 / 20$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GE\ERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. iii, Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) No reasons are given for low yields. (vii) Plot wise yield N.A.
11. RESULTS:
(i) 416 lb . ac .
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}$ | 580 | 254 | 417 |
| $V_{2}$ | 640 | 188 | 414 |
| Mean | 610 | 221 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E.-N.A. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).<br>Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref :- Mh. 50(131).
Type :- 'CV'.

Object :-To find out the economic method of Jowar cultivation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Grem. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil anal, sis, Tharsa. (iii) 2nd week of October 1950. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) $1: 1 \mathrm{lb}$.ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) NA. (ix: 35.73". (x) 22.2.195:.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
2 methods of cultivation: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Local and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Dr. Kulkarni's method.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Unarlehi, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{M}-35$ Sholapur.
For details of Dr. Kulkarni's method refer to Mh. 49(104) above.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block ; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 20 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-N.A. (b) N.A. (c) No. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1642 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $206.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $437.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 1919 | 1462 | 1690 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 1905 | 1282 | 1593 |
| Mea: | 1911 | 1372 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means
$=84.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. V marginal means
$=178.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. V means at the same level of $\mathbf{C}$
$=181.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. C means at the same level of $V$
$=252.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Ref:- Mh. 53(296).
Type :-'CV'.

Object :-To find out the economic method of Jowar cultivation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Peas. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa- (iii) 12.10.1953.
(iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) Nil. (x) 22, 23.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
2 methods of cultivation : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Local method and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Dr. Kulkarni's method.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Unarlehi (local) and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{M}-35$, Sholapur.
For detals of Dr. Kulkarni's method refer to Mh. 49(104).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Local method-satisfactory ; Dr. Kulkarni's method-better. (ii) Nil. (iii) Straw and grain yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1792 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $496.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $397.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

## 444

(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 1456 | 1702 | 1579 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1930 | 2081 | 2006 |
| Mean | 1693 | 1892 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. C marginal means $\quad=202.8 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $V$ marginal means
3. V means at the same level of $C$
$=162.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. C means at the same level of V $=259.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $=229.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 52(226).
Site:- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type:- 'CM'.
Object :-To study the effect of manures and cultural practices on Jowar yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 31.7.1952 and 1.8.1952. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $12.09^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

A'l combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ completely confounding RNS. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. 'iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisiactory. (ii) Ni. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. 'b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $234.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of body of tables
$=55.2 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
$=95.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 53(237).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type: ${ }^{6}$ CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of manures and cultural practices on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings in March 1953. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Sconer (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $34.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=6, \mathrm{R}_{2}=9$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=12 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 27 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) Plot wise yield data N.A. and hence analysed as R.B.D. with 27 treatments.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1764 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $403.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{0}$ | 1671 | 1941 | 1773 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1802 | 1474 | 1820 | 1699 | 1625 | 1830 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1613 | 1977 | 1807 | 1799 | 1931 |  |
| Mean | 1695 | 1797 | 1800 | 1763 | 1754 | 1669 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1648 | 1765 | 1649 | 1691 | 1942 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1981 | 1666 | 1628 | 1687 | 1758 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1457 | 1961 | 2124 | 1847 |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =95.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of tables } & =164.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 53(123).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana.

Object :-To study the effect of manures and cultural practices on Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buladna. (iii) 16.7.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings. (ix) $36.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=6, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{g}}=9$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=12 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 . \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$. ( $\mathrm{v}^{\prime}$, N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $815 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $294.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interaction $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | Mean | S | S: | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{0}$ | 808 | 638 | 737 | 728 | 716 | $80 \%$ | 666 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 827 | 786 | 918 | 844 | 949 | 846 | 737 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 937 | 714 | 971 | 874 | 823 | 1098 | 701 |
| Mean | 857 | 713 | 876 | 815 | 829 | 915 | 701 |
| $S_{1}$ | 871 | 765 | 852 | 829 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 977 | 605 | 1164 | 915 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 724 | 769 | 611 | 701 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=69.5 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of tables | $=120.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site:~ Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 48(22).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated Jowar with different seed rates.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) 2 bags ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b, Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 18.10.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac of F.Y.M. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (vii) 1 top dressing, 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) Nil. (x) 9.31949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 leveis of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 16 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $35^{\prime} \times 20^{\circ}$.
(b) Sub-plot $27^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination was fair ; heavy lodging due to heavy rains during November. (ii) Chikata disease and rust. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $655: 6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $497.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $303.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N or $P$ marginal means
$=101.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. R marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$
$=53.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=107.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N or P means at the same level of R
$=134.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
5. means in body of $N \times P$ table
$=203.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 49 (37). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon | Type :- ‘CM'. |

Ocject :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated fowar with different seed rates.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow in Kharif, Jowar in Rabi. (c) 2 bags/ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 13.10.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 hoeing and 2 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 28,29.2.1950 and 6.7.3.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots'block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . iv) (a) $34.5^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $28^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime},(v) 3^{\prime}$ rows on either side. (vi) Y'es.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Germination satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) There was severe cold in middle of February.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $637 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $645.7 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $182.4 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ is highly significant and interactions $N \times P, N \times R$ and $P \times R$ are significant (iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | P: | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | (28 | 587 | 713 | 672 | 65J | 605 | 671 | 597 | 727 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 579 | 646 | 558 | 670 | (13 | 631 | 658 | 554 | 599 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 702 | 628 | 574 | 693 | 649 | 583 | 721 | 672 | 621 |
| Mean | 636 | 610 | 615 | 678 | 637 | 606 | 687 | 677 | 649 |
| $P_{0}$ | 530 | 636 | 533 | 726 | 606 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 699 | 674 | 666 | 708 | 687 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 693 | 538 | 567 | 630 | 607 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 622 | 633 | 693 | 647 | 649 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N or P marginal means | $=131.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=32.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at the same level of $N$ or $P$ | $=64.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=141.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 5. means in body of $\mathrm{N} \times P$ table | $=263.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(51).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated Jowar with different seed raies.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 3.10.1950. iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 threahing. (ix) Nil. (x) 16 to 19.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Napplied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 16 main-plots/block ; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Approx $3 \frac{t^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ or 3 lines on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination good and growth normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N,A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2527 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $820.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $606.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{R}$ is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2191 | 2566 | 2882 | 2698 | 2584 | 2369 | 2577 | 2669 | 2721 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 2305 | 2210 | 2272 | 2674 | 2440 | 2431 | 2326 | 2582 | 2421 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 2177 | 2271 | 2692 | 3096 | 2558 | 2207 | 2623 | 2705 | 2699 |
| Mean | 2224 | 2349 | 2615 | 2823 | 2527 | 2336 | 2509 | 26.52 | 2614 |
| $r_{0}$ | 1868 | 1689 | 2895 | 2891 | 2336 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2418 | 2571 | 2308 | 2740 | 2509 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2399 | 2514 | 2570 | 3126 | 2652 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2212 | 2621 | 2688 | 2934 | 2614 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means
$=167.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means
$=107.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{R}$ meaos at the same level of N or $\mathbf{P}$
$=214.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $N$ or $P$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{R}$
$=242.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. means in body of $N \times P$ table

$$
=335.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 51(53).
Type :- ‘CM'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated Jowar with different seed rates.

## 1. BASAL CONDI IIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bags/ac. of G.N.C. and 50 lb ./ac. of A/S. (ii) (a) Medium black (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 30.9.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) 12". (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 hoeing and 1 thinning. (ix) Nil. (x) 25, 26.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: P_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 16 main-plots/block ; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ at either end. 4 lines on east side and 3 lines on west side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination good. Growth normal. (ii) Chikata attack. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (b) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2509 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $785.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $509.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ are significant. Others are not significent.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2287 | 2366 | 2752 | 2668 | 2499 | 2294 | 2442 | 2591 | 2744 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 2092 | 2417 | 2668 | 2667 | 2461 | 2363 | 2600 | 2582 | 2298 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 2146 | 2654 | 2734 | 2658 | 2548 | 2316 | 2501 | 2427 | 2946 |
| Mean | 2174 | 2480 | 2692 | 2664 | 2509 | 2326 | 2514 | 2533 | 2637 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1981 | 2378 | 2705 | 2240 | 2326 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2228 | 2450 | 2523 | 2855 | 2514 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2295 | 2306 | 2406 | 2624 | 2533 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 2192 | 2786 | 2635 | 2935 | 2637 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. } N \text { or } P \text { marginal means } & =160.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. } R \text { marginal means } & ==90.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. } R \text { means at the same level of } N \text { or } P & =180.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. } N \text { or } P \text { means at the same level of } R & \\ 5 . & =217.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 5. means in body of } N \times P \text { table } & =320.5 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref:- Mh. 52(82).
Type :- 'CM'.

Objest :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of irrigated Jowar with different seed rates.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Jowar. (b) Rabi-Wheat and Kharif-Fallow. (c) 3 bags/ac. of G.N.C. $+75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S.
(ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 27,28 and 29.9.1952. (iv, (a) N.A. (b)

Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-3s-1. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 19 to 22.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments : All combination of (1) \& (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments:

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 16 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) 3 rows on one sice and 4 rows on other. $3^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i Growth was satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of white chikata disease. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1759 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $409.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $231.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N and P are significant. All others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $P_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1521 | 1787 | 1779 | 1963 | 1763 | 1439 | 1819 | 1934 | 1858 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 1654 | 1781 | 1903 | 1800 | 1785 | 1541 | 1791 | 2009 | 1757 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1552 | 1691 | 1873 | 1800 | 1729 | 1471 | 1799 | 1765 | 1881 |
| Mean | 1576 | 1753 | 1852 | 1854 | 1759 | 1483 | 1803 | 1903 | 1845 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1361 | 1524 | 1590 | 1461 | 1483 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1596 | 1864 | 1876 | 1878 | 1803 |  |  |  | $\cdot$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1670 | 1851 | 1991 | 2100 | 1903 |  | . |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1678 | 1774 | 1950 | 1980 | 1845 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ marginal means

$$
=83.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

2. R marginal means $=40.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\mathbf{R}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$
$=81.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ means at the same level of R
$=107.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. means in body of $N \times P$ table

$$
=167.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref: Mh. 51(128).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :--To study the effect of N with different seed rates and spacing for Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) NA. (c) As per treatments. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner-(late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $38.2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3).
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$.
(2) 3 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ partially confounding $\mathrm{RNS}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (ii) (a) 9 plots/block ; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1763 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $316.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Interactions $R \times N$ and $N \times S$ alone are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


| Crop :-Jowar (Kharif). | Kef :-Mh. 52(138). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Govt. Expll. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :~"CM'. |

Object :-To study the effect of N with different seed rates and lipe to line spacing on Jowar crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 18.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 5 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) ? interculturings and 3 weedings. (ix) 29.32". (x) 11.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 line to line spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 seed rates: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=10, \mathbf{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathbf{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ partially confounding. RNS $^{2}$ and RNS $^{2}$ (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (iii) 2, (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of top shoot-borer. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A, (vi) Nil. (vii) Rep. I had a poor growth.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $834 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $458.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Sg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 755 | 1028 | 1270 | 1018 | 1137 | 658 | 1258 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 382 | 753 | 1043 | 726 | 894 | 717 | 567 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 540 | 881 | 854 | 759 | 740 | 1015 | 521 |
| Mean | 559 | 887 | 1056 | 834 | 924 | 797 | 782 |
| $S_{1}$ | 706 | 972 | 1093 | 924 |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 438 | 892 | 1060 | 797 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 533 | 798 | 1014 | 782 |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of any table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =108.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =187.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref:~Mh. 53(239).
Type:- ‘CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of N with different seed rates and line to line spacing on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 22.7.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saorer (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $39.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.12.1993.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as A/S: $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 spacings between lines: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(3) 3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=10, \mathrm{R}_{2}=15$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ partially confounding RNS $^{2}$ and $\mathrm{RN}^{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 44$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2775 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $371.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All the effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 2736 | 2988 | 3328 | 3017 | 3058 | 3143 | 2851 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ | 2835 | 2685 | 2909 | 2810 | 2791 | 2637 | 3001 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 2040 | 2725 | 2715 | 2493 | 2406 | 2414 | 2659 |
| Mean | 2537 | 2799 | 2984 | 2775 | 2752 | 2731 | 2837 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2602 | 2686 | 2967 | 2751 |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 2450 | 2641 | 3103 | 2731 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 2558 | 3071 | 2882 | 2837 |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=87.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of any table $\quad=151.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

```
Crop: Jowar (Kharif).
Site : Agri. College Farm, Poona.
```


## Ref :- Mh. 51(182). <br> Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of deep and shallow tillage with and without F.Y.M. on the yield of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 17.7.1951. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $24^{\prime \prime}$; between plants irregular. (e) NA. (v) Nil. (vi) Nilwa (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $26.62^{*}$. (x) 10.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural cperations: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Harrowing only and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughing to a depth of $5^{*}$ to $6^{\circ}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 20.5^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 2.25^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The effect of draught period seriously checked the growth of plants (ii) There was an attack of stemborer and leaf rust. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. Number of earheads and weight of earheads. (iv) (a) 1930-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $188.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $140.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 173.0 | 132.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 198.0 | 248.0 | 223.0 |
| Mean | 185.0 | 190.0 | 188.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=35.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=49.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Ref :- Mh. 52(212).
Type :- 'CM'.
Object :-To study the effect of deep and shallow tillage with and without F.Y.M. on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 20,21.6.1952. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing between rows $24^{\text { }}$; between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nilwa. (vii; Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 2 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 291.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural operations: $C_{1}=$ Harrowing and $C_{2}=$ Ploughing to a depth of $5^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was not good. Due to failure of rains at later stages, there was no grain formation. (ii) Stemborer attack during the month of August and September. (iii) Fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1930N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) As the yield data for grain could not be procured due to failure of rains, alysis of fodder yield was carried out.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5056 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $666 \cdot 1 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(iii) Main effects of F and C are highly significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb ./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ | $F_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 3307 | 5406 |  |
| $C_{2}$ | 4597 | 6916 | 4356 <br> 5756 <br> Mean |
| 3952 | 6161 | 5056 |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=166.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=235.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Jowar.
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:-Mh. 53(67).
Type:-‘CM'.

Object:-To see the effect of deep and shallow tillage with and without F.Y.M. on Jowar crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. as per manured treatments only. F.Y.M. is applied as a basal dose. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 16.6.1953. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nilwa (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 16.64*. (x) Nipping on 21 to 29.11.1953 and thrashing was done on 22-25.1.1954.

## TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural operations: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Hartowing only and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughing only to $4^{\prime \prime}-5^{\prime \prime}$-depth by victory plough.
F.Y.M. applied as basal dose by spreading.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 8 .
(iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $124^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(v) One row along length, $4^{\prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stand of the crop was excellent in all plots. (ii) Crop affected by army-worms, catterpillars in early stage. Central shoots and ears were eaten by them. Due to good rains in August and September, this was made up and growth was good. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1930-$ N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $132.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $67.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.


Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 50(154).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ CM'.

Object :-To study the different methods of Jowar cultivation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Stolapur.
(iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 4 lb .(ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings to Bombay dry farming method. (ix) $24.04^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $12.5 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. $+25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. $+2 \frac{1}{2}$ ton/ac. of F.Y.M. with usual $12^{\mathrm{a}}$ spacing.
4. Bombay dry farming method $-18^{n}$ spacing, 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
5. Local cultivators' method-12" spacing.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $554 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib ./ac.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 711 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2. | 590 |
| 3. | 360 |
| S.E./mean | N.A. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref: Mh. 48(106).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of organic manures along with cultural practices on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (iii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $39.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 sources of organic manures : $\mathrm{O}_{1}=$ G.M. and $\mathrm{O}_{2}=$ Compost.
(2) 3 levels of organic manures: $\mathrm{L}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=2500$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=5000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 cultural practices: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ ploughing once and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Discing once.
3. DESIGN
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv)
N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1949. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $330 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $94.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) L effect is significant and 'selective $v s$ others' effect is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Selective treatments (averaged over $L_{0}$ plots)

| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ only | $=269 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ only | $=268 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=33.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |


|  | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 348 | 437 | 392 | 400 | 386 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 304 | 355 | 329 | 365 | 294 |
| Mean | 326 | 396 | 361 | 382 | 340 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 336 | 429 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 316 | 364 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=23.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=33.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref: :- Mh. 49(131).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of organic manures along with cultural practice on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) :--. (v) Nil, (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 38.17", (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3).
(1) 2 sources of organic manures: $\mathrm{O}_{1}=$ G.M. and $\mathrm{O}_{2}=$ Compost.
(2) 3 levels of organic manure : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=2500$, and $L_{2}=5000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 cultural operations: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Ploughing once and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Discing once.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $3{ }^{\prime \prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1949 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $372 \quad 1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $127.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of $L$ is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Selective treatments (averaged over $L_{0}$ plots.)

| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ only | $=361 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ only | $=346 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=45.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


|  | $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ | 304 | 469 | 386 | 418 | 355 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 333 | 419 | 376 | 409 | 343 |
| Mean | 318 | 444 | 381 | 414 | 349 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 352 | 474 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 285 | 414 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =31.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S E. of body of any table } & =45.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Dhulia.
Ref :- Mh. 53(216).
Type:- 'D'.

Object :-To study the effect of Indol acetic acid (Hormone treatment) on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton in Kharif 1952-53. (c) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) 10 lb./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Satpani. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix) $21.84^{*}$. (x) 26.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (untreated).
(1) 3 concentrations of "Indol Acetic Acid" with which the seeds were treated :

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1}=0.10, C_{2}=1.00 \text { and } \mathrm{C}_{3}=10 . \mathrm{CO} \text { P.P.M. }
$$

(2) 3 durations of treatment of seed: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=12$ minutes, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=2$ hours and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=20$ hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ on both the sides and 2 rows on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants slender and tall with smaller earheads, probably due to thick sowing. (ii) Nil. (iii) No. of plants, average height of 5 week old and 10 week old crop, grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $872 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $197.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Control $=1068 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 804 | 743 | 809 | 785 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 851 | 796 | 794 | 923 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 879 | 1055 | 814 |  |
| Mean | 845 | 865 | 852 | 850 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of C | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of T | $=56.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=98.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Dhulia.
Ref :- Mh. 53(217).
Type :- ' $D$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of hormone treatment (2-4-D) of seed on the yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton in Kharif 1952-53. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled with 3 coultered seed drill. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Satpani. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix) $21.84^{\prime \prime}$, (x) 26.10 .1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (untreated seeds).
(1) 3 durations of treatment of seed : $\mathrm{T}_{1}=12$ minutes, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=2 \mathrm{hrs}$. and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=20 \mathrm{hrs}$.
(2) 3 seed treatments : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Water, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=2-4-\mathrm{D}$ of 0.10 P.P.M. and $\mathrm{C}_{3}=2-4-\mathrm{D}$ of 0.01 P.P.M.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ length wise and $3^{\prime}$ breadth wise on both the sides of net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants tall and thin with smaller earheads, probabaly due to dense sowing. (ii) Nil. (iii) No. of plants, average height of 5 week and 10 week old crop, grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1264 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $237.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

## Control $=1448 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $T_{1}$ | $T_{3}$ | $T_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1121 | 1142 | 1085 | 1116 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1459 | 1216 | 1359 | $[145$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1242 | 1390 | 1168 | 1267 |
| Mean | 1274 | 1249 | 1204 | 1243 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=68.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of tat le | $=118.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Jowar (Kharif). Ref:-Mh 52(381).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Type:- 'D'.

Object: - To see the effect of harmone treatment of seed on growth and yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (ii) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer sol analysis, Jalagaon.
(iii) 10.7.1952. (iv) (a N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 6 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ tetween rows, (2) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Aishpuri (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 hocing and 1 weeding. (ix) $1641^{*}$. (v) 29.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

$\therefore$ Seeds treated with water for 30 minutes.
2. Seeds treated with c. 01 p.p.m. 2-4-D for 30 minutes.
3. Seeds treated with 0.1 p.p.m. 2-4-D for 30 minutes.
4. Seeds treated with water for two hours.
5. Seeds treated with 0.01 p.p m. 2-4-D for 2 hours.
5. Seeds treated with 0.1 p.p.m. 2-4-D for hours.
7. Seeds treated with 1.0 p.p.m. 2-4-D for 2 hours.
8. Control (untreated seed).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was fairly good. (ii) Attack of stemborer and striga observed. (iii) Grain yield, plant count etc. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

| (i) $298 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) $45.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| (iii) Treatment differences are significant. (iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Treatment | Av. yield |
|  | 1. | 310 |
|  | 2. | 304 |
|  | 3. | 273 |
|  | 4. | 258 |
|  | 5. | 388 |
|  | 6. | :86 |
|  | 7. | 284 |
|  | 8. | 284 |
|  | S.E/mean | $=22.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Jowar (Kharif).

## Ref:-Mh. 53(128).

Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Type:- 'D)'.
Object :-To see the effect of hormone treatment of seed on growth and yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a derth of 10 to 1 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 10.7 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $188^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $23.77^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a Control (seed not soaked)
(1) Seed soaked in: $C_{1}=$ Water, $C_{2}=0.01$ p.p.m. of 2-4-D and $C_{3}=010$ p.p m. of 2.4-D.
(2) Duration for which seeds soaked: $T_{1}=12$ minutes, $T_{2}=2$ hours and $T_{3}=20$ hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) $84^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was satisfactory. (ii) In the beginning attack of stemborer was observed to some extent. Attack of striga was also observed on many plants. (iii) Weight of Jowar grain and karibi; percentage germination at the end of 10 days; height and two measurements of diameters of 4 random plants in each plot on 5th and 10th week after sowing of Jowar. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) Nc. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Dhulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1223 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $232.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control | $=1194 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1281 | 1131 | 1241 | 1218 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 1179 | 1148 | 1308 | 1212 |
| Mean | 1133 | 1231 | 1388 | 1250 |
|  | 1198 | 1170 | 1312 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=67.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of body of table | $=116.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v s$ any other mean in the body o table | $=164.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(129). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'D'. |

Object:-To study the effect of treating seed with Indol acetic acid on the growth and y'eld of Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (iii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 10.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 6 lb /ac. (d) Between rows $18^{\circ \prime}$. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Aispuri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing on 22.7.1953 and 19.8.1953 weeding on 23.7.1953 and 19.8.1953. (ix) 23.77". (x) 29.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1) and ( 2 ) + a control (seeds untreated).
(1) 3 concentrations of Indol acetic acid in P.P.M. : $C_{1}=0.10, C_{2}=1.00$ and $C_{3}=10.00$.
(2) 3 intervals of applications: $\mathrm{T}_{1}=12$ minutes, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=2$ hours and $\mathrm{T}_{3}=20$ hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) $81^{\prime} \times 90^{\prime}$, (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Stand of the crop was some what uneven. Condition of the experiment was fairly good. (ii) Attack of stem borer and striga was observed, (ii) Weight of jowar grain and kadbi; percentage germination after 10 days. ; height and diameter of 4 random plants in each sub-plot on 5 th and 10 th week after sowing. (.v) (a) 1953 to 1954. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Dhulia. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1217 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $253.1 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the eff cts is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib./ac.

Control $=1227 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\therefore$ Scan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ |  | 1289 | 1251 | 1193 | 1245 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |  | 1047 | 1152 | 1347 | 182 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ |  | 1154 | 1207 | 1300 | 1220 |
| Mean | ! | 1163 | 1204 | 1280 |  |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E. of control vs any other mean in the body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Jowar (Kharif).<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.<br>Ref :-Mh. 52(24).<br>Type:•D'

Object:-To test the effect of 2-4-D on the yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii (a) Ciay-loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karad (iii) 24.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. appl cation one month before sowing, (vi) Shenoli $3-1$ (latc). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.12 .1952
2. TREATMENTS :

Seed soaked in the following chemicals for the stated duration :

1. Water (for 30 minutes).
2. 0.01 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ ( 30 minutes).
3. 0.1 p.p.m. of 2-4-D ( 30 minutes).
4. Water for 5 hours).
5. $0.01 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} \mathrm{m}$, of 2-4-D (for five hours).
6. 0.10 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ (for five hours).
7. 1.00 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ (for five hours).
8. Control (seed untreated).
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a, $\left\langle\times 18^{\prime}\right.$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 1 Z^{\prime}$. v) $3^{\prime}$ on each side. (vi) Yes,
10. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Slight attack of stemborer. Affected plants uprooted and buried (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil,
11. RESULTS :
(i) $818 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $408.0 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 703 |
| 2. | 1328 |
| 3. | 65 |
| 4. | 711 |
| 5. | 745 |
| 6. | 446 |
| 7. | 898 |
| 8. | 1111 |
| S.E./mean | $=204.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Kharif).
Ref : - Mh. 53(299).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.
Type: ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{D}$ '.
Object:-To study the effect of 2-4-D hormone on yield of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Groundnut. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karad. (iii) 18.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Shenoli 4.5. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 38".
(x) 1.11.1953. •
2. TREATMENTS :

Seeds soaked as below :

1. In water for 20 hours.
2. In 0.00033 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ solution for 20 hours.
3. In 0.001 p.p.m. of 2-4-D solution for 20 hours.
4. In 0.0033 p.p.m. of 2-4-D solution for 20 hours.
5. In 0.01 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ solution for 20 hours.
6. In 0.033 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ solution for 20 hours.
7. Control (seeds untreated; 2 plots/block.)
8. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 35^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Attack of stemborer. Affected plants removed. (iii) Grain yjeld. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $975 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $363.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 966 |
| 2. | 636 |
| 3. | 815 |
| 4. | 1282 |
| 5. | 1275 |
| 6. | 890 |
| 7. | 966 |
| S.E./mean | $=181.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Jowar (Rabi).
    Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Ref:-Mh. 49(126).
Type:- 'D'.
```

Object:--To study the efficacy of chemicals in controlling smut disease of Jowar.
I. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer ssil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rous. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $1.14^{*}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Sulphur teeatment
3. Solar treatment.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 4$ guntha. (v) N.A. (ii) Yes
5. GENERAL:
(i) NA. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1953. (b) N.A. (c) NA. w (a) and (b) N.A (vi) ard (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $1065 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $173.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantiy.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1026 |
| 2. | 1076 |
| 3. | 1092 |
| S.E/mean | $=61.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop : Jowar (Rabi). | Ref:-Mh. 50(151). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type:-'D'. |

Object: - To study the efficacy of chemicals in controiling smut disease of Jowar.

1. B.ISAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gran-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -, (v) N.. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturíngs. (ix) $9.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. Sulphur treatment.
5. Solar treatment.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 270 sq. ft. (v N.A. (vi) Yes,
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $412 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $70.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 372 |
| 2. | 397 |
| 3, | 467 |
| S.E./mean | $=28.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Jowar (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 51(230). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :-‘D'. |

Object:-To study the efficacy of chemicals in controlling smut disease of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (c) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $7.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control.
4. Sulphur treatment.
5. Solar treatment.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 13.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $205 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $109.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield
9. 140
10. 197
$3 . \quad 278$
S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=38.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: : Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

## Ref:- Mh. 53(355).

Type :- 'D'.

Object :--To study the efficacy of chemicals in controlling smut disease of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb /ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e)- (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $8.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Sulphur treatment.

Solar treatment.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3
(b) N.A.
(iii) 9. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $267 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $48.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments ciffer highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 192 |
| 2. | 338 |
| 3. | 272 |
| S.E./mean | $=16.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. $49(143)$.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type:- 'D'.
Object : - To study the effect of Weedicides, Agroxone and Fernoxone, on striga disease of Jowar.
J. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(1) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer son a alysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv. (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. e) - (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $1.14^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Spraying of Agroxone on (th, 8th, 10th and 12 th weeks after sowing.
3. Spraying of Fernoxone on 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th weeks after sowing.
4. Spraying of Agroxone on 8th, 10th, 12 ih and 14 th weeks after sowing.
5. Spraying of Fernoxone on 8 th, $10 \mathrm{th}, 12 \mathrm{th}$ and 14 th , weeks after sowing.
6. Spraying of Agroxone on 10th. 12th, 14th and 16th weeks after sowing.
7. Spraying of Fernoxone on 10th, 12th, 14th and 16th weeks after sowing.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1951$. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) 120 lb ./ac.
(ii) $49.15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 125 |
| 2 | 118 |
| 3. | 142 |
| 4. | 97 |
| 5. | 153 |
| 6. | 116 |
| 7. | 87 |
| S.E./mean | $=20.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref: Mh. 50(150).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To study the effect of Fernoxone, a weedicide, on striga disease of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $9.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no spraying).
2. Spraying of Fernoxone on 6th, 8th, 10th and 12 th week after sowing.
3. Spraying of Fernoxone on 8 th, 10th. 12th and 14 th week after sowing.
4. Spraying of Fernoxone on 10th, 12th, 14th and 16 th week after sowing.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 525 Sq. ft. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

- GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (ii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951 (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS :
(i) $50 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $29.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 63 |
| 2. | 44 |
| 3. | 58 |
| 4. | 36 |
| S.E./mean | $=14.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 51(232). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. . } & \text { Type :- 'D'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of Fernoxone, a weedicide, on striga disease of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $7.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Spraying of Fernoxone after 5 th and 7 th week of sowing.
3. Spraying of Fernoxone after 5th, 7th and 9 th week of sowing.
4. Spraying of Fernoxone after 5th, 7th, 9 th and 11 th week of sowing.
5. Spraying of Fernoxone after 6th, 8th and 11th week of sowing.
6. Hand weeding only.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment totally failed-reasons N.A.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $23.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $27.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 23 |
| 2. | 20 |
| 3. | 33 |
| 4. | 27 |
| 5. | 5 |
| 6. | 33 |
| S.E.jmean | $=11.38 \mathrm{lb} . j \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 51(229).
Type:- 'D'.

Object :-To see the effect of harmone treatment (2-4-D) on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -.. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $7.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and ( 2 ) + control (seeds untreated).
(1) 5 concentrations of 2-4-D : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=0.00$ i.e. seeds treated with water only, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0.10$ P.P.M. of 2-4-D, $C_{3}=1.00$ P.P.M. of 2-4-D,$C_{4}=10.00$ P.P.M. of 2-4-D and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=100.00$ P.P.M. of 2-4-D.
(2) 3 durations of soaking: $\quad T_{1}=$ Soaked for 12 minutes, $T_{2}=$ Soaked for 2 hours ans $\mathrm{T}_{3}=$ Soaked for 20 hours.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $27^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$ (Modified in [1952-53.) (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $550 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $140.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=586 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | C3 | C4 | C ${ }_{5}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 526 | 586 | 530 | 691 | 665 | 600 |
| T | 379 | 557 | 626 | 605 | 612 | 556 |
| T3 | 467 | 551 | 471 | 465 | 517 | 494 |
| Mean | 457 | 565 | 542 | 587 | 598 |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of C S.E. of marginal mean of $T$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =46.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =36.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =81.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Jowar (Rabi). Ref :- Mh. 52(364).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type:- 'D'.
Object :-To study the effect of different harmones on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a Gram-Jowar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A.
(iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) M.35-1.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $5.03^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (seeds untreated).
4. Seeds treated with water only for 2 hours.
5. Seeds treated with 2-4-D in 0.10 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
6. Seeds treated with 2-4-D in 1.00 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
7. Seeds treated with 2-4-D in 0.01 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
8. Seeds treated with $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ in 0.10 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
9. Seeds treated with 2-4-D in 1.00 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
10. Seeds treated with I.A.A. in 1.03 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
11. Seeds treated with I.A.A. in 10.00 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
12. Seeds treated with I.A.A. in 1.03 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
13. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
14. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954 (modified in 1952-53). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment totally failed; reason-N.A.
15. RESULTS:
(i) $35.50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $38.68 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 26 |
| 2. | 18 |
| 3. | 58 |
| 4. | 14 |
| 5. | 30 |
| 6. | 43 |
| 7. | 26 |
| 8. | 26 |
| 9. | 63 |
| 10. | 51 |
| S.E /mean | $=19.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :-Mh. 53(2I5).
Type :-'D'.

Object:-To study the effect of different hormones on Jowàr yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 22.10.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered seed drill. (c) 4 lb .ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) --. (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 bullock hoeings and 2 bullock interculturings. (ix) $8.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $13.319: 4$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Seed soaked in water for 2 hours.
2. Seed soaked in 2-4-D of concentration 0.10 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
3. Seed sorked in 2-4-D of concentration 1.00 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
4. Seed soaked in I.A.A. of concentration 1.00 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
5. Seed soaked in I.A.A. of concentration 10.00 P.P.M. for 2 hours.
6. Seed soaked in 2-4-D of concentration 0.01 P.P.M. fcr 20 hours.
7. Seed soaked in 2-4-D of concentration 0.10 P.P.M. for 20 hours,
8. Seed soaked in 2-4-D of concentration 1.00 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
9. Seed soaked in I.A.A. of concentration 1.00 P.P.M. for 20 hours.
10. Control (untreated seed).

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv; (a) $36^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each side and 2' row at both the ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not sat sfactory. (ii) Root rot was seen, may be due to high moisture content in the soil. Appearance of sugary disease may be attr buted to cool and dry winter. Stemborer and aphids were also noticed on the crop. (ii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $147 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $54.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 113 |
| 2. | 161 |
| 3. | 137 |
| 4. | 132 |
| 5. | 156 |
| 6. | 156 |
| 7. | 125 |
| 8. | 135 |
| 9. | 173 |
| 10. | 177 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=2746 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Jowar (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Ref :-Mh. 48(52).
Type :-'D'.
Object :-- To study the effect of methozone and YF-1541 on striga disease of Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) ard (c) N.A (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 13.10.1948. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) M-35-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii: 2 interculturings. (ix) 5.38". (x) 7.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 chemicals: $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ Methozone and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{YF}-1541$.
(2) 2 times of application: $T_{1}=$ Pre-emergence and $T_{2}=$ Post-emergence of shoots.
(3) 4 leveis of chemical : $L_{0}=0, L_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, L_{2}=1$ and $L_{3}=2 \mathrm{lb}$./plot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $22^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) and (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $97.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $54.29 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Control |  |  | $=113.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $L_{1}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | T 2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 62.4 | 86.5 | 92.8 | 80.6 | 75.1 | 86.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 105.1 | 108.9 | 94.2 | 102.7 | 96.3 | 109.2 |
| Mean | 83.7 | 97.7 | 93.5 | 97.1 | 85.7 | 97.6 |
| T1 | 82.5 | 85.6 | 88.9 |  |  |  |
| T ${ }_{2}$ | 84.9 | 109.8 | 98.1 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of L | $=13.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S E. of marginal mean of C or T | $=11.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{T}$ | $=19.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of tody of table $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{T}$ | $=15.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~Jowar (Kharif).
.Site :- Government Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :- Mh. 51(123).
Type :- 'D'.

Object:-To find out the effect of commercial manures on Jowar crop.
i. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 17.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings. (ix) $38.29^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. Seed treated with Growmore.
3. Seed treated with Annapurna.

5 oz . of Annapurna was mixed with 50 oz . of water and $1 \neq \mathrm{lb}$. of seed kept in sol. for 12 hr . and dried in sun. 1 lb . of Growmore dissolved in 4 lb . of water and then 14 lb . of seed kept in sol. for 1 hr . and dried in shade.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii N.A. (iii) Yield data for grain and cobs. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $252.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.-
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1840 |
| 2. | 2128 |
| 3. | 2032 |
| S.E /mean | $=112.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :~ Jowar (Kharif). | Ref :~Mh. 52(144). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :~ 'D'. |

Object :-To determine the effect of commercial manures on Jowar crop in comparison with A/S and C.N.C.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 17.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) By argada. (c) 10 Ib./ac. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Saoner (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings. (ix) 29.32". (x) 20.13.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. Seed treated with Growmore.
5. Seed treated with Annapurna.
6. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N drilled.
7. G.N.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N drilled.

5 oz . of Annapurna with 50 oz . of water and 14 lb . of seed was kept in sol. for 12 hr . and dried in sun. 1 lb . of Growmore was dissolved in 4 lb . of water then $1 \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{}$. of seed was kept in sol. for 1 hr . and then seed was dried in shade.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v| N.A. vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and st aw yield. (iv) (a: 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. iv; (a, N.A. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $976 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $462.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1000 |
| 2. | 864 |
| 3. | 1000 |
| 4. | 1096 |
| 5. | 920 |
| S.E./mean | $=206.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref :- Mh. 48(78).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To see the effect of Bone super on Bajra.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) June 1948. (iv) (a) Ploughing and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Akola. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weedings. (ix) $121.78^{\prime \prime}$. (x) September-October 1948.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. No manure.
2. 56 lb ./ac. of Bone super.
3. $56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bone super $+56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 56 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 0.50 guntha.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946 to 1948 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali, Lakhampur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason given for low yield. (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $296 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(il) $42.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 233 |
| 2. | 253 |
| 3. | 240 |
| 4. | 287 |
| 5. | 467 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref:~ Mh. 51(73).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundinut-Bajra and Tur. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light Kharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 13.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ tetween rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $17.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $16^{\prime} \times 52^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 48^{\prime}(3$ rows of bajra and 1 row of tur). (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 counts, 3 heights and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to $195^{\circ}$. (b) No, duplicate plots are maintained. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reasors giver for low yield. (vii) Tur is grown as an inter crop along with bajra; but it is not included for the analysis.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $702 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction NP are significant. Main effect of P is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mcan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 386 | 633 | 729 | 873 | 655 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | S41 | 709 | 869 | 770 | 72! |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 433 | 680 | 862 | 838 | 701 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 593 | 689 | 701 | 933 | $72{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Mean | 488 | 678 | 790 | 851 | 70? |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $=78.1 \mathrm{fh} / \mathrm{/ac}$.$=39.0 \mathrm{lo} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.
Ref :- Mh. 52(102).
Type :- 'M'.

Object : - To find out the opt mum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra and Tur. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light sharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.6 .1952 . ivi (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowngs. (b) N.A. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\prime \prime}$. e) N.A. (v) Nii. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix; 7.94*. (x) 15.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levets of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B D (ii) a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $16^{\prime} \times 52^{\prime}$ ( 3 rows of bajra and 1 row of tur). (b) $12 \times 48^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (i) Nil. (iii) 3 counts, 3 heights and grain yield. (iv) (b) 1951-1955. (b) No, duplicate plots are maintained. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason is given for low yield. (vii) Tur is grown as an intercrop along with bajra but it is not included for analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $151 \quad \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $94.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only interaction NP is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 254 | 93 | 189 | 112 | 162 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 112 | 126 | 129 | 123 | 124 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 251 | 88 | 101 | 183 | 156 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 109 | 145 | 224 | 169 | 162 |
| Mean | 182 | 113 | 161 | 148 | 151 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =23.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =47.02 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Sife :m Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.
Ref:- Mh. 53(155).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra and Tur. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light Kharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 3,7.1993. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v. Nil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii 2 interculturings. (ix) $21.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $52^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $48^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (3 rows of baira and 1 row of tur). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 counts, 3 heights and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) No reasons given for low yield. (vii) Tur is grown as an intercrop along with bajra, but it has not been ircluded for analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $268 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $65.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and interaction NP are significan ${ }^{\dagger}$.
(iv) Av. yieid of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |
| :---: |
|  |
| $P_{0}$ |
| $P_{1}$ |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref. :- Mh. 51(105).
Type:- ' M '.

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Light tending to medium. (b) N.A. (iii) 12.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) N.A. (x) 7.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as G.N.C. applied on 1.8.1951 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied on 22.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) 2 all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of blister-beetles ; control meanures were tiken. (iii) Grain field. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Greater slope of soil tanges from east to west i.e. from Repli. I to Repli. IV; of these, the first two replications are situated in better soil as compared with the latter two. Hence plants in replication I and II have given more yiell.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $344 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 358 | 298 | 272 | 341 | 317 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 240 | 285 | 344 | 348 | 304 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 293 | 427 | 362 | 401 | 371 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 428 | 353 | 346 | 401 | 382 |
| Mean | 330 | 341 | 331 | 373 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P |  | $=25.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop:-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :-Mh. 53(47).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light tending to medium. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturings. (ix) $16.62^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2. 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=2 \mathrm{~J}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-Continued (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $299 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $88.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of P alone is bighly sigriticant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $P_{0}$ | 274 | 255 | 244 | 274 | 262 |
| $P_{1}$ | 263 | 397 | 274 | 306 | 310 |
| $P_{2}$ | 218 | 268 | 299 | 263 | 262 |
| $P_{3}$ | 309 | 459 | 354 | 331 | 363 |
| Mean | 266 | 345 | 293 | 293 | 299 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=22.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=44.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Bajra (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 48(20). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type : ' 'M'. |

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 3.7.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by a country seed drill. (c) 7 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows- $12^{\prime \prime}$; between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Akola. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Twice weeding and once interculturing. (ix) $33.20^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.10.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was very vigorous from the beginning to the end. Tillering was very profuse. In some cases shooting of tops with an earhead for each shoot was observed. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 19481952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1719 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $113.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effects are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1328 | 1550 | 1759 | 1906 | 1636 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1476 | 1931 | 1960 | 2024 | 1848 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1271 | 1751 | 1773 | 1539 | 1584 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1145 | 1641 | 1915 | 2237 | 1810 |
| Mean | 1380 | 1718 | . 852 | 1927 | 17.9 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{N}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =283 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =56.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref:- Mh. 49(35).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) 2 bags/ac of G.N.C. $+40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 17, 18.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by a country seed-drill. (c) 7 lb./ac. (d) Between rows-12" ; between plants-ırregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Akola. (vii) Irrigated, (viii) Weeding and hoeing twice. (ix: $17.69^{\prime \prime}(x) 15,16.10 .1949$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, v_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 leve's of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{9}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$, (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) 6 rows on either side, $65^{\prime}$ along the lergth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was good. The crop was not healthy because of no rains up to mid. of August. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1389 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $346.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Ooly main effect of N is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1346 | 1470 | 1225 | 1374 | 1353 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1059 | 1350 | 1496 | 1571 | 1369 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1396 | 1529 | 1320 | 1879 | 1531 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 934 | 1268 | 1442 | 1572 | 1304 |
| Mean | 1183 | 1404 | 1370 | 1599 | 1389 |
| S E. of marginal mean of N or P |  |  |  |  | $=87.6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | - 173.2 |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :-Mh. 50(49).
Type:-'M'.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASASL CONDITION:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii)(a) Medum black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaor. (iii) 19.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by a country seed drill. (c) $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Retween rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ and tetween plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Akola. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing on 148.1950 . (ix) $21.27^{\%}$. (x) 13 to $16 \cdot 10.1950$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast at sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) 6 rows on either side, $6.5^{\prime}$ along the length. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination of the crop was good. The average height of the crop was 6 . (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1953. (b) Ni;. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1307 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 234.2 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 127 | 1328 | 1415 | 1606 | 1369 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 955 | 1254 | 1428 | 1401 | 1260 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1048 | 1122 | 1501 | 1423 | 1274 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1131 | 1420 | 1194 | 1563 | 1327 |
| Mean | 1065 | .1281 | 1385 | 1498 | 1307 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=58.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=117.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 52(79).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar (Rabi). (c) 2 bags/ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 16.7 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled by country seed drill. (c) $7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $12^{\circ}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Akola. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, hoeing and thinning once. (ix) $11.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $4,5.101952$.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing and N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ broadcast at sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ alorg the length and 3 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was good. The stand of the crop was normal at the beginning. The growth was not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1952. (b) No. (c) V.A. (v) (a) Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment failed in 1951.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $664 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $162.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 461 | 634 | 721 | 845 | 678 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 493 | 660 | 734 | 833 | 680 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 446 | 581 | 745 | 774 | 637 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 494 | 648 | 754 | 748 | 661 |
| Mean | 474 | 643 | 739 | 800 | 654 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =40.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =51.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |


| Crop :- Bajra (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 49(39). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :" Agri. Res. Stn., Nipahd. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 1.8.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with a 4 coultered drill. (c) 4 lb. ,ac. (d) $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing once and weeding once. (ix) $24.19^{*}$. (x) 9.11.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
3. 100 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
4. 15 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
5. Fallow in Rabi ; manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) S. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw Yyield. (iv) (a) ${ }^{\text {Rabi }} 1948$ to ${ }_{2}$ Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $256 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $187.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 232 |
| 2. | 277 |
| 3. | 321 |
| 4. | 339 |
| S. | 111 |
| S.E./mean | $=83.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 50(54)/49(39).
Type :- ' M '.

Object : - To study the effect of leguminous crop Gram raised with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cerea crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 28.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 4 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 gap filling. (ix) 27.73". (x) 4.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).

250 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
$4150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
5. Fallow in Rabi; manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. in Kharif.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth for want of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Khavif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $277.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 275 |
| 2. | 293 |
| 3. | 270 |
| 4. | 258 |
| 5. | 293 |
| S.E./mean | $=44.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :-Mh. 51(56)/50(54)/49(39).
Type : ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ on the succeeding cereai crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 18.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling the seeds by 4 coultered crill. c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{+}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (visi) Gap filling on 23.7.195. and interculturing on 25.8.1951. (ix) $27.46^{\circ}$. (x) 20.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Gram in Rabi.
5. 100 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super appied to Gram in Rabi.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Gram in Rabi.
7. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif,
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii; 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Long break of rains affected the growth. (ii) Nil. .iii) Grain yield. (Iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $280 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $51.10 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{c}$.
(iii) Treatments do rot differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 293 |
| 2. | 268 |
| 3. | 284 |
| 4. | 281 |
| 5. | 276 |
| S.E./mean | $=22.83 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref : $\mathrm{Mh} .5 \hat{(86) / 51(56) / 50(54) / 49(39) .}$
Type: ${ }^{\prime}$ ' M '.

Object : - To study the effect of leguminous crop gram raised with and withont $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad (iii) 22.7 . $952^{\circ}$ (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by drilling with 4 coultere? drill. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\circ}$ betueen rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing on 24.8.1952. (ix) $14.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $23 \cdot 10.1952$.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 I . /ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram crop in Rabi.
5. $1 \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram crop in Rabi.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram crop in Rabi.
7. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 25^{\prime}$ : (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Growth very poor due to very low rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c N A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $274 \quad \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $91.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 238 |
| 2. | 283 |
| 3. | 367 |
| 4. | 257 |
| 5. | 227 |
| S.E /mean | $=40.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Bajra.(Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 53(58;/52(86)/51(56)/50(54)/49(39).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. Type :~ 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 10.7.1953. (iv) (a) N A. (b) Driling with 4 coultered drill (c) 5 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) $28-157$. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $18.33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Gram in Rabi
5. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 25^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\circ}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield only. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :

| (i) | $291 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | $52.08 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| (iii) | Treatments do not differ significantly. |  |
| (iv) | Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac. |  |
|  | Treatment | Av. yield |
|  | 1. | 231 |
|  | 2. | 279 |
|  | 3. | 316 |
|  | 4. | 313 |
|  | 5. | 315 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=23.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).

Ref: : Mh. 48(24).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.
Type : ' M '.
Object :--To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (without basal dose of F.Y.M).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 12 and 13.8.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 4 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (vi.j) Nil. (ix) 22.66". (x, 8.11.1948 and 9.11.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 leve's of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a: 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergeon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $391 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $78.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant, main effect of P is signifcent ; ints raction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 287 | 311 | 330 | 465 | 348 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 337 | 308 | 427 | 433 | 376 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 276 | 415 | 4.0 | 451 | 403 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 337 | 439 | 508 | 462 | 437 |
| Mean | 309 | 368 | 433 | 853 | 391 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} & =19.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of cody of table } & =39.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 49(40). Type:- ' M '.

Object : - To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (without basal dose of F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 29.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Un. irrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 4.9.1949 and weeding on 22 to 26.8.1949. (ix) 24.19". (x) 7,8.11.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of blister beetle. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nıl.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $336 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N and P are highly significant and interaction NP is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 86 | 259 | 316 | 310 | 243 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 148 | 292 | 408 | 490 | 335 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 138 | 371 | 460 | 603 | 393 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 139 | 280 | 478 | 591 | 372 |
| Mean | 128 | 301 | 416 | 499 | 336 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =18.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =36.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Crop:-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref. : -Mh . 50(55).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (without basal dose of F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. '(c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 25 to 28.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 1 weeding. (ix) 27.73". (x) 30.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1948-1951$. (b) No.(c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 520 lb ./ac.
(ii) 103.5 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 231 | 422 | 532 | 886 | 518 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 154 | 479 | 649 | 861 | 536 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 174 | 419 | 640 | 856 | 522 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 138 | 349 | 637 | 888 | 503 |
| Mean | 174 | 417 | 614 | 873 | 520 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =25.9 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =51.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

## Kef. :- Mh. 51(58). <br> Type: ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (without basal dose of F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 16, 17.7.1951.
(iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $-10^{\circ}$; between plants-rregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) 28-15-1. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 Interculturing and 1 weeding. (ix) $27.46^{\circ}$.
(x) 5, 8.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a; $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv. (a) 948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $313 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant, ; main effect of P is significant; interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 151 | 234 | 256 | 40: | 262 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 184 | 260 | 361 | 375 | 295 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 234 | 301 | 414 | 430 | 345 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 246 | 318 | 448 | 381 | 348 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P | $=18.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=36.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 48(25).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (with a basal dose of F.Y.M.).

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy, (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 10, 11.8.1943. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 4 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Retween rows $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F. Y.M. applied on 16.5.1948. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 22.65". (x) 4, 8.11.1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $462 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $105.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are significant ; interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 310 | 439 | 431 | 516 | 424 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 390 | 445 | 479 | 543 | 464 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 262 | 544 | 488 | 643 | 484 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 271 | 463 | 456 | 712 | 476 |
| Mean | 308 | 473 | 464 | 604 | 462 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{P} & =26.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =52.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop: : Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 49(41).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (with a basal dose of F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 28, 29.7.1949. (iv) (a) NA. (b) Drilling with 4 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied on 21.6.1949. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $24.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6,7.11.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of blister beetle at the time of flowering. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $417 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $113.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are significant ; interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\theta}$ | 236 | 303 | 395 | 534 | 367 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 253 | 284 | 439 | 552 | 382 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 209 | 328 | 532 | 729 | 450 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 245 | 401 | 558 | 682 | 472 |
| Mean | 236 | 329 | 481 | 624 | 417 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $\mathbf{P}$
S.E. of body of table
$=28.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=56.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :- Mh. 50(56).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To find out the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra (with a basal dose of F Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 25 to 28.7.1950.
(iv) a) N.A. (b) Drilling the seed by four coultered drill. (c) 4 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A.
(v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 1 weeding. (ix) $27.73^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) 2,3.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{8}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as G.N.C and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth checked for want of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $572 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $125.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 321 | 365 | 517 | 803 | 501 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 272 | 492 | 652 | 912 | 582 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 312 | 587 | 619 | 879 | 599 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 346 | 546 | 590 | 945 | 607 |
| Mean | 313 | 497 | 594 | 885 | 572 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ <br> S.E. of the body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =31.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =62.68 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop: : Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 51(59).
Type :~ ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requirements of Bajra (with a basal dose of F.Y.M.).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Linseed. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 14, 15.7.1951.
(iv) (a) N A. (b) Drilled. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $-10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L /ac, of F.Y.M. (vi) N A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 24.8 .1951 and weeding on $2: .8 .1951$. (ix) $27.46^{*}$. (x) 5, 8.10.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 le els of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 'evels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as G.N.C. and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory ; but treatments with higher dose cf manure suffered for nant of moisture. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Ni!.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $320 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $64.8 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are significant ; interaction NP is not significant.
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(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bajra (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Mh. 52(88). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :- To study the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and F.Y.M. requirements of Bajra .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil mnalysis, Niphad. (isi, 30 , 31.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drillirg with 4 coultered drill. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{*}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (iii Uairrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 14.17*. (x) 16.10.1952.

## 2 TREATMENTS:

All combinations of 11 , (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{1}=40,2=60$ and $N_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of $\Gamma$ Y.M. : $F_{1}=2.5$ and $F_{2}=5$ C.L/ac.

N appied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N C. in $1: 1$ ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all sound the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1952-1955$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $651 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $80.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathbf{I}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 537 | 599 | 749 | 629 | 613 | 644 |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 595 | 699 | 725 | 673 | 656 | 690 |  |
| Mean | 566 | 649 | 737 | 651 | 635 | 667 |  |
| $\mathbf{F}_{1}$ | 564 | 620 | 720 | 635 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 569 | 678 | 755 | 667 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=20.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P or F | $=16.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=28.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{F}$ | $=23.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad..

Ref :-Mh 53(57).
Type:-' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :- To study the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and F.Y.M. requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Bajra. (b) Wheat. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis,, Niphad. (iii) 7.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (vili) N.A. (ix) $18.33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (?) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N: N_{1}=40, N_{2}=60$ and $N_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=2.5$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}=5$ C.L./ac.

N applicd as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the net plot.(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of the grain only. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1215 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $175.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and F are significant. None of the interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean | $F_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{1}$ | 1004 | 1179 | 1296 | 1160 | 1103 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1206 | 1229 | 1374 | 1270 | 1217 |
| Mean | 1105 | 1204 | 1335 | 1215 | 1330 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 1053 | 1162 | 1252 |  | 1273 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 1156 | 1245 | 1418 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=43.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $F$ | $=35.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P$ or $N \times F$ | $=61.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times F$ | $=50.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Bajra (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Poona.

Ref:- Mh. 53(75).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of different minor elements on the yield of Bajra.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Light yellow type of soil. (b) Refer soilana. lysis, Poona. (iii) 20.6.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor, discing and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied at the time of harrowing. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $13.64^{\circ}$. (x) 3.10 .1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. Borax at 20 lb ./ac.
3. Copper Sulphate at $5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. Zinc Sulphate at 5 lo/ac.
5. Molybdium Sul hate at $-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb}$ /ac.
6. Brax at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}+$ Copper Sulphate at $5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. Copper Sulphate at $5 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac} .+$ Zinc Sulphate at $5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
8. Zinc Sulphate at $5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. + Molybdium Sulphate at $2 \mid \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.
9. Zinc Sulphate at 2 I If ./ac. + Borax at 20 ib . $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\circ} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either sides and $3^{\prime}$ at either end of the plut. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Grain yield, (iv, a, 1951-1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. ivi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS:
(i) $1285 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $258.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do rot ifffer significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gra $n$ in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$

| Treatment | Av. yiel j | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1340 | 6. | 1307 |
| 2. | 1389 | 7. | 1114 |
| 3. | 1280 | 8. | 1399 |
| 4. | 1148 | 9. | 1360 |
| 5. | 1230 |  |  |
|  | S.E imean | $=129.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :mAgri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:-Mh. 51(69).
Type : ' 'M'.

Ohject :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Bajro-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light tending to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 29.6.19;1. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 13 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $23.44^{\circ}$. (x) 2.11.1951
2. TREATMENTS ;

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $51.25^{\circ} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $43.25^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. iv) $4^{\prime}$ at either ends and 3 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. ii) Nil. (iii) 3 heights, 2 counts and grain yield. (iv) 'a) $951-1955$. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $462 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $123.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 309 | 354 | 469 | 453 | 396 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 466 | 411 | 502 | 577 | 489 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 365 | 423 | 537 | 507 | 458 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 426 | 542 | 582 | 466 | 504 |
| Mean | 392 | 433 | 523 | 501 | 462 |
| S E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of budy of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =30.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =61.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref. :-Mh. 52(98).
Type: $\boldsymbol{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light tending to medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 22.6.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 3 lb./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) 17.49". (x) 23.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $51.25^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $45.25^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii' 3 heights, count 2 counts and grain yjeld. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil,
5. RESULTS :
(i) $412 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $110.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 432 | 554 | 374 | 332 | 423 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 520 | 411 | 289 | 391 | 403 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 353 | 357 | 403 | 457 | 392 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 420 | 411 | 470 | 419 | 430 |
| Mean | 431 | 433 | 384 | 400 | 412 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =27.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =55.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 53(150).
Type: ' M '.
Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Groundnut. c/ Nil. (ii) (a) Light tending to medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur (iii) 19.7.1453. (iv, (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 3 lo ./ac. (i) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e, N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola (medium). (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) one interculturing. (ix) $34.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11.1953.

2 TREATMENTS:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad 0=0, N_{1}=10, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
12) 4 levels of $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $51^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$. (b) $48^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) 4 rows on either side. $I_{8}^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 height, 2 counts and grain yield. (iv) (a) 191 to 1955. (b) No. (c. N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (o) N.A. (vi) The crop practically falled due to heavy rains during the flowering season. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $83 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $840 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effect are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 16 | 25 | 57 | 117 | 54 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 38 | 57 | 117 | 66 | 59 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 60 | 66 | 139 | 155 | 105 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 82 | 73 | 104 | 152 | 103 |
| Mean | 49 | 55 | 104 | 123 | 83 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or P |  |  |  | $=21.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $=42.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Bajra (Kharif). } & \text { Ref : Mh. } \mathbf{5 2 ( 3 7 1 ) .} \\ \text { Site : }- \text { Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }\end{array}$

Object:-To study the residurl effect of rare elements of Boron and Manganese applied to previous Groundnut crop on Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii 19.6.1952. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 3 lb ./ac. (d; $12^{*}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Mil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 20.76 . (x) 24.10 .1952 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron : $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{2}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=3, \mathrm{M}_{2}=6$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Boron applied as Barox and Manganese as $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ to previous crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $297 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to $19 \leq 6$. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $141 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $5081 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of B is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 151 | 153 | 142 | 96 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 174 | 165 | 137 | 146 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 142 | 201 | 105 | 114 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 101 | 197 | 128 | 114 |
| Mean | 142 | 179 | 128 | 117 |
| 135 |  |  |  |  |
| 133 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } B \text { or } M & =12.70 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =25.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

## Crop: Bajra (Kharif).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Ref:-Mh. 53(374).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the residual effect of Boron and Manganese applied on previcus Groundnut crop, on Bajra.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium ceep, (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 19.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harowings. (b) Drilled. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rowa, (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 35.56". (x) 12.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All cor binations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{2}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese: $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron applied as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{So}_{4}$ to previous crop.

DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) N.A, (vi) Yee,
4. GENERAL:
(i) Crop practically failed due to excess of moisture in the soil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1956. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Experiment almost vitiated. (vi) Nil.

## S. RESULTS:

(i) $21 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in ib./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 26 | 25 | 12 | 20 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 19 | 20 | 25 | 17 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 20 | 17 | 26 | 18 | 20 |
| $M_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ | 24 | 32 | 18 | 15 | 22 |
| Mean | 22 | 23 | 20 | 18 | 21 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of B or M |  |  |  |  | $=059 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$.$=1.18 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{/ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 50(157).
Type :~ 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of application of G.N.C. on Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Shs lapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$ between rows. (c)-. (v) Ni., (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $24.04^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. $12.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $148.5^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Tur is grown as an intercrop along with bajra; for $t u r$ crop the seed rate is $2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. and is a socal variety.
7. RESULTS :

## Crop : Bajra.

(i) $200 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $19.65 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 180 |
| 2. | 220 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=9.82 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Tur.
(i) $369 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $75.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

Treatment Av. yield
1.274
$2 . \quad 465$
S.E./mean $\quad=37.87 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.
Type :- ' C '.
Object :- To find the suitable spacing and seed rate for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 18 to 23.7.1951. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) Drilled. (c) As per treatments. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $27.46^{* \prime}$. (x) 25.10 .1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=4, \mathrm{R}_{2}=6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=8$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
4 spacings : $S_{1}=15^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{*}, S_{3}=21^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $268^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$, $21^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 21^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$, $15^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$, $14^{\circ} \times 28^{\prime}$ and $14^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing respectively. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop suffered due to long break in rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $\begin{array}{lll}189 & \text { lb./ac. }\end{array}$
(ii) (a) $68.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $40.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 201 | 146 | 187 | 203 | 184 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 236 | 170 | 191 | 137 | 184 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 227 | 187 | 177 | 174 | 191 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 240 | 186 | 186 | 175 | 197 |
| Mean | 226 | 172 | 185 | 172 | 189 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means

$$
=24.14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

2. $S$ marginal means
$=14.44 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S means at the same level of $R$
$=28.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $\mathbf{R}$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{S}$

$$
=34.75 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:-Mh. 52(87).
Type:-‘C'.

Object:-To study the suitable seed rate and spacing for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 30.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Hand dibbling. (c) As per treatments. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L:/ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) $28-15-1$ Bajri. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing on 23.8.1952; 15.9.1952. (ix) 14.17". (x) 25.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 seed rates : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}}=4, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{g}}=6, \mathrm{R}_{3}=8$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

4 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=15^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{3}=21^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}=24^{\circ}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $268^{\circ} \times 30^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$, $21^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 21^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime \prime}$, $14^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$, and $14^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth due to scarcity of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $250 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $145.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $49.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Meas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 244 | 272 | 223 | 270 | 252 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 173 | 309 | 194 | 285 | 240 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 227 | 269 | 220 | 234 | 238 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 224 | 285 | 283 | 290 | 271 |
| Mean | 217 | 284 | 230 | 270 | 250 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. R marginal means
$=51.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{sc}$.
2. $S$ marginal means
$=17.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. S means at the same level of $R$
$=34.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=59.4 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:- Mh. 53(59).
Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To study the suitable spacing and seed rate for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 7.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 23 and 24.7.1953. (ix) 18.33. (x) 22.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 seed rates: $R_{1}=4, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=8$ and $R_{4}=10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
4 spacings: $S_{1}=15^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}, S_{3}=21^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{4}=24^{\prime \prime}$ lb./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 4 sub $\quad$ plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$, $21^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 21^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ and $22^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}, 15^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$; $14^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ and $14^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ for $15^{\prime \prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}, 21^{\prime \prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively, (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) aad (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $299 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $116.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $50.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield af grain in Ib ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 409 | 269 | 244 | 281 | 301 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 325 | 293 | 280 | 265 | 291 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 375 | 288 | 264 | 243 | 292 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 359 | 279 | 302 | 297 | 309 |
| Mean | 367 | 282 | 272 | 271 | 299 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $R$ marginal means | $=41.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $S$ marginal means | $=17.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=35.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=51.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Bajra (Kharif).
Site : Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :mM. 51(1/9).
「ype :- ' C '.

Object:-To study how far the legumes in rotation with cereals keep up the fertiilty of land and increase the yield of cereals.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Sesamum, Tur, Soyabean. (b) Sesamum, Tur and Soyabean. (c) Nil (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soi analysis, Poona. (iii) 24.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 8 lb ./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 15.8 .1951 and 28.8.1951. (ix) $26.62^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Bajra after Bajra.
4. Bajra after Soyabean.
5. Bajra after Sesamum.
6. Bajra-Tur after Bajra-Tur.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 9. (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Serious attack of birds on Bajra when the grains were in milky stage and affected the yield to a great extent. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1945 -N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $649 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $277.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 610 |
| 2. | 706 |
| 3. | 672 |
| 4. | 610 |
| S.E./mean | $=92.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{gac}$. |

Crop :-Bajra (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 52(209)/51(179).
Type :-‘'C'.

Object :-To study how far the legumes in rotation with cereals keep up the fertility of land and increase the yield of cereals in rotation.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra-Sesamum, Tur and Soyabean. (b) Sesamum, Scyabeen and Tur. (c) Nii. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) $4 \cdot 5.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $-12^{\circ}$, tetween plants -irregular. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 6.8.1952. (ix) 22.03*. (x) 5.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. Bajra after Bajra.
2. Bajra after Soyabeaa.
3. Baira after Sesamum.
4. Bajra--Tur after Bajra-Tur.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 9
(iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 9$
(b) $124^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1945-$ N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) 443 lb ./ac.
(ii) $181.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 537 |
| 2. | 414 |
| 3. | 381 |
| 4. | 448 |
| S.E./mean | $=60.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Ref :-Mh. 53(324).
Type: :-‘'.

Object :-To study how far the legumes in rotation as well as mixture in the cereal crops help to keep up the fertility of land and increase the yield of cereal in rotation.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per rotation. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 27, 28.6.1953. (iv) (a) 2 discings and 1 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 1 weeding. (ix) $1085^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Bajra 22.9.1953, Soyabean 10.11.1953, Sesamum 21.10.1953, Groundnut 3.10.1953 and Vur 3.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Bajra after Bajra. 2 plots/block.
2. Bajra after Soyabean.
3. Bajra after Sesamum.
4. Bajra and Tur mixed.
5. Bajra after Groundnut.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side along the length, $4^{\prime}$ along breadth. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of mildew on Bajra and Tika disease of Groundnut. (iii) Grain yield. (iv)
(a) 1930-N.A.
(b) As per rotation
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) N.A.
(b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $266.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 122.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 214.3 |
| 2. | 364.6 |
| 3. | 242.5 |
| 4. | 301.1 |
| 5. | 259.3 |
| S.E./mean for tr. 2,3 and 5 | $=61.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E./mean for tr. 1 | $=43.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Bajra (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 48(101)
Type:-"C’.

Object :-To find out optimum spacing cum sowing date for Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A.. (v) Ni!. (vi) Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing and one hoeing. (ix) $39.18^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 7 sowing dates : $D_{1}=14$ to $18.6 .1948, D_{2}=19$ to 22.6.1948, $D_{3}=23$ to 26.6.1948, $D_{4}=$ 27.6.1948 to 1.7.1948, $D_{5}=2$ to 6.7.1948, $D_{6}=7$ to 11.7.19.48 and $D_{7}=12$ to 16.7.1948.
(2) 2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $7 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 14 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) $1948-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Ni.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $148 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{D}$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb /ac.

|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ | $D_{3}$ | $D_{4}$ | $D_{5}$ | $D_{6}$ | $D_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 205 | 136 | 150 | 112 | 168 | 136 | 72 | 140 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 191 | 97 | 194 | 84 | 189 | 180 | 156 | 156 |
| Mean | 198 | 116 | 172 | 98 | 178 | 158 | 114 | 148 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of D | $=20.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S | $=11.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=29.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Nagli (Kharif).<br>Ref:- Mh. 48(3).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.<br>Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and P requirements of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Nagli. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Warkas low lying land. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.6.1948/16 to 19.8.1948. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) E. 31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing in 2nd week of September 1948. (ix) 161.6"'. (x) 1 to 4.11.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956. From 1952 residual effects studied. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $1144 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $308.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 709 | 815 | 794 | 1191 | 877 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 957 | 1099 | 1361 | 1042 | 111.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1170 | 1517 | 103; | 1078 | 1200) |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1219 | 1141 | 1581 | 1588 | 138.2 |
| Mean | 1014 | 1143 | 1193 | 1225 | 1144 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P or N S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =77.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =154.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}=. \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.

Ref :- Mh. 49(4)/48(3).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ requirements of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Nagli. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Warkas low lying land. Laterite soil.
(b) N.A. (iii) $30.5 .1949 / 26$ to 28.6 .1949 . (iv) (a) 6 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d; $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$.
(e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) E-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. 'ix) $151.96^{\prime \prime}$. (x) November $19+9$.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $896 \cdot \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $210.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ are significant. Interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 521 | 695 | 865 | 815 | 724 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | . 698 | 865 | 1149 | 1035 | 937 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 773 | 1056 | 957 | 1042 | 957 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 759 | 872 | 1173 | 1063 | 967 |
| Mean | 688 | 872 | 1036 | 989 | 896 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =52.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =105.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.

Ref :- Mh. 50(5)/49(4)/48(3).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :--To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ on Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Nagli. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1950/ 21 to 29.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{7 \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) E-31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $141,80^{\circ}$. (x) 2 to 4.11.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as B.M. : $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40$ and $P_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact, in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956 . (b) Yes. ${ }^{\circ}$ (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1203 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $263.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The main effects of N and P are significant while interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 581 | 694 | 1106 | 1198 | 895 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 865 | 1028 | 1503 | 1574 | 1243 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 915 | 1461 | 1468 | 1574 | 1355 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 780 | 1163 | 1744 | 1581 | 1317 |
| Mean | 785 | 1087 | 1455 | 1482 | 1203 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=66.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=131.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop:-Nagli.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn.; Hatkhamba.

Ref:-Mh. 51(5)/50(5)/49(4); 48(3).
Type:-‘'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $N$ and $P$ on Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Nagli. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Warkas low-lying land. (b) N.A. (iii) $5.6 .1951 / 27,29.7 .1951$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) - (d) $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) Nil. (vi) E. 31. (mid-late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) $130.30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.11 .1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All comtinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2. 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Fairly good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri.,
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1036 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $284.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are significant, interaction NP is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 485 | 734 | 929 | 1127 | 819 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 638 | 932 | 1429 | 1297 | 1074 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 903 | 1039 | 1255 | 1450 | 1162 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 581 | 961 | 1407 | 1411 | 1090 |
| Mean | 652 | 917 | 1255 | 1321 | 1036 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=71.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=142.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Nagli.
Ref : . Mh. $52(20) / 51(5) / 50(5) / 49(4) / 48(3)$.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To observe the residual effect of N and P applied daring 1948 to 1951.
. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli.
(b) Nagli. (c) As per treatments.
(ii) (a) Warkas low lying land.
(b) N.A.
(iii) $4.6 .1952 / 25,26.7 .1952$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) E. 31. (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 hand weeding. (ix) 109.73". (x) 1.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Treatments applied during the years 1948 to 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Fairly good. Heavy rains at the time of flowering. (iii) Niz. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956 (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) Nik. (vii) Residual effect from 1952 onwards studied.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $539 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $151.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction NP are significant.
(iv) $A v$. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 298 | 447 | 482 | 603 | 458 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 298 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 411 | 518 | 752 | 617 | 575 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 665 | 574 | 539 | 475 | 564 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 454 | 546 | 759 | 468 | 557 |
|  |  | 457 | 521 | 633 | 541 |
| Mean | 459 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=37.8 \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=75.6 \mathrm{~b} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Nagli. Ref :- Mh. 53(108) 52(20) 51(5) 50(5) 49(4) 48(3).
Site :- Agri. Res. Sin., Hatkhamba. Type :- 'N'.
Object :- To observe the residual effect of $N$, applied on Nagli during $1948 \cdot 1951$.
I. basal conditions :
(i) a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Nagli. (c) Nit, (in) (a) Warkas low lying land. (b) N.A. (iii) 15.6.1953! 25, 26.7.1953. (iv) (a), (b) N.A. (c) 8 lb./ac. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) N.A. (vi) E 31. (mid-late). (vil) Unirrigated. (viil I weeding. (ix) $165.47^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11 .1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of N as G.N.C. : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatments applied during the years 1948 to 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $2^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) General stand poor due to continuous washing of the soil. Hespt at flowering was $1^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1956. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vi) Nil.

RESULTS :
(i) $696 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $384.8 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 575 | 645 | 667 | 745 |
| $P_{1}$ | 609 | 688 | 816 | 772 | 658 |
| $P_{2}$ | 682 | 667 | 732 | 724 | 701 |
| $P_{3}$ | 682 | 638 | 894 | 609 | 706 |
|  |  | 637 | 659 | 777 | 712 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=96.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{rac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=192.4 \mathrm{to} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Nagli.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.-

Ref :- Mh. 53(107).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the optimum dose of N and P in combination with F.Y.M. for Nagh crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nagli after Nagli. (b) Wari for replication I. and fallow for remaining 3 replications. (c) Nil. (ii)
(a) Warkas low lying land, poor in fertility. (b) N.A. (iii) 4.8.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{fac}$,
(d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. "(v) Nil. (vi) E. 31 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) $165.47^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) 10.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=40, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=80$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=3$ C.L./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. while N applied as a mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) $48^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $12^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $10^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1055 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $165.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1112 | 984 | 979 | 1070 | 1036 | 960 | 1111 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 1009 | 1044 | 1197 | 1048 | 1074 | 1104 | 1043 |
| Mean | 1060 | 1014 | 1088 | 1059 | 1055 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 1048 | 984 | 1119 | 980 | 1032 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 1073 | 1044 | 1057 | 1137 | 1077 |  |  |


| S E. of marginal mean of $F$ or $P$ | $=29.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=41.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times P$ or $N \times F$ | $=58.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times F$ | $=41.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crop :- Nagli (Kharif). } \\
& \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ref:~Mh. 52(64).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Dbject :-To find out the manurial requirements of Nagli (combined with the basic dose of F.Y.M.).

## EASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Nagli. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Verkar soil Shallow, reddish in colour. (b) N.A. (iii) $8.6 .1952 /$ 13, 19.7.1952 and 6,7.8.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Igatpuri 47 (A. 16) Local. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing on 19.9.1952. (ix) 127.91". (x) 8 ind 16.11.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{2}=40, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=80$ and $N_{4}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=3$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16
(b) N.A
(iii)
4. (iv)
v) (a) $11^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$.
(b) $9^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$.
(v) $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Hatkhamba.
(b) N.A. 'vi) Nil. (vii) Variety used is high yielding. Expt. failed in 1953.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $279.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P are significant, other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $F_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 945 | 997 | 1106 | 1071 | 1030 | 1068 | 991 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 954 | 1150 | 1220 | 1514 | 1209 | :208 | 1211 |
| Mean | 949 | 1074 | 1163 | 1292 | 1120 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | 924 | 1104 | 1241 | 1285 | 1138 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 974 | 1044 | 1086 | 1300 | 1101 |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } N & =698 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S E. of marginal mean of } F \text { or } P & =49.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } N \times P \text { or } N \times F & =98.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } \mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P} & =69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.

Ref:- Mh. 48(90).
Type : ' C '.

Object:-To eliminate the fallow period in cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Warkas low - lying land. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.6.1948/29.7.48 to 1.8.48. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) A-16 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) I weeding. (ix) $161.63^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 9 to 11.11 .1948 .

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Detals of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained each year): -

1. Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb /ac. followed by $W a r i$ in the 2 nd year and Koara in the 3rd year and fallow for next three years. (Nf-Wari-Kodra-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every ye r Nagli manured with F.Y.M. $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C (Ng every year;.
4. Nagli cuery year with F.Y.M. 3000 lb ./ac. in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Nf} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every year with G.N.C. applied at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12
(b) N.A. (iii) 6.
(iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960 (b) Yes. (as per rotations). (c) Nil. (v, (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $502 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $150.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| Crop. | Nf | Nf | Ng | Nf |  | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 448 | 619 | 515 | 554 | 49 | 448 | 439 |  |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=61.42 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wari | $581 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Kodra | $793 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Crop :- Nagli (Kharif)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba.
Ref:- Mh. 49(117)/48(90).
Type:- 'C'.
```

Object:-To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Warkas low lying land. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.5.1949/22 to 24.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Transplantirg. (c) -. (d) $6^{\circ} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) A-16 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 151.96". (x) 1st and 2nd week of November, 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained each year) :-

1. Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. followed by Wari in the ind year, kodra in the 3rd year and fallow for next three years. (Nf-wari-kodra-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with F.Y.M. $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lac}$. of N as $\mathbf{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$ ( Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every year with G.N.C. applied at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R B D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) As per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960 . (b)
(b) Yes (as per rotations). (c) Nil. (v)
(a) Igatpuri.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $441 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Ay. yield | 496 | 507 | 351 | 456 | 507 | 338 | 43) |
|  |  |  | /ac. .ac. /ac. |  |  |  |  |

## Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba. Type :- 'C'

Object:--To determine the fallow period in the cultivation of Nacti.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. ii) (a; Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii, 3.6.150/27th and 28.7.1950. (iv) 'a) N.A.
(b) Transplanting ici 一. (d $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e' 1. 'v) Nil. (vi: A-: 6 ate vii) Unirrigated. vii) 1 weeding.
(ix) $142^{\circ} . \quad$ x: + to II 11. 1950 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots mantained each year):

1. Nagli manured with F Y.M. at $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{c}$ c. followed by Wari in the 2 nd year and hodra it the 3 rd year and fallow for ext three years Nf-Wari-Kodra-Palicw-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year 'agli manured with F.Y.M at 000 lb ./ac. Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. (Ag cevery year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at 300 lb ./ac. in alternate years $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N})$.
5. Nagli every year with G N.C. applied at 1510 ac. of N in aternate years ( $\mathrm{Ng} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ ).

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (iit a 12 . (b) N.A. (iii, 6. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times .7^{\prime \prime}$ b, $12<8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes (as per rotation,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii, $\ln 2$ replications Agiva disease observed. , iiii) Gran yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960. (b) Yes, as per rotations. ci Ni. v. (a) Igatpuri. (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $727 \quad 10$, ac.
(ii) $109.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significant y.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Av. yield | 870 | 740 | 697 | 754 | 664 | 704 | 654 |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=44.7 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).
Ret :- Mh. 51(200)/50(139)/49(117)/48(90).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhambha. Type: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ '.

Object :- To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.6.1951/28.7.1951 to 1.8.1951.
(iv) (a) 3 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) $6^{\circ} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$. e) 1. (v) Nil. vi) A-16 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hand weedings. (ix) $131.87^{\circ}$. (x) 30, 31.10 .1951 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 roations ( 12 plots maintained each year; :-

1. Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 3000 10./ac. followsd by Wari in the 2 nd year and Kodra $-n$ the 3 Ird year and fallow for next three sears. (Nf-Wari-Kodra-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb ./ac. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with 15 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C . \quad$ ( Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb ./ac. in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every, year with G.N.C. applied at 15 lb ./ac. of N in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi)
Yes.
(as per rotation).
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) 2 replications were attacked by A giya disease. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960. (b) Yes, as per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Igatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $495 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $214.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 659 | 577 | 449 | 440 | 558 | 378 | 404 |
| S.E./mean $\quad=87.4 \mathrm{lb} /$ /ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wari and Kodra yields N.A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Nagli (Kharif). $\quad$ Ref :- Mh. 52(295)/51(200)/50(139)/49(117)/48(90).
Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhambha. $\quad$ Type :~ 'C'. . .

Object :-To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments.
(ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A.
(iii) $4.6 .1952 / 26$ to 28.7 .1952 . (iv) (a)
3 plcughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1 . (v) Nil. (vi) A-16 (late). (vii)
Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $110.60^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.11 .1952 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations. ( 12 plots maintained each year) :-

1. Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb ./ac. followed by Wari in the 2 nd year and Kodra in the 3 rd year and fallow for next three years. (Nf-Wari-Kodra-Fallow-Failow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb /ac. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with 15 lb .iac. of N as G.N.C. ( Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every year with G.N.C. applied at $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes (as per rotation).
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960 . (b) Yes, as per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Jgatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $526 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $144.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Ar yield | 680 | 652 | 430 | 600 | 529 | 359 | 435 |
|  |  | an. <br> nd $K$ | $\begin{aligned} & =59.11 \\ & \text { ds } \mathrm{N} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Crop :- Nagli. } \quad \text { Ref :-Mh. } 53(322) / 52(295) / 51(200) \cdot 50(139) / 49(117) / 48(90) . \\
& \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Hatkhamba. Type :- 'C'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Object :- To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

## I. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) $15.6 .19: 3 / 7,8.8 .1953$. (iv) ( 14 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. iv) Nil. (vi) A-16. (late) (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ixi N.A. (x 16.10 .1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations :2 piots maintained every year): -

1. Nagli manured with F.Y.M. at 300 lb ./ac. followed by Wari in the 2 ad year and Kodra in the 3rd yaar and fal ow for next three years (Nf—Wari-Kodra-Failow-Fallow-Pallcw).
2. Every year Nagli manured wth F.Y.M. at $3000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Nf every year)
3. Every year Nagli manured with $1510 . a 2$. of N as G.N.C. (Ig every year)
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb ./ac. in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ )
5. Nagli every year with G.V.C. applied at $15 \mathrm{I} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in alternate yar. ( Ng - N )
6. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii (a) 12. (b) N.A. iii 6. (iv) (a. $18^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 8$. , $Y$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory, (ii) Nii. 'iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1960 . (b) Yes, a's per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) lgatpuri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
8. RESULTS :
(i) $437 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $138.6 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Ay. yith of grain $n \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

| Rotation No. | I | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Pre ious Crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 488 | 587 | 346 | 450 | 525 | 308 | 359 |
|  | S E /mean | $=56.6 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

Wari and Kodra yields N.A.

Crop:- Nagli (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref:-Mh. 48 (89).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.6.1949 to 11.7.1949. (iv) :a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. vi) Nagli A-16 (Ratnagiri Strain). (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $115.6^{\circ}$ (x) 6, 7.111949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained every year).

1. Nagli manured with 3000 lb ./ac. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in the 2nd year and Udid in the 3rd year and fallow for next three years. ( Nf -Wari-Udid-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2 Every year Nagli manured with $30,0 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. (Nf every year.
2. Every year Nagii manured with 15 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C. (Ng eveiy year).
3. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at 3000 lb ./ac. applied in alternate year. ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
4. Nagli every year with 15 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. applied in alternate years. $(\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N})$
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 , (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi: Yes
6. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, (iv) (a) 1948 to 1957. (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Hatkhamba. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5 RESULTS:
(i) $1369 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $337.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


> Crop :- Nagli (Kharif).
> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 49(116)/48(89).<br>Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and Coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. iv) (a) to (e) N.A.
(v) Nil. (vi) Nagli A-16 (Ratnagiri Strain.) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 125.6". (x N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained every year).

1. Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in 2 nd year and Udid in the 3rd year and fallow for the next three years (Nf-Wari-Udid-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow)
2. Every year Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{ib}$./ac. of F.Y.M. (Nf everys year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. ( Ng everys year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. applied in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).)
5. Nagli every year with $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. applied in alternate year ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1957 . (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c) Nil (v) (a) Hatkhamba. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1027 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $207.4 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 5 |
| Crop. | Nf | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 960 | 926 | 983 | 995 | 1047 | 1049 | 1230 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=84.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wari $(\mathrm{W})$ |  | $1031 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  |  | Udid $(\mathrm{U})$ |  | $199 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Nagli (Kharif)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

> Ref :- Mh. $50(138) / 49(116) / 48(89)$.
> Type :- ‘C'.

Object :- To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Sandy. (b) N.A. (iii) $3.6 .1950 / 10.7 .1950$. (iv) (a) Hill millets for 2 or 3 seasons. (b) Transplanting. (c) -. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Red Nagli 47 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hand weeding 3rd week of August 1950. (ix) 147.3". (x) 25.14.19:0.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained every year;

1. Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in 2 nd year and Udid in the 3 rd year and fallow for next three years (Nf-Wari-Udid.Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with 15 lb ./ac. of Nas G.N.C. (Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of applied in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every year with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. applied in alternate years $(\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N})$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii; 6 . iv' (a $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Poor due to heavy rains. (ii) Nil. iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a, 1948 to 1957. (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c) Nil (v) (a) N.A. (b) $\cdots$ (vi) and vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(j) $922 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $258.0 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop. | Nf | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |  |
| Previous crop. | F | Ng | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |  |
| Av. yield | 894 | 864 | 961 | 949 | 859 | 854 | 1073 |  |
|  |  | S.E./mean |  | $=109.6$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  |  | Udid |  | $=534$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wari | $=467$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Grop :- Nagli (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., igatpuri. Type :- ' C '.

Object : - To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) $4.6 .1951 / 30.6 .1951$ to 3.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) $5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Nagli, Igatpuri-47. (vii, Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) 116.8". (x) 13.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained every year).

1. Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in 2 nd year and Udid in the 3 rd yoar and fallow for next three years (Nf-Wari-Udid-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. ( Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in alternate years ( $\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Nagli every year with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. applied in alternate years $(\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N})$.
6. DESIGN:

0
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Attack of field rats on some of the plots badly affected the yield. (iii) Grain yield.
(iv) (a) 1948 to 1957. (b) Yes, as per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Hatkhamba. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $752 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop. | Nf | Nf | 'Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 736 | 692 | 638 | 705 | 797 | 777 | 921 |
| S.E. $/$ mean $=40.05 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop : $\sim$ Nagli (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 52(294)/51(199)/50(138)/49(116)/48(89).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type := 'C'.
Object :-- To e'iminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Coarse and shallow soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.6.1952/10 to 16.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Transplanting. (c) 5 lb ./ac. (d) $6^{*} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Nagli, Igatpuri-
2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $127.9^{\circ}$. (x) 28.11.1952.
3. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotations ( 12 plots maintained every year).

1. Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in 2 nd year and Udid in the 3 rd year and fallow for next three years (Nf-Wari-Udid-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. of F.Y.M. (Nf every year).
3. Every year Nagli manured with 15 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. ( Ng every year).
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied alternate years $(\mathrm{Nf}-\mathrm{N})$.
5. Nagli every year with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. applied in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1957. (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Hatkhamba. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $572 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 189.1 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.

| Rotation No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop. | Nf | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| A.v. yield | 645 | 489 | 556 | 627 | 444 | 615 | 629 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $77.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Wari and Udid yields N.A.

Crop :-Nagli (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(1)/52(294)/51(199)/50(138)/49(116)/48(89).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'C'.
Object :-To eliminate the fallow period in the cultivation of Nagli.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Shallow, reddish in colour and poor in fertility, (b) N.A. (iii) $146.1953 / 11$ to 14.8 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings in kharif season. (b) Transplanting. (c) $5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nagli-47 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding. (ix) 123.6". (x) 2i.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Details of the 5 rotaions $\{12$ plots maintained every year).

1. Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. followed by Wari in 2nd year and Udid in the 3rd year and fallow for next three years. (Nf-Wari-Udid-Fallow-Fallow-Fallow).
2. Every year Nagli manured with $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of F.Y.M. (Nf every year).
3. Every yerr Nagli manured with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. (Ng every year;.
4. Nagli every year with F.Y.M. at $3,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. applied in alternate years. ( $\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N}$ ).
5. Naglie very year with $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. applied in alternate years. $(\mathrm{Ng}-\mathrm{N})$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $17^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Growth was poor in general due to weak seedlings. (ii) Crop affected by field rats at the time of harvest. (iii) General height, no. of tillers, date of flowering and grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1957. (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c. N.A. 'v) (a) Hatkhamba. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $615 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $152.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Nf | Nf | Ng | N | Nf | N | Ng |
| Previous crop | F | Nf | Ng | Nf | N | Ng | N |
| Av. yield | 745 | 637 | 563 | 595 | 531 | 505 | 733 |
| S.E./mean $\quad 62.3 \mathrm{lb}$,/ac. <br> Wari and Udid yields-N.A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref :- Mh. 51(207).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of $\mathrm{Zn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on Gram.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $6.10^{\circ}$. (x) 26.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $13^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$, (v) $1^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952. (b) No (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $468 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $115.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. y eld |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 413 |
| 2. | 515 |
| 3. | 477 |
| S.E./mean | $=40.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Gram (Rabi). | Ref. :~Mh. 48(19). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram—Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 25.10.1948. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling: (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows 12". (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 2.11.1948, hoeing on 10.12 .1948 and weeding on 1.2.1949. (ix) Nil. (x) 7.3.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied behind the plough:
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $56^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 7^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Germination and stand of the crop was satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $842 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $235.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 713 |
| 2. | 837 |
| 3. | 911 |
| 4. | 910 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=105.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref. : $\mathbf{M h}$. 49(34)
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 29.10 .1949 . (iv) (a) 7 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c; 40 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $-12^{\prime \prime}$ and between plaots-irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii, Weeding on 25.12.1949. (ix) Nil. (x) 18.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.

4150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$.
(b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5!^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination and stand was good. The crop was affected by severe cold in February. (ii) Nil. (iii). Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 -Kharif 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kostad and Vadgaon (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 980 lb ./ac.
(ii) $105.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) The treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 885 |
| 2. | 985 |
| 3. | 1118 |
| 4. | 932 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=47.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

> Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
> Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 50(48).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Paddy, (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 7.10.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 10.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

## 1. No manure.

2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Gram.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied behind the plough at sowing.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Germination and stand was good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 195s. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $905 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $138.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 703 |
| 2. | 1001 |
| 3. | 920 |
| 4. | 996 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Gram (Rabi). | Ref:- Mh. 51(51). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on a succeeding cereal crop Paddy,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Paddy, (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 7.11.1951. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) Nil. (x) 9.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. No manure.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied behind the plough.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The germination and stand were good but few gaps were observed. (ii) Slight insect attack. (iii Grain yield.(iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kosbad and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $867 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 826 |
| 2. | 790 |
| 3. | 918 |
| 4. | 933 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=23.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref: : Mh. 52(78).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :--To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on a succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 2.10.1952. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Between rous $-12^{\prime \prime}$, between plants-irregular. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding on 2.12 .1952 and gap filling on 24.11.1952. (ix) Nil. (x) 27 to 29.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super drilled at sowing.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $5 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was rather uneven and gaps were observed. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat, Kost ad and Vadgaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $858 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $312.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 693 |
| 2. | 930 |
| 3. | 831 |
| 4. | 981 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=139.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(36).
Type : ' ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 24.10.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing 4 times and weeding 2 times. (ix) $4.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 23.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{z} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ applied to gram.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to gram.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super applied behind the plough.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $57^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $46^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 5.5^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination date, flowering date, height, branching and grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A, (v) (a) N.A. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $1140 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $165.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
Treatment Av. yield
11. 811
12. 1219
13. 1187
14.     - 1344
$5 . \quad$ -
S.E./mean $=74.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :-Gram (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 48(36). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 20.10.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) $5.38^{\mu}$. (x) 28.1.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown with out $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. Fallow.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) $440 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $138.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 371 |
| 2. | 455 |
| 3. | 505 |
| 4. | 430 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=61.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Gram (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 49(62).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :- 'M'.
```

Object : - To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of compost. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 13 10.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 intercultures. (ix) 1.14*. (x) 17.1.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super.
5. Fallow.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b; $30^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.i. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Rain fall was not well distributed ; the grain size and yield was badly affected due to insufficient cold weather.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $797 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $4841 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 748 |
| 2. | 832 |
| 3. | 857 |
| 4. | 752 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=21.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Gram (Rabi).
Ref: Mh. 50(8).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Gran grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succieding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) No. (b) and (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 19.10.1951. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years and 4 harrowings. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lt} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. to be given once in 3 years. (vi) Citafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing 4 times. (ix) $9.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $150^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) '(a) $30^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Rainfall was not well distributed. After the sowing of Gram no zain was received which affected the growth of the crop very badly, though its germination was quite setisfactory.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $779 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $136.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac. Treatment Av. yield
9. 731
10. 839
$3 . \quad 722$
$4 . \quad 823$
$5 . \quad-$
S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=60.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop: Gram (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 51(10).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type : $\sim^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mchol. (iii) 14.10.1951. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in 3 years and 4 times harrowings. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) 40 lk ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. to be given once in 3 years. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 intercultures. (ix) $7.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) $150^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 42^{\circ}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) There were no rains during the crop period.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $475 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.69 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 435 |
| 2. | 442 |
| 3. | 504 |
| 4. | 517 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=15.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 52(23).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) NiI. (ii) (a) Medium blacck. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.1019 2. (iv) (a 5 harrowings and ploughing once in 3 years. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacin ${ }^{2}$ s between rows. (e) N.A. (v) F Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac to be given once in 3 years. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures and weedings. (ix) $5.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Gram grown with 103 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$, (v: 6 all round the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Normal, (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, (iv) (a) 1948-1954. (b) No. (c) NA. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (iii There were irregular and little rains. Sowing was delayed due to late ans.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $190 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 91.96 lb .;ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 235 |
| 2. | 162 |
| 3. | 165 |
| 4. | 200 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=41.14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 5 3(207).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol (iii) 18.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} /$ /ac. with 4 coultered drill. (d) $1^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N A (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Bullock hoeing on 27.11.1953 and 8.12.1953 and 2 Bullock intercultures. (ix) $8.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st and 25th Feb. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. Gram grown with 150 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as super on 18.10.53.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (y) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Due to non availability of suitable plot, 3 replications were accommodated in one patch and 2 replications in another patch of land leaving some gulley like and uneven portion of the plot in between the two.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $543 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 485 |
| 2. | 533 |
| 3. | 580 |
| 4. | 573 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=35.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Gram (Rabi).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref.:- Mh. 49(127).
Type : ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and [without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\text {a }}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $1.14^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
2. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $\frac{1}{2}$ guntha.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nit. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS:
(i) $554 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $92.72 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 526 |
| 2. | 554 |
| 3. | 579 |
| 4. | 555 |
| S.E./mean | $=41.45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Gram (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 50(6). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 18.10.:950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. to be given once in 3 years. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 intercultures. (ix) 9.91*. (x) 1.2.195I.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Gram grown with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was applied at the time of sowing.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) $38^{\prime} \times 115^{\prime}$. (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v, (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS ;
(i) $579 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $105.5 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 505 |
| 2. | 578 |
| 3. | 578 |
| 4. | 653 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E mean | $-47.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 51(14).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) No. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 14.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) Harrowed 4 times. b) Seeds drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\text {f }}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 intercultures. (ix) $7.49^{\circ}$. (x) 24.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Gram grown with $100 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
7. Fallow.
$\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Bone meal.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $115^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $23^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $413 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $64.86 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 319 |
| 2. | 425 |
| 3. | 453 |
| 4. | 455 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=29.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref:- Mh. 52(112).
Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.10.1952. (iv) (a) 5 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\mu}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. to be given once in 3 years. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) 2 interculturings and weedings. (ix) 5.03". (x) 2.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
4. Gram grown with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 50 lb ./ac.
5. Gram grown with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 100 lb ./ac.
6. Gram grown with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 150 lb ./ac.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is applied to gram (Rabi) this year and its residual effect is studied on Wheat (Rubi) next year.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ all round the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $157 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $75.38 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 182 |
| 2. | 155 |
| 3. | 105 |
| 4. | 186 |
| S. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=33.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :-Mh. 53(208).
Type:-'M'.

Object : - To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 17.10.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) 30 lb ./ac. with a 4 coultered crill. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Bullock hoeing on 26.11 .1953 and 8.12 .1953 and 2 intercultures by bullocks. (ix) $8.89^{\circ}$. (x) 26 and 27.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. Gram grown with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Grain grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied on 17.10.1953.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (t) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. iv $4^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1949-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $672 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $134.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in 1 b ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. sield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 547 |
| 2. | 687 |
| 3. | 766 |
| 4. | 687 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E /mean | $=6026 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :-Gram (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 48(23). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding Bajra crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy medium. (b; Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (ii) 12.10.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing with 3 coultered drill. (c) 30 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 3.89". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Gram grown with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 -Kharif 1944. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1137 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $142.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 777 |
| 2. | 1133 |
| 3. | 1320 |
| 4. | 1316 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=63.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 49(38)/48(23). } \\
\text { Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. } & \text { Type :~M’. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding Bajra crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Bajra. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad.
(iii) 5.10 .1949 . (iv) (a) No ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing between rows--10 and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) Nil. (ix) $2.36^{\prime \prime}$ " (x) 17.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. Gram grown with 150 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super broadcast on 31st Aug. 1949.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The general condition of the crop was below normal though there was no seasonal abnormality. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948 to Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $464 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.62 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 442 |
| 2. | 408 |
| 3. | 433 |
| 4. | 572 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=38.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :- Mh. 50(53)/49(38)/48(23).
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Bajra. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 12.10 .1950 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling with 4 coultered drill. (c) 30 lb ./ac. (d) Hetween rows $10^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 20.10.1950. (ix) Nil. (x) 28.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Stand was slightly uneven and there were gaps. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield, (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $378 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $55.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $1 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 358 |
| 2. | 357 |
| 3. | 396 |
| 4. | 401 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=24.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Gram (Rabi).
Ref : $\quad$ Mh. $51(55) / 50(53) / 49(38) / 48(23)$.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 10.10.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 3 coultered (c) 40 lo ./ac. (d) Spacing $10^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling. (ix) $1^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15$, (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the plot.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) 469 lb ./ac.
(ii) $185.4 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 341 |
| 2. | 492 |
| 3. | 485 |
| 4. | 557 |
| 5. | -1 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=82.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref :- Mh. 52(85)/51(55)/50(53)/49(38)/48(23).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. Type :- ' M '.
Object:-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Bajra. (b) Bajra and Tur. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Loamy mediunı. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 11.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 16.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Gram grown with 50 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 150 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Not good. (ii) Slight attack of borer at flower setting. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. . (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $471 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 43.3 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. | 453 |
| 2. | 484 |
| 3. | 473 |
| 4. | 473 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=19.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

# Crop :- Gram (Rabi). Ref. :- Mh. 53(142)/52(85)/51(55)/50(53)/49(38)/48(23). <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. <br> Type: : ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. 

Object :-To study the effect of Gram grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeering cereal crop Bajra.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 25.9.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling with 3 coultered drill. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $4.65^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 30.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Gram grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Gram grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Gram grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
6. Gram grown with $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround the plot, (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Rabi 1948-Kharif 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $566 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $45.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 531 |
| 2. | 557 |
| 3. | 550 |
| 4. | 624 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=20.4 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).<br>Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(221).

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ on Gram.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10.1951. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) -. (v) Nit. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil. (x) 12.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
3. 20 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) $8 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) NoA. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) $249 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 253 |
| 2. | 266 |
| 3. | 228 |
| S.E./mean | $=12.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(368).
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ on Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10.1952 (iv) (a) 1 harrowing. (b) Drilling. (c) $49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding. (ix) Nil.. (x) 22.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control
4. 10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applied on 10.10 .1952 .
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applied on 10.10 .1952 .
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii)
(iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$
(b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $389 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $55.53 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 384 |
| 2. | 420 |
| 3. | 363 |
| S.E./mean | $=19.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 53(373).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ on Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 16.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) Nil. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 2.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. 10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applied on 16.10.1953.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ applied on 16.10 .1953 .
4. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $14^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS:
(i) $417 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $61.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 417 |
| 2. | 426 |
| 3. | 409 |
| S.E./mean | $=21.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Gram (Rabi). | Ref $:-$ Mh. $52(372)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type : ' M '. |

Object :-To study the effect of Boron and Manganese alone and in combination on Gram.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 10.10 .1952 . (iv) (al Nil. (b) Drilling. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $2.76^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~B}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~B}_{2}=4$ and $\mathrm{B}_{8}=6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese: $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=2, M_{2}=4$ and $M_{8}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as Mn. Sulphate applied on 10.10.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 308 lb ./ac.
(ii) $84.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 295 | 317 | 322 | 310 | 311 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 285 | 340 | 308 | 335 | 317 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 280 | 276 | 315 | 295 | 291 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 348 | 231 | 340 | 342 | 315 |
| Mean | 302 | 291 | 321 | 320 | 308 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=21.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table . | $=42.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 53(276).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Sindewahi. Type :~ ' $M$ '.

Otject :-To study the effect of application of lime to the Gram crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) GramAdt. V. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 6Nil (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no lime).
4. 200 lb ./ac. of lime.
5. $400 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of lime.
6. $600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of lime.
7. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Control is not replicated, treatment 2,3 and 4 are based on three replications each.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $596 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $103.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.
Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 560 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | 626 |
| 3. | 573 |
| 4. | 626 |

S.E./mean (for treatment 2, 3 and 4 ) $=57.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Gram (Rabi).
Ref:-Mh. 49(97).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon.
Type :- ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Gram and its residual effect on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{*} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $3.34^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( $\mathrm{no}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrows.
3. 100 ib ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrows.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrows.
5. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{2}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (rabi) to 1993 (khavif). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason is given for low yield. (vii) Experiment falled in 1948.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $323 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 314 |
| 2. | 321 |
| 3. | 291 |
| 4. | 365 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=29.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Gram (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 50(122). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the direct effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Gram and its residual effect on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 15.11 .1950 for 3 replications and 27.11.1950 for 2 replications. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 5.71". (x) 26.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrow.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrow.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrow.
7. Fallow in Rabi and sown in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a)
(a) $22^{\prime} \times 1$
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 12$
(v) $2^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The earlier sown crop was good. In other plots, it was below normal in vigour and growth. No differential response was observed from the appearance of the gram. (ii) Nii. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi) to 1953 (Kharif). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Sowing was delayed in 2 replications. Bunds of $2^{\prime}$ width are put round the plots.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $358 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $91.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 349 |
| 2. | 364 |
| 3. | 324 |
| 4. | 394 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=40.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Gram (Rabi). | Ref :~Mh. 51(165). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Vadgaon. | Type : ' $\mathbf{M}$ '. |

Object :- To study the direct effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Gram and its residual effect on Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 2.12.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding 15.1.1952. (is) $10.00^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrows.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plougn furrows.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super in the plough furrows.
7. Fallow in rabi and Paddy in kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) $22^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
v) $2^{\prime}$ alround.
(vi) Yes:
9. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal due to cloudy weather and heavy rainfall. It had to be resown. (ii) Nil, (iii) Grain yieid. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi) to 1953 (Kharif). (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $274 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 285 |
| 2. | 270 |
| 3. | 244 |
| 4. | 296 |
| S. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=32.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 48(51).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of nipping on Gram yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.10.1948. (iv) ia) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. spread with hand at the time of second harrowing. (vi) Gram-Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures (ix) 5.38". (x) 28.1.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Nipping at the time of flowering.
4. No nipping.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 2.
(b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1948. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $626 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 622 |
| 2. | 629 |
| S E./mean | $=11.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh.49(75).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of nipping on Gram yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 11.10.1949. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied at the time of second harrowing. (vi) Gram-Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures. (ix) $1.14^{\circ}$. (x) 15.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Nipping at the time of flowering.
4. No nipping.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 10. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1948 . (b) No. (c) N.A. v; (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $184 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $12.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 184 |
| 2. | 184 |
| S.E./mean | $=3.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref. :-Mh. 48(50).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To ascertain the optimum seed rate for Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black, (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.10.1948. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gram-Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures. (ix) $5.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=20, \mathrm{R}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 80 \mathrm{th}$ ac
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1948 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $671 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $69.94 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 690 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 689 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 634 |
| S.E./mean | $=28.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Gram (Rabi). <br> Site : ${ }^{\text {Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. }}$

Ref:- Mh. 49(76).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To ascertain the optimum seedrate for Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 12.10.1949. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. v) Nil. (vi) Gram - Chafa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 intercultures. (ix) 1.14". (x) 17.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 seedrates: $R_{1}=20, R_{2}=30$ and $R_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 8. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 80$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $681 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 667 |
| 2. | 652 |
| 3. | 723 |
| S.E./mean | $=23.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Gram (Rabi). } & \text { Ref:- Mh. 53(35). } \\ \text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. } & \text { Type :- 'D'. }\end{array}$

Object :-To control the Penicum Isachme (Shipi) by pre-emergence treatment of (Netagrone) 2-4-D.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'H' Type (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergson. (iii) 10.12.1953. (iv) (a) One plsughing and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gram-Chafa (early). (vii) Irrigated. viii 1 wee ing. (ix) $4.17^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 29.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (unweeded).
(1) 3 concentrations of Netagrone 2-4-D: $C_{1}=0.2, C_{2}=0.1$ and $C_{3}=0.05 \%$.
(2) 3 intervals of spraying : $\quad M_{1}=$ Unweeded and sprayed with Netagrone -1 weeks after sowing, $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ Weeded and sprayed with Netagrone-4 weeks after sowing and $M_{3}=$ Weeded scron with Gram, sprayed with Netagrone- after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2 (iv) (a) $50 \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $42^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination date, flowering date, height, no of tillers etc. iv) (a) 1951 to 1955.
(b) No (c, N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Due to late receipt of chemicals the sowing of
the Gram was delayed. Hence the crop growth was somewhat different than the normal which ultimately affected the yield to a consid rable extent.
3. RESULTS :
(i) $363 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $92.48 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=405 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{l}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 305 | 340 | 416 | 354 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 427 | 359 | 337 | 374 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 356 | 335 | 346 | 345 |
| Mean | 363 | 345 | 366 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=37.76 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac} \cdot$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=64.82 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |

```
Crop :- Gram (Rabi).
Ref :~ Mh. 52(307).
Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Type:- 'D'.
```

Object :-To control the Penicum Isachme (shipi) by pre-emergence treatment (Netagrone 2-4-D).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar for fodder. (c) 2 bags/ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 16.11 .1959 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Chafa-Gram. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) As per treatments. (ix) 11.73". (x) 20.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Spraying of Netagrone 6 weeks prior to sowing and not to be weeded.
4. No spraying and no weeding.
5. No spraying but one weeding.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $76^{\prime} \times 44^{\prime}$. (b) $70^{\prime} \times 38^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Y'es.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) 621 lb ./ac.
(ii) $56.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 480 |
| 2. | 685 |
| 3. | 699 |
| S.E./mean | $=28.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Gram (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 52(135). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :- 'D'. |

Object :-To study the effect of "pacillas racidicola" used for inocculaing soil and gram seed on the ultimate yield of Gram.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No particular. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 13.10.1952. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gram-No. 28. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 1.78". (x) 19.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. Seeds inoculated.
3. Soil inoculated.
4. Soil inoculated and last year's gram seeds grown in the area.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $934 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $309.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 882 |
| 2. | 933 |
| 3. | 908 |
| 4. | 1013 |
| S.E./mean | $=154.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :m Chinamug (Kharif). | Ref. :- Mh. 49(29). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effects of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2.7.1949. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) 44.17*. (x) 4.9.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was healthy throughout the season. More vegetative growth of the crop was observed due to excessive rain fall. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $795 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 715 |
| 2. | 747 |
| 3. | 819 |
| 4. | 900 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=32.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(40).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :--To study the effects of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 7.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding on 8.8 .1950 and 2 hoeings. (ix) 21.73". (x) 8.9.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with the seeds of the leguminous crop.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 , (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime} .6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13.6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime} .^{\prime \prime}$ (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $289 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $83.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 325 |
| 2. | 226 |
| 3. | 309 |
| 4. | 298 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=37.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :~ Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Ref :- Mh. 51(44).
Type : " ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) 7.5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\prime}$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 13.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) 20.14". (x) 14.9.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with seeds of leguminous crop.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime} \sim 6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Break of rains when the crop was flowering, hence some bad effect upon the growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS
(i) $283 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $64.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 290 |
| 2. | 266 |
| 3. | 261 |
| 4. | 315 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. $52(70)$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effects of leguminous crop grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeeding cereal crop, Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil, (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to $13^{\circ}$ (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 29.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) $17.61^{*}$. (x) 3.9.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
$50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super
5. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. No manuring (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi).
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with seeds of leguminous crop.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}-6^{\circ}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and chaff yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $659 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $164.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yjeld |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 589 |
| 2. | 660 |
| 3. | 683 |
| 4. | 705 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $-73.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref: - Mh. 53(133).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effects of a leguminous crop (Chinamug) grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succceding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of $10^{\prime}$ to 13'. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $18^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 thinning, 1 gapfilling, 1 hoeing and 1 weeding. (ix) 23.77 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.9.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. No manure (fallow in Kharif and sown in Rabi).
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) The growth was normal and satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and Chaff yield. (iv) (a) Kharif 1949 to Rabi 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1863 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $329.5 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $3 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1331 |
| 2. | 1976 |
| 3. | 2084 |
| 4. | 2061 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=147.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site, ; Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref : $m$ M. ${ }^{49}(60)$.
"Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.
i. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) , Refer, spil analyșis, Mohol. (iii) 28.6.1949. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $14^{\circ}$. (x) 5.9.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Chinamug grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Chinamug grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 28.6.1949.
3. Chinamug grown with 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 28.6.1949.
4. Chinamug grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 28.6.1949.
5. Fallow.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $390 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $95.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 291 |
| 2. | 369 |
| 3. | 460 |
| 4. | 440 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=42.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :-Mh. 51(8).
Type :- ${ }^{〔}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Gram. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 3.7.1951. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings. Ploughed once in 3 years (ploughed this year). (b) Seeds drilled. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied once in three years. (ii) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Clod crushing, 2 interculturings. (ix) $19.87^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3 pickings from 29.8.1951 to 26.9.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M.
7. Fallow in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5.
(b) $42^{\prime} \times 135^{\prime}$.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of Chinamug grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b. No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Jalagaon and Niphad. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 217 |
| 2. | 265 |
| 3. | 369 |
| 4. | 428 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=29.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref. :-Mh. 49(58).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 28.6.1949.
(iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb /ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated.
(viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.9.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Chinamug grown without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. Chinamug grown with 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. Chinamug grown with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Chinamug grown with 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4
(iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $418 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $91.27 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 342 |
| 2. | 356 |
| 3. | 462 |
| 4. | 510 |
| S.E/mean | $=40.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 51(13).
Type:- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 4.7.1951. (iv) (a) Ploughing, clod crushing, 4 times harrowings. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) 10 lb ./ac. with 3 coultered drill. (d) $12^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $19.87^{\circ}$. (x) 3 pickings of pod from 30.8.1951 to 26.9.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. B.M. at 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
3. B.M. at $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
4. B.M. at 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Chinamug.
5. Fallow in Kharif and wheat in Rabi.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) $135^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround.(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of Chinamug grain. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Niphad. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The atmosphere was cloudy during the stage of pod formation. Rainfall was well distributed.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $282 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $58.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 169 |
| 2. | 301 |
| 3. | 311 |
| 4. | 345 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=25.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 50(52). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type:- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy-medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 24.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling the seeds by 4 coultered drill. (c) N.A. (d) Rows $10^{\circ}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filled on 29.7.1960 and hand weeding on 25.8.1950. (ix) $2773^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.9.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
7. Fallow in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth for want of rain. (ii) Attack of Aphis. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $217 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $28.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 128
2. 235
3. 249
4. 255
$5 . \quad-$
S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=12.83 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Chinamug (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 51(54). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(l) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loamy medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 236.1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling with 4 coultered drill. (c) 12 lb ./ac. (d) $10^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 gapfilings and 1 weeding. (ix) 27.46". (x) 27.8 .1951 and 3.9.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Chinamug.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Chinamug.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super to Chinamug.
5. Fallow.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$.
(b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Growth satisfactory ; slightly suffered for want of rain. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) N'o. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $372 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 207 |
| 2. | 362 |
| 3. | 425 |
| 4. | 496 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=29.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref :-Mh. 52(84).
Type :-' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Chinamug-Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy-medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 23.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 4 coultered row. (c) 12 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $10^{*}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 14.17". (x) 22.9.1952.

## TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 ib ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Chinamug.
5. Fallow.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GERERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $331 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 254 |
| 2. | 290 |
| 3. | 339 |
| 4. | 440 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=32.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Chinamug (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.

Ref:~Mh. 53(141).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Chinamug grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Wheat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS ;
(l) (a) Chinamug -Wheat. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Loamy-medium. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 26.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling by 4 coultred drill. (c) $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $10^{\circ \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Chinamug. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 gapfilling and weeding on 3.7.1953. (ix) 18.33". (x) 1.9.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to Chinamug.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to Chinamug.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super applied to Chinamug.
7. Fallow.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ altound. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. Stunted in the beginning, (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) Mohol. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $134 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $43.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 54 |
| 2. | 138 |
| 3. | 136 |
| 4. | 209 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=19.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Chinamug (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 53(340).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type :- ${ }^{-}$'.

Object :-To find out the effect on yield of inoculation of legumes with root nodule bacteria.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 27.6.1933. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) N.A. (d) $\mathbf{1 2}^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $18.80^{\circ}$. (x) 1.9.1953.
2. TREATMENTS
3. Chinamug alone.
4. Chinamug with A II culture.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 10 . (iv) (a) $58^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ along breadth
(vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) $1952-53$. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $149 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $20.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 142 |
| 2. | 156 |
| S.E./mean | $=6.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wal (Rabi)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :- Mh. 49(19).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Paddy-Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iil) 10.12.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting behind the plough. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Wal (local). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 5.74*. (x) 4.4.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
7. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $10^{\prime \prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was normal. In one plot it was poorer due to hariale trouble. Flowering started by midFebruary. (ii) At one month after planting the attack of Aphis was seen. Also virus in the form of yellow patches on leaves was seen but it did not affect the crop very much. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Ratnagiri. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $587.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $274.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 437.7 |
| 2. | 672.1 |
| 3. | 608.9 |
| 4. | 630.7 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=122.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wal (Rabi). | Ref:- Mh. 51(20). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : - Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat.
(iii) 6.12 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting behind a plough. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) N.A.
(vi) Wal 2-K-2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 11.39". (x) 19.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
7. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $18^{\prime}-4^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$. (v) $10^{\circ}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) 3 plots had stunted growth and there were gaps. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $64.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 798 |
| 2. | 584 |
| 3. | 673 |
| 4. | 405 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=64.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wal (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref: :Mh. 52(32)
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Sandy loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) 21.11 .1952 . (iv) (a) Two plough furrows. (b) Drilled behind the plough. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) 2-K-2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 7.46". (x) 13.3.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
4. 150 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii)
7. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $21^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$.
(v) 2 alround. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Germination was poor in 4 plots and growth was poor, the moisture in these plots was less. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil
9. RESULTS :
(i) $1344 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $295.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1167 |
| 2. | 1217 |
| 3. | 1505 |
| 4. | 1490 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=147.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Wal (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karjat.

Ref :-Mh. 53(232).
Type:-' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Karjat. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Behind the plough. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Wal 2-K-2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $6.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
7. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1953. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1132 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $290.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not difier significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 990 |
| 2. | 1041 |
| 3. | 1350 |
| 4. | 1146 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=145.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Wal (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 48(4). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. | Type :" 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 22.12.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Wal 2-K 2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 10.4.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (ii)
7. (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround
(vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) There were 6 replications this year which were subsequently reduced to 5 for all other years
9. RESULTS:
(i) $711 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 227.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 784 |
| 2. | 734 |
| 3. | 654 |
| 4. | 673 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=92.57 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :- Wal (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 0 ( 1 3 )}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wal. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.1 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 p oughings. (b) Broadcasting. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) - . (e) - . (v) Nil. (vi) Wal 2-K-2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) vil. (ix) Nil. (x) 29.4.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.

5: Fallow without legume.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal in Replications I, II and ; III growth was checked con pletely in Replication IV and V due to excess of moisture. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Though the date of sowing is in January 1950 the season is regarded as Rabi 1949 and hence the year of the Proforma 1949.
5. RESULTS
(i) $235 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 168 |
| 2. | 187 |
| 3. | 430 |
| 4. | 156 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=53.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Wal (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri.

Ref:- Mh. 51(16).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.1.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting. (c) 40 lb ./ac. (d)-. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Wal, 2-K-2. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 27.4.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal crop.
7. Fallow without legume.
8. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Growth not satisfactory due to lack of moisture. (ii) Yield affected by leaf-blight. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Ni).

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $33.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments $0_{0}$ not differ significant $\gtrsim$.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 65 |
| 2. | 79 |
| 3. | 57 |
| 4. | 50 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=15.11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Wal (Rabi).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Katnagiri.

## Ref:- Mh. 52(30).

Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{5}}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.i.1952, (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 15.4.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( $n 0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Wal crop.
5. Fallow in Rabi and Paddy in Kharif.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (ii) 5. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Good growth. (ii) Aphis attack in the young stage. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1955. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $273.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $57.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treament | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 245.0 |
| 2. | 299.5 |
| 3. | 258.6 |
| 4. | 289.2 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=25.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Wal (Rabi). | Ref :-Mh. 53(109). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Ratnagiri. | Type :-'M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Wal grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Wal-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.12.1953. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Nil. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 22.4.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as B.M. applied to Wal.
7. Fallow.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and straw yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1955 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Karjat. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Yes.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $244.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $108.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 190.4 |
| 2. | 307.9 |
| 3. | 223.0 |
| 4. | 256.1 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=48.7 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. |

Crop :- Tur (Kharif).
Site :~ Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Ref:- Mh. 53(198)

Object :-To find out the optimum line to line spacing for Tur crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 9.7.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) E.B-3. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 39.34". (x) 27.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

3 spacings between lines: $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=30^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) 0.54 ac . (b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tur and stalk yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $588 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $183.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 527 |
| $S_{2}$ | 713 |
| $S_{3}$ | 524 |
| S.E./mean | $=74.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop:- Lentils (Rabi). | Ref:- Mh. $48(114)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. | Type :- ${ }^{\text {M }}$ '. |

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Lentils -Paddy. (b) Paddy, (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) Ist week of December 1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing in furrows. (c) $55 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local. (vii, Uairrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 8.82". (x) Ist week of March 1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ji) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Lentils yield. (iv) (a) (Rabi) 1948 to (Kharif) 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $187 \quad$ It./ac.
(ii) $57.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 193 |
| 2. | 215 |
| 3. | 132 |
| 4. | 208 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=25.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:~Lentils (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Ref :-Mh. 49(146)
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Lentils-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 8, 10, 15 and 28.12 .1949 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing. (c) 55 lb ./ac. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local variety. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $7.13^{*}$. x) 22.3 .1950 and 14.1950 .

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control ( $\mathrm{nO} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{c}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Super was applied in the furrows opened by local ploughs just before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was poor in one replication due to excessive moisture. (ii) Nil. (iii) lentils yield. (iv) (a) (Rabi) 1948 to (Kharif) 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $467 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $97.14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield

1. 349
2. 512
3. 463
$4 . \quad 545$
4. S.E./mean $\quad=43.42 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Lentils (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref: : Mh. $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{0 ( 1 6 7 )}$.
Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Lentils-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil.' (b) N.A. (iii) 16.11 .1950 and 11.12.1950. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 planking. (b) Hand sowing in furrows opened by the plough. (c) $55 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local variety, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $5.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.2.1951 and 9.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $n o \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) $25^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Lentils yield. (iv) (a) (Rabi) 1948 to (Kharif) 1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $443 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $164.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gra $n$ in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 377 |
| 2. | 542 |
| 3. | 318 |
| 4. | 536 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=73.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Lentils (Rabi). Ref:- Mh. 51(237).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. Type :~ 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Lentils - Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.11.1951, 5.12.1951 and 14.12.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 planking. (b) Sowing in furrows opened by plough (c) $55 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) Local. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 13.05". (x) 28.2.1952 and 19.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $160 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $29^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(v) 2.5 ' alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth in general was poor due to cloudy weather and continuous rain in 2nd week of February. (ii) Nil. (iii) Lentils yield. (iv) (a) (Rabi) 1948 to (Khary) 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $170 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 58.90 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly,
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 166 |
| 2. | 185 |
| 3. | 122 |
| 4. | 206 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=26.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Lentils (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri
Ref :- Mh. 52(319).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Lentils - Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.11 .1952 to 1.2.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing. (c) $55 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Irregular. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 23.2.1953 to 4.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
5. Failow.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $291.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $82.36 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 243 |
| 2. | 302 |
| 3. | 301 |
| 4. | 320 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=35.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Lentils (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. $53(348)$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Lentils grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Lentils. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 10.11.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing. (c) 55 lb ./ac. (d) Irregular. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 25.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied to Lentils.
5. Fallow.

DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Not good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Ni.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $543 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $99.88 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av . yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 592 |
| 2. | 692 |
| 3. | 474 |
| 4. | 417 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=44.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: $\sim$ Peas (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref:~Mh. 48(15).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Paddy-Peas. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 1st week of December 1948. (iv) a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing in the furrows. (c) 45 lb ./ac. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local variety. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $8.82^{\circ}$. (x) 2 nd week of March, 1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rali.

Super was applied in the furrows opened by local plough just before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ हlround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i Germination in replication 4 was very poor and hence the yield is low. (ii) Nil. (iii) Peas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi) to 1954 (Kharif). (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment planned with 5 replications, but one replication was omitted from analysis due to low yield.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $182.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $65.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av vield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 234 |
| 2. | 128 |
| 3. | 233 |
| 4. | 132 |
| S.E /mean | $=32.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :- Peas (Rabi). Ref :- Mh. 49(20).
Site :- Agri. Res.Stn., Igatpuri. Type :- 'M'.
```

Object : -To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Peas-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a)Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 7 to 15.12 .1949.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowings. (c) $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) L.ocal.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $7.13^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6 to 22.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.

150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow in Rabi.

Super was applied in the furrows opened by local plough just before sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth was normal. (ii) The powdery mildew is a common disease of peas in this tract but its effect was negligible in this season. (iii) Peas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi) to 1954 (Kharif). (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $800.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $165.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av, yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 649 |
| 2. | 777 |
| 3. | 749 |
| 4. | 1027 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=73.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Peas (Rabi).
Site :- Agi. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 50(29).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy -Peas—Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.11.1950. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 planking. (b) Hand sowing in furrows. (c) $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local variety. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 5.01". (x) 24.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) The growth was quite good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Peas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Rabi) to 1954 (Kharif). (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (b) NiL.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $918 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii $3003 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 991 |
| 2. | 906 |
| 3. | 746 |
| 4. | 1030 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=134.2 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |


| Crop :- Peas (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 51(133). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Peas-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.11.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 planking. (b) Sowing in furrows opened by the plough. (c) $45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $13.05^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Due to cloudy weather and continuous rains in the second week of February the crop growth was checked to a considerable extent in the plots which were sown earlier. (ii) Leaf burn disease effected the crop. Mawa pest was also observed. Powdery mildew was also observed on peas during the cloudy days. (iii) Peas yield. (iv) (a) (Rabi) 1948 to (Kharif)1954. (b) and (c) Nil. (v' (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $108 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.12 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 126 |
| 2. | 102 |
| 3. | 113 |
| 4. | 91 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=15.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Peas (Rabi).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.

Ref :- Mh. 52(318).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Peas. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse Deccan trap soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.11.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Sowing in furrows by the plough. (c) $45 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Not fixed, (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 12.79". (x) 20.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow in Rabi.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Good (ii) Attack of pest known as Mawa (Aphis) was observed. Replication 5 was severly affected by this pest and by field rats also. (iii) Peas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $428 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $126.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 391 |
| 2. | 425 |
| 3. | 451 |
| 4. | 445 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=56.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Peas (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 53 (347).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Igatpuri.
Type:- ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crop Peas grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the succeeding cereal crop Paddy.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Paddy-Peas-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow and coarse soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.11 .1953 and 23.11:1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Hand sowing (c):45 lb./ac. (d) Irregular (e)-, (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) Nil. (x) 23.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow.
8. DESIGN :
() R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $25^{\circ} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.

## 4. IT GENERAL :

(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Severe attack of Powdery Mildew and Aphids. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1954. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $545 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 99.88 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

Treatment Av. yield

| 1. | 595 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2. | 693 |
| 3. | 476 |
| 4. | 416 |
| 5. | - |
| S.E./mean | $=44.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sweet Potato (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :~Mh. 51(212).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N, P and K on Sweet Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type soil. (b) Refer soil analyis, Kopergaon. (iii) 10 to 12.12 .1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 8 harrowings. (b) Planting on one side of the ridge. (c) 19360 setts/ac. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) - (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 12 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 30.6.1952 to 15.7.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{ib}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication; 9 plots/block. (iv) (a) $27^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$. (b) $21^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime \prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Mild attack of Aphis. (iii) Yield of Sweet Potato. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) Nil, (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Lay-out N.A., hence analysed as simple factorial.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $7964 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2693 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of K and interactions $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K}$ are significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tuber in lb./ac.

|  | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 7938 | 7072 | 9054 | 8021 | 7884 | 7787 | 8393 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 7484 | 8274 | 8372 | 8043 | 6227 | 8567 | 9335 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 8187 | 7722 | 7581 | 7830 | 8144 | 6964 | 8382 |
| Mean | 7869 | 7689 | 8335 | 7964 | 7418 | 7772 | 8704 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 7624 | 7256 | 7375 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 7050 | 6552 | 9715 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | 8935 | 9260 | 7917 |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table
$=449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $=777 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Sweet Potato (Rabi).
Site : AAgri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:-Mh. 52(202).
Type:-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on Sweet Potato.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 5-6.11.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing by tractor, 1 harrowing cross wise. (b) N.A. (c) 19360 setts/ac. (d) $3^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A.
(v) F.Y.M. spread on 1.6.1952. (vi) C.L. 44. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 26.4.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as G.N.C.: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

P and K applied on 31.10.1952 and 1.11.1952 and N . applied on 16.11.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $75^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $69^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of Aphids. (iii) Potato tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (modified in 1952). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The expt. was laid out as $3^{3}$ confounded but the layout was N.A. Only yield data was available hence analysed as $3^{3}$ R.B.D. Fact.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $7281 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1513 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effcet of N and interactions NP, NK are significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tuber in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 5702 | 7511 | 7994 | 7069 | 6586 | 7700 | 6921 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 6341 | 8803 | 7421 | 7522 | 7208 | 7952 | 7404 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 5925 | 8770 | 7068 | 7254 | 7050 | 6254 | 8457 |
| Mean | 5989 | 8361 | 7494 | 7281 | 6948 | 7302 | 7594 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 5646 | 8184 | 7014 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 6478 | 7845 | 7583 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 5844 | 9054 | 7885 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=356.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=618.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Sweet Potato (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 53(285).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on Sweet Potato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. and 75 lb ./ac. of A/S. (ii) (a) 'A' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 27-10 to 1.11.1953. (iv) (a) 1 crosswise harrowing; 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) 4840 setts/ac. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) C.L. 44. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 5 weedings. (ix) Nil. (x) 15 to 20.4.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=90$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=180 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as G.N.C., $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. applied on 21.10.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $75^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (b) $69^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (vi) $3^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Tuber yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 (modified in 1952). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The expt. was laid out as $3^{3}$ confounded but as the layout was N.A. the expt. was analysed as $3^{3}$ Fact. R.B.D.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3924 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1328 lb /ac.
(iii) Only main effects of N and P are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tuber in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 3044 | 3737 | 3752 | 3511 | 2991 | 4041 | 3502 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | 3122 | 3708 | 4124 | 3651 | 3985 | 3218 | 3751 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | 2864 | 5912 | 5049 | 4608 | 4274 | 4236 | 5315 |
| Mean | 3010 | 4452 | 4308 | 3924 | 3750 | 3832 | 4189 |
| $\mathbf{K}_{0}$ | 2651 | 4907 | 3690 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ | 3414 | 3864 | 4216 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2964 | 4586 | 5018 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =313.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =542.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Tapioca.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Phondaghat.

Ref:- Mh. 53(287).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the optimum combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Tapioca. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Loam, derived from Gniess and laterite. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 st week of June, 1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting seed sets. (c) N.A. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Travancore. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings on 13.7.1953 and 29.9.1953. (ix) N.A. (x) 30.5.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All comtinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=80$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=160 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded. (ii) (a) 3 blocks/replication and 9 plots/block. (b) N.A. (iii) 1. iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $15^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Some plants affected by hedge hover. (iii) Tapioca yiel. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4142 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $2158 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av . yield of tapioca in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 3448 | 3711 | 3986 | 3715 | 4672 | 4375 | 2097 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3769 | 5788 | 4087 | 4548 | 4820 | 4679 | 4145 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3992 | 3535 | 4962 | 4163 | 4948 | 4437 | 3105 |
| Mean | 3736 | 4344 | 4345 | 4142 | 4813 | 4497 | 3116 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2420 | 6695 | 5324 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4154 | 4584 | 4753 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 4636 | 1754 | 4345 |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal means } & =719 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =1246 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Onion (Rabi).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:-Mh. 51(180).
Type: ${ }^{\prime} C$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of size of bulb and spacing on the yield of Onion.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) N.A. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Ridges of furrows at $2 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ depth. Planting in furrows. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments.
(e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $12.84^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 sizes of bulb : $\mathrm{B}_{1}=2^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{B}_{2}=2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}=3^{\prime \prime}$ diameter bulb.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/replication ; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 8 . (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Bulb yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 54.54 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 5.37 ton/ac.
(b) 5.68 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ and $B$ and their interaction are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of onion in ton/ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means
$=1.70$ ton/ac.
2. B marginal means
$=1.64$ ton/ac.
3. B means at the same level of $S$
$=2.84$ ton/ac.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $B$
$=2.79$ ton/ac.

Crop :- Onion.
Site : Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:-Mh. 53(68).
Type:-‘「'.

Object:-To find the effect of different planting dates on the yield of Onion.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (c) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing discing and harrowing to get good tilth. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 16 C L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Red variety of Onion. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one top dressing with $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . (ix) 3.65". (x) $D_{1}$ on 11.3.1954, $D_{2}$ on 13.3.1954, $D_{8}$ on 6.4.1954, $D_{4}$ on 27.4.1954 and $D_{5}$ on 28.4.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 transplanting dates: $\mathrm{D}_{1}=1.9 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{2}=15.9 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{3}=1.10 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{4}=15.10 .1953$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}=1.11 .1953$.
.3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 184 sq. ft. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Bulb yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii; Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 17.37 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.72 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of onion in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 15.92 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 18.94 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 17.54 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ | 16.57 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ | 17.90 |
| S E./mean | $=1.22$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Tomato.
Site : Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 52(157).
Type:- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object: - To find out the best combination of N, P and K to get the maximum yield of Tomato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Suran. (c) 30 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 7.7.1952/26.7.1952 and 3.8.1952. (iv) (a) 1 plough:ng, 1 harrowing and 1 discing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. spread on 1.5.1952. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing, 1 weeding and 1 gap-filling. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6 pickings from 10.9 .1952 to 15.10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.: $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied as top dressing on 6.8.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 27.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 12 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$.
(b) $35^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$. (v) $2 \frac{1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$ airound
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Uniform and normal. (ii) The tops of plants turned blackish. The leaves were curling, spraying with Nicotinia sulphate. (iii) Tomato yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 473 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.27 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tomatoes in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 4.70 | 4.81 | 4.72 | 4.74 | 4.19 | 5.50 | 4.54 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4.25 | 4.36 | 5.08 | 4.56 | 4.99 | 3.66 | 5.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3.93 | 5.22 | 5.49 | 4.88 | 4.90 | 4.94 | 4.79 |
| Mean | 4.29 | 4.80 | 5.09 | 4.73 | 4.69 | 4.70 | 4.79 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 3.92 | 4.90 | 5.26 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4.23 | 4.99 | 4.89 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 4.73 | 4.50 | 5.13 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.24$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=0.42$ ton/ac. |


| Crop :- Tomato. | Ref :- Mh. 53(74). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the best combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K to get the maximum yield of Tomato.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Suran. (c) 30 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium 'black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) $15.5 .1953 / 19.6 .1953$. (iv) (a) Ploughing and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Bonny best. .(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding on 15 th July 1953, 2 interculturings and 3 top dressings in July 1956. (ix) $10.50^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28 and 29.8.1953, 9 and 10.9.1953, 14 to 18.9.1953 and 28 and 29.9.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=4 \mathrm{ulb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 12 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (o) $35^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. v) 1 row all round the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) During August spraying of pyronox $2 \%$. (iii) Tomato yield. (iv) (a) 1751 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3.70 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1.05 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of tomato in ton/ac.


Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.
Ref :- Mh. $50(90)$.
Type :~ 'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable ratio of A/S and G.N.C. in top dressing $N$ with varying doses of basal dressing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 1.12.1950. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 1 harrowing and 1 opening of furrows. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sets/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO-475. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings. (ix) $34^{*}$. (ix) 18 to 22.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D.: $B_{1}=2$ ) and $B_{2}=40$ C.L /ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. each to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N :
$R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=G . N . C$. alone, $R_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{1}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in 2:1 ratio.
Time and method of application N.A.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 1.25 guntha. (b) 0.75 guniha. (v) One row on either side, $4.4^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem borer and top shoot borer observed. Dusting gammaxene. (iii) Germination count and weight, no. of nodes and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 57.89 ton/ac.
(ii) $7.16 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and interaction $R \times B$ are significant. Main effect of $B$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 5071 | 51.08 | 50.89 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 58.37 | 61.59 | 59.98 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 60.94 | 56.20 | 58.57 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 57.78 | 66.47 | 62.12 |
| Mean | 56.95 | 58.83 | 57.89 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of B marginal means | $=1.79$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of R marginal means | $=2.53$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=3.58$ ton/ac. |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref :- Mh. 51(117).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. in top dressing of $N$ with varying doses of basal dressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+tur - Cane. (b) Bajra+tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 20.11.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 2 harrowing, opening of furrows. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sets/ac. (d) between rows $4^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO.475. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings; 2 tagarani. (ix) $31^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. each to supply 375 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=$ G.N.C. alcne $R_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in 2:1 ratio.
Time and method of application N.A.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. '(v) One row on either side; $4.4^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of stem borer and shoot borer observed. Dusting by gammaxene done. (iii) Germination counts, height, growth etc., and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) 60.34 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.61 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of R and B and their interaction are not significaat.
(iv) Av. yield sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 59.50 | 59.65 | 59.57 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 62.15 | 60.18 | 51.16 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 60.39 | 60.77 | 50.58 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 57.54 | 63.43 | 50.48 |
| Mean | 59.89 | 61.00 |  |


| S.E. of B marginal means | $=0.90$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of R marginal means | $=1.27 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.80$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref :- Mh. 52(11).
Type: : ' M '.

Object :-To find out suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. in top dressing of $N$ with varying doses of basal dressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Kharif Mug-Cane-Rabi Jowar. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 31.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harrowing and opening ridges. (b) The buds of the cane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 475. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One light tagarani, one zarthing up; 3 weedings. (ix) $18.04^{\prime \prime} \quad$ ( $x$ ) 13 to 26.3 .1954 .

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of A/S and G.N.C. each to supply $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N: R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=\mathbf{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. alone, $R_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 and $R_{4}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $29.2^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each side of the plot and $4.4^{\prime}$ on each end of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) The attack of stem borer was severe specially on tillers in May; attack of top shoot borer. (iii) Germination; tillers; borer counts; height, inter nodes etc. and yield or cane. (iv) (a) 1950-1952, 1954 to 1956. (b) Not in the lst cycle. Treatments are assigned to the same plot during second cycle.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Lakhmapur, Deolali, Padegaon and Kopergaon, (b) N.A. Ivi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 50.34 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.95 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of B alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 30.68 | 44.63 | 37.66 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 47.65 | 52.82 | 50.24 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 60.89 | 56.05 | 58.47 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 5264 | 57.33 | 54.99 |
| Mean | 47.96 | 52.71 | 50.34 |
| S.E. of B marginal means |  | $=1.24$ ton/ac. |  |
| S.E. of R marginal mean |  | $=1.75$ ton/ac. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=2.48$ ton/ac. |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Pre-seasonal).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref :-Mh. 53(203).
Type :- ' M '

Object :-To find out a suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. i top dressing of N with varying doses of basal dressing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

- (i) (a) Chinamug-Pre-seasonal Sugarcane-Rabi Jowur. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $D$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 16.11.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harrowings and ridging. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) As under treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One light fagarani, one earthing up and4 weedings. (ix) $19.19^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 22 to 28.2.1955.


## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to G.N.C. each to supply $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N :
$R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio. Time and method of application N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $38^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $29.2^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each side of the plot and $4.4^{\prime}$ on each end of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal, no lodging. (ii) The attack of stem bcrer was upto $15 \%$. The attack of the top shoot borer was upto $5 \%$. The affected shoots were cut and destroyed. (iii) Germination, tillering, borer counts, heights, girth, interondes etc. and yield of cane (iv) (a) 1950-1952 and 1954-1956. (b) Treatments are assigned to the same plots during second cycle but not in the first cycle. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Lakbmapur, Padegaon, Deolali and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 46.67 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.12 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and $B$ are highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 27.81 | 45.85 | 36.83 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 44.33 | 53.56 | 48.94 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 53.44 | 57.63 | 55.53 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 41.25 | 49.54 | 45.39 |
| Mean | 41.71 | 51.64 |  |
|  |  | $=1.53$ ton/ac. |  |
| S.E. of $\mathbf{B}$ marginal means |  | $=2.15$ ton/ac. |  |
| S.E. of $\mathbf{R}$ marginal means | $=3.06$ ton/ac. |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.<br>Ref :-Mh. 50(91).<br>Type : ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with two levels of top dressing for Adsali crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra, Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra, Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 20.8.1950. (iv) (a) Opening ridges and furrows, and harrowing (b) N.A. (c) 10000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, one in October, other in December. Earthing up on 11.4.1952; tagrani on 22.10.1950. (ix) $34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.1.1952 to 22.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinatiors of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super : $M_{1}=$ Placement at surface, $M_{2}=$ Placement half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ Placement at the base of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in ratio 1:2.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1.0 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 65.95 ton ac .
(ii) (a) 6.42 ton/ac.
( 5 ) 396 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ and interaction $P M$ are significant while main effect of $M$ is not significant. Main effect of $N$ is significant and interaction main $\times$ sub is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 59.33 | 64.98 | 62.15 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 64.74 | 66.93 | 65.83 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 66.40 | 67.00 | 66.70 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 64.13 | 75.23 | 69.68 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 74.39 | 76.33 | 75.36 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 61.93 | 63.56 | 62.77 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 66.53 | 66.94 | 66.73 |
| Mean | 65.36 | 68.71 | 65.95 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $=3.20$ ton/ac.
2. means in the same column (except 1st row)
$=4.35$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
3. means in the 1st row
$=1.86$ ton/ac.
4. means in the same column, one of the means being in the Ist row
$=3.55$ ton/ac.
S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
$=1.51$ ton/ac.
S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean
$=2.62$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=: 0.76$ ton/ac.

Crop :-Sugarcane.<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.<br>Ref :-Mh. 51(116).<br>Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the placement of phosphoric acid together with N manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra-Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 23.8 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 1 harrowings, making and opening of ridges and furrows. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sets/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost. (vi) C0.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 3 tagranis. (ix) 19". (x) 30.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super : $\mathbf{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of $N$ : A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1.2 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Stem bores $10 \%$; top borer $5 \%$. (iii) Total no. of millable sugarcane, water shoots and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Deolali, Lakhmapur and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 78.26 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 5.66 ton/ac.
(b) 3.58 ton/ac.
(iii) Only level of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is significant. All other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 72.47 | 76.49 | 74.48 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 77.52 | 77.56 | 77.54 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 79.63 | 82.27 | 80.95 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 77.29 | 77.78 | 76.04 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 84.04 | 82.04 | 33.06 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 83.13 | 81.35 | 82.24 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 80.32 | 81.72 | 31.20 |
| Mean | 78.20 | 79.88 | 78.26 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except lst row)
$=2.91$ ton/ac.
2. means in the same column (except lst row)
$=3.86$ ton/ac.
3. means in the Ist row
4. mean in the same column, one of the means being in the 1st row
S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal mean
S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=1.68$ ton/ac.
$=3.15 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=1.33$ ton/ac.
$=2.31$ ton/ac.
$=0.67$ ton/ac.

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref : $\mathrm{mh} .52(379)$.
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, applied at different depths and N on Sugarcane yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Tur + Bajra-Sugarcane. (b) Tur +Bajra. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'D' type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 6.8.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowings, discing and ridging. (b) Planting in ridges and furrows. (c) 10000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) 11.03". (x) 30.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2. 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $N: A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
4. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replications ; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) $3 . \quad$ (iv (a)
$54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Slight lodging. (ii) Stem borer $2.5 \%$, top borer shoots $2.0 \%$, mild attack of pyrilla. (iii) Germination, tillering, girth and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) 72.32 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 6.70 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 4.45 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of M is significant. Control vs others, main effect of P and interaction PM are not significant. Main effect of N is highly significant while the interaction main $\times$ sub is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 70.04 | 74.07 | 72.05 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 69.23 | 76.20 | 72.71 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 72.60 | 79.50 | 76.05 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 67.43 | 70.33 | 68.88 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 72.53 | 75.23 | 73.88 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 77.43 | 76.17 | 76.80 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 64.79 | 68.03 | 66.41 |
| Mean | 70.46 | 74.18 |  |
|  |  |  | 72.32 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $=3.64 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. means in the same column (except 1st row) $\quad=4.64$ ton/ac.
3. means in the 1st row $\quad=1.63$ ton/ac.
4. means in the same column, one of the means being in the 1st row $=3.79$ ton/ac. $S$ E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
$=1.58$ ton/ac.
S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean
$=2.73 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ae}$.
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=0.86 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref: Mh. 53(380).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, applied at different depths and N on Sugarcane yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'D' type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 10.8.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing, discing and ridging etc. (b) Planting in ridges and furrows. (c) 10,000 sets/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost. (vi) Co.419, (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings. (ix) $20.19^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4.2.195s.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 mtehods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{8}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathbf{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of N : A/S and G.N.C. in 1: 2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-piot (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) One row kept. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Slight lodging. (ii) Stemborer; 2 to $2.5 \%$; top-shoot; 1 to $5 \%$; mild attack of pyrilla noticed.
(il) Germination, tillering height, girth and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) and (c) No. (v)
(a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhampur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 67.93 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 7.68 ton/ac.
(b) 3.96 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $P$ and $M$ and interaction $P M$ and control vs. others are not significant. Main effect of N is highly significant. Interaction "main $\times$ sub" is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 64.55 | 69.56 | 67.05 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 68.48 | 72.83 | 70.66 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 68.52 | 74.88 | 71.70 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 62.91 | 69.68 | 66.29 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 57.13 | 73.23 | 65.18 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 62.73 | 71.66 | 67.19 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 68.11 | 70.15 | 69.13 |
| Mean | 64.62 | 71.24 | 67.93 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. means in the same row (except lst row) | $=3.23$ ton/ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. means in the same column (except 1st row) | $=4.98$ ton/ac. |
| 3. means in the ist row | $=1.87$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in the same column, one of the means being in the 1st row | $=4.07$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal mean | $=1.81$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean | $=3.14$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=0.76$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane. Ref:-Mh. 48(76).

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj. Type:-'M'.
Object :-To find out the ratio of inorganic to organic manures in the itop dressing of $N$ on Sugarcane with and without basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Nilwa-Gram-Cotton-Bajra.) (b) Cotton-Bajra. (c) Nil, (ii) (a).'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 25.1.1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowings and weedings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2,3 weedings, 1 light earthing up and final earthing up. (ix) 21.78". (x) 23.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)?
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.M.: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
Each ratio to supply $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{N}$ is top-dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) 1.25 gunthas. (b) 0.75 gunthas. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Stemborer 15 to $16 \%$. (ii) Germination and tillering percentages, height, girth and internodes of sugarcane ; total no. of cane total weight and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1941 to 1949 . (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhmapur. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 37.24 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.74 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $B$ and $R$ and their interaction are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 38.23 | 47.58 | 42.90 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 31.18 | 43.81 | 37.49 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 33.03 | 41.96 | 37.49 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 26.00 | 35.66 | 30.83 |
| Mean | 32.11 | 42.25 | 37.24 |


| S.E. of $B$ marginal mean | $=0.94$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | $=1.32$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.87$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 49(109).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object:-To find out the ratio of inorganic to organic manures in top dressing of $N$ on Sugarcaae with and without basal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Nilwa-Gram-Cotton+Bajra. (b) Cotton+Bajra. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) January 1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings; harrowings, ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated.' (viii) $2-3$ weedings; one light earthing up and final earthing up.
: (ix) $23.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) March 1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 1 ; R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
Each ratio to supply 300 lb ./ac. of N ; N top dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 1.25 gunthas, (b) 0.75 gunthas, (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Stem borer-10 to $15 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering \%, height, girth×and internodes of canc. Total no. of canes and total wt. of cane. (iv) (a) 1941 to 1949, (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali, Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref:- Mh. 50(70).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To find out asuitable ratio of $A / S$ to cake for top dressing $N$ with varying doses of basal manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A (iii) October 1950.
(iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.71^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3rd week of February 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio and $R_{4}=G . N . C$. alone.
Each ratio to supply $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . Manures applied at sowing by broadcast.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ row on either side, $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination \% ; milleable and non-millable sugarcane count, height in inches, and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakbmapur and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 46.15 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.47 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ is not significant. Main effect of $R$ and the interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 41.86 | 39.98 | 40.92 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 51.49 | 44.29 | 47.89 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 46.77 | 50.64 | 48.70 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 46.95 | 47.23 | 47.09 |
| Mean | 46.77 | 45.53 | 46.15 |
| S.E. of B marginal mean |  |  | $=1.62 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .$ |
| S.E. of R marginal mean |  |  | $=2.28 \text { ton } / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=3.23 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref:- Mh. 51(81).
Type:- 'M'.

Objeet:-To find out a suitable ratio of $A / S$ to G.N.C. for top-dressing $N$ with varying doses of basal ranure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tura. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.10.1951.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 clod crushing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A.
(v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 1 gap-filling. (ix) $8.5^{\circ}$.
(x) 15.2.1951.
2. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 1.25 gunthas. (b) 0.75 gunthas. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
3. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Stemborer 15 to $16 \%$. (ii) Germination and tillering percentages, height, girth and internodes of sugarcane ; total no. of cane total weight and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1941 to 1949. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
4. RESULTS :
(i) $37.24 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3.74 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $B$ and $R$ and their interaction are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 38.23 | 47.58 | 42.90 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 31.18 | 43.81 | 37.49 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 33.03 | 41.96 | 37.49 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 26.00 | 35.66 | 30.83 |
| Mean | 32.11 | 42.25 | 37.24 |


| S.E. of B marginal mean | $=0.94$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $R$ marginal mean | $=1.32$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.87$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 49(109).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out the ratio of inorganic to organic manures in top dressing of N on Sugarcane with and without basal.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Nilwa-Gram-Cotton+Bajra. (b) Cotton+Bajra. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $D$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) January 1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings; harrowings, ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated: (viii) 2-3 weedings; one light earthing up and final earthing up.
(ix) 23.6 f $^{\prime \prime}$. (x) March 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C.: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to $G . N . C$. in 1:2 and $R_{4}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
Each ratio to supply 300 lb ./ac. of N ; N top dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) 1.25 gunthas., (b) 0.75 gunthar. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Stem borer-10 to $15 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering $\%$, height; girth and internodes of cane. Total no. of canes and total wt. of cane. (iv) (a), 1941 to 1949 . (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali, Lakhmapur. "(b) N.A. "(vi) and" (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 40.20 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.88 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $B$ and $R$ are significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref :- Mh. 48(28).
Type :~' M '.

Object : -To find out a suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top-dressing $N$ with and without basal dressing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nilwa-Gram-Cotton-Sugarcane. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'C' type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) January 1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $39.21^{\prime \prime}$. (x) First week of March 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C in $1: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2:!$ ratio.
Amount of N : N.A.; N top dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a).8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side ; $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Cane yield; no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1941 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 21.31 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.87 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $B$ and $R$ and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 21.88 | 22.72 | 22.30 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 19.85 | . 19.96 | 19.90 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 19.25 | 22.61 | 20.93 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 22.25 | 21.98 | 22.12 |
| Mean | 20.81 | 21.82 | 21.31 |
|  | S.E. of B marginal mean $=0.72 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> S.E. of R marginal mean $=1.01 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> S.E. of body of table $=1.44 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref:- Mh. 49(43). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali. | Type:- 'M'. |

Object:-To find out a suitable ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to G.N.C. for top dressing N with varying doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nilwa-Gram-Cotton-Sugarcane. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 3rd week of January 1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 barrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{f}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings. (ix) $26.52^{n}$. (x) 1st week of March 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
Amount of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25.5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ row on either side ; $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane jeld, no. of tillers. (iv) (a) $1941-1949$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. 5 RESULTS :

(i) 22.29 ton/ac.
(ii) $2.93 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ and $R$ and their :nteraction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 22.71 | 22.56 | 22.63 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 21.44 | 21.21 | 21.32 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 23.42 | 21.54 | 22.48 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 21.46 | 23.95 | 22.71 |
| Mean | 22.26 | 22.32 | 22.29 |
| S.E. of B marginal mean S.E. of $R$ marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  | $=0.73 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .$ |  |
|  |  | $=1.03 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  | $=1.47 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref: Mh. 50(70).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To find out asuitable ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to cake for top dressing N with varying doses of basal manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) October 1950.
(iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) as per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.71^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3 rd week of February 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1 \quad R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio and $R_{4}=G . N . C$. alone.
Each ratio to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N. Manures applied at sowing by broadcast.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. b) $32^{\prime} \times 25.5^{\prime}$. (v) $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ row on either side, $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination \% ; milleable and non-millable sugarcanc count, height in inches. and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakhmapur and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 46.15 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.47 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ is not significant. Main effect of $R$ and the interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Kes. Stn., Deolali.

Ref: Mh. 51(81).
Type:- 'M'.

Objeet :-To find out a suitable ratio of $A / S$ to G.N.C. for top-dressing $N$ with varying doses of basal vanure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tura. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $G$ ' type. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.10.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 clod crushing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e: N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 1 gap-filling. (ix) $8.5^{\circ}$.
(x) 15.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D.: $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{1}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.NC. in $1: 2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each ratio to supply $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and 4,25' at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination \%, milleable and non-milleable sugarcane; av. height and yield data. (iv) (a) $1950-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 31.04 ton/ac.
(ii) 9.75 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of B and R and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site : $\sim$ Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref:- Mh. 52(10).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out a suitable method of manuring for Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Bajra+Tur-Chinamug-Sugarcane. (b) Chinamug in Kharif. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Type ' $G$ ' as per genetic classification of soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.10 .1952 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing, mixing, opening ridges, planting, earthing etc. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to garminate under soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, mixing and earthing. (ix) $25.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in 1:2 and $R_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each ratio to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v One row or each side and $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ side ways. (vi) Yes.

## 5. GENERAL :

(i) No lodging. (ii) (a) Attack of top-shoot-borer, stem-borer and pyrilla. (mechanical control;. (iii) Germination, tillering, milleable sugarcane counts, borer counts, growth observation, ripeness counts and weight of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1950-56 (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Aklui, Lakhmapur and Pacegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 31.75 ton/ac.
(ii) $4.42 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of $\mathbf{R}$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 25.72 | 25.59 | 25.66 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 30.62 | 34.03 | 32.32 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 36.88 | 32.94 | 34.91 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 32.39 | 35.79 | 31.09 |
| Mean | 31.40 | 32.09 | 31.75 |


| S.E. of B marginal mean | $=1.10$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | -1.55 ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=2.21$ :on/ac. |

Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref:-Mh. 53(201).
Type:-‘M'.

Object:-To tind the suitable method of manuring for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Bajra+Tur-Chinanug -Sugarcane. (b) Chinamug in Kharf. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type according to genetic classification of soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 20.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, barrowings, and opening ridges. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate in the soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) Adsali sugarcane CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, mixing and earthing etc (ix) $31.76^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{x})$ 25.2. 1955 to 3.3.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each ratio to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N .

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) One row of cane on each side and $4 l^{\prime}$ side ways. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Top-shoot-borer, stemborer; pyrilla (mechanical control). (iii) Germinarion, tillering, borer counts, milleable, sugarcane counts, growth observation, ripeness and yield of sugarcane by weight. (iv) (a) $1950-1956$ (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakhmapur, Kopergaon and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 44.24 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.83 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the main effect of $R$ is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 35.79 | 38.92 | 37.35 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 45.87 | 40.56 | 43.21 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 47.91 | 46.00 | 46.95 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 50.22 | 48.72 | 49.47 |
| Mean | 44.95 | 43.55 | 44.24 |


| S.E. of B marginal mean | $=1.20$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | $=1.69$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=2.41$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref :-Mh. 50(71).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with two levels of N as top-dressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) October 1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sett/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. broadcasted before sowing. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 27.71". (x) 3rd week of Feb. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
All compinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to G.N.C. in ratio $1: 2$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 (iv) (a) $54.45^{\prime} \times 3 \%^{\prime}$. (b) $45.45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) 1 row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination counts. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 4864 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 7.87 ton/ac.
(b) 7.01 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $P$ and $M$ and their interaction are not significant. Sub-plot treatments and interaction 'main $\times$ sub' are also not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 44.36 | 48.18 | 46.27 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 49.90 | 42.17 | 45.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 52.14 | 50.01 | 51.07 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 42.45 | 48.75 | 45.60 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 50.52 | 50.36 | 50.44 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 52.55 | 46.66 | 49.60 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 55.50 | 56.76 | 56.13 |
| Mean | 48.46 | 48.81 | 48.64 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $\quad=5.72$ ton/ac.
2. means in the same column (except 1st row) $\quad=6.08$ ton/ac.
3. means in the lst row
4. means in the same column, one of the means being in the 1st row S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
S.E. of any P.M combination marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=3.30 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=4.97$ ton/ac.
$=1.05 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=3.21$ ton/ac.
$=1.34$ ton/ac.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref: Mh. 51(82).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of $\mathbf{P}$ manure with different methods of placement in combination with two levels of N .

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra+Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A. (ii) 23.8.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sett/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 1 gap filling. (ix) $8.5^{\circ}$. (x) 1.10.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of 1 ) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} ; \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of $N=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.45^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$ (b) $45.45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ row of sugarcane on each side, $4.5^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Germination count, tillering no., mileable sugarcane count. (iv) (a) 1950-1954.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Lakhmapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 44.64 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) (a) $9.37 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $4.47 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $P$ and $M$ and their interaction are not significant. Sub-plot treatments and interaction 'main $\times$ sub' are also not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\cdot$ | 42.50 | 43.81 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 47.38 | 47.42 | 43.16 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 51.07 | 47.03 | 47.40 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 42.24 | 37.42 | 49.05 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 49.05 | 45.20 | 39.83 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 42.80 | 41.36 | 47.12 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 45.53 | 48.13 | 42.08 |
| Mean | 45.06 | 44.22 | 46.83 |
|  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $=3.64$ ton/ac.
2. means in the same column (except 1st row)
$=5.99$ ton/ac.
3. means in the 1st row
$=2.11$ ton/ac.
4. means in the same column, one of the means being in the 1st row
S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal means
$=4.89 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=2.20 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$
$\doteq 3.82$ ton/ac.
$=0.86$ ton/ac.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref:- Mh. 52(109).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the response of Sugarcane to the varying quantities of $\mathbf{P}$ manures with different placements at two levels of N .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Bajra+Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $G$ ' type according to genetic classification of soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 9.8 .1952 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harrowing, opening ridges, earthing etc. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$. of compost was added in furrows before planting. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, application of N as top dressing, mixing and earthing up twice etc. (ix) $25.6^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block ; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a)
$54.45^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each side and $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ side ways. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) No lodging. (ii) Attack of top-shoot-borer, stem-borer, pyrilla, mealy bugs, etc. (iii) Germination, tillering, borer counts, milleable sugarcane counts, ripeness studies and yield of sugarcane in each plot.
(iv) (a) 1951-1955. (3 adsali crops).
(b) N.A.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Lakhmapur, Akluj. Pacegaon and

Kolhapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 46.01 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 5.92 ton/ac.
(b) $3.35 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) a ain effects of $P$ and $M$ and their interaction are not significant ; sub-plot treativents and interaction 'main $\times$ sub' are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 46.16 | 45.52 |  | 45.83 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 43.71 | 46.92 | 45.32 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 43.71 | 47.58 | 45.64 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 45.60 | 50.76 | 48.18 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 41.81 | 50.62 | 46.21 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 39.11 | 46.96 | $\vdots$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 48.91 | 47.57 | 43.03 |  |
| Mean | 44.59 | 47.44 | 48.24 |  |
|  |  |  | 46.01 |  |

S E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row; $\quad=2.73 \mathrm{tor} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. meins in tre same column (except 1st row) $\quad=3.93$ ion $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
3. means in the 1st row
4. means in the same columa, one being in the 1st row
S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal mean

SE. of aiy PM combination marginal mean
$=1.58 \mathrm{tor} / \mathrm{ac}$
$=331 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$S . E$ of $N$ marginal mean
$=2.42 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=0.64 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn.، Deolali.

Ref :- Mh. 53(162).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the response of Sugarcane to varying quantities of P manures with different methods of placement at two levels of $N$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a Sugarcane Bajra+Tur mixture-Sugarcanc. (b) Bajra+Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'G' type according to genetic classification of soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.8 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughines, cl d crusbing, harrowing, opening ridges and earthing (b) to (e) N A. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$. of F.Y.M was applied in furrows before planting. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding, watering, mixing, earthing up etc. (ix) $31.76^{\circ}$. (x) 28.1.1955 to 9.2.195\%.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{fb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of $N$ : A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.45^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.45^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) One row of sugarcane each side and $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ side ways. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Top-shoot-borers, stem-borer, pyrilla and mealy bugs. (iii) (jermination, tillering, borer counts, milleable sugarcane counts, ripeness studies, and yield of cane. (iv) (a) 1951-1955 (4 adsali crops). (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 50.72 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.43 ton/ac.
(b) $6.21 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 50.74 | 52.58 | 51.66 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 49.26 | 51.08 | 50.17 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 45.45 | 54.32 | 49.88 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 49.70 | 46.77 | 48.24 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 49.08 | 49.96 | 49.52 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 51.89 | 51.83 | 51.86 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 48.01 | 55.58 | 51.79 |
| Mean | 49.51 | 51.92 | 50.72 |

S.E. of the difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $\quad=5.07 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. means in the same column (except 1st row) $\quad==4.40 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. means in the 1st row
4. means in the same column, one of means being in the 1st row $=2.93 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
$=3.60$ ton/ac.
S.E. of any PM, combination marginal mean
$=1.04 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=1.81$ ton/ac.
S.E. of N marginal mean
$:=1.19$ ton/ac.

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Rtn., Kolhapur.

Ref:- Mh. 50(59).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top dressing of $N$ for sugarcane with varying quantities of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-fallow. (b) Fallow. (c) N.A. (il) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 8.12.1950.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 12500 sett/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) As per treat. ments. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings, interculturings by cultivators. (ix) $18.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Last week of February.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4) +6 extra treatments.
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$, and $F_{1}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 3 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=1: 1, R_{2}=1: 2$ and $R_{3}=2: 1$.

## 6 extra treatments are :-

## All combinations of (1) and (2)

(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 applications of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{T}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$,/ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+00$ $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 30 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs. (iii) Germination counts, beight and yield of cane.(iv) (a) 1950-N.A. (b) Ist year of expt. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nl.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 50.91 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.21 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects and interactions is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Two-way table for the 6 extra treatments :-

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 48.59 | 51.20 | 56.92 | 52.24 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 49.66 | 49.35 | 47.50 | 48.84 |
| Mean | 49.12 | 50.28 | 52.21 | 50.54 |


| S.E. of P, N or F marginal means | $=1.47 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | $=1.80 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of PF, PN or NF table | $=2.08 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of PR, FR or NR table | $=2.55 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of T marginal mean | $=3.61 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of N marginal (for NT table) | $=2.94 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NT table | $=5.09$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 51(145).
Type :^ ' M '.

Object :-To study the ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. for top dressing N for Sugarcane with varying quantities of basal manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-ratoon-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 17.11.1951.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings by tractor. (b) N.A. (c) 12,000 setts/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO-419. (mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) 20.53". (x) 31.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4) +6 extra treatments.
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 3 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=1: 1, R_{2}=1: 2$ and $R_{3}=2: 1$.

6 extra treatments are :-
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}:$ (Factory schedules) $\mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 treatments: $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

Time and method of application : N.A.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 30 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) one row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs noticed. (iii) No. of tillers, germination count and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-51 to 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 44.87 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.53 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | . $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 43.91 | 43.13 | 43.52 | 42.71 | 44.33 | 43.20 | 44.08 | 43.33 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 44.43 | 47.60 | 46.02 | 45.19 | 46.84 | 43.38 | 48.04 | 46.61 |
| Mean | 44.17 | 45.36 | 44.87 | 43.95 | 45.59 | 43.26 | 46.07 | 44.97 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 42.88 | 43.64 | 43.26 | 41.37 | 45.15 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 44.03 | 48.10 | 46.07 | 46.69 | 45.44 |  |  |  |
|  | 45.60 | 44.34 | 44.97 | 43.79 | 46.15 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 44.26 | 43.63 | 43.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 44.08 | 47.09 | 45.59 |  |  |  |  |  |

Two-way table for 6 extra treatments.

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 47.86 | 44.57 | 40.56 | 44.33 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 44.92 | 48.91 | 44.82 | 46.22 |
| Mean | 46.39 | 46.74 | 42.69 | 45.27 |


| S.E. of P, N or F marginal mean | $=1.54$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | $=1.88$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of PF, PN or NF table | $=2.17 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of PR, FR or NR table | $=2.66 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of T marginal mean | $=3.76 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of N marginal mean (NT table) | $=2.17 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NT table | $=5.32 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Sugarcane.

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

## Ref :~Mh. 52(177).

Type:m' M '.

Object :-To study the ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top dressing of $N$ for Sugarcane with varying quantities of basal manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-ratoor-fallow. (b) Paddy. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 5.11.1952. (iv)
(a) 2 ploughing with tractor, clod crushing and discing. (b) N.A. (c) 468 setts/plot. (d) 3.25 between rows.
(e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419: (mid-late). (vii) 15 irrigations at 10 days interval. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) $37.57^{\prime \prime}$, (x) 20.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4) +6 extra treatments.
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 3 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=1: 1, R_{2}=1: 2$ and $R_{3}=2: 1$.

6 extra treatments are :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ (Factory schedules) : $N_{1}=270$ and $N_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 treatments : $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

Time and method of application-N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 30 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of stem borer and mealy bugs. (iii) Germination and tillerirg counts. (iv) (a) 1950-51 to 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 44.93 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.64 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 44.35 | 43.47 | 43.91 | 45.14 | 42.68 | 44.33 | 44.46 | 42.93 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 45.83 | 47.37 | 46.60 | 45.49 | 47.71 | 44.62 | 47.83 | 47.34 |
| Mean | 45.09 | 45.42 | 44.93 | 45.31 | 45.19 | 44.48 | 46.15 | 45.14 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 43.82 | 45.13 | 44.48 | 45.35 | 43.60 | . |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 44.62 | 47.67 | 46.15 | 46.96 | 45.32 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 46.83 | 43.44 | 45.14 | 43.62 | 46.66 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 44.92 | 45.71 | 45.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 45.26 | 45.13 | 45.19 |  |  |  |  |  |

Two-way table for 6 extra treatments :-

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 47.86 | 43.99 | 39.92 | 43.92 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 42.70 | 44.62 | 42.54 | 43.29 |
| Mean | 45.28 | 44.30 | 41.23 | 43.60 |

S.E. of $P, N$ or $F$ marginal mean $\quad=1.15$ ton/ac.
S.E. of $R$ marginal mean $=1.41$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of PF, PN or NF table $\quad=1.63$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of PR,FR or NR table $\quad=1.99$ ton/ac.
S.E. of $T$ marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean (NT table)
S.E. of body of NT table

$$
=2.82 \text { ton/ac. }
$$

$=2.30$ ton/ac.
$=3.99$ ton/ac.
-

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

Ref:~Mh. 53(197).
Type : " ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To find out the ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ to $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. for N top dressing with various quantities of basal and phosphatic manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 2 bags of paddy mixture. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) N.A.
(iii) 14.12.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor, clod crushing, discing, opening ridges and furrows.
(b) and (c) N.A. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) Compost at $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (vi) CO-419 (mid-1 le ).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) 43.03'. (x) 7.1.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4) +6 extra treatments.
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=10$ C.L./ac.
(4) 3 ratios of A/S to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=1: 1, R_{2}=1: 2$ and $R_{3}=2: 1$.

## 6 extra treatments are :

## All combinations of (1) and (2)

(1) 2 levels of N : (Factory schedules) $\mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=320 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 treatments : $\mathrm{T}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}+100 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 30 . (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) Little attack of top borers and mealy bugs was seen. No measures taken. (iii) Germination counts, no. of tillers and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) Yes (c) N.A. (v) (aland (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 36.27 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.53 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 30.65 | 34.73 | 32.69 | 28.81 | 36.48 | 29.42 | 32.77 | 35.87 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 41.16 | 41.10 | 41.13 | 41.24 | 41.31 | 43.48 | 39.80 | 40.53 |
| Mean | 35.90 | 37.91 | 36.91 | 35.02 | 38.90 | 36.45 | 36.29 | 38.20 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 34.24 | 38.66 | 36.45 | 32.07 | 40.83 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 36.27 | 36.30 | 36.29 | 36.27 | 36.30 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 37.19 | 39.20 | 38.20 | 36.72 | 39.68 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 35.35 | 34.70 | 35.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 36.45 | 41.43 | 38.94 |  |  |  |  |  |

Two way table for 6 extra treatments :

|  | $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 26.06 | 25.53 | 36.89 | 29.49 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 36.76 | 38.03 | 37.28 | 37.39 |
|  | $\cdots 1.41$ | 31.78 | 37.08 | 33.42 |

S.E. of $P, N$ or $F$ marginal mean
S.E. of $R$ marginal mean
S.E. of body of PF, PN or NF table
S.E. of body of PR, FR or NR table
S.E. of $T$ marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean (NT table)
S.E. of body of NT table
$=1.125$ ton/ac.
$=1.385$ ton/ac.
$=1.590$ ton/ac.
$=1.950$ ton/ac.
$=2.815$ ton/ac.
$=2.257$ ton/ac.
$=3.910$ ton/ac

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn, Kolhapur.

Ref :- Mh. 51(61).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the influence of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the growth of Sugarcane iwith dhaincha as green manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Sugarcane. (b) Sugarcane. (c) $5 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+1$ ton/ac. of cake. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 1 st week of August 1951. Ratooning on 16.9.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, cloderushing and discing.(b) N.A. (c) 12,500 setts/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost at the time of planting $+270 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in the ratio of $1: 2$. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) 20.83'. (x) January, 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 100 lb .jac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sugarcane.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
6. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
7. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to dhaincha G.M.
8. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to Dhaincha G.M.
(Dhaincha G.M. applied to sugarcane).
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} .9^{\prime \prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either: side. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) The general condition of the crop was good. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs noticed. (iii) No. of tillers, height and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $33.07 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 5.96 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iii) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 31.65 |
| 2. | 33.19 |
| 3. | 27.98 |
| 4. | 26.67 |
| 5. | 38.68 |
| 6. | 40.26 |
| S.E./mean | $=2.78$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(73).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the influence of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the growith of Sugarcane. with Dhaincha as G.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 cwt ./ac. of $A / S+1$ ton/ac. of cake. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.9.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing and discing. (b) N.A. (c) 12,500 setts/ac. (d) 3.25 between rows. (e) - (v) $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost at the time of planking +270 lb . lac : of N as A/S+G.N.C. in the ratio of $1: 2$. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (iv) $37.57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 23.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no manure).
2. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sugarcane.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
5. 10 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to dhaincha G.M.
6. 100 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to dhaincha G.M.

Dhaincha G.M. applied to sugarcane.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side $4.8^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general condition of the crop was good. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs noticed. (iii) Germination counts, no. of tillers, growth observation and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 34.04 ton/ac
(ii) 5.34 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 37.93 |
| 2. | 30.05 |
| 3. | 35.51 |
| 4. | 39.00 |
| 5. | 33.48 |
| 6. | 28.27 |
| S.E./mean | $=2.67$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

Ref :mMh. 53(143). Type:- M'.

Object :-To study the response of sugarcane to application of potash and phosphatic fertilisers with Dhaincha as green manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 2 bags/ac. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Deep black soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 79.1953 . (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor, clod crushing, harrowing etc. (b) N.A. (c) 12,500 setts/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) $275 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 2$ ratio. (vi) $\mathrm{CO}-419$ (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) $61.5^{*}$. (x) 16.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sugarcane.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
4. 100 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to sugarcane.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to dhaincha $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$.
6. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$. ac . of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied to dhaincha $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{M}$.

Dhaincha G.M. applied to sugarcane. Time and method of application-N.A.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $45.5^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs noticed. (iii) Germination counts, no. of tillers, growth observation and yield data. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) Y.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 45.62 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.46 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 38.45 |
| 2. | 48.28 |
| 3. | 43.10 |
| 4. | 42.80 |
| S. | 50.58 |
| 6. | 50.52 |
| S.E./mean | $=4.23$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane. Ref:- Mh. 51(62).

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur, Type :m'.
Object:-To study the effect of slaked lime and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on growth of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 5 cwt./ac. of $A / S+1$ ton/ac. of cake. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) October 1951. Date N.A. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 1 clod crushing and discing. (b) N.A. (c) 12,500 setts/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) Dhaincha as G.M. $+270 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N topdressed in the form of A/S + G.N.C. in the ratio of $1: 2$ in 4 doses; 8 to 10 weeks after earthing up. (vi) Co. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 hand-weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) $37.5^{\prime \prime}$ (x) 20.12 .1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. 560 lb ./ac. of lime.
5. $560 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of lime +100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side, 4.5' at either end. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Affected due to floods. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs noticed. (iii) Germination counts, tillers, growth observations and yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) 51.12 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.11 too/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 52.37 |
| 2. | 47.87 |
| 3. | 53.12 |
| S.E./mean | $=3.55$ ton/ac: |

```
Crop :~ Sugarcane.
Ref:- Mh. 53(137).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.
Type :~' \(M\) '.
```

Object :-To study the effect of lime and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the growth of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) $5 \mathrm{cwt} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+1$ ton/ac. of cake. (ii) (a) Black clayey soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.12 .1953 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, clod-crushing and discing. (b) N.A. (c) 12,500 setts/ac. (d) $3.25^{\prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) Dhaincha as G.M. +270 lb ./ac. of N top-dressed in the form of A/S + G.N.C. in ratio of $1: 2$ in 4 doses, 8 weeks after carthing up. (vi) CO .419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 hand weedings and 3 interculturings. (ix) $61.5^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 31.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. $560 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of lime.
3. 560 lb ./ac. of lime +100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super ; Lime as slaked lime.
4. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D.
(ii) (a) 3.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.9^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$.
(b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
5. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of mealy bugs and attack of leaf spots noticed. (iii) Gemination, tillering count, growth observation and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Not known. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
6. RESULTS :
(i) 34.48 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.42 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
Treatment Av. yjeld
$1 . \quad 32.98$
7. 33.93
8. $\quad 36.54$
S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=1.71$ ton/ac.

Crop: Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

## Ref :-Mh. 50(123).

Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with two levels of N as top dressing for Adsali.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $A$ ' type. (b) Refer soll analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 98.1950 . (iv) (a) Ploughing $10^{n}$ deep, harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. d. $4^{\prime \prime}$ (e)-. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $21.26^{\circ}$. (x) 1 to 31.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied on surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{N}: A / S+G . N . C$ in ratio $1: 2$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. 'iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Lakhamapur, Akluj and Deolali. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $61.27 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 5.85 ton/ac.
(b) 4.30 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 62.47 | 62.15 | 62.31 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 59.52 | 51.43 | 55.48 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 58.37 | 57.56 | 57.97 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 62.73 | 64.23 | 63.48 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 61.70 | 56.32 | 59.01 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 70.93 | 60.62 | 65.68 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 64.14 | 61.54 | 62.84 |
| Mean | 62.84 | 59.12 |  |


| S.E of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=1.38 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean | $=2.39 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=0.827 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in same row (except 1st row) | $=3.51 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. means in the 1st row | $=2.03 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. means in the same column (except 1st row) | $=4.19 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. means in the same column one of the means being in 1st row | $=2.81 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane (Ratoon).<br>Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 51(98).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with two levels of N as top cressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Sugarcane ratoon. (b) Sugarcane. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) Last harvest as date of planting. (iv) (a) No operations as it is ratoon crop. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (v) $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N in the form of $A / S$ and G.N.C. in the ratio of $1: 2$. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 8.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied on surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $N: N_{1}=450$ and $N_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $\mathrm{N}: A / S+$ G.N.C. in ratio $1: 2 . \mathrm{N}$ top dressed.

- DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1 guntha (dimensions N,A.). (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Lakhamapur, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 32.47 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 2.64 ton/ac.
(b) $1.26 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Main effect of N and interaction main $\times$ sub are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 31.84 | 31.01 | 31.42 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 31.82 | 30.87 | 3135 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 32.76 | 31.04 | 31.90 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 32.55 | 33.80 | 33.17 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 34.31 | 31.74 | 33.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 36.63 | 33.50 | 35.09 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 32.45 | 34.46 | 33.45 |
| Mean | 32.90 | 32.05 |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of } P_{0} \text { marginal mean } & =0.62 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean } & =1.08 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$
S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean $\quad=1.08$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=024$ ton/ac.
S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except Ist row) $=1.02$ ton/ac,
2. means in the lst row $\quad=0.59$ ton/ac
3. means in the same column (except 1st row) $\quad=1.69$ ton/ac.
4. means in the same column, one of the means being in 1st tow $=0.84$ ton/ac.

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(91).
Type: $\sim^{*} \mathrm{Ni}$.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with top-dressing of N .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Bajra Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type soil according to genetic classification of soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 6.8.1952. (i.) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. before sowing. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings. (ix) $28.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.1.1954.

## 2. TRFATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $N$ : $\quad N_{1}=450$ and $N_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A (iii) 3. (iv) (a) Main-plot : $54.44^{\prime} \times 64^{\prime}$; Sub-plot : $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot: $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Fair. (ii) Slight attack of black disease noticed. (iii) Germination count, tiller, borer counts, height and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 56,90 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 6.76 ton/ac.
(b) 3.36 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 55.50 | 55.51 | 55.50 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 54.05 | 56.37 | 55.21 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 57.78 | 55.60 | 56.69 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 55.98 | 56.96 | 56.47 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 63.03 | 60.23 | 61.61 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 51.17 | 59.16 | 57.16 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 57.60 | 59.21 | 58.40 |
| Mean | 56.68 | 57.12 |  |


| S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=1.59 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean | $=2.76 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=0.64 \mathrm{ton}, \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |
| of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in the same row (except 1 st row) | $=2.74 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. means in the 1 st row | $=1.58 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. means in the same column (except 1 st row) | $=1.38 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. means in the same column, one of the means being in 1st row | $=1.12 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Sugarcane. | Ref :-Mh. 53(138). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :-‘M'. |

Object:-To study the effect of placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with top-dressing of N .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type soil according to genetic classification. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 29.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) to (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) F.Y.M. at 20 C.L./ac. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and bunding. (ix) 1953-1954 $17^{\prime \prime}-16$ cents. 1954-1955 $21^{\prime \prime}-76$ cents. ( x ) 9.12.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Applied in furrow, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Source of $\mathrm{N}: ~ A / S+G . N . C$ in ratio $1: 2$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replication and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of black disease noticed. (iii) Sugarcane yreld. (iv) (a) 1950-52 and 1953-55
(b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $46.40 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 4.90 ton/ac.
(b) 4.72 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\cdot \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 47.5 | 45.5 | 46.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 45.6 | 43.2 | 44.4 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 44.7 | 44.8 | 44.7 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 43.9 | 48.7 | 46.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 50.7 | 44.8 | 47.7 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 47.2 | 45.4 | 463 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 49.7 | 48.0 | 48.8 |
| Mean | 47.1 | 45.7 |  |


| S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=1.16 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean | $=2.00 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{dc}$. |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=0.91 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{cc}$. |
| difference of two | $=3.85 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 1. means in the same row (except ist row) | $=2.22 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. means in lst row | $=3.93 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ar}$. |
| 3. means in the same column (except ist row) | $=3.93 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref. :- Mh. 51(99). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :m 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of placement of varying doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with top-dressing of N .

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Sugarcane \& ratoon. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Koper-
gaon. (iii) 18.7 .1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) 4 ' between
rows. (e) N.A. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 1
interculturing. (ix) $46.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4 to 31.1 .1953 .
TREATMENTS :
Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
$\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } 3 \text { levels of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75 \text { and } \mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb} \text {./ac. } \\ & \text { (2) } 3 \text { methods of application of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=\text { applied on surface, } \mathrm{M}_{2}=\text { applied in furrows, } \\ & \text { and } \mathrm{M}_{3}=\text { applied at bottom. }\end{aligned}$

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Source of N:A/S+G.N.C. in ratio 1:2.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/replicaticn and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and $4.5^{\prime}$ at each end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination count and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Lakhamapur, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $68.19 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 5.26 ton/ac.
(b) 3.82 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 68.74 | 67.81 | 68.28 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 65.60 | 73.56 | 69.58 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 68.94 | 72.01 | 70.48 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 67.49 | 68.54 | 68.01 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 60.49 | 67.01 | 63.75 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 66.91 | 66.82 | 66.87 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 71.76 | 68.59 | 70.18 |
| Mean | 67.49 | 68.88 | 68.19 |


| S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal mean | $=1.24$ ton/ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| S.E. of any PM combination marginal mean | $=2.15$ ton/cc. |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=0.73$ ton/s.c. |
| E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in the same row (except 1st row) | $=3.17 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 2. means in the lst row | $=1.83 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. means in the same column (except 1st row) | $=3.76$ ton ${ }^{\text {ac }}$. |
| 4. means in the same column, one of the means being in 1st row | $=2.55 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- Mh. 50(68). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top dressing of $N$ with varying doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type soil according to genetic classification. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 18.11 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 475 । duration N.A.). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $34.67^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2nd and 3rd week of February 1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $\mathrm{B}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=40$ C.L./ac
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each source to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $34.04^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$ (v) 1 row on either side, $4.23^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (i) Attack of stem borer and top borer noticed. (iii) Germination count, height, no. of tillers and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakhamapur and Deolali. (b)N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 50.11 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3.04 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 48.21 | 48.02 | 48.12 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 50.32 | 47.56 | 48.94 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 51.20 | 51.62 | 51.41 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 52.66 | 51.28 | 51.97 |
| Mean | 50.60 | 49.62 | 50.11 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $=0.76$ ton/ac. |
|  |  |  | $=1.07 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |  | $=1.52 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 51(79).
Type: ' $\mathbf{~ M ' . ~}$

Object:-To find out the suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top-dressing of $N$ with varying doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'G' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaor. (iii) 21.11.1951.
(iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v)

As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $11.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16 to :9.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{L./ac}$.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in ratio $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in ratio 1:2 and $R_{4}=G . N . C$. alone.
Each ratio to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N . Compost applied before sowing and N top-dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 34.5^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, millable and unmillable sugarane and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 36.89 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.63 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 33.3 | 28.9 | 31.10 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 34.5 | 36.2 | 35.35 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 39.8 | 38.3 | 39.05 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 42.1 | 42.02 | 42.06 |
| Mean | 37.42 | 36.35 | 36.89 |

S.E. of B marginal mean
S.E. of $R$ marginal mean
S.E. of body of table
$=0.66$ ton/ac. $=0.93$ ton/ac. $=1.31 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop:- Sugarcane.

Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(176).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the suitable ratio of A/S to G.N.C. for top-dressing of N with varying doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Moong. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 16.10 .1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing. (e)-. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 17.22". (x) 12. to 17.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $\mathrm{B}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in 21 ratio, $R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C in 1:2 ratio and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each ratio to supply 375 lb ./ac. of N. N top-dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 34.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1952, to 1954-1956. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 22.11 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.08 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


```
Crop :~ Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Ref:- Mh. 53(160).
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object :- To find out the suitable ratio of $A / S$ to G.N.C. for top dressing of $N$ with two doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur mixture-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra + Tur mixture. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'A' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 13.11 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $h^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) --. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 7 weedings and $I$ bunding. (ix) 28.89". (x) 5.2.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D.: $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratics of $A_{i} S$ to G.N.C. : $R_{1}=A / S$ alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $2: 1, R_{3}=A / S$ to G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{4}=$ G.N.C. alone.
Each ratio to supply 37 lb . ac of N .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) 8 . (b; N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 3.5^{\prime}$, (v) $4^{\prime}$ on either end. 1 row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Attack of top-shoot and stem-borer and pytilla only noticed. (iii) Germination count, tiller, borer count, height and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 33.23 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.92 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Effect of R is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | Hean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 26.07 | 29.57 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 28.45 | 34.61 | 27.82 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 37.29 | 35.70 | 31.53 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 42.08 | 32.11 | 36.49 |
|  |  |  | 37.09 |
| Mean | 33.47 | 33.00 | 33.23 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of B marginal mean | $=0.98$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of R marginal mean | $=1.38$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.96$ ton/ac. |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref:- Mh. $50(74)$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur. | Type :- ' M '. |

Obj ct:-To find out the suitable ratio of $A / S$ and $G . N . C$. for top dressing of $N$ with varying doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep, light brown pH-8.1 F type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 12.11.1950. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 4 harrowins. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows and $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 475 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings by tooth cultivator implement, 1 light earthing by bahadur plough and 1 final earthing by ridging. (ix) $17.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $N$ as $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 450 lb .lac. of $N: R_{1}=1: 0, R_{2}=2: 1, R_{3}=1: 2$ and

$$
\mathrm{R}_{4}=0: 1
$$

N top dressed in 4 doses, at planting, 6 and 12 weeks after planting and at the time of earthing
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Major pest-top borer-cutting off affected shoots, collection, destroying of egg masses and moths. Slight rat rouble controlled by poison bait of zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observations, plant population, fortnightly maturity study and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Deolali and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 30.95 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3.08 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and the interaction $R \times B$ are significant. Main effect of $P$, is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 27.07 | 30.09 | 28.38 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 30.38 | 30.09 | 30.24 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 30.71 | 34.32 | 32.52 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 31.50 | 33.45 | 32.48 |
| Mean | 29.91 | 31.99 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ | $=0.77$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ | $=1.09 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.54 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :~ Mh. 51(88).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out the suitable ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. for top dressing of N with different doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
-(i) (a) Bajra $+T u r-$ Sugarcane. (b) Bajra + Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep, light brown, $p \mathrm{H}-8.1$. ' F ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 29 th and 30.10 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ 'deep. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) N.A. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 475 (medium), (vii) Irrigated. (vii) Interculturing 2 to 3 , one light earthing up by Bahadur plough, and one final earthing up by ridging. (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 450 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{1}=1: 0 ; R_{2}=2: 1, R_{3}=1: 2$ and $R_{4}=0: 1$.

N top dressed in 4 doses; at planting, 6 and 12 weeks after planting and at the time of earthing.
3. DESIGN
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row each length wise and $4.25^{\prime}$ breadth wise each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth and the yield was below normal. (ii) Attack of stem borer. Gammaxene dusted (iii) Germination counts, height and no. of tillers. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 16.60 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.37 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 14.7 | 14.0 | 14.4 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 18.3 | 17.4 | 17.8 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 15.0 | 18.3 | 16.6 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 16.7 | 18.8 | 17.7 |
| Mean | 16.1 | 17.1 | 16.6 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ | $=1.19$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ | $=0.84$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=1.68$ ton/ac. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 2 ( 1 1 5 ) .}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :- To find out the suitable ratio of A/S and G.N.C. with different doses of basal manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'F' type, very shallow, 12 " to $15^{\prime}$ deep, light brown $p \mathrm{H}-8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) NA. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Setts are planted by hand, $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in the soil. (c) 10,00 setts/ac. (d) Between rows $4^{\prime}$, between plants- $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) According to treatments, half after ist ploughing and half in furrows before plant ng. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand needings, 3 to 4 interculturings by tooth cultivator implenent, one light earthing up by Bahadur plough, one final earthing up and ridging. (ix) $10^{\prime \prime}$ to $24^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=-20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 450 lb /ac. of $N: R_{1}=1: 0, R_{2}=2: 1, R_{3}=1: 2$ and $R_{4}=0: 1$.

N top dressed in 4 doses, at planting, 6 and 12 weeks after planting and at the time $0^{\circ}$ earthing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) $3.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and one row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The general growth and the yield was normal. (ii) Major pest-Top borer-cutting off affected shoots, collection, destroying of egg masses and moths. Slight rat trouble controlled by poison bait of zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observations, plant population, and fortnightly maturity study. (iv) (a) 1950-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $26.67 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 4.68 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of R alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 20.85 | 22.38 | 21.61 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 26.21 | 26.78 | 26.49 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 22.34 | 31.45 | 26.89 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 32.66 | 30.76 | 31.71 |
| Mean | 25.51 | 27.84 | 26.67 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ S.E. of marginal mean of B S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=1.65$ ton/ac. |
|  |  |  | $=1.17$ ton/ac. |
|  |  |  | $=2.34$ ton/ac. |

0

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :-Mh. 53(98).
Type :-' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find the suitable ratio of A/S and G.N.C. for top-dressing of $N$ with different doses of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Chinamug. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Shallow soil $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$ deep with light brown colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 24.10 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep with plough, clod crushings, opening ridges and furrows. (b) Wet planting. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) N.A. (e) 3 budded sett. (v) As per treatments. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing with tooth cultivator twice. Light earthing up by a plough. Final earthing up by plough. (ix) $20^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\circ}$. (x) 8 to 11.2.1955.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=20$ and $B_{2}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratio of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 450 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{1}=1: 0, R_{2}=2: 1, R_{3}=1: 2$ and $R_{4}=0 ; 1$. N top-dressed in 4 doses -at planting, 6 and 12 weeks after planting and at the time of earthing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) 1.25 guntha. (b) 1.00 guntha, (v) 2 border rows. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem borer and top shoot borer. Removal of affected plants. Attack of pyrilla. Spraying of $50 \%$ B.HC. (iii) Germination, tillering and borer count and botanical observations., (iv)
(a) 1950-1954.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 29.54 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.75 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and interaction $R \times B$ are significant. Main effect of $B$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 27.84 | 27.56 | 27.70 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 30.79 | 30.03 | 30.41 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 29.26 | 27.91 | 28.58 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 30.72 | 32.21 | 31.46 |
| Mean | 29.65 | 29.42 | 29.54 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of B S.E. of mer rginal mean of $R$ S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.68 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =0.97 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.37 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref:Mh 50(76).
Type:-‘'M.

Object :- To study the effect of placement of varying doses of Super with two levels of N top-dressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil, (ii) (a) Very shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep, light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8$, ' F ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 28.8.1950. (iv) (a' 4 harrowings and 1 ploughing, (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in the soil. (c) 10,000 set:s/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows and $4^{* *}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) - (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. Half after 1 st ploughing and half in furrows before plantıng. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings and 3-4 interculturinos 3 (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 21.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super: $M_{1}:=$ Placement at surface, $M_{2}=$ Haif way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Placement at the base of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. in $1: 2$ ratio. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied before planting, N applied in 4 equil doses-at planting, 6 weeks later, 12 weeks later and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) NA. (iii) 3 (iv) (a) $54.5^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) 1 guntha. (v) 1 row each on length side and $4.5^{\prime}$ on breadth side. (ii) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The general growth of the crop was normal. (ii) Attack of top borers, controlled by cutting of affected shoots; collection and destroying of egg masses and moths. (iii) Sugarcate yie'd, germination counts and heights. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 41.40 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.76 ton/ac.
(b) 4.12 ton/ac.
(iii) Main-plot treatment, sub-plot treatment, and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 42.07 | 41.86 | 41.96 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 44.05 | 40.56 | 42.31 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 38.23 | 40.80 | 39.51 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 43.44 | 43.72 | 43.58 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 41.12 | 45.39 | 43.25 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 39.53 | 37.63 | 38.58 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 38.32 | 40.57 | 39.45 |
| Mean | 41.21 | 41.58 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=0.89 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E of any PM marginal mean | $=1.53 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of N marginal mean | $=0.79 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in the same row (except 1st row) | $=3.36$ ton/ac. |
| 2. means in the same column (except 1st row) | $=3.22$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :- Mh. 51(89).
Type: ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of super with two levels of N top dressing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $F$ ' type; very shallow $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhmapur. (iii) 22, 24.8.1951. (iv) (a) 4 harrowings I ploughing. (b) Setts planted by hand, $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows; $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{*}$ between plants. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost, half after 1 st ploughing and half in furrows before planting. (vi) CO. 419 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 interculturings and 5 weedings. (ix) $10.46^{\circ}$. (x) 6 to 13.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ applied half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ applied at the bottom of the ridge.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $N: N_{1}=450$ and $N_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as A/S+G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block, 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 43.5^{\prime}$. (b) $32^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (v) 1 . row each on length side and $4.75^{\prime}$ on breadth side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of stem borer and top-shoot borer observed, controlled by cutting of affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg masses and moths. (iii) Sugarcane yield, germination counts and heights.
(iv) (a) 1950 to 1953.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon, Deolali.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 14.15 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 2.77 ton/ac.
(b) 3.62 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 14.57 | 16.40 | 15.49 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 11.43 | 11.46 | 11.44 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 11.92 | 15.84 | 13.88 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 12.53 | 13.80 | 13.16 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 13.38 | 15.37 | 14.37 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 17.64 | 13.00 | 15.32 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 15.14 | 10.28 | 12.71 |
| $\mathbf{M e a n}$ | 13.97 |  |  |


| S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=0.65$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any PM marginal mean | $=1.13$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=0.69$ ton/ac. |
| .E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in the same row (except. 1st row) | $=2.95$ ton/ac. |
| 2. means in the same column (except 1 st row) | $=2.63$ ton/ac. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Sugarcane. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 52(150). } \\
\text { Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of placement of Super with two levels of $N$ top dressing.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' F ' type, very shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep, light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 22.8 .1952 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Setts planted by hand, $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in the soil. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) Between rows $4^{\prime}$; between plants $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L/ac. compost after first ploughing and 10 C.L./ac. compost in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings, 1 light earthing up and 1 înal earthing up. (ix) $10^{\prime \prime}$ to $24^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathbf{M}_{1}=$ applied in furrous, $\mathbf{M}_{2}=$ applied half way down the ridge and $M_{3}=$ applied at the bottom of the ridge.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1 guntha. (v) $4.75^{\prime}$ each on length wise and one row each on breadth wise. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) The general growth and yield was normal. (ii) Major pest-top borer; controlled by cutting of affected shoots, collection, destroying of egg masses and moths, slight rat trouble controlled by poison baits of zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observation, plant population, fortnightly maturity study. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 26.69 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.58 tor/ac.
(b) 2.84 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 27.19 | 28.84 | 28.01 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 25.93 | 24.34 | 25.13 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 27.25 | 25.43 | 26.34 |
| $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 23.12 | 28.26 | 25.69 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 25.62 | 25.82 | 25.72 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 26.03 | 26.72 | 26.38 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 25.21 | 28.50 | 26.86 |
| - | 26.08 | 27.29 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |

S.E. of $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ marginal mean
S.E. of any PM marginal mean
S.E. of N marginal mean
S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $\quad=2.31$ ton/ac.
2. means in the same column (except $18+$ row)
$=0.84$ ton/ac.
$=1.46$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
$=0.55 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=2.64 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:m Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref. :-Mh. 52(151).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of Super with two levels of N top dressing on Sugarcabe (ratoon).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $F$ ' type, very shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep and light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in the soil. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) Between rows $-4^{\prime}$ and between plants $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. . v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M., 10 C.L /ac. after first p'oughing and $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in furrows before planting. (vit, N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2-3 hand weedings, 3-4 interculturings by tooth cultivators, 1 light [earthiag up by bahadur plough and final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$ to $24.12^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(1) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ applied in furrows, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ applied half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ applied at the bottom of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha.
(b) 1 guntha. (v) $4.7{ }^{\prime}$ ' length wise and 1 row breadtb wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth and the yield was telow normal. (ii) Major pest-top borer, controlled by cutting off affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg masses and moths; slight rat trouble; controlled by poison bait and zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observation, plant population and fortnightly maturity study. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) 19.80 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 2.82 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 2.15 ton/ac.
(iii) Effect of main-plot treatments alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Meas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 21.7 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 23.8 | 21.2 | 22.5 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 16.8 | 18.3 | 17.5 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 15.9 | 17.6 | 16.7 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{1}$ | 17.0 | 13.8 | 15.4 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 20.8 | 21.3 | 21.0 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 19.8 | 19.7 | 19.8 |
| Mean | 19.9 | 19.7 |  |

S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
$=0.66$ ton/ac.
S.E. of any PM marginal mean
$=1.15$ ton'ac.
S.E. of N marginal mean
$=0.41 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row) $\quad=1.76$ ton'ac.
2. means in the same column (except lst row) $\quad=2.05$ ton/ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Sugarcane. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 53(284) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the effect of placement of Super with two levels of N top dressing

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tura -Sugarcane (Adsali). (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) 2 md./ac. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Shallow soil, $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $5^{\prime \prime}$ deep with ligh brown colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 16.8.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep, clod crushing and opening ridges, furrows. (b) Wet planting. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L /ac. of compost at preparatory tillage. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing with tooth cultivators twice, light earthing up by plough, weeding twice and final earthing up by plough, (ix) $20^{\prime \prime}$ to $33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19 to 27.1.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-p ot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super: $M_{1}=$ Placement at surface, $M_{2}=$ Placement at half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Placement at the base of the ridge.

## Sub-plot treatments:

2 levels of $N: N_{1}=450$ and $N_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha.
(b) 1.0 guntha. (v) 2 rows along the borcer. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Heavy lodging during last week of Sept. 1954 due to heavy rains. (ii) Attack of ster borer. Incidence 1 to $12 \%$, removing affected plants. Top shoot borer 2 to $11 \%$ attack, collection of egz mas. Medium attack of pyrilla, spraying $50 \%$ B.H.C. (iii) Height, tillering, germination counts and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $19: 0-1953$. (b) Treatments assigned to the same plot in a block after every 4th year. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Since the plot wise yield data are not available analysis could not be carried out.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 40.86 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) N.A.
(b) 3.43 ton/ac.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 39.40 | 39.73 | 39.56 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 47.98 | 40.67 | 44.32 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 38.41 | 42.33 | 40.37 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 41.55 | 42.83 | 42.34 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 41.53 | 36.80 | 39.16 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 47.19 | 38.37 | 42.78 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 41.65 | 38.68 | 40.16 |
| Mean | 41.83 | 39.87 |  |
| Other S.E.'s-N.A. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 48(58).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find the optimum ratio of A/S and G.N.C. for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 21.1 .1948 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 eartbing up. (ix) $22.47^{\circ}$ (x) 9.2.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. ; $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) 6 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 300 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathbf{0}, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $R_{3}=A / S+G . N . C$. in $1: 1, R_{4}=A / S+$ G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S+G . N . C$. in 2 : 1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 6$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii)
12. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv)
(a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$.
(b) $44^{\prime} .44^{\circ} \times 24^{\prime} . \quad$ (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ length wise and $4^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1939 -costinued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ plots gave 1 w yield and hence not included in statistical analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $41.15 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 4.10 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of R, B and their interaction are highly signuficant
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
(See (vii) under General)

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 40.20 | 51.18 | 45.69 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 14.09 | 48.92 | 31.51 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 32.20 | 53.77 | 42.99 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 36.33 | 54.28 | 45.31 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 26.52 | 53.97 | 40.25 |
| Mean | 29.87 | 52.42 | 41.15 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ | $=0.92 \mathrm{ion} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ | $=1.45 \mathrm{ton} \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E of body of table | $=2.05 \mathrm{ton} \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Kef:- Mh. 50(95). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :~ Agri. Res Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object : - To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and Safflower Cake for top dressing $N$ with basal manur $n g$ of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar-Groundnut. (o) Jowar. c, Nit. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (o) Rueter soil analyis, Padegaon. (iii) 15.1.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. O N.A. c) 10,000 settsíac. d/ 4 apert. (e; N.A. (viNu. (vi) CO. 419 (medium). (vii) Irigated. (viii) 2 intercuturings, 2 weedmes and 1 eirthng. (ix) 229 .". (x) 14.21951 .
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=20$ C.L. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 6 ratoos of $A / S$ and Saffower cake to gave 300 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{0}=C, R_{1}=$ Cakt alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ alone, $R_{3}=A, S$ and $C$ che in $1: 1, R_{1}=A, S$ and $C$ ke in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S$ ard Cake in 2:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 6$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $54.7 t^{\prime} \times \geq 2^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ length wise and $4^{\text {b }}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Brix, sucrose in juice, fibre and Sugarcane yie.d. (iv) (a) 1939- contd, (b) No. cl N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $\boldsymbol{q}_{0}$ plots gave low yield and hence not included in statistical analysis.
5. RESULTS
(i) $38.23 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 5.40 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $R, B$ and interaction $R \times B$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
(See (vii) under General)

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 39.88 | 49.74 | 44.81 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 29.18 | 37.77 | 33.47 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 32.60 | 37.46 | 35.40 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 37.80 | 48.49 | 43.14 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 27.70 | 41.70 | 34.70 |
| Mean | 33.43 | 43.03 | 38.23 |
| S.E. of S.E. of S.E. of | an of $B$ <br> an of $R$ |  | m/ac. n/ac. n/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref :-Mh. 51(134).

Type : ${ }^{6}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$

Object :-To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and cake for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b)Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'D' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 17.1.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 14.4.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{0}=$ No compost, $B_{1}=$ Compost at 20 C.L./ac. and $B_{2}=$ Artificial compost i.e. $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+650 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(2) 6 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake to give $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{R}_{0}=0, \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 1, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S$ and Cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $6 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (i) $4.5^{\prime}$ length wise and $4^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1939 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $\mathbf{R}_{0}$ plots gave low yield and hence not included in statistical analysis.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $35.8 \geq$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 4.36 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and $B$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
(See (vii) under General)


Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(161).
Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and cake for top dressing $N$ with a basal dressing of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaen. (iii) 20.1.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 41 ( 9 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 11.01". (x) 18.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=$ No compost, $\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ Compost at 20 C.L $/$ ac. and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=$ Artifical compost. i.e. $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+650 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(2) 6 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake to give 300 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{0}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ $A / S$ and Cake in 1:1, $R_{4}=A / S$ and Cake in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S$ and Cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $6 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ lenght wise and $4^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GE\ERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sucrose, gulcose, fibre\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) a) 1939 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $\mathbf{R}_{0}$ plots gave low yield and not included in statistical analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 33.14 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.42 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of R and B and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
(See (vii) under General).

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Meer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 33.41 | 37.15 | 41.43 | 37.33 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 15.09 | 21.77 | 38.14 | 2500 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 29.72 | 32.50 | 39.44 | 33.89 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 31.04 | 37.85 | 41.54 | 36.81 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 26.60 | 33.88 | 37.51 | 32.56 |
| Mean | 27.17 | 32.63 | 39.61 | 33.14 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =0.77 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =0.99 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.71 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Crop:- Sugarcane. Ref:~Mh. $53(241)$. <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn. Padegaon. Type:m 'M'.

Object:-To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and cake for top dressing $N$ with basal dressing of compost.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' tyfe soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 15.1.1953. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\circ}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings and 2 to 3 interculturings 8 to 10 weeks after planting and 4 earthing up after a period of 5 to 6 months. (ix) $15.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 19.4.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=$ No compost, $\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ compost at 20 C.L./ac. and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=$ Artificial compost i.e. 120 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+650 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(2) 6 ratios of $A / S$ and cake to give 300 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathbf{R}_{0}=0, \mathbf{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alore,
$\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in $1: 1, \mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S$ and cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $6 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $4.5^{\prime}$ length wise and 4 breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :

0
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem and top-shoot borers, weekly collection of eggmasses, hand picking and light trapping of moth fortnightly and removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, botanical observation, milleable and non milleable sugarcane counts and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1919contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $R_{0}$ plots gave low yield and hence not taken for statistical analysis.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 45.99 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.16 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of R, B and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.
(See (vii) under General)

|  | $\cdot B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 42.33 | 54.56 | 56.11 | 51.10 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 25.56 | $37.06^{\circ}$ | 50.62 | 37.75 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 37.33 | 48.09 | 51.50 | 45.64 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 41.57 | 57.62 | 57.58 | 52.26 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 28.50 | 48.81 | 52.52 | 43.28 |
| Mean | 35.06 | 49.23 | 53.69 | 45.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ | $=1.15$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of R | $=1.49$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=2.58$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref:- Mh. 50(93).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To find out the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and cake for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of compost.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i (a) Nil. (b) and (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 24.1.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 interculturings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 22.91". (x) 25.2.19:1.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compest as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=30$ and $B_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 4 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake to give $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 1$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in 1:2 and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in 2: 1 ratio.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, juice $\%$, fibre $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) Nil. (vii) As the experiments was taken in an area nevly brought under sugarcane cultivation the block variation was very high which has resulted in high error.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 42.3 ton/ac.
(ii) 10.7 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and $B$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | Slean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 44.68 | 45.60 | 42.10 | 44.13 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 31.50 | 43.60 | 42.70 | 41.30 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 42.60 | 44.80 | 41.20 | 42.86 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 37.46 | 40.90 | 44.80 | 41.05 |
| Mean | 40.56 | 43.72 | 42.70 | 42.33 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ <br> S.E. of narginal mean of $R$ <br> S.E. of tody of ta le |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =2.40 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =2.70 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =4.80 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Crop:- Sugarcane. <br> Site :-Agri. Res. Stn, Padegaon.

## Ref:-Nh ${ }^{0} 0(94)$.

Type: $\boldsymbol{r}^{*} \mathrm{M}$ '.
Object: To find the optimum ratio of AS and G.N.C. for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of compost.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a)Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) ㄱ.A. (c) A. A. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soll analysis, Padegaon. ;iii) 10.121950 . (iv) a, N.A. (b, N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi, CO. 475 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 interculturings and 1 earthing up. ix) $22.91^{*}$. ( $x$ ) 11.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Ali combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=30$ and $B_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratio of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give 375 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{1}=$ G.N.C. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ lone, $R_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1, R_{4}:=A / 3$ and G.N.C in $1: 2$, and $R_{5}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGIV:
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL ;
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951 . (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $49.05 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6.50 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and $B$ and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 46.81 | 51.94 | 51.44 | 50.06 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 49.55 | 45.48 | 45.74 | 46.92 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 50.21 | 49.83 | 48.51 | 49.52 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 43.09 | 48.82 | 52.04 | 47.98 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 46.87 | 52.39 | 53.12 | 50.79 |
| Mean | 47.31 | 49.69 | 50.17 | 49.05 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.45 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.87 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =3.25 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Rès. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref :-Mh. 51(129).

Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find the ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake for top dressing N with basal manuring of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar.
(b) Jowar.
(c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 28.10.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 475 (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interçulturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 14.68". (x) 20.2.19.53.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=30$ and $B_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake to give 375 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 1, \quad R_{4}=A / S$ and Cake in $1: 2$, and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in 2:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac} . \quad$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre $\%$ and sugarcane yield
(iv)
a) 1950 to 1951.
(b) No,
(c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 45.31 ton/ac.
(ii) $8.75 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ and interaction $R \times B$ are significant; while main effect of $R$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 44.00 | 47.57 | 38.92 |  | 43.50 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 41.97 | 44.76 | 43.79 |  | 43.51 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 46.88 | 43.02 | 39.70 | , | 43.20 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 49.35 | 55.84 | 44.61 |  | 49.93 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 45.61 | 53.25 | 40.26 |  | 46.39 |
| Mean | 45.57 | 48.89 | 41.46 |  | 45.31 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $=1.96$ ton/ac. |  |
|  |  |  |  | $=2.53$ ton/ac. |  |
|  |  |  |  | $=4.38$ ton/ac. |  |

## Crop:-m Sugarcane.

Ref:- Mh. 50(101).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and cake for top dressing $N$ with basal m nuring of compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon (iii) N.A. (i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing. (ix) $22.91^{*}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of $(1)$ and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as $B D: B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac
(2) 5 ratios of $A / S$ and caxe to give $450 \mathrm{ld} / / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 1, R_{4}=A$ and $C a k e$ in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=$ $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose \%, there \% and sugarcane yield. 'iv, (3 195) 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 64.68 ton/ac.
(ii) 9.00 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of R and B and their interattion are significant.
(iv) Av yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{3}$ | dean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 69.20 | 69.54 | 60.23 | 66.32 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 59.78 | 6193 | 54.20 | 53.64 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 54.98 | 68.35 | 7312 | 6548 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 68.53 | 68.80 | 69.77 | 69.03 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 54.87 | 68.43 | 68.43 | 63.91 |
| Mean | 61.47 | 67.41 | 65.15 | 64.63 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ | $=2.01 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ | $=2.59$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of cody of tabie | $=4.50$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :~ Agri Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(165).
Type: ' $M$ '.

O ject :-To find the ratio of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake for top dressing N with basal manuring of compost.

1. BASAL CO VDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon. (b Sugarcane (Adsali). (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) Ratooning on 12.2.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 13,000 setts/ar. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and earthing up once. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratios of $A / S$ and cake to give 450 lb ./ac. of $N: R_{1}=$ Cake alone, $R_{2}=A / s$ alone, $R_{1}=A / S$ and Cake in $1: 1, R_{4}=A / S$ and cake in $1: 2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in 2:1 ratio.
Manures applied to last year's sugarcane crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, glucose, sucrose \%, and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950--195; (b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) This years ratoon crop received $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N a.s $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and

Cake in 2:1 ratio; no basal dressing of compost was given.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $52.32 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 7.77 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $R$ and $B$ and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 54.59 | 56.53 | 54.13 | 55.08 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 49.12 | 48.14 | 39.59 | 45.61 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ | 51.78 | 57.50 | 53.45 | 54.24 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 59.78 | 52.32 | 51.71 | 54.60 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 47.78 | 54.38 | 53.94 | 52.03 |
| Mean | 52.61 | 53.83 | 50.56 | 52.32 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of B |  |  | $=1.74 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ |  |  | $=2.24 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=3.88 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Type :aAgri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(13).
Type:-‘'M'.

Object :-To find the optimum ratio of A/S and G.N.C. for top-dressing $N$ with basal manuring of bulky manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali)-Ratoon-Bajra and Gram. (b) Bajra and Grarn. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 31.8.1952. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting. Partial tilling (tagarni) by sabul plough. Earthing up after a petiod of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ months. (ix) $15.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D.: $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratios of $A / S$ and G.N.C. to give $450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $N$ : $R_{1}=G . N . C$. alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ alone, $R_{8}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1, R_{4}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ and $R_{5}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $2: 1$ ifito.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15. (b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $49^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$.
(b) $3889^{\circ} \times 28^{\circ}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. Lodged during 2nd fortnight of May and August. (ii) Attack of stem and top shoot borers; weekly collection of egg-masses of the borers, hand picking of moth with nets, trapping of moth and fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, milleable and non-milleable sugarcane counts, botanical observations and sugarcane yield, (iv) (a) 1951-55: (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 72.15 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.85 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and $B$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $B_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 70.59 | 75.63 | 79.23 | 75.15 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 58.09 | 67.56 | 72.19 | 65.94 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 68.97 | 72.53 | 80.48 | 74.00 |
| R4 | 70.58 | 80.39 | 73.77 | 74.91 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 61.81 | 67.74 | 80.71 | 70.79 |
| Mean | 66.01 | 72.77 | 77.27 | 72.15 |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of B |  | $=1.75$ ton/ac. |  |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ |  | =3.27 ton/ac. |  |
|  | S.E. of body of table |  | $=3.93$ ton/ac. |  |

Crop: : Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 53(262).
Type:- ' M '.

Object : -To find the optimum ratio of A/S and G.N.C. for top-dressing $N$ with basal manuring of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali) - Ratoon-Paddy-Gram and Bajra. (b) Gram and Bajra. (c) N A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon, (iii) 22.7.1953. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing 1, 2 ploughings across the first $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. of 3 buds. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tilling. Earthing up after a period of 5 to 58 months. (ix) $20.16^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $6,12.12 .1954$.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of F.Y.M. as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac.
(2. 5 ratios of $N$ as $A / S$ and G.N.C. : $R_{1}=0: 1, R_{2}=1: 1, R_{7}=1: 2, R_{4}=2: 1$ and $R_{5}=1: 0$. N at 450 lb ./ac. applied as top-dressing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 15 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows al round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL

(i) Good, crop lodged by the end of June. (ii) Attack of stem and top shoot borers; weekly collection of egg-masses, hand picking, light trapping of moth and fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951-55. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 64.67 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.22 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $B$ and $R$ are significant while their interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 66.14 | 73.82 | 67.34 | 69.10 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 60.90 | 69.58 | 65.38 | 65.29 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 63.68 | 65.95 | 69.55 | 66.39 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 58.30 | 61.79 | 60.86 | 60.32 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 56.76 | 65.61 | 64.39 | 62,25 |
| Mean | 61.16 | 67.35 | 65.50 | 64.67 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of B |  |  | $=1.38$ to |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ |  |  | $=1.78$ to |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=3.09$ to |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref:~Mh. 51(139).

Type : ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find the optimum ratio of $A / S$ and Cake for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of zompost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padega.on. (iii) 30.8.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d). $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Ni!. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated, (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.01^{*}$ (x) 28.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratios of $A / S$ and Cake to give $450 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$, of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $R_{2}=A / S$ alone, $R_{8}=A / S$ and Cake in 1:1, $\mathrm{R}_{4}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 2$ and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 70.62 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 10.47 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and interaction $R \times B$ are significant while the main effect of $B$ is not significant.
(iv) Av, yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 69.39 | 66.38 | 64.68 | 66.82 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 62.18 | 64.65 | 63.64 | 63.49 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 73.25 | 79.00 | 71.61 | 74.62 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 71.01 | 76.87 | 79.21 | 75.69 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 68.25 | 81.83 | 67.31 | 72.46 |
| Mean | 68.81 | 73.75 | 69.29 | 70.62 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $B$ S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=2.34 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. <br> $=3.02$ ton/ac. <br> $=5.23$ ton/ac. |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref:- Mh. 53(245). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find the optimum combination of A/S and G.N.C. for top dressing $N$ with basal manuring of compost.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon. (b) Adsali sugarcane. (c) As pet treatments. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) ratoon, 28.1.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.5.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of compost as B.D. : $B_{1}=20, B_{2}=40$ and $B_{3}=60$ C.L./ac.
(2) 5 ratios of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake to give $450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Cake alone, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ alone, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $1: 1, R_{4}=A / S$ and Cake in 1:2 and $\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in $2: 1$ ratio.
These were applied to last year's adsali crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $5 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 15 .
(b) N.A. (iii) $4 . \quad$ (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) N.A
(vi) Yes
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1955$. (b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 50.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.63 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of B alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 49.13 | 52.87 | 57.01 | 53.00 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 43.55 | 47.71 | 52.02 | 47.76 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 46.86 | 48.75 | 50.28 | 48.63 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 53.23 | 53.94 | 54.47 | 53.88 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{5}$ | 48.18 | 49.09 | 47.69 | 48.32 |
|  |  | 48.19 | 50.47 | 52.29 |

Crop: Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 52(162)
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ along with G.N.C. on growth and maturity of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITTONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 2.2.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 inrerculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 11.01". (x) 20.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

300 lb ./ac. of N applied as follows :-

1. $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 2$ ratio.
2. $A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. $\mathbf{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and G.N.C. $+\Delta / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1: 2$ ratio applied at one time.
6. $C /$ N and G.N.C. $+A / S$ in $1: 1: 2$ ratio with $A / S$ applied at planting and $C / N$ and G.N.C. at earthing up,
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $192 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) 31.81 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.20 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 35.55 |
| 2. | 30.76 |
| 3. | 33.93 |
| 4: | 33.50 |
| 5: | 30.66 |
| 6. | 26.46 |
| S.E./mean | $=3.60$ ton/ac. |

<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon:

Ref: :- Mh: 53(242).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $A / S$ along with G.N.C. on growth and maturity of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 8.2.1953. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11.5.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

300 lb ./ac. of N applied as follows:

1. A/S+G.N C. in 1:2 ratio.
2. $C / N+G . N . C$ in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. $A / S+$ G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $C / N+G . N . C$. in 1: 1 ratio.
5. A/S $+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} C+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in $1: 1: 2$ ratio.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ length wise and $4^{\prime}$ breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL:
(i) Good (ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 55.51 ton./ac.
(ii) 9.10 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 60.33 |
| 2. | 55.65 |
| 3. | 57.04 |
| 4. | 52.90 |
| 5. | 51.64 |
| S.E./mean | $=4.55$ ton/ac |


| Crop :- Sugarcane | Ref:- Mh. 50(100). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with Sann as basal manuring on Sugarcane yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) ' $B$ ' type; medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 14.12.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $10, C 00$ setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Sann as G.M. 375 lb ./ac. of N top dressed as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and cake in $1: 2$ ratio. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $14.68^{\circ}$. (x) 15.4.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. $\mathrm{No} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ half way down the ridge.
4. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sann crop while sowing.
6. 50 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at sowing of samn and 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in furtows at planting of sugarcane.
7. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of burrying samn.
8. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to Sugarcane crop at surface,

For treatments 2, 3, 4 and 8, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied before planting of sugarcane. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose \%, fibre \% and sugarcane \%yield. (iv) (a) 1950-contd. (modified in 1954). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 45.11 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.08 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 41.71 |
| 2. | 48.06 |
| 3. | 50.64 |
| 4. | 44.56 |
| 5. | 46.28 |
| 6. | 46.33 |
| 7. | 39.80 |
| 8. | 43.47 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.37$ ton. $/ a c$. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 51(138).
Type : ' ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with Sann as basal manuring on Sugarcane yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar.
(b) Jowar.
(c) Nil.
(ii) (a) Medium soil, ' $B$ ' type. (b)
(b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 16.11 .1951 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 sett/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Sarn was burried in June. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 232.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ half way down the ridge.
6. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
7. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to sann crop while sowing.
8. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of sowing sann $+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in furrows at planting of sugarcane.
9. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of burrying sann.
10. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at surface.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 .
(iv) (a) N.A. (b)
b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 - contd. (Modified in 1954). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 50.62 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 4.92 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 41.92 |
| 2. | 48.44 |
| 3. | 56.09 |
| 4. | 5371 |
| 5. | 49.78 |
| 6. | 51.13 |
| 7. | 50.62 |
| 8. | 53.29 |
| S.E./mean | $=2.19$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh, 52(14).
Type :- ${ }^{-} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study effect of placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with Sann as basal manuring on Sugarcane yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar-Sann. (b) Sann. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.11.1952. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing $9^{*}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) 375 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators, 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tilling and earthing up after a p riod of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) $15.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 9.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the surface.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ half way down the ridge.
4. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.

5 . 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Sann crop while sowing.
6. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of sowing of $S a n n$ and $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. in furrows at the time of planting of sugarcane.
7. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of burrying Sann.
8. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at the time of planting.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i Good, crop lodged during the 2nd fortnight of October. (ii) Attack of stem and top shoot borers, collection of egg-masses, hand picking of moths with nets, light trapping of moths and fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering count, botanical observations, milleable and non-milleable sugarcane counts and cane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 58.86 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.10 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 55.58 |
| 2. | 59.44 |
| 3. | 61.47 |
| 4. | 58.05 |
| 5. | 57.91 |
| 6. | 58.65 |
| 7. | 61.04 |
| 8. | 58.75 |
| S.E./mean | $=2.28$ tonjac. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :~ Mh. 53(183). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the time and the method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with basal manuring of Sann on Sugarcane yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar-Sann. (b) Sann. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refen soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 16.11.1953. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing and 2nd ploughing across the first $9^{\prime \prime}$ and $10^{n}$ deep harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. of 3 buds (d) and (e) N.A. (v) 375 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 : to 3 weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators, 8 to 10 weeks after planting and partial tilling. Earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) $20.16^{*}$. (x) 3 to 5.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
2. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ half way down the ridge.
4. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to Sann crop while sowing.
6. $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of sowing of $S a n n$ and 50 lb ./ac. in furrows at the time of planting.
7. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the time of burrying Sann.
8. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at the time of planting of sugarcane.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Mur. of Pot.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL:
(i) Good, crop lodged during the 2 nd fortnight of October. (ii) Atrack of stem and top shoot borers, collection of egg masses, hand picking of moths with nets, light trapping of moths and fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Sugarcane yield, (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) 57.10 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.00 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 53.90 |
| 2. | 57.60 |
| 3. | 55.80 |
| 4. | 55.70 |
| 5. | 60.10 |
| 6. | 59.10 |
| 7. | 56.30 |
| 8. | 57.90 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.79$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 51 (137).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in combination with varying doses of N on yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep soil of alluvial nature. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 14.8.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up.
(ix) $14.68^{\circ}$. (x) 7.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + One extra treatment
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super : $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Placement at surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Placenent $3^{\prime \prime}$ below the surface and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Placement $6^{\prime \prime}$ below the surface.
Extra treatment is:
$150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ appled in two doses half at planting and half at earthing up.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in I : 2 ratio and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 10 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 60.08 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 7.88 ton/ac.
(b) 9.08 tob/ac.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av yield of sugarcane in ton/ac

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 60.13 | 58.33 | 59.23 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 64.00 | 58.90 | 61.45 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 61.40 | 61.90 | 61.65 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 52.20 | 58.60 | 55.40 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 61.10 | 56.40 | 58.75 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 62.50 | 57.30 | 59.90 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 66.40 | 63.60 | 65.00 |
| Extra treatment | 53.10 | 68.70 | 60.90 |
| Mean | 60.10 | 60.04 |  |

S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean
S.E. of any other main-plot marginal mean
S.E. of Nmarginal mean
S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except first row)
2. means in the same column (except $P_{0}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.61 \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =2.79 \mathrm{on} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.44 \mathrm{on} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =6.42 \mathrm{on} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =6.01 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Crop :-Sugarcane.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:-Mh. 52(164).
Type : ' M '.

Object :--To study the effect of placement of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ in combination with different doses of N on Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Alluvial type; deep soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 29.7.1952. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing $9^{n}$ to $10^{\circ}$ deey. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L. of compost at the time of opening of furrows. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting, 2 to 3 hand weedings. Partial tilling by sabul plough after a period of $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 4 months. Earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) $15.33^{*}$. (x) 6.1.1954*

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + one extra treatment.
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super: $\quad M_{1}=$ Placement at surface, $M_{2}=$ Placement at $3^{\prime \prime}$ below surface and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Placement at $6^{\circ}$ below surface.

## Extra treatment :

150 lb . ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in two doses half at planting and half at earthing up.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=450 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 10 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$, (b)
$45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good ; lodging in 2 nd fortnight of May. (ii) Attack of stem and top-shoot borers; weekly collection of egg-masses of the borer, hand picking with nets, light trapping and fortnightly removal of dead hearts.
(iii) Germination counts, tiilering counts, botanical observations milleable and non-milleable sugarcane counts. (iv) (a) 1950-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon and Akluj. (b) Nil (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 79.39 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 10.13 ton/ac.
(b) 7.47 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 77.19 | 72.06 | 74.62 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 81.34 | 83.76 | 82.50 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 78.33 | 81.22 | 79.77 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 74.33 | 76.20 | 75.27 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 78.62 | 83.13 | 80.87 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\cdot$ | 86.82 | 83.72 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 80.62 | 86.76 | 84.76 |
| Extra treatment | 82.75 | 83.05. | 83.15 |
| Mean | 83.25 |  |  |
|  | 79.08 | 79.71 |  |


| S.E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean | $=2.07 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of any other main-plot marginal mean | $=3.58 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=1.18 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| E. of difference of two |  |
| 1. means in the same row (except 1st row) | $=5.28$ ton/ac |
| 2. means in the same column (except $P_{0}$ ) | $=6.29 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(243).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object-To study the effect of placement of super in combination with different doses of N .

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Adsali sugarcane - Rabi Jowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) )a) Alluvial type; deep soil. (b) Refer soil anlysis, Padegaon. (iii) 21.8.1953. (iv) (a) deep ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 week after planting, partial tillering (tagarni) by sabul plough after $3 \frac{1}{8}$ to 4 months. Earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) 20.16". (x) 20, 27.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2) +one extra treatment.
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=75$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=150 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of Super: $M_{1}=$ placement at surface, $M_{2}=$ Placement at $3^{\prime \prime}$ below surface and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Placement at $6^{*}$ below surface.
Extra treatment :
150 lb . ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied in two doses half at planting and half at earthing up.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 10 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45,44^{\circ} \times 24$. (v) Two rows (one on either side). (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good, crop lodged by 2nd fortnight of June and August. (ii) Attack of stem and top-shoot borer, weekly collection of egg-masses of the borer, hand picking with nets, light traping and fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, botanical observations mileable and non-milleable Sugarcane count sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) a: Kopergaon and Akluy. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 5450 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 7.75 ton/ac.
(b) 5.90 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 53.15 | 52.50 | 52.82 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 48.59 | 53.74 | 51.16 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 58.21 | 51.63 | 54.92 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 55.78 | 52.38 | 54.08 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 53.74 | 50.02 | 51.88 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 59.68 | 56.38 | 58.03 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 58.73 | 60.45 | 59.59 |
| Extra treatment | 57.44 | 56.18 | 56.81 |
| Mean | 55.16 | 53.83 |  |

## $S$ E. of $P_{0}$ marginal mean <br> S.E. of any other main-plot margina! mean <br> S.E. of N marginal mean

S.E. of difference of two

1. means in the same row (except 1st row)
2. means in the same column (except $P_{0}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.50 \text { ton } / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =2.74 \text { ton } / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =0.93 \text { ton/ac. } \\
& =4.17 \text { ton } / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =4.87 \text { ton } \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop:- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :- Mh. 50(120).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find the optimum dose of N and K and method of placemen: of Super with and without compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
[^8]
## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 levels of compost : $\mathrm{C}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}=20$ C.L./ac
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Cake in $1: 2$. ratio N top dressed at 375 lb ./ac. of N .
(3) 2 leve's of $\mathrm{K}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(4) 4 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=: 100$ lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2^{3} \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 32 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) N
(b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose, fibre $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 54.17 ton/ac.
(il) 5.94 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $M$ and interaction $M \times C, S \times C, S \times M$ and $M \times K$ are significant. Other effects and nteractions are not signiflcant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 49.39 | 55.81 | 55.57 | 52.76 | 51.08 | 55.69 | 55.43 | 54.50 | 53.38 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 54.32 | 56.09 | 57.93 | 51.52 | 55.22 | 54.71 | 52.40 | 54.36 | 54.97 |
| Mean | 51.85 | 55.95 | 56.75 | 52.14 | 53.15 | 55.20 | 53.91 | 54.43 | 54.17 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 51.72 | 55.13 | 57.64 | 51.14 | 53.24 | 54.58 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 51.98 | 56.77 | 55.86 | 53.14 | 53.06 | 55.82 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 50.94 | 54.01 | 55.59 | 52.06 | . |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 52.76 | 57.90 | 57.91 | 52.22 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}$ or K | $=0.86 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of M | $=1.22 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{M}$ or $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{M}$ table | $=1.72 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{K}$ table | $=1.22 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site:- Agri Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 51 (163)
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:--To find the optimum does of N and K and method of placement of Super with and without compost.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 14-11-51. (iv) (a) 1 Ploughing, and harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, 3 interculturings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.01^{\prime \prime}$ in 1952-53. (x) 26.2 .1953

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) Two levels of compost : $\mathrm{C}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}=20$ C.L./ac.
(2) Two sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=A / S$ and Cake in $1: 2$ ratio. $N$ top dressed at 375 lb ./ac. of $N$.
(3) Two levels of $\mathrm{K}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(4) 4 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 32. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Susrose, Glucose, fibre $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) $1950-\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{A}$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 44.41 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.87 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the main effects of $S, M$ and interactions $S \times K, S \times M, M \times K, S \times C . M \times C$ are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 39.61 | 44.31 | 47.88 | 41.95 | 40.34 | 46.55 | 43.00 | 43.90 | 43.45 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 41.20 | 47.61 | 49.14 | 43.56 | 43.64 | 48.11 | 44.68 | 46.66 | 45.37 |
| Mean | 40.41 | 45.95 | 48.51 | 42.75 | 41.49 | 47.33 | 43.54 | 45.28 | 44.41 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 39.97 | 44.44 | 47.29 | 42.42 | 42.00 | 4508 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 40.85 | 47.48 | 49.73 | 43.08 | 4098 | 49.58 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 35.43 | 42.90 | 45.70 | 41.91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 45.39 | 49.02 | 51.32 | 43.57 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $S, K$ or $C$ | $=0.99 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=1.40 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of tody of $S \times K$, or $S \times C$ or $K \times C$ table | $-1.40 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $M \times S$ or $M \times C$ or $M \times K$ table | $=1.98 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52!75)
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To find the optimum dose of N and K and the method of placement of Super with and without compost,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar-Chinamug. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 13.11 .52 (iv) (a) Ploughing $9^{\circ}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) The buds of the Sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) lrrigated (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tilling and earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) 15.35*. (x) 6 th April 1954.

## 2 TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 levels of compost: $\mathrm{C}_{0}=0, \mathrm{C}_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio. $N$ top dressed at 375 lb ./ac. of N .
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
(4) 4 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=100$ lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3} \times 4$ Fact. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) Three rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem and top-shoot borers; weekly collection of egg-masses, hand picking of moths and light trapping etc. were done as control measures. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, botanical observations, milleable and nonmilleable sugarcane counts, maturing tests and cane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 47.43 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.37 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{M}$ and interactions $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{C}$ are significant. Main effect of $K$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | K | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 40.40 | 47.97 | 51.02 | 45.90 | 43.28 | 49.36 | 48.26 | 50.04 | 46.32 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 46.87 | 48.62 | 50.64 | 50.47 | 47.14 | 51.16 | 45.12 | 47.46 | 49.15 |
| Mean | 43.64 | 48.29 | 50.83 | 48.18 | 45.21 | 50.26 | 46.70 | 48.75 | 47.73 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 43.87 | 45.27 | 49.15 | 48.50 | 44.28 | 49.11 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4340 | 51.32 | 52.41 | 47.87 | 46.14 | 51.42 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 39.35 | 47.67 | 48.22 | 45.60 |  | . |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 47.92 | 48.92 | 53.44 | 50.77 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $S, K$ or $C$ | $=0.92 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=1.30$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $M \times S$ or $M \times K$ or $M \times C$ table | $=1.84$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times K$ or $S \times C$ or $C \times K$ table | $=1.30$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 53(181).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find the optimum dose of N and K and method of placement of Super with and without compost.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Kali Jowar-Chinamug. (b) Chinamug. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 225.11 .1953 . (iv) (a) Ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (viii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tilling (tagarni) and earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ month. (ix) $20.16^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5 to 14.2,1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 levels of compost: $\mathrm{C}_{0}=0, \mathrm{C}_{1}=2$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and Cake in 1:2 ratio. N top dressed at 375 lb ./ac. of N .
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(4) 4 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at surface, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=100$ $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ half way down the ridge and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at the base of the ridge.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3} \times 4$ Fact. in R B.D. (ii) (a) 32 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) $54.44^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $45.44^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of stem and top-shoot borers; control measures N.A. (iii) Germination count, height, no. of tillers, and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a; 1954 -continued. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 52.00 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.43 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $S, M$ and $K$ and interactions $S \times M, S \times K, M \times K, M \times C$ anc $K \times C$ are significant, whle interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{C}$ is highly significant. Main effect of C is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | 41.31 | 55.10 | 54.80 | 54.70 | 45.90 | 57.10 | 49.80 | 53.20 | 51.50 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 45.90 | 59.40 | 52.40 | 52.50 | 49.80 | 55.20 | 51.70 | 53.30 | 52.50 |
| Mean | 43.62 | 57.25 | 53.60 | 53.60 | 47.85 | 56.15 | 50.75 | 53.25 | 52.00 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{0}$ | 42.90 | 55.30 | 53.01 | 50.80 | 46.90 | 54.60 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | 44.34 | 58.20 | 54.20 | 56.40 | 48.80 | 57.70 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 35.42 | 57.50 | 48.50 | 53.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 51.82 | 57.00 | 58.70 | 57.20 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E of marginal mean of $S, K$ or $C$ | $=0.78 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=1.10 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $M \times K, M \times S$ or $M \times C$ table | $=1.57 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times K, S \times C$ or $K \times C$ table | $=1.10 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref - Mh. 52(155).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of Mohwa cake and G.N.C. on yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 2.2.1952. (iv) (a) 1 deep ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO,419 (medium). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 11.10*. (x) 20.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

300 lb ./ac. of N applied as follows :

1. Mohwa cake alone.
2. G.N.C. alone.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Mohwa cake in $1: 2$.
4. A/S+G.N.C. in $1: 2$.
5. A/S+G.N.C.+Mohwa cake in 1:1:1.
6. A/S + Mohwa cake in $1: 2$. Mohwa cake previously decomposed before planting.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.5875 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (i) N.A. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) 42.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 14.30 ton/ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 35.95 |
| 2. | 54.55 |
| 3. | 44.52 |
| 4. | 38.22 |
| 5. | 41.33 |
| 6: | 39.66 |
| S.E./mean | $=7.15$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Ref:- Mh. 53(240).
Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of Mohwa cake and G.N.C. on the yield of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 8.2.1953. (iv) (a) Deep ploughing (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 2 weedings. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 7.5.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

300 lb ./ac. of N applied as follows:

1. Mohwa cake alone.
2. G.N.C. alone.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}$. in $\mathbf{1 : 2}$.
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Mohwa cake in $1: 2$.
5. A/S + Mohwa cake + G.N.C. in $1: 1: 1$.
6. A/S + Mohwa cake in $1: 2 ;$ Mohwa cake previously decomposed before planting.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side, $3^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Germination counts, heights, sucrose, glucose $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS:
(i) 48.57 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.65 ton/ac,
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Ar. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 37.59 |
| 2. | 55.09 |
| 3. | 51.87 |
| 4. | 47.07 |
| 5. | 50.23 |
| 6. | 49.56 |
| S.E./mean | $=3.32$ ton/ac. |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref : $\quad$ Mh. 51(127). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Pa degaon. | Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of inter-cropping Maize with Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 20.12.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) $20,00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost, $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ cake in 1:2 ratio. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.35^{\circ}$. (x) 10.3.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of manuring : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Normal manure and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Normal manure +50 lb ./ac. of N .
(2) Maize drilled at : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=$ No maize crop, $\mathrm{D}_{1}=1^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}_{2}=2^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=3^{\prime}$ apart.

Normal manuring as under basal conditions.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) $8 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) $3 . \quad$ (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $34.03^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of borers. (iii) Brix \%, sucrose, glucose \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1952.
(b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 50.35 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.52 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $M$ and $D$ and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | 53.02 | 50.55 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 46.55 | 48.86 | 51.78 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 51.04 | 49.90 | 47.75 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | 51.83 | 51.07 | 50.47 |
| Mean | 50.61 | 50.10 | 51.45 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of M | $=1.02$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of D | $=1.44$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=2.03$ ton/ac. |  |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Ref. :- Mh. 48(53).
Site: ${ }^{-}$Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.
Type :" 'CV'.
Object :- To study the different varieties with different times of planting.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A (c) 10,000 setts./ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) 一. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39.21^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments.
3 dates of planting : $D_{1}=$ July 1948, $D_{2}=$ October1948 and $D_{2}=$ January 1949.
Sub-plot treatments.
3 varieties: $V_{1}=C O .419, V_{2}=C O .454$ and $V_{3}=C O .475$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot.
(ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1950. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) M.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 25.79 ton./ac.
(ii) (a) 4.74 ton./ac.
b) 3.87 ton./ac.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Msan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 27.17 | 22.92 | 26.11 | 25.40 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 22.75 | 27.17 | 25.95 | 25.29 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 25.58 | 27.83 | 26.62 | 26.67 |
| Mean | 25.16 | 25.97 | 26.22 | 25.79 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot marginal means | $=1.84$ ton./ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot marginal means | $=1.58$ ton./ac. |
| 3. sub-plot means at the same level of main-plot | $=2.74$ ton./ac. |
| 4. main-plot means at the same level of sub-plot | $=2.95$ ton./ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref. :~Mh. 49(78).
Type:- 'CV'.

Object :- To study the different varieties with different times of planting.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. '(ii) (a) 'G' type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts./ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) -. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.71^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments.
3 dates of planting: $D_{1}=$ July 1949, $D_{2}=$ October 1949 and $D_{3}=$ Jan. 1950.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 varieties : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{CO} .419, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=\mathrm{CO} .454$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=\mathrm{CO} .475$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block : 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A,
(b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1950. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.* (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $33.59 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 3.69 ton/ac.
(b) 2.94 ton/ac.
(iii) Varieties are significant while others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 34.36 | 34.68 | 34.78 | 34.61 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 28.86 | 31.26 | 29.23 | 29.78 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 35.96 | 38.04 | 35.12 | 36.37 |
| Mean | 33.06 | 3466 | 33.04 | 33.59 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=1.51 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $V$ marginal means | $=1.20 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$ | $=2.08$ ton/ac. |
| 4. $D$ means at the same level of $V$ | $=2.27$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn, Deolali.

Ref:-Mh. 49(77).
Type : ©'CV'.

Object:-To study the effect of different times of planting on different varieties of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $26.52^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 dates of planting : $D_{1}=$ July 1949, $D_{2}=$ October 1949 and $D_{3}=$ January 1950.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties : $V_{1}=C O .419, V_{2}=C O .454$ and $V_{3}=C O .475$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1950. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 42.84 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 5.73 ton/ac.
(b) 4.58 ton/ac.
(iii) V and D effects are significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 52.65 | 40.99 | 35.05 | 42.89 |
| $V_{2}$ | 50.49 | 37.90 | 32.27 | 40.22 |
| $V_{1}$ | 61.24 | 38.45 | 36.47 | 45.38 |
| Mean | 54.79 | 39.11 | 34.59 | 42,84 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=2.33 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. V marginal means
$=1.87 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $\dot{V}$ means at the same level of $D$
$=3.24 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. D means at the same level of $V$
$=3.53 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Sugarcane.<br>Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:~Mh. 49(89).
Type : $\boldsymbol{n}^{‘} \mathrm{CV}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different times of planting on different varieties of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) -. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost. Top dressing with $375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N for July planting and 300 lb ./ac. of N for January planting. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $21.26^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12 to 19.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 dates of planting : $D_{1}=$ July 1949, $D_{2}=$ October 1949 and $D_{3}=$ January, 1950.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 varieties: $V_{1}=$ CO. $419, V_{2}=$ CO. 454 and $V_{3}=$ CO. 475.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main.plot.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) 1.25 guntha.
(b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 47.36 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 6.12 ton/ac.
(b) 5.53 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) V and D effects are highly significant, while $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{D}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 1. D marginal means } & =2.50 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { 2. V marginal means } & =-2.26 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. V means at the same level of } D & =3.91 \text { ton } \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. D means at the same level of } V & =4.06 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop:- Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Ref:- Mh. 50(102).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Type :- 'CV'.
Object :-To study the effect of different times of planting on different varieties.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Sugarcane. (c) 375 lb ./ac. of N for July planting and $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N for other plantings. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. cc) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Top dressing 225 lb ,/ac. of N as mixture of G.N.C. and $\mathrm{A}_{i}$ Sin $2: 1$ ratio. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $21.26^{*}$. (x) 20 to 25.3.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
3 dates of planting: $D_{1}=$ July $1950, D_{2}=$ October 1950 and $D_{3}=$ January 1951.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{CO} .419, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ CO.454 and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ CO.475.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) 'N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $37.8^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $29.17^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi)
and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $34.30 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 4.03 ton/ac.
(b) $2.63 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only $V$ effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 35.96 | 34.53 | 38.10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 31.56 | 29.06 | 29.39 | 36.19 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 36.61 | 36.19 | 36.96 | 30.00 |
| Mean | 34.71 | 33.26 | 34.82 | 36.58 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=1.64$ ton/ac.
2. V marginal means
$=1.07 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $V$ means at the same level of $D$
4. $D$ means at the same level of $V$
$=1.86$ ton/ac. $=2.24$ ton/ac.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :- Sugarcane. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 49(47). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur. } & \text { Type :- ‘CV’. }
\end{array}
$$

Object: - To find out the best planting period for different varieties of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) ' $F$ ' type-very shallow $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $14^{\prime \prime}$-deep light brown-pH=8.1. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. 'c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) 4' between rows and $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\circ}$ between plants. (e) 一. (v) $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost after 1st ploughing and 10 C.L./ac. of compost in furrows before planting. (vi) As per treatments, (vii) Irrigated. (viii) it to 3 hand weedings, 3-4 interculturings and 1 light earthing up. (ix) N.A. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 times of planting : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ July 1949, $\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ October 1949 and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ January 1950.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{CO} .419, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=\mathrm{CO} .454$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=\mathrm{CO} .475$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) $3.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and 1 row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) Attack of top borer : controlled by cutting off affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1940-1942, 1942-43 (again started from 194748 to 1950-51 with varieties changed). (b).No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 19.28 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 2.94 ton/ac.
(b) 3.08 ton/ac.
(iii) V and D effects are highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 29.30 | 21.20 | 20.40 | 23.63 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 19.00 | 10.40 | 14.20 | 14.53 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 24.50 | 16.00 | 18.50 | 19.66 |
| Mean | 24.27 | 15.87 | 17.70 | 19.28 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. D marginal means
$=1.20$ ton/ac.
2. $V$ marginal means
$=1.26$ ton/ac.
3. V means at the same level of $D \quad=2.15$ ton/ac.
4. $D$ means at the same level of $V \quad=2.18$ ton/ac.

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :-Mh. 50(75).
Type :-‘CV’.

Object:-To find out the best planting period for different varieties of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) F type; very shallow; $12^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$-deep light brown; $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows and $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) - (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost after lst ploughing, 10 C.L./ac. of compost in furrows before planting. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings and 1 light earthing up. (ix) N.A. (x) NA.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 times of planting : $D_{1}=$ July 1950, $D_{2}=$ October 1950 and $D_{3}=$ January 1951.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ CO. $419, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ CO. 454 and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ CO. 475.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) $3.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and one row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Below normal. (ii) Attack of top borers; controlled by cutting off attacked shoots; collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. (iii) Germination counts, height, fortnightiy maturity study. (iv) (a) 1947-1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolaii. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 11.42 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 1.73 ton/ac.
(b) 4.78 ton/ac.
(iii) D effect is significant and $V$ effect is highly significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 17.60 | 14.40 | 13.40 | 15.13 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 7.40 | 7.70 | 5.60 | 690 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 14.70 | 10.13 | 11.90 | 12.24 |
| Mean | 13.23 | 10.74 | 10.30 | 11.42 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. D marginal means | $=0.71$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. V marginal means | $=1.95$ ton/ac. |
| 3. V means at the same level of $D$ | $=2.85$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. D means at the same level of V | $=3.38$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref :-Mh. 51(115).
Type : $\boldsymbol{\sim}^{*} \mathrm{CM}$ '.

Object:-To study the effect of different levels of N in combination with different spacings on Sugarcane yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Bajra-Tur (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra and Tur (mixed). c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 12.8.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and harrowings and opening ridges. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) - (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. spread in furrows before planting. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, one light tagarani and earthing up. (ix) $19^{\prime \prime}$ (1951) and $12^{\prime \prime}$ (1952). (x) 20.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Other details N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) (a) 1.25
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth normal. Crop lodged in May. (ii) Stem borer $15 \%$, top borer $10 \%$. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1950-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Padegaon, Lakhamapur, Deolali and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 76.97 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.31 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of N and its interaction with S are significant. S effect is not significant.
(iv) Av, yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 77.50 | 76.60 | 77.20 | 76.90 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 80.60 | 72.90 | 69.10 | 74.20 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 79.60 | 83.10 | 76.00 | 79.50 |
| Mean | 79.20 | 77.50 | 74.10 | 76.97 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $S$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :- Sugarcane. } & \text { Ref':- Mh. 52(12). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj. } & \text { Type :- 'CM'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings and different doses of $N$ on the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Adsali sugarcane-Bajra and tur mixture-Adsali sugarcane. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 19.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harrowing ard ridging.
(b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost spread in furrows before planting. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 light tagarani, earthing up and 2 weedings. (ix) 18.04 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4 to 29.1.1954,

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}$ ' row to row.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Double planting in $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1^{\prime}}{} \times 42 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{1}{2}$ and $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $32^{\prime} \times 42 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ for $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing ard $22.5^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$ for $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) 1 row on each side of the plot: $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ and $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ at each end of the plot of $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 44^{\prime}$ and $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacings respectively. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop growth was normal. Crop lodged in May. (ii) There was a severe attack of top shoot and stemborer totalling up to $15 \%$. (iii) Germination, tillering, borer counts, height, girth, internodes, etc. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Padegaon, Lakhamapur, Deolali and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 71.91 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.82 ton/ac.
(iii) No effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{1}$ | 71.83 | 69.45 | 65.04 | 68.77 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 72.68 | 71.97 | 68.68 | 71.11 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 79.58 | 74.62 | 73.34 | 75.85 |
| Mean | 74.70 | 72.01 | 69.02 | 71.91 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.68 \text { ton/ac. } \\ & =2.91 \text { ton/ac. } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Ref :- Mh. 53(204).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.
Type : ${ }^{6} \mathrm{CM}$.
Object :-To study the effect of different spacings and different $N$ doses cn the yield of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Adsali sugarcane-Bajra and tur (mixed, (b) Bajra and tur (mixed). (c) il (il) (aj D type.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) 20.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harruwing and ndging.
(b) The buds of the sugarcan: are exposed and allowed to germinate under soll. (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) - . (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost spread in furrows. (vi) CO 419. (vu) irtigated. (viii, 1 light tagarani, 1 tagarani, earthing up and 3 weedings. (ix) 19.19*. (x) 5 to 25.1.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=32^{\prime}, S_{3}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ row to row.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Double planting in $4 \mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ spacing. N as A S .
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 42 y^{\prime}$ for $33^{\prime}$ sracing and $4 \xi^{\prime}$ spacing and $32^{\prime} \times 42 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ for $42^{\prime}$ spacing. (b; $24^{\prime \prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}$ for $32^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $22^{\prime} \times 36.31^{\prime}$ for 42' spacing. (v) 1 row on each side of the plot and $3 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ and $4 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ at each end of the plot wih $3^{\prime \prime}, 4^{\prime}$ and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ spacings rispectively. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Growth normal. (ii) There were much dead sugarcanes and water shoots. Crop lodgel in May 1954. (iii) There was attack of stem borer to the extent of 15 '。 and top shoot borer to the extent of $10 \%$. Affected shoots were cut and destroyed. (ii) Germination, tillering, borer counts, height, girth, internodes etc. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Padegaon, Lakhamapur, Deolali and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 78.44 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.02 ton/ac.
(iii) Effect of S is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 79.91 | 77.66 | 75.59 | 77.72 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 81.34 | 77.38 | 76.07 | 78.26 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 82.29 | 76.30 | 79.47 | 79.35 |
| Mean | 81.18 | 77.11 | 77.04 | 78.44 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ or $N$ S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.16 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =2.01 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- Mh. $51(84)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Sin., Deolali. | Type :m 'CM'. |

Object:-To study the effect of different doses of manures in combination with different spacings on Adsali Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type, deep brown in colour, with depth $1^{\prime}$ to 1.5'. (b) N.A. (bi) 14.8.1551. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) Planing in furrows. (c) According to spacings. (d) As fer treatments. (e)-. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 36.2" (x) 15.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ anb $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$ between rows.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as A/S+G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio. Time and method of application of treatments N.A.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Germination counts, and cane yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nij.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 39.81 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.72 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 38.04 | 37.52 | 38.26 | 37.94 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 40.33 | 43.15 | 42.90 | 42.12 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 43.10 | 36.65 | 38.39 | 39.38 |
| Mean | 40.49 | 39.11 | 39.85 | 39.81 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S or N S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.93 \text { ton/ac. } \\ & =3.36 \text { ton/ac. } \end{aligned}$ |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- Mh. 52(9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object :-To determine the optimum spacing and manure for Adsali Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) AdsaliSugarcane-Bajra and Tur (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra and Tur (mixed). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) G type. (b) N.A. (iii) 24.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 ploughing, clod crushing, harrowing, opening ridges and furrows planting, manuring, earthing, etc. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) According to spacings. (d) As per reatments. (e)-. (v) Basal dose of $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of compost given in furrows and mixed before planting. (vi) Adsali, CO.419, sugarcane. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Watering, weeding, application of manurial doses and mixing, earthing up, etc. (ix) $25.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{11^{\prime}}{}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ between rows.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. mixed in the ratio 1:2 and applied in 4 doses, seed-rate 10,000 setts/ac. for $3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{2}$. and $4^{\prime}$ and 15,000 setts for $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $43.2^{\prime} \times 31.5^{\prime}$. (b) $33.35^{\prime} \times 24.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing, $34.72^{\prime} \times 23.5^{\prime}$ for $4.0^{\prime}$ spacing and $36.26^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) Ore row on each side and $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Mild attack of top-shoot borer, stemberer, pyrilla and mealy-bugs. (iii) Germination counts, tillering, borer growth observation, ripeness studies, yield of sugarcane and milleable sugarcane count. (iv) (a) 1951-1055 (3 Adsali crops). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakhamapura, Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 49.39 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.53 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tor/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 49.62 | 47.50 | 47.45 | 48.19 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 50.61 | 53.21 | 46.41 | 50.07 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 49.23 | 53.35 | 47.15 | 49.91 |
| Mean | 49.82 | 51.35 | 47.00 | 49.39 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or SS.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.60 \text { ton } \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =2.76 \text { ton } / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

> Ref :-Mh. $53(202)$.
> Type :-‘CM'.

Object:-To determine the optimum spacing and manure for Adsali Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Bajra-Tur (mixed-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur (mixed;. (c) Nii. (ii) (a) G type. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, clod crushing, harrowing, opening ridges and furrows, earthing, etc. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate urider soil. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$. compost was given in furrows mixeci before planting. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Watering, weeding, light earthing and earthing up (ix) $31.76^{\circ}$. (x) 16, 25.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{1^{\prime}}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. mixed in the ratio $1: 2$ and applied in 4 doses. Seed rate 10,000 setts/ic. for $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ and 15,000 setts for $4 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $43.2^{\prime} \times 31.5^{\prime}$. (b) $33.35^{\prime} \times 24.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing ; $34.72^{\prime} \times 23.5^{\prime}$ for $4.0^{\prime}$ spacing and $36.26^{\prime} \times 22.5^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) One row on each side and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Attack of top-shoot-borer, stem-borer, pyrilla and mealy-bugs. (iii) Germination c unts, tillering, borer counts, milliable sugarcane counts, growth observation, ripening studies and harvest data. (iv) (a) 1951-1953 (3 crops). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Lakhamapur, Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 55.35 ton/ac.
(ii) 9.94 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the main effect of spacing is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 57.75 | 55.84 | 52.54 | 55.38 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 70.97 | 59.58 | 47.61 | 5939 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 56.13 | 55.44 | 42.26 | 51.27 |
| Mean | 61.61 | 56.95 | 47.47 | 55.35 |
| S E of marginal mean of N or S |  | $=2.87$ ton/ac. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of the table |  | $=4.97$ ton/ac. |  |  |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

## Ref:-Mh. 53(262). <br> Type :-‘M".

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate and manurial requirements for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 2 bags/ac. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Deép black, (b) N.A. (iii) 14.9.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing by tractor, harrowing. (b) Plarting in furrows. (c) As per treatments. (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) $10,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, 1 interculturing and 1 earthing up. (ix) 43.03". (x) 23.12.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 seed rates : $R_{1}=12000, R_{2}=15000$ and $R_{3}=18000$ setts/ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=470 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) $1951-52$ to $1954-55$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 47.78 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.36 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 44.87 | 44.52 | 50.31 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 52.29 | 44.28 | 50.40 |
| Mean | 48.58 | 44.40 | 50.35 |
| 46.57 |  |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ S.E. of marginal mean of N S.E. of body of table
$=1.89$ ton/ac.
$=1.55$ ton/ac.
$=2.68 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

## Ref:- Mh. 51(80). <br> Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different levels of N in combination with different spacings on Sugarcane,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. and $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 4.8 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) Seed rate according to spacings. (d) As per treatments. (e)-. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 3 weedings. (ix) $46.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.1.1953 to 6.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(I) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3.5^{\prime}$ ( 15000 setts/ac.), $\mathrm{S}_{2}=4^{\prime}$ ( $12000 \mathrm{setts} / \mathrm{ac}$.) and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=4.5^{\prime}$ ( 10000 setts/ac.).
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450 \mathrm{lb}$./ac., $\mathrm{N}_{2}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio. Manure broadcast at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\circ}$. (b) According to spacing : $34^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}\left(4^{\prime}\right), 24.5^{\prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}\left(3 \frac{1}{}_{\prime}^{\prime}\right)$ and $22.5^{\prime} \times 36.31^{\prime}$ ( $41^{\prime}$ ). (v) $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end, one row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, milleable and unmilleable sugarcave yield. (iv) (a) 1951 . 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 60.70 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.16 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ is significant, main effect of $N$ and the interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 59.9 | 65.5 | 57.6 | 61.0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 65.0 | 65.1 | 65.6 | 65.2 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 60.3 | 49.3 | 53.3 | 56.0 |
| Mean | 61.7 | 60.0 | 60.5 | 60.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=2.35$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.<br>Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref:- Mh. 52(90).
Type :- 'CM'.
Object :-To study the effect of different levels of N in combination with different spacings on Sugarcare.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur(mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur (mixture). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 6.8.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor and clod crushing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e)-. (v) 20 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. (v) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings. (ix) 23.17". (x) January 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combir ations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{3}{\prime}^{\prime}$ spacing; $32^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ spacing. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.5^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime \prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime \prime}$ spacing and $22.5^{\prime} \times 36.5^{\prime \prime}$ for $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ spacing. (v) $3.5^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{\frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}}{}$ spacing, $4^{\prime} \times 4.25^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ for $4 I^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of top-shoot and stem borer observed. (iii) Germination count, tillering and borer count. (iv) (a) 1952-1957. (b) No. (c) Not known. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.4. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 53.64 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.52 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction arr significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

## Ref:- Mh. 53(161).

Type: ' CM '.

Object:-To determine the suitable spacing in combination with different manuring for AdsaliSugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra and Jowar (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 20.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per trèatments. (e) - . (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO-419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings and 1 bunding. (ix) $39.92^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ between rows.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii). 4. (iv) (a) $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing and $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.25^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $22.5^{\prime} \times$ $36.5^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) $3.5^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing ; $4^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory, (ii) Attack of top-shoot, stem-borer and pyrilla. (iii) Germination count, tillering ccount and height. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 55.01 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.95 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 60.02 | 56.53 | 55.96 | 57.50 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 56.90 | 57.21 | 58.37 | 57.49 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 48.14 | 51.27 | 50.69 | 50 |
| Mean | 55.02 | 55.00 | 55.01 | 50.03 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any maginal mean } & =1.42 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of the table } & =2.47 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
Crop :- Sugarcane
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

> Ref :- Mh. $50(103)$.
> Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To determine the suitable spacing in combination with doses of manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bag; of G.N.C. $+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 21.8.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) $\mathrm{CO}-419$ (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 21.26". (x) 14 to 28.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments:

3 spacings; $S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3.5^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments:

3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 su'-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 1.25 guntha. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 65.84 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.96 ton/ac.
(b) 4.00 ton/ac.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 62.84 | 69.22 | 64.20 | 65.42 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 63.36 | 65.28 | 65.10 | 64.58 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 63.72 | 70.58 | 68.26 | 67.52 |
| Mean | 63.31 | 68.36 | 65.85 | 65.84 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. S marginal means | $=2.02 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. N marginal means | $=1.63$ ton/ac. |
| 3. N means at the same level of S | $=2.83$ ton/ac. |
| 4. S means at the same level of N | $=3.07$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn.,Lakhamapur.
Ref: Mh. 50(73).
Type:- 'CM'.
Object:-To find out the effect of different levels of manures in combination with different spacings.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. and 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ S. (ii) (a) F type soil- ery shallow $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep light brown, $p \mathrm{H}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 25.8 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in soil. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) - (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost after ist ploughing and $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings, 1 light earthing up by Bahadur p'ough and final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $14.95^{\prime \prime}$ to $17.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as AIS sprinkled in 4 doses; at planting, 6 weeeks after, 12 weeks after ant at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) $3.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and 1 row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of top torers, controlled by cutting off affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. (iii) Germination counts, monthly heights, plant population and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 38.8 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $27.53 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 28.82 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 42.01 | 37.20 | 40.30 | 39.84 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 40.30 | 39.60 | 40.00 | 39.97 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 37.20 | 35.60 | 37.50 | 36.77 |
| Mean | 39.84 | 37.47 | 39.27 | 38.86 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means
$=11.2$ ton/ac.
2. N marginal means
$=11.8$ ton/ac.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=20.4$ ton/ac.
4. S means at the same level of N

Crop :- Sugarcane.
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

## Ref:~ Mh. 51(87). <br> Type :-‘CM'.

Object:-To find out the effect of different levels of manure in combination with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Tur (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Very shallow, 12' to $15^{\prime \prime}$, deep light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. F type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 20.8.1951. (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 4 harrowings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) Seed rate $12,000,10,000$ and 15,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost half after 1 st ploughing and half in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings by tooth cultivator implement, 1 light earthing up by Bahadur plough and 1 final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ sprinkled in 4 doses; at the time of planting, 6 weeks later, 12 weeks later and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ (b) $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ (v) 1 row on each side and $4^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth and the final yields were normal. (ii) Major pest-top-borer, cutting off the affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. Slight rat trouble, controlled by poison baits of zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observations, plant population, sugatcane yield and fortnightly maturity study, (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 27.2 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.51 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 26.7 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 26.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 28.3 | 26.6 | 37.2 | 27.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 28.4 | 31.4 | 22.7 | 27.5 |
| Mean | 27.8 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 27.2 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{S} & =1.59 \text { ton/ac. } \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =2.76 \text { ton/ac. }
\end{array}
$$

## Crop :-Sugarcane.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(116).
Type :-'CM'.

Object :-To find out the effect of different levels of manure in combination with different spacing between rows.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) F type; very shallow $12^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 11.7.1952. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost applied after Ist ploughing and same dose applied in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. E(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings, one light earthing up by bahadur plough and a final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $10.46^{\circ}$ to $24.12^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 4.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=31^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ between rows.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ sprinkled in 4 doses; at planting, 6 weeks later, 12 weeks later and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) 3.75 on either side length wise and one row on either side breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of top borer ; controlled by cutting of affected shoots; collection and destroying of egg-masses and moth. (iii) Germination count, monthly height data, plant popuiation and sugarcane yieid. (iv) (a) $1950-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 25.95 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.94 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 27.19 | 24.83 | 29.20 | 27.07 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 25.57 | 25.54 | 27.73 | 26.28 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 21.45 | 25.00 | 27.07 | 24.50 |
| Mean | 24.73 | 25.12 | 28.00 | 25.95 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S or N S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.13 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.97 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Crop :~Sugarcane.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 53(99).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ CM'.

Object :-To find out the suitable spacing and manuring for Adsali Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Bajra-Tur mixed-Adsali sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur mixed. (c) 2 md./ac. of manure mixture
(ii) (a) Shallow type of soil $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$; deep light brown in colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur.
(iii) 9.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings with deep plough $10^{\prime \prime}$; clod crushing \& opening furrows. (b, N.A.
(c) 10,000 setts/ac. 3 budded. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost applied at the time of preparatory tillage. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings with tooth cultivators, light earthing up by a plough, weeding twice at final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $24.72^{\circ}$ to $33.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.1.1955 to 2.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ between rows.
(2) 3 top dressing of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied in 4 doses as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. at different stages; at planting, 6 weeks after-planting, 12 weeks after planting and 6 months after planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Heavy lodging on 25.9 .1954 due to rains. (ii) Top shoot borer 1 to $11 \%$ and stem borer 1 to $4.5 \%$; cutting out the affected plants and collection of egg-mass ; medium attack of pyrilla, spraying $50 \%$ B.H.C. (iii) Sugarcane height, tillering count and germination count, botanical observations etc. and yield.
(iv) (a) 1950-1953.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $45.95 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6.63 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| $\sim$ |  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 49.60 | 44.20 | 49.12 | 47.64 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 47.14 | 47.82 | 45.01 | 46.65 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 40.95 | 45.84 | 43.96 | 43.58 |
|  | Mean | 45.89 | 45.95 | 46.03 | 45.95 |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of N or SS.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.91 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =3.32 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Ref. :-Mh 50(97).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type :»'CM’.
Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of $\mathbf{N}$ for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.11.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N. A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (c) - . (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late) (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 1 interculturing and 1 earthing. (ix) $14.68^{\circ}$ in 1951-52. (x) 26.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacing : $-S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{t^{\prime}}{}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The expt. was taken in newly developed area and hence the crop growth was uneven. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, Sucrose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) No. (b), (c) No. (v) (a), (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 46.88 ton/ac.
(ii) 9.65 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of S and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant. Main effect of N is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Ref. :-Mh. 50(98).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ CM'.
Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 12.9.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Varies according to spacings, the standard being 10,000 three budded setts/ac. for 4 ' spacing. (d) As per treatments. (e) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.3.1952,
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( 1 and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $-S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3 \frac{1}{2}$ and $S_{3}=4$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=52 \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=6 \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as AS+G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth of crop was uneven as the area was brought under cultivation recently. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953: ${ }^{\circ}$ (b), (c) No. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 45.74 ton/ac.
(ii) 14.28 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| $\therefore$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 36.90 | 48.40 | 41.50 | 42.26 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 44.70 | 50.90 | 43.80 | 46.47 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 44.00 | 54.10 | 47.40 | 48.50 |
| Mean | 41.87 | 51.13 | 44.23 | 45.74 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{S}=3.36$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=5.83$ ton/ac.

| Crop :- Sugarcane(Adsali). | Ref :- Mh. $51(136)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 3.8.1951. (iv)(a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 12500 (for $3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing), 10,000 (for $4^{\prime}$ spacing) and 15000 (for $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing) setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{G}$. N.C. in $1: 1$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, suc rose and glucose \% and Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) For $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing sugarcane is planted in a double line parallel to each other ( $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $5^{\prime \prime}$ apart) with seedrate of 15000 setts/ac. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 46.83 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.87 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 40.93 | 41.94 | 44.93 | 42.60 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 48.86 | 50.19 | 46.20 | 48.42 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 49.30 | 48.88 | 50.25 | 49.48 |
| Mean | 46.36 | 47.00 | 47.13 | 46.83 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or SS.E. of body of table |  |  | $=2.09 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali). | Ref :- Mh. 52(163) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of $N$ for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 13.8.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in double lines parallel to each other (for $4 \frac{1}{2}$ spacing). (c) According to spacings : $12500\left(3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(10,000\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right.$ and $15000\left(4 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\right)$ setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e)-, (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (Mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.01^{\prime}$ to $16.35^{*}$. (x) 27.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ cake in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in
R.B.D. (ii)
(a) 9. (b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) 0.75 guntha.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 68.39 ton/ac.
(ii) $8.19 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 69.72 | 69.46 | 60.64 | 66.61 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 66.51 | 69.60 | 70.85 | 68.99 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 69.45 | 68.20 | 71.11 | 69.59 |
| Mean | 68.56 | 69.09 | 67.53 | 68.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=1.93$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=3.34$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Padegoan.

Ref:- Mh. 53(244).
Type : " CM '.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and manures for Sugarcane.

1. IASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegoan.
iii) 7.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in double line. (c) According to spacings $12,500\left(3.5^{\prime}\right), 10,300$
( $4^{\prime}$ ) and $15,000\left(4.5^{\prime}\right)$ setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) - . (v) Nile (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturing, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$ to $20.16^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27 to 31.12.1954.
2. IREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3.5^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4.5^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ cake in 1:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $62.65 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6.29 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 66.10 | 63.40 | 67.60 | 65.70 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 62.90 | 62.80 | 58.20 | 61.30 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 63.70 | 60.10 | 59.10 | 60.97 |
| Mean | 64.23 | 62.10 | 61.63 | 62.65 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{S} & =1.48 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E of body of } & =2.56 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}\end{array}$

Crop: Sugarcane.
Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.
Ref :- Mh. 48(77).
Type :- 'IM'.
Object :-To find out the requirements of irrigations and manure for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) July to September 1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing and ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings, one light earthing up and a final earthing up. (ix) 21.78". (x) 5.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manure : $\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manure applied as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1.0 guntha. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Slight lodging. (ii) Stem-borer $3.5 \%$, top-borer $3.5 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering \%, height and girth of the sugarcane, total no. of canes and total weight. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting; 1947-49 to 1949-51 adsali. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergoan, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 50.55 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.33 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 51.17 | 49.10 | 50.33 | 50.20 | 47.71 | 53.05 | 49.84 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 53.53 | 47.56 | 51.50 | 50.86 | 50.19 | 52.04 | 50.36 |
| Mean | 52.35 | 48.33 | 50.91 | 50.55 | 48.95 | 52.54 | 50.10 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 50.75 | 46.51 | 49.59 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 53.85 | 51.59 | 52.19 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 52.43 | 46.89 | 50.96 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean or N or F. | $=1.25 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I. | $=1.03 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=1.78$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=2.18$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

## Ref:- Mh. 49(108). <br> Type :- 'IM'.

Object :-To find out the requirements of irrigations and manure for Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Rabi Jowar. (b) Sugarcane. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings and earthing up. (ix) $23.64^{\prime \prime}$ (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manure : $N_{1}=375, N_{2}=450$ and $N_{8}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manure applied as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. ${ }^{[ }$(ii) Stemborer 3 to $3.5 \%$ and top torer 3.0 to $3.5 \%$. (ii) Height and girth of sugarcane, total sugarcanes and weight of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting; 1947-1949 to 19491951 adsali planting. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 30.92 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.03 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $F$ alore is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $N_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 27.29 | 32.48 | 32.75 | 30.84 | 28.50 | 32.07 | 31.95 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 30.25 | 32.15 | 30.50 | 30.97 | 29.68 | 30.65 | 32.63 |
| Mean | 28.77 | 32.31 | 31.62 | 30.92 | 29.09 | 31.36 | 32.29 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 25.55 | 31.19 | 30.02 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 29.40 | 32.28 | 32.39 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 31.35 | 32.97 | 32.54 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $F$ | $=0.95$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $I$ | $=0.78$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $F \times N$ | $=1.65$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of tables $I \times F$ and $I \times N$ | $=1.34$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adeali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 49(110).
Type:- 'IM'.

Object :-To fird out the requirements of irrigation and manure for Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-rabi Jowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj.
(iii) 31.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing and ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO.419.
(vii) lrrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings, 1 light earthing up and final earthing up. (ix) $23.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manures : $\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) 1.6 gıntha. (b) 1.4 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Lodging to some extent. (ii) Stemborer $3.5 \%$ and top borer $3.0 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering percentages, height and girth of sugarcane, total no. of canes and weight. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting and 1947-1949 to 1949—1951 adsali planting. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 69.96 ton/ac.
(ii) $7.13 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{F}$ is highly significant. Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is significant. Other effect and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 65.99 | 71.34 | 70.79 | 69.37 | 64.01 | 72.88 | 71.23 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 64.35 | 70.68 | 76.65 | 70.52 | 67.72 | 68.60 | 75.26 |
| Mean | 65.12 | 71.01 | 73.72 | 69.96 | 65.86 | 70.73 | 73.24 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 61.26 | 68.56 | 67.77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 68.08 | 70.59 | 73.54 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 66.01 | 73.87 | 79.84 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $F$ | $=1.68$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I | $=1.37$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times I$ or $F \times I$ table | $=2.38$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ table | $=2.91$ ton/ac. |



Object :-To study the requirements of water and the effect of different quantities of manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.7.1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $23.19^{\circ}$ to $39.21^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M.: $\quad F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 54.45^{\prime}$.
(b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 50.96 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.35 ton/ac.
(b) 7.28 ton/ac.
(iii) Effect of main-plot treatments alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yeield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 53.84 | 48.70 | 52.53 | 51.69 | 51.11 | 48.66 | 55.30 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. | 50.84 | 49.20 | 50.68 | 50.24 | 50.83 | 48.89 | 51.01 |
| Mean | 52.34 | 48.95 | 51.60 | 50.96 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 53.43 | 47.58 | 51.91 | 50.97 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 46.73 | 50.11 | 49.45 | 48.76 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 56.89 | 49.15 | 53.41 | 53.15 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=1.12$ ton/ac.
2. F marginal means $\quad=2.43$ ton/ac.
3. I marginal means $\quad=1.98$ ton/ac.
4. means in $I \times F$ table $\quad=3.44$ ton/ac.
5. F means at the same level of $N=4.20$ ton $\angle a c$.
6. I means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=3.44 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. $N$ means at the same level of $F=3.61$ ton/ac.
8. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{I}=2.67$ ton/ac.

Crop: Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

## Ref :-Mh 49(70).

Type:-'IM'.

Object :-To study the requirement of water and the effect of different quantities of manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 15.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $23.19^{\prime \prime}$ to $26.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $-F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 40$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950 to 1950-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 39.99 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.59 ton/ac.
(b) 5.13 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of sugarcane in ton/ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
$=1.20$ ton/ac.
2. F marginal means
$=1.71 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. 1 marginal means
$=1.40$ ton/ac.
4. means in $I \times F$ table
$=2.42$ ton/ac.
5. F means at the same level of $N$
$=2.96$ ton/ac.
6. I means at the same level of N
$=2.42 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. N means at the same level of $F \quad=2.70$ ton/ac.
8. N means at the same level of $I \quad=2.09$ ton/ac.

| Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali). | Ref :m Mh. 50(84). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : - Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali. | Type :- 'IM'. |

Object :-To study the requirements of water and the effect of different quantities of manure.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c N.A. (ii) (a) $G$ type $s$ il. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing.
(b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v, Nil. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii)
N.A. (ix) $1950-26.52^{\prime \prime}$ and $1951-2771^{*}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{2}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) 1/40 ac. (v) N.A. vi) Yes.

## 4. ${ }^{-}$GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950 to 1950-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 44.43 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 4.91 ton/ac.
(b) $5.02 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction $I \times F$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 46.52 | 44.20 | 46.13 | 45.62 | 45.19 | 44.35 | 47.32 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 41.68 | 47.01 | 41.10 | 43.25 | 42.25 | 43.19 | 44.31 |
| Mean | 44.08 | 45.60 | 43.61 | 44.43 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 43.29 | 46.51 | 41.36 | 43.72 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 43.44 | 45.10 | 42.76 | 43.77 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 45.49 | 45.22 | 46.73 | 45.81 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=1.64$ ton/ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. F marginal means | $=1.67$ ton/ac. |
| 3. I marginal means | $=1.37$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in $I \times F$ table | $=2.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 5. F means at the same level of N | $=2.89 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 6. I means at the same level of N | $=2.36$ ton/ac. |
| 7. N means at the same level of F | $=2.88$ ton/ac. |
| 8. N means at the same level of I | $=0.34 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref :- Mh. 49(46).
Type :- 'IM'.

Object:-To study the effect of F.Y.M. along with differentirrigation and $N$ does on Sugarcane yield

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) F type, very shallow; $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\circ}$ deep light brown ; $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 26.8.1949. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows and $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings and one light earthing up. (ix) 1949-26.95 ; 1950-14.95*. (x) 12.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of $N: N_{1}=375, N_{2}=450$ and $N_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of A/S.

A/S sprinkled in 4 doses-at planting, 6 weeks after planting, 12 weeks after planting and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha (b) 1 guntha. (v) $4.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and one row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) Attack of top borers; controlled by cutting off affected shoots ; collection and destroying of egg masses and moths. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) First started in 1941 to 1947, revised in 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 21.6 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.13 ton/ac.
(b) 3.83 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is-significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 20.1 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 22.4 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 18.9 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 20.8 | 21.1 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | 21.3 | 23.6 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.7 |
| Mean | 201 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.6 |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 19.9 | 22.6 | 20.5 | 21.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 20.3 | 21.6 | 24.6 | 22.2 |  |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. F marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.37 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.27 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.03 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.81 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.79 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =2.19 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =1.83 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =2.24 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. N marginal means
3. I marginal means
4. means in $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ table
5. I means at the same level of $F$
6. $N$ means at the same level of $F$
7. F means at the same level of I
8. F means at the same level of N

Crop: :Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(16).
Type :-'IM'.

Object :--To study the manurial and water requirements of Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali)-Ratoon-Bajra + Tur. (b) Bajra + Tur (mixed). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 19 and 20.7.1952. (ir) (a) Ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings one earthing up at 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months after planting. (ix) $15.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 methods of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=$ Serpentine and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=$ Straight furrow.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=600, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=750$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=900 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 mixtures of $N$ and $P$ fertilizers: $M_{1}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 2:1 ratio and $M_{2}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 4:1 ratio.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathbf{G}$.N.C. mixed in $\mathrm{I}: 3$ ratio. Quantity of P ranging from 150 to $450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $224^{\prime} \times 163.32^{\circ}$, (iii) 4 , (iv) (a) Main-plot: $112^{\prime} \times 54.44^{\prime}$; sub-plot: $54.44^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot: $45.44^{\prime} \times 48^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good, crop lodged by 2nd fortnight of May and August. (ii) Stem-borer and top shoot borers 5.4, and $4.6 \%$ attacks respectively. Mealy bugs also caused damage ; infestation of rats controlled by acopting gassing with cyanide dust ; weekly collection of egg-masses of the borers, hand picking with nets and light trapping of moths of both the borers ; fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, milleable and non-milleable sugarcane counts, maturity tests and yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955, ;b) and (c) No (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $95.67 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 13.34 ton/ac.
(b) 10.05 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 87.95 | 94.24 | 104.95 | 95.68 | 96.45 | 94.91 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 90.26 | 92.87 | 103.88 | 95.67 | 96.61 | 94.73 |
| Mean | 89.10 | 93.55 | 104.41 | 95.67 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 89.31 | 94.68 | 105.60 | 96.53 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 88.89 | 92.33 | 103.23 | 94.82 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. I marginal means | $=3.85$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $N$ marginal means | $=4.72$ ton/ac. |
| 3. $M$ marginal means |  |
| 4. means in $I \times N$ table | $=6.90$ ton/ac. |
| 5. $M$ means at the same level of $I$ |  |
| 6. $M$ means at the same level of $N$ |  |
| 7. I means at the same level of $M$ |  |
| 8. $N$ means at the same level of $M$ |  |
|  | $=4.03$ ton/ac. |
|  |  |

## Crop :-Sugarcane.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(182).

## Type :-'IM'.

Object :-To study the manurial and water requirements of Sugarcane crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali)-Ratoon-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra + Tur (mixed). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 22.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 deep pleughing and 2nd ploughing across the first $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) N.A. (e) 3 tudded setts. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tillering after $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 4 months. Earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) 20.14". (x) 10/21.1.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 methods of irrigation: $I_{1}=$ Serpentine and $I_{2}=$ Straight furrow.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=600, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=750$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=900 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 mixtures of $N, P$ fertilizers : $M_{1}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 2:1 ratio and $M_{2}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 4:1 ratio. N applied as A/S and G.N.C. mixed in $1: 3$ ratio. Quantity of $P$ ranging from 150 to 450 lb ./ac.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Mainplot : $56^{\prime} \times 108.88^{\prime}$. Sub-plot: $54.44^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (b). Sub-plot : $45.44^{\prime} \times 48^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Lodged heavily during the 2nd fortnight of May and August. (ii) Stem-torer and tcp-shoot borers 2.5 and $2.7 \%$ attacks. Mealy bugs sael insects and termites were observed on a very small scale control measures adopted collection of egg-masses and moths. Hand picking with nets and light trapping etc. fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $7347 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 6.34 ton/ac.
(b) $6.70 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of M is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


| S E. of d fference of two |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. N marginal means | $=2.23$ ton/ac. |
| 2. I marginal means | $=1.82$ ton/ac. |
| 3. M marginal means | $=1.94$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ table | $=3.17$ ton/ac. |
| 5. M means at the same level of N | $=3.35$ ton/ac. |
| 6. $M$ means at the same level of I | $=.1 .93$ ton/ac. |
| 7. I means at the same level of $M$ | $=2.66$ ton/ac. |
| 8. N means at the same level of M | $=3.26$ ton/a |

Crop:- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(96).
Type : ' 'IM'.

Object :-To study the water and manurial requirements of Sugarcane crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 4.8.1950. (iv) (a) and b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) ... (v) 20,000 lb ./ac. of compost. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 22.91". (x) 17.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), ( 2 and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=375, N_{2}=450$ and $N_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose and glucose, \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 195)-5l. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) -66.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.54 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of $N$ and $P$ and interactions $N P, N \times I$ and $P \times I$ are significant. Othere are not significant. (iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 60.54 | 64.26 | 68.21 | 64.33 | 65.22 | 63.46 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 65.02 | 70.49 | $68^{\prime} .82$ | 68.11 | 70.41 | 63.81 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 67.21 | 66.64 | 65.69 | 66.51 | 64.59 | 68.44 |
| Mean | 64.26 | 67.13 | 67.57 | 66.32 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 66.82 | 64.87 | 68.53 | 66.74 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 61.69 | 69.39 | 66.63 | 65.90 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=0.52$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $I$ | $=0.42$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=0.89$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times I$ or $P \times I$ table | $=0.73$ ton/ac. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Ref:- Mh. 51(135).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type :- 'IM'.

Object:-To study the water and manurial requirements of Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 28.7.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac, (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) -. (v) 20,000 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 14.68". (x) 26.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ae.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{P}_{8}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Ni. (iii) Sucrose, glucase, fibre \% and suggarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1956-51. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) NH.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $73.18 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6.66 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{8}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 70.11 | 72.84 | 71.90 | 71.62 | 70.29 | 72.95 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 71.87 | 74.77 | 74.60 | 73.75 | 73.89 | 73.61 |
| $\mathrm{Pa}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 72.50 | 75.02 | 75.05 | 74.19 | 72.20 | 76.18 |
| Mean | 71.49 | 74.21 | 73.85 | 73.18 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 70.30 | 72.82 | 73.25 | 72.12 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 72.68 | 75.60 | 74.45 | 74.24 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=1.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I | $=1.11 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times I$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=1.92 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=2.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref. :-Mh. 49(88).
Type :-'IMV'.

Object :-To study the requirements of water and N for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.7 .1949. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) - . (v) Basal dressing of compost at $20,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (vi) CO. 419 ; CO. 475 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 interculturings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $23.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29. 12. 1950 to 17. 1. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 2 varieties: $\quad V_{1}=$ CO. 419 and $V_{2}=$ CO. 475.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{2}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Oilcake mixed in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{th}$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, Sucrose, Glucose \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1949 (Modified in 1949-1951 ky intreduction of CO. 475 variety) (b) and (c) No. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 59.04 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.92 ton/ac.
(iii) All main effects and twc-factor interactions are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V_{1}$ | 60.40 | 58.45 | 61.85 | 60.23 | 59.57 | 60.90 |
| $V_{2}$ | 53.30 | 58.65 | 61.60 | 57.85 | 56.40 | 59.30 |
| Mean | 56.85 | 58.55 | 61.72 | 59.04 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 56.30 | 58.50 | 59.15 | 57.98 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 57.60 | 58.60 | 64.30 | 60.10 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=0.80$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of V or I | $=0.65$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{V}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=1.13$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=0.92$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur.

Ref. :-Mh. 51(188).
Type :~' $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$

Object :-To study the effect of cotton seed cake on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.19.51. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 8 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) H. 420 deshi. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.30^{\circ}$.
(x) Pickings on 28.10. 1951, 4, 16 and 25. 11. 1951. and 17. 12. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as G.N.C.
2. 20 lb . N/ac. as decorticated cotton seed-cake.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as undecorticated cotton seed-cake.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as A/S.

Manuring on 29. 6. 1951.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yei.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Nagpor. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1411 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $444.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1196 |
| 2. | 1335 |
| 3. | 1571 |
| 4. | 1541 |
| S.E./mean | $=198.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :~ Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :-'M'.
Object : - To study the effect of cotton seed cake on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 26.6.1951. (iv) (a) 3 Bakharings and 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 8 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 27.10.1951, 5 and 19.11.1951, 4 and 23.12.1951 and 20.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as decorticated cotton seed-cake.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as undecorticated cotton seed-cake.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 26.6.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4
(b) N.A.
(iii)
(iii) 5
v) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac.
(v) N.A.. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Nagpur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $621.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $87.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 623.9 |
| 2. | 554.4 |
| 3. | 570.4 |
| 4. | 736.9 |
| S.E./mean | $=38.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur.
Ref :- Mh. 53(290).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in comparison with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium).!(vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 6 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $34.91^{*}$. (x) Pickings on 2,5 to 28.11.1953, 21.12.1953 and 11.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) 1.26 guntha. (b) $33^{\circ} \times 35^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $644 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $131.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=532 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 574 | 738 | 898 | 737 |
| $S_{2}$ | 572 | 675 | 626 | 624 |
| Mean | 573 | 707 | 762 | 681 |
| S.E. of control mean |  |  | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb}$. |  |
| S.E. of N marginal mean |  |  | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb}$. |  |
| S.E. of $S$ maginal mean |  |  | $=34.1 \mathrm{lb}$. |  |
| S.E. of control $v s$. any other mean |  |  | $=72.2 \mathrm{lb}$ |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=59.0 \mathrm{lb}$ |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 51(187).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied to previous Jowar crop on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 1.7.1951 (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $26.30^{\circ}$. ( $x$ ) Pickings on 4,16 and 24.11.1951 and 17.12.1951.

## TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cattle dung.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as cattle dung.
6. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied to previous Jowar crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil.
(iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-N.A. (b)
Yes. (c)
(c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $761 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $107.2 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 717 | 6. | 784 |
| 2. | 766 | 7. | 794 |
| 3. | 749 | 8. | 778 |
| 4. | 713 | 9. | 774 |
| 5. | 776 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=43.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Ref :-Mh. 48(41).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To find out the best source of N for Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Sowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (iii (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Reier ssil analysis, Akola. (iii) 26.6 .1948 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by iiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Verem +34 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 5i.52" (x) Picking on 20.11.1948. 4.2.1949, 29.3.1949 and 14.4.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N as F.Y.M.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as poudrette compost.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Poudrette compost.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. $40 \mathrm{lh} / \mathrm{ac}$, of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as Red label mixture.
8. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Red label mixtrue.
9. DESIGN:
(i) R.BD. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Ona row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1945 ; 1949 to 1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vi) Nil.
11. RESULTS:
(i) $258 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $43.80 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 195 |
| 2. | 207 |
| 3. | 222 |
| 4 | 255 |
| 5. | 258 |
| 6. | 310 |
| 7. | 287 |
| 8. | 327 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. $49(68)$, |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To find out the best source of N for Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Cotton -Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 27.6.1449. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 14.11.1949, $812.1549,20.1 .1950,18.2 .1950$ and 3.4.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Poudrette compost.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Poudrette compost.
$520 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M. +20 lb ./ac. of N as Red label mixture.
7. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Red label mixture.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Attack of Earias fabia in September. No control measures taken. (iii) Rapas yield, (iv)
(a) 1945-1946 to 1949-19:0.
(b) No. (c) N.A.
(v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $333 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $25.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 220 |
| 2. | 285 |
| 3. | 305 |
| 4: | 275 |
| 5. | 390 |
| 6. | 422 |
| 7. | 355 |
| 8. | 412 |
| S.E./mean | $=10.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref; Mh. 50(85). <br> Type:- ' M ’.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M., $600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. and $35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of C/N. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 15.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (mediunı). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) Picking on 8 and 27.11.1950, 15.12.1950 and 22.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N .: S_{1}=G . N . C ., S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=G . N . C+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ (v) One row oa either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield.
(iv) (a) 1950-1951; 1953-1954.
(b) No.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $574 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $89.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only interaction $S \times N$ is significant.
(iv) Ar. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

## Control $=510 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.



## Crop :-Cotton (Kharif) <br> Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref. :-Mh. 51(97)
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) Compost at $1 \frac{3}{4}$ C.L./ac. and G.N.C. at $75 \mathrm{lb} . /$ ac. (ii) (a) Black cotton soi!. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 3 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 24.32". (x) Picking on 24. 11. 1951. 4. 12. 1951 and 18. 3. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C, $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (i)
(a) 10 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$.
(v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951 to 1953-1954. (b) No. (c; N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $793 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 96.72 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects of S and N and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

Control $=770 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 657 | 726 | 741 | 708 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 887 | 760 | 872 | 840 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 914 | 781 | 822 | 839 |
| Mean | 819 | 756 | 812 | 796 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of } S \text { or } N \text { marginal mean } & =27.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =48.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref. :- Mh. 52(117).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :"'M'.
Object: - To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 27.6.1952, (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $22.03^{\circ}$ (x) Picking on 12. 11. 1952, 12. 12. 1952 and 23. 1. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+C / N$ in I: 1 ratio.

Manures drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951 to 1953-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib ./ac.

Control $=449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 510 | 594 | 595 | 566 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 619 | 671 | 610 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 652 | 748 | 705 | 702 |
| Mean | 594 | 671 | 637 |  |
| S.E. of S or N marginal mean | $=15.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=26.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |


| Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :-Mh. 53(175). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :-'M'. |

Object:-To find out the efiect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

BASAL CONDIIIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S top dressed, (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 30.6.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 30.11.1953, 28.12.1953 and 30.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+C / N$ in 1: 1 ratio.

Manures drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-51 and 1953-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 340 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $52.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only 'control vs others' effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=283 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$



Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site:-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :-Mh. 50(86).
Type: © $\mathbf{M}$ '.

Object:-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. $+600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. powder $+35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of C/N. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 17.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings (b) Sowing by tiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 heeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{*}$. ( $x$ ) Picking on 8 and 27.11.1950, 16.12.1950. add 22.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ F.Y.M. $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{F} . Y . \mathrm{M} .+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1: 1 ratio.

Manuring on 13.7.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{\prime \prime}$ (v) One tow on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth due to insufficient rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yieid. (iv) 1550-51 to 1953-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $456 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 59.24 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=322 \mathrm{lb} \cdot / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 425 | 482 | 417 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 412 | 540 | 412 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 415 | 657 | 482 |
| Mean | 417 | 560 | 437 |
| 441 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 455 |
| 518 |  |  |  |
| 471 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of } S \text { or } N \text { marginal mean } & =17.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =29.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 51(96).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. $+35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of C/N. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 5 hoeings. (ix) $24.32^{*}$. (x) 23.11.1951, 14.12.1951 and 18.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ F.Y.M. $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio

Manuring on 20.6.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 子^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1950-51$ to 1953-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $928 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $105.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ and interaction $S \times N$ are significant. Main effect of $N$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=756 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 832 | 930 | 921 | 894 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 906 | 1032 | 1000 | 979 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 897 | 974 | 1033 | 968 |
| Mean | 878 | 979 | 985 |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of } \mathrm{S} \text { or } \mathrm{N} \text { marginal mean } & =30.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =52.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}\end{array}$
S.E. of body of table or control mean $=52.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 52(119).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Reier soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 13.7.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (e) N.4. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings, 2 weedings, and 1 thinning. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 18.12.1952, 17.1.1953 and 23.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 162^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side of the plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:

- (i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yiel 3. (iv) (a) 1950-51 to 1953-5t. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v (a) and (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS :
(i) $516 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 68.24 ib. /ac.
(iii) Main effects of S and N and their interaction are significant.
(iv. Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=402 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 438 | 549 | 479 | 489 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 490 | 609 | 483 | 527 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 463 | 655 | 595 | 571 |
| Mean | 464 | 604 | 519 | 529 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. for S or N marginal mean | $=19.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=34.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh.' 53(174). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 10 lb ./ac. of N top dressed. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $\mathrm{H}-420$ deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings. 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 26.38". (x) Picking on 1.12.1953, 29.12.1953 and 1.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N S_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio.

Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}$. (v) One row on eitter side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $363 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.28 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb. /ac.

Control $=290 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 304 | 359 | 339 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 320 | 417 | 403 | 380 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 336 | 444 | 416 | 399 |
| Mean | 320 | 407 | 386 | 371 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of S. or } \mathrm{N} \text { marginal mean } & =15.38 \mathrm{lb} \text {./ac. } \\
\text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =26.64 \mathrm{lb} . / a c .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 51(126).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of Super applied to previous leguminous crop on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil anaysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) One heavy and one light bakharing. (b) Sowing by tiffon. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 24.32". (x) Picking on 16.11.1951, 13.12.1951 and 16.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1. 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 5 previous crops: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Tur, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sunnhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops in kharif 1950.

DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $70^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$. (b) $60^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime} . \quad$ (v, N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1952 to 1954-1955. (b) Nu. (a) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
\$. RESULTS:
(i) $1060 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 130.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1192 | 1255 | 1:23 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1175 | 1219 | 1197 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $8: 1$ | 925 | 888 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1682 | 1345 | 1313 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 673 | 686 | 679 |
| Mean | 1035 | 1086 | 1060 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of C |  | $=46.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ |  | $=29.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=65.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Expl. Farm, Akola.

Ref. :- Mh. 52(230).
Type:- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the residual effect of super appiied to the previous leguminous crop on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soll analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hosings and 2 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 previous crops:- $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Tur, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sannhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops in Kharif 1951-52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $761 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $85.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(v) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1044 | 1089 | 1068 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 681 | 706 | 694 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 703 | 680 | 691 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 916 | 948 | 932 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 419 | 426 | 423 |
| Mean | 753 | 769 | 761 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=19.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $C$ | $=30.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S E. of body of table | $=42.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Expl. Farm, Akola.

Ref. :-Mh. 53(268).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of Super applied to the previous leguminous crop on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. [(viii) Hoeings on 15.7.1953, 9.8.1953, 29. 8. 1953, 1. 10. 1953 and 14. 10. 1953 ; weedings on 1.8.1953, 9.9.1953, 16. 10. 1953 and thinning on 27.8.1953. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 7.12.1953, 9. 1. 1954 and 6.2. 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 previous crops : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Tur, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sannhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops grown in Kharif 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) Onc line on either. side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal crop. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No., (c) N.A. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) 2nd year of the residual effect studied.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $345 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(iv) Av, yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{1}$ | 302 | 339 |
| $C_{2}$ | 391 | 415 |
| $C_{3}$ | 326 | 349 |
| $C_{4}$ | 306 | 304 |
| $C_{5}$ | 352 | 361 |
| Mean |  |  |

Crop: :Sugarcane.<br>Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Kolhapur.

## Ref:-Mh. 53(262).

Type : ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C M}$.
Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate and manurial requirements for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Paddy. (b) Paddy. (c) 2 bags/ac. of manure mixture. (ii) (a) Deép black, (b) N.A. (iii) 14.9.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing by tractor, harrowing. (b) Plating in furrows. (c) As per treatments. (d) N.A. (e) 一. (v) $10,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding, 1 interculturing and 1 earthing up. (ix) 43.03". (x) 23.12.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 seed rates: $R_{1}=12000, R_{2}=15000$ and $R_{3}=18000$ setts/ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{2}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=470 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as A/S top dressed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$. (b) $33.5^{\prime} \times 32.5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1951-52 to 1954-55. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 47.78 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.36 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 44.87 | 44.52 | 50.31 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 52.29 | 44.28 | 50.40 |
| Mean | 48.58 | 44.40 | 50.35 |
| 46.57 |  |  |  |

S.E. of marginal mean of $R \quad=1.89$ ton/ac.
S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=1.55$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=2.68$ ton/ac.

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref : Mh. 51(80),
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of different levels of N in combination with different spacings on Sugarcane,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. and $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 4.8.1951. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) Planting in furrows. (c) Seed rate according to spacings. (d) As per treatments. (e)-. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 3 weedings. (ix) $46.40^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.1.1953 to 6.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(l) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3.5^{\prime}$ ( 15000 setts/ac.), $\mathrm{S}_{2}=4^{\prime}$ ( 12000 setts/ac.) and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=4.5^{\prime}$ ( 10000 setts/ac.).
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450 \mathrm{lb}$./ac., $\mathrm{N}_{2}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio. Manure broadcast at sowing.

## 3. DESIGN:

(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42.5^{\prime} \times 32^{\circ}$. (b) According to spacing: $34^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}\left(4^{\prime}\right), 24.5^{\prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}\left(32^{\prime}\right)$ and $22.5^{\prime} \times 36.31^{\prime}$ ( $4 t^{\prime}$ ). (v) $4.25^{\prime}$ at either end, one row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) N.A. (iii) Height, no. of tillers, milleable and unmilleable sugarcave yield. (iv) (a) 1951 . 1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 60.70 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.16 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of S is significant, main effect of N and the interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 59.9 | 65.5 | 57.6 | 61.0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 65.0 | 65.1 | 65.6 | 65.2 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 60.3 | 49.3 | 53.3 | 56.0 |
| Mean | 61.7 | 60.0 | 60.5 | 60.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=2.35 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane. | Ref :- Mh. $52(90)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. | Tvpe :- 'CM'. |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :- Sugarcane. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 52(90) . \\ \text { Site : } \begin{array}{l}\text { Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon. }\end{array} \quad \text { Type :- 'CM'. }\end{array}$
Object :-To study the effect of different levels of N in combination with different spacings on Sugarcare.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur(mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur (mixture). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 6.8.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor and clod crushing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e)--. (v) 20 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. (v) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings. (ix) 23.17". (x) January 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combir ations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=4 \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $31 \frac{1}{}^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $3 f^{\prime}$ spacing ; $32^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.5^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime} \times i 4^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $22.5^{\prime} \times 36.5^{\circ}$ for $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) $3.5^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ spacing, $4^{\prime} \times 4.25^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ for $4 r^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Attack of top-shoot and stem borer observed. (iii) Germination count, tillering and borer count. (iv) (a) 1952-1957. (b) No. (c) Not known. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.4. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 53.64 ton/ac.
(ii) 7.52 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction arc significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 66.36 | 59.70 | 52.31 | 59.46 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 49.25 | 53.03 | 54.66 | 52.31 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 48.51 | 46.70 | 52.25 | 49.15 |
| Mean | 54.71 | 53.14 | 53.07 | 53.64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S or N <br> S E. of body of table | $=2.17$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Object :-To determine the suitable spacing in combination with different manuring for Adsali Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra and Jowar (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 20.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per trèatments. (e) -. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) CO-419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 6 weedings and 1 bunding. (ix) $39.92^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 5.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ between rows.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii)' (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii). 4. (iv) (a) $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing and $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing. (b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.25^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing, $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $22.5^{\prime} \times$ $36.5^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (v) $3.5^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $3.5^{\prime}$ spacing ; $4^{\prime} \times 4.5^{\prime}$ for $4^{\prime}$ spacing and $4.5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ for $4.5^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory, (ii) Attack of top-shoot, stem-borer and pyrilla. (iii) Germination count, tillering count and height. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 55.01 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.95 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 60.02 | 56.53 | 55.96 | 57.50 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 56.90 | 57.21 | 58.37 | 50.69 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 48.14 | 51.27 | 57.49 |  |
| Mean | 55.02 | 55.00 | 55.01 | 50.03 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any maginal mean } & =1.42 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of the table } & =2.47 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

Crop :- Sugarcane
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :- Mh. 50(103).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To determine the suitable spacing in combination with doses of manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bag; of G.N.C. +50 lb ./ac. of A/S. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 21.8 .1950 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) - . (v) $20,000 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) CO-419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (vii) N.A. (ix) 2. $26^{\circ}$. (x) 14 to 28.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
3 spacings; $S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3.5^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450$, and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{s}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/bloik; 3 su'j-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) 1.25 guntha. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 65.84 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.96 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 4.00 ton/ac.
(iii) Main-plot treatments, sub-plot treatments and their interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in Ib ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 62.84 | 69.22 | 64.20 | 65.42 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 63.36 | 65.28 | 65.10 | 64.58 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 63.72 | 70.58 | 68.26 | 67.52 |
| Mean | 63.31 | 68.36 | 65.85 | 65.84 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=2.02$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $N$ marginal means | $=1.63$ ton/ac. |
| 3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=2.83$ ton/ac. |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $N$ |  |
|  | $=3.07$ ton/ac. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Ref:- Mh. 50(73).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn.,Lakhamapur.
Type:- 'CM'.
Object :-To find out the effect of different levels of manures in combination with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) 3 bags of G.N.C. and $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. (ii) (a) F type soil- ery shallow $12^{\prime}$ to $15^{\prime \prime}$ deep light brown, $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 25.8 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{\prime \prime}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep in soil. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e - (v) $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost after Ist ploughing and $10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings, 1 light earthing up by Bahadur p'ough and final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $14.95^{\prime \prime}$ to $17.75^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4^{\prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
N as A/S sprinkled in 4 doses; at planting, 6 weeeks after, 12 weeks after and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) $3.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and 1 row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of top torers, controlled by cutting off affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. (iii) Germination counts, monthly heights, plant population and suzarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 38.8 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) 27.53 ton/ac.
(b) 28.82 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 42.01 | 37.20 | 40.30 | 39.84 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 40.30 | 39.60 | 40.00 | 39.97 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 37.20 | 35.60 | 37.50 | 36.77 |
| Mean | 39.84 | 37.47 | 39.27 | 38.86 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal mean
$=11.2$ ton/ac.
2. N marginal means
$=11.8$ ton/ac.
3. $N$ means at the same level of $S$
$=20.4$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
4. S means at the same level of N
$=20.1$ toa $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

## Ref :~ Mh. 51(87). <br> Type:-‘CM'.

Object:-To find out the effect of different levels of manure in combination with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra-Tur (mixed)-Sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Very shallow, $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\circ}$, deep light brown, $p \mathrm{H}=8.1$. F type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 20.8 .1951 . (iv) (a) 4 ploughings and 4 harrowings. (b) Setts planted by hand $1^{*}$ to $2^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (c) Seed rate $12,000,10,000$ and 15,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of compost half after 1 st ploughing and half in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings by tooth cultivator implement, 1 light earthing up by Bahadur plough and 1 final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 13.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ sprinkled in 4 doses; at the time of planting, 6 weeks later, 12 weeks later and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$ (b) $24^{\prime} \times 34^{\prime}$ (v) 1 row on each side and $4^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The general growth and the final yields were normal. (ii) Major pest-top-borer, cutting off the affected shoots, collection and destroying of egg-masses and moths. Slight rat trouble, controlled by poison baits of zinc phosphate. (iii) Germination counts, monthly height observations, plant population, sugarcane yield and fortnightly maturity study. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 27.2 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.51 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 26.7 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 26.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 28.3 | 26.6 | 37.2 | 27.3 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 28.4 | 31.4 | 22.7 | 27.5 |
| Mean | 27.8 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 27.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=1.59$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

## Crop : Sugarcane.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref:-Mh. 52(116).
Type :-'CM'.

Object :-To find out the effect of different levels of manure in combination with different spacing between rows.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) F type; very shallow $12^{\prime \prime}-15^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 11.7.1952. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost applied after Ist ploughing and same dose applied in furrows before planting. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated, (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings, one light earthing up by bahadur plough and a final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $10.46^{\prime \prime}$ to $24.12^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 z^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}$ between rows.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ sprinkled in 4 doses; at planting, 6 weeks later, 12 weeks later and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) 3.75 on either side length wise and one row on either side breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of top borer ; controlled by cutting of affected shoots; collection and destroying of egg-masses and moth. (iii) Germination count, monthly height data, plant popuiation and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Akluj. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 25.95 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.94 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 27.19 | 24.83 | 29.20 | 27.07 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 25.57 | 25.54 | 27.73 | 26.28 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 21.45 | 25.00 | 27.07 | 24.50 |
| Mean | 24.73 | 25.12 | 28.00 | 25.95 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S or N S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =1.13 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =1.97 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:~Sugarcane.
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

Ref : Mh. 53(99).
Type : $\boldsymbol{r}^{〔} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out the suitable spacing and manuring for Adsali Sugarcane crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Bajra-Tur mixed-Adsali sugarcane. (b) Bajra-Tur mixed. (c) $2 \mathrm{md} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of manure mixture
(ii) (a) Shallow type of soil $6^{\prime \prime}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$; deep light brown in colour. (b) Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur.
(iii) 9.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 . ploughings with deep plough $10^{\prime \prime}$; clod crushing \& opening furrows. (b; N.A.
(c) 10,000 setts/ac. 3 budded. (d) As per treatments. (e) - (v) 20 C.L./ac, of compost applied at the time of preparatory tillage. (vi) CO. 419 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings with tooth cultivators, light earthing up by a plough, weeding twice at final earthing up by ridger. (ix) $24.72^{\circ}$ to $33.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27.1.1955 to 2.2.1955.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ between rows.
(2) 3 top dressing of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied in 4 doses as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. at different stages; at planting, 6 weeks after-planting, 12 weeks after planting and 6 months after planting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D
(i) (a) 9
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) $31.5^{\prime} \times 42.5^{\prime}$.
(b) $24.5^{\prime} \times 33.35^{\prime}$. (b) 2 rows, (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Heavy lodging on 25.9 .1954 due to rains. (ii) Top shoot borer 1 to $11 \%$ and stem borer 1 to $4.5 \%$; cutting out the affected plants and collection of egg-mass ; medium attack of pyrilla, spraying $50 \%$ B.H.C. (iii) Sugarcane height, tillering count and germination count, botanical observations etc. and yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 45.95 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.63 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 49.60 | 44.20 | 49.12 | 47.64 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 47.14 | 47.82 | 45.01 | 46.65 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 40.95 | 45.84 | 43.96 | 43.58 |
| Mean | 45.89 | 45.95 | 46.03 | 45.95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=1.91$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref. :-Mh 50(97).
Type : "CM'.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.11.1950. (iv)
(a) and (b) N. A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late)
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 1 interculturing and 1 earthing. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$ in 1951-52. (x) 26.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacing: $-S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii)
(a) 9.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The expt. was taken in newly developed area and hence the crop growth was uneven. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, Sucrose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) No. (b), (c) No. (v) (a), (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 46.88 ton/ac.
(ii) 9.65 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effect of S and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant. Main effect of N is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 41.00 | 55.10 | 43.10 | 46.40 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 50.10 | 52.20 | 45.50 | 49.27 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 49.20 | 44.80 | 40.90 | 44.97 |
| Mean | 46.79 | 50.70 | 43.17 | 46.88 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } N \text { or } S & =2.27 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =3.94 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

> Ref. :-Mh. $50(98)$.
> Type :-‘CM’.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

1. basal CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 12.9.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Varies according to spacings, the standard being 10,000 three budded setts/ac. for 4' spacing. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 14.68". (x) 5.3.1952,
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( $1^{\prime}$ and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $-S_{1}=3^{\prime}, S_{2}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}:-\mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$.

N applied as A/S+G.N.C. in 1:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 6
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 0.75 guntha.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) The growth of crop was uneven as the area was brought under cultivation recently. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953: ${ }^{\circ}$ (b), (c) No. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) 45.74 ton/ac:
(ii) 1428 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

| $\therefore$ | $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 36.90 | 48.40 | 41.50 | Mean |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 44.70 | 50.90 | 43.80 | 42.26 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 44.00 | 54.10 | 47.40 | 46.47 |
| Mean | 41.87 | 51.13 | 44.23 | 45.50 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N or $\mathrm{S}=3.36$ ton/ac.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=5.83$ ton/ac.

| Crop:- Sugarcane(Adsali). | Ref:- Mh. 51(136). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :~ 'CM'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 3.8.1951. (iv)(a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) 12500 (for $3 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing), 10,000 (for $4^{\prime}$ spacing) and 15000 (for $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ spacing) setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=3 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1}{}^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ G.N.C. in $1: 1$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose and glucose \% and Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) For $4 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime}}{}$ spacing sugarcane is planted in a double line parallel to each other ( $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $5^{\prime \prime}$ apart) with seedrate of 15000 setts/ac. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 46.83 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.87 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 40.93 | 41.94 | 44.93 | 42.60 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 48.86 | 50.19 | 46.20 | 48.42 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 49.30 | 48.88 | 50.25 | 49.48 |
| Mean | 46.36 | 47.00 | 47.13 | 46.83 |
|  |  |  | $=2.09$ ton/ac. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S <br> S.E. of body of table | $=3.62$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali). | Ref :- Mh. 52(163) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. | Type :- 'CM'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and dose of N for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 13.8.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting in double lines parallel to each other (for $4 \frac{1}{2}$ spacing). (c) According to spacings: 12500 ( $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ ), ( $10,000\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ and $15000\left(4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}\right)$ setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e),-. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (Mid late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $11.01^{\circ}$ to $16.35^{\circ}$. (x) 27.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, S_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $S_{3}=4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ cake in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose $\%$ and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 68.39 ton/ac.
(ii) 8.19 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{y}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 69.72 | 69.46 | 60.64 | 66.61 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 66.51 | 69.60 | 70.85 | 68.99 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 69.45 | 68.20 | 71.11 | 69.59 |
| Mean | 68.56 | 69.09 | 67.53 | 68.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=1.93$ ton/ac. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegoan.

Ref :- Mh. 53(244).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and manures for Sugarcane.

1. IHASAL CONDITIONS :
ii) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegoan.
iii) 7.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planted in double line. (c) According to spacings $12,500\left(3.5^{\prime}\right), 10,300$
( $4^{\prime}$ ) and $15,000\left(4.5^{\prime}\right)$ setts/ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturing, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$ to $20.16^{\circ}$. (x) 27 to 31.12.1954.
2. IREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=3.5^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=4^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=4.5^{\prime}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=450, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=525$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=600 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ cake in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) 0.75 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, sucrose, glucose\% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953 (modified in 1951). (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $62.65 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6.29 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects and interaction are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 66.10 | 63.40 | 67.60 | 65.70 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 62.90 | 62.80 | 58.20 | 61.30 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 63.70 | 60.10 | 59.10 | 60.97 |
| Mean | 64.23 | 62.10 | 61.63 | 62.65 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } \mathrm{N} \text { or } \mathrm{S} & =1.48 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =2.56 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop: Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.
Ref :- Mh. 48(77).
Type :- 'IM'.
Object :-To find out the requirements of irrigations and manure for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Rabi Jowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) July to September 1948. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing and ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nii. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2-3 weedings, one light earthing up and a final earthing up. (ix) 21.78". (x) 5.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manure : $\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manure applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1.0 guntha. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Slight lodging. (ii) Stem-borer $3.5 \%$, top-borer $3.5 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering \%, height and girth of the sugarcane, total no. of canes and total weight. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting; 1947-49 to 1949-51 adsali, (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergoan, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 50.55 ton/ac.
(ii) 5.33 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in tod/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 51.17 | 49.10 | 50.33 | 50.20 | 47.71 | 53.05 | 49.84 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 53.53 | 47.56 | 51.50 | 50.86 | 50.19 | 52.04 | 50.36 |
| Mean | 52.35 | 48.33 | 50.91 | 50.55 | 48.95 | 52.54 | 50.10 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 50.75 | 46.51 | 49.59 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 53.85 | 51.59 | 52.19 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 52.43 | 46.89 | 50.96 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean or N or F. | $=1.25$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I. | $=1.03$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{F}$ or $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=1.78$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=2.18$ ton/ac. |

S.E. of marginal mean or N or F .
$=1.25$ ton/ac.
1.78 tomac.
$=2.18$ ton/ac

Crop : Sugarcane (Ratoon).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref: Mh. 49(108).
Type :- 'IM'.

Object:-To find out the requirements of irrigations and manure for Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Ratoon-Rabi Jowar. (b) Sugarcane. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) D type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akluj. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO.419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) $2-3$ weedings and earthing up. (ix) $23.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M.: $\mathrm{F}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manure : $N_{1}=375, N_{2}=450$ and $N_{8}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manure applied as mixture of A/S and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha. (b) 1.0 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. ${ }^{[ }$(ii) Stemborer 3 to $3.5 \%$ and tep borer 3.0 to $3.5 \%$. (iii) Height and girth of sugarcane, total sugarcanes and weight of sugarcane. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting ; 1947-1949 to 19491951 adsali planting. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 30.92 ton/ac.
(ii) 4.03 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of F alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or F | $=0.95 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I | $=0.78 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=1.65 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of tables $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{N}$ | $=1.34 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adeali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Akluj.

Ref:- Mh. 49(110).
Type :- 'IM'.

Object :-To fird out the requirements of irrigation and manure for Sugarcane crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Sugarcane-rabiJowar. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) D typs. (b) Refer soil avalysis, Akluj.
(iii) 31.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings, harrowing and ridging. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO.419.
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 weedings, 1 light earthing up and final earthing up. (ix) 23.64". (x) 1.2.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
(3) 3 levels of manures: $\mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N .

N applied as mixture of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:2 ratio.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 18 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 glntha.
(b) 1.4 guntha. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Lodging to some extent. (ii) Stemborer $3.5 \%$ and top borer $3.0 \%$. (iii) Germination and tillering percentages, height and girth of sugarcane, total no. of canes and weight. (iv) (a) 1941-42 to 1946-47 suru planting and 1947-1949 to 1949—1951 adsali planting. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Kopergaon, Deolali and Lakhamapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 69.96 ton/ac.
(ii) $7.13 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{F}$ is highly significant. Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is significant. Other effect and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $F_{3}$ | Mean | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 65.99 | 71.34 | 70.79 | 69.37 | 64.01 | 72.88 | 71.23 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 64.35 | 70.68 | 76.65 | 70.52 | 67.72 | 68.60 | 75.26 |
| Mean | 65.12 | 71.01 | 73.72 | 69.96 | 65.86 | 70.73 | 73.24 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 61.26 | 68.56 | 67.77 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 68.08 | 70.59 | 73.54 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 66.01 | 73.87 | 79.87 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $F$ | $=1.68$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $I$ | $=1.37$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times I$ or $F \times I$ table | $=2.38$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times F$ table | $=2.91$ ton/ac. |

Crop :-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :^Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref :-Mb 48(43)
Type :- 'IM'.

Object:-To study the requirements of water and the effect of different quantities of manures.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) G type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.7 . 1948 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing.
(b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $23.19^{\prime \prime}$ to $39.21^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $I_{1}=115$ and $I_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $\quad F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $32^{\prime} \times 54.45^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 50.96 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.35 ton/ac.
(b) 7.28 ton/ac.
(iii) Effect of main-plot treatments alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yeield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 53.84 | 48.70 | 52.53 | 51.69 | 51.11 | 48.66 | 55.30 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. | 50.84 | 49.20 | 50.68 | 50.24 | 50.83 | 48.89 | 51.01 |
| Mean | 52.34 | 48.95 | 51.60 | 50.96 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 53.43 | 47.58 | 51.91 | 50.97 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 46.73 | 50.11 | 49.45 | 48.76 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 56.89 | 49.15 | 53.41 | 53.15 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=1.12$ ton/ac. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. F marginal means | $=2.43$ ton/ac. |
| 3. I marginal means | $=1.98$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in $I \times F$ table | $=3.44$ ton/ac. |
| 5. F means at the same level of N | $=4.20$ ton/ac. |
| 6. I means at the same level of N | $=3.44$ ton/ac. |
| 7. N means at the same level of F | $=3.61$ ton/ac. |
| 8. N means at the same level of I | $=2.67$ ton/ac. |

Crop : Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref :-Mh 49(70). Type :-‘IM’.

Object:-To study the requirement of water and the effect of different quantities of manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) $G$ type soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 15.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ spacing between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 . (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $23.19^{\prime \prime}$ to $26.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M.:- $\mathrm{F}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{F}_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block ; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $48^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $1 / 40$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950 to 1950-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 39.99 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 3.59 ton/ac.
(b) 5.13 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yeid of sugarcane in ton/ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
$=1.20 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. F marginal means
$=1.71 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. I marginal means
$=1.40$ ton/ac.
4. means in $I \times F$ table
$=2.42$ ton/ac.
5. F means at the same level of $N$
$=2.96$ ton/ac.
6. I means at the same level of $\mathrm{N} \quad=2.42 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. $N$ means at the same level of $F \quad=2.70$ ton/ac.
8. N means at the same level of $I \quad=2.09$ ton/ac.

Crop:- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Deolali.

Ref: Mh. 50(84).
Type: ' $I M$ '.

Object:-To study the requirements of water and the effect of different quantities of manure.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c N.A. (ii) (a) G type s il. (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7 .1950 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v, Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $1950-26.52^{\prime \prime}$ and $1951-2771^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $48^{\circ} \times 36^{\circ}$. (b) 1/40 ac. (v) N.A. vi) Yes.
4. ${ }^{2}$ GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1948-1950 to 1950-1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 44.43 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.91 ton/ac.
(b) $5.02 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction $I \times F$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $I_{1}$ | 46.52 | 44.20 | 46.13 | 45.62 | 45.19 | 44.35 | 47.32 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 41.68 | 47.01 | 41.10 | 43.25 | 42.25 | 43.19 | 44.31 |
| Mean | 44.08 | 45.60 | 43.61 | 44.43 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 43.29 | 46.51 | 41.36 | 43.72 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 43.44 | 45.10 | 42.76 | 43.77 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 45.49 | 45.22 | 46.73 | 45.81 |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=1.64$ ton/ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. F marginal means | $=1.67$ ton/ac. |
| 3. I marginal means | $=1.37 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. means in $I \times F$ table | $=2.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 5. F means at the same level of N | $=2.89$ ton/ac. |
| 6. I means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ | $=2.36$ ton/ac. |
| 7. N means at the same level of F | $=2.88$ ton/ac. |
| 8. N means at the same level of I | $=0.34 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Sugarcane.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Lakhamapur.

## Ref :- Mh. 49(46).

Type :- 'IM'.

Object:-To study the effect of F.Y.M. along with differentirrigation and $\mathbf{N}$ does on Sugarcane yield

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) F type, very shallow; $12^{\prime \prime}$ to $15^{\circ}$ deep light brown; $\mathrm{pH}=8.1$. (b)

Refer soil analysis, Lakhamapur. (iii) 26.8.1949. (iv) (a) Two ploughings. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ between rows and $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $6^{\prime \prime}$ between plants. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 3 to 4 interculturings and one light earthing up. (ix) $1949-26.95^{p} ; 1950-14.95^{\circ}$. (x) 12.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{1}=20, F_{2}=30$ and $F_{3}=40$ C.L./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$, and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=52 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

A/S sprinkled in 4 doses-at planting, 6 weeks after planting, 12 weeks after planting and at the time of earthing up.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 6 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) 1.6 guntha
(b) 1 guntha. (v) $4.75^{\prime}$ each length wise and one row each breadth wise. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below normal. (ii) Attack of top borers; controlled by cutting off affected shoots; collection and destroying of egg masses and moths. (iii) Yield of sugarcane. (iv) (a) First started in 1941 to 1947, revised in 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akluj, Kopergaon and Deolali. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 21.6 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 4.13 ton/ac.
(b) 3.83 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | 20.1 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 22.4 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 18.9 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 20.8 | 1.1 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | 21.3 | 23.6 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 22.7 |
| Mean | 201 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.6 | 22.7 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 19.9 | 22.6 | 20.5 | 21.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 20.3 | 21.6 | 24.6 | 22.2 |  |


| S.E. of difference of two |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. F marginal means | $=1.37$ ton/ac. |
| 2. N marginal means | $=1.27 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. I marginal means | $=1.03$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in $\mathrm{N} \times$ I table | $=1.81$ ton/ac. |
| 5. I means at the same level of F | $=1.79$ ton/ac. |
| 6. N means at the same level of F | $=2.19$ ton/ac. |
| 7. F means at the same level of I | $=1.83$ ton/ac. |
| 8. F means at the same level of N | $=2.24$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(16).
Type :-'IM'.

Object :--To study the manurial and water requirements of Sugarcane crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali)-Ratoon-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur (mixed). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 19 and 20.7.1952. (iv) (a) Ploughing $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) The buds of the sugarcane are exposed and allowed to germinate under soil. (c) and (d) N.A. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings, 2 to 3 interculturings one earthing up at 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months after planting. (ix) $15.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 methods of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=$ Serpentine and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=$ Straight furrow.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=600, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=750$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=900 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 mixtures of $N$ and $P$ fertilizers : $M_{1}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 2:1 ratio and $M_{2}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 4:1 ratio.
N applied as A/S and G.N.C. mixed in $\mathrm{I}: 3$ ratio. Quantity of P ranging from 150 to $450 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## 3. DESIGN

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) $224^{\prime} \times 163.32^{\prime}$. (iii) 4 , (iv) (a) Main-plot: $112^{\prime} \times 54.44^{\prime}$; sub-plot: $54.44^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot: $45.44^{\prime} \times 48^{\circ}$. (v) 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good, crop lodged by 2nd fortnight of May and August. (ii) Stem-borer and top shoot borers 5.4, and $4.6 \%$ attacks respectively. Mealy bugs also caused damage ; infestation of rats controlled by acopting gassing with cyanide dust ; weekly collection of egg-masses of the borers, hand picking with nets and light trapping of moths of both the borers ; fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Germination counts, tillering counts, mileatle and non-milleable sugarcane counts, maturity tests and yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. b) and (c) No (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 95.67 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 13.34 ton/ac.
(b) 10.05 ton/ac.
(iii) Only the main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ are significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 87.95 | 94.24 | 104.95 | 95.68 | 96.45 | 94.91 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 90.26 | 92.87 | 103.88 | 95.67 | 96.61 | 94.73 |
| Mean | 89.10 | 93.55 | 104.41 | 95.67 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 89.31 | 94.68 | 105.60 | 96.53 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 88.89 | 92.33 | 103.23 | 94.82 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. I marginal means | $=3.85$ ton/ac. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. N marginal means | $=4.72$ ton/ac. |
| 3. M marginal means | $=2.90$ ton/ac. |
| 4. means in $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{N}$ table | $=6.67$ ton/ac. |
| 5. M means at the same level of I | $=4.10$ ton/ac. |
| 6. $M$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{N}$ | $=5.03$ ton/ac. |
| 7. I means at the same level of M | $=4.82$ ton/ac. |
| 8. N means at the same level of M | $=5.91$ ton/ac. |

Crop:-Sugarcane.
Ref: Mh. 53(182).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type :- 'IM'.
Object :-To study the manurial and water requirements of Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane (Adsali)-Ratoon-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur (mixed). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 22.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 deep plcughing and 2nd ploughing across the first $9^{\prime \prime}$ to $10^{\prime \prime}$ deep. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) N.A. (e) 3 tudded setts. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 to 3 hand weedings 2 to 3 interculturings by tooth cultivators 8 to 10 weeks after planting, partial tillering after $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to 4 months. Earthing up after a period of 5 to $5 \frac{1}{2}$ months. (ix) 20.14". (x) $10 / 21.1 .1955$.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 methods of irrigation: $I_{1}=$ Serpentine and $I_{2}=$ Straight furrow.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=600, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=750$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=900 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

2 mixtures of $N$, $P$ fertilizers : $M_{1}=N$ and $P$ mixed in 2:1 ratio and $M_{2}=N$ and $P$ mixed in $4: 1$ ratio. N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. mixed in $1: 3$ ratio. Quantity of P ranging from 150 to 450 lb ./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-olots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Mainplot : $56^{\prime} \times 108.88^{\prime}$. Sub-plot: $54.44^{\prime} \times 56^{\prime}$. (b) . Sub-plot : $45.44^{\prime} \times 48^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. Lodged heavily during the 2nd fortnight of May and August. (ii) Stem-torer and tcp-shoot borers 2.5 and $2.7 \%$ attacks. Mealy bugs sael insects and termites were observed on a very small scale control measures adopted collection of egg-masses and moths. Hand picking with nets and light trapping etc. fortnightly removal of dead hearts. (iii) Sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 7347 ton/ac.
(ii) (a) 6.34 ton/ac.
(b) $6.70 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $M$ is significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 77.65 | 76.25 | 73.30 | 75.73 | 76.23 | 75.23 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 72.80 | 72.20 | 63.40 | 71.13 | 69.90 | 72.37 |
| Mean | 75.22 | 74.22 | 70.85 | 73.47 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 74.00 | 72.85 | 72.35 | 73.06 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 76.45 | 75.60 | 69.35 | 73.80 |  |  |

S E. of dfference of two

1. N marginal means

$$
=2.23 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

2. I marginal means
$=1.82 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$.
. M marginal means
$=1.94$ ton/ac.
3. means in $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ table
$=3.17$ ton/ac.
4. M means at the same level of $\mathrm{N} \quad=3.3 \mathrm{5}$ ton/ac.
5. M means at the same level of $\mathrm{I} \quad=.1 .93$ ton;ac.
6. I means at the same level of $M \quad=2.66$ ton/ac.
7. $N$ means at the same level of $M \quad=3.26$ ton/ac.

Crop:- Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. $50(96)$.
Type : ' ${ }^{\prime}$ IM'.

Object:-To study the water and manurial requirements of Sugarcane crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analys is, Padegaon. (iii) 4.8 .1950 . (iv) (a) and b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) ... (v) 20,000 lb ./ac. of compost. (vi) CO .419 (mid-late). (vii Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 22.91". (x) 17.12.1981.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), ( 2 and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose and glucose, \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 195J-51. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 66.32 ton/ac.
(ii) 2.54 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and interactions $\mathrm{NP}, \mathrm{N} \times I$ and $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{I}$ are significant. Others are not signiicant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 60.54 | 64.26 | 68.21 | 64.33 | 65.22 | 63.46 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 65.02 | 70.49 | 68.82 | 68.11 | 70.41 | 63.81 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 67.21 | 66.64 | 65.69 | 66.51 | 64.59 | 68.44 |
| Mean | 64.26 | 67.13 | 67.57 | 66.32 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 66.82 | 64.87 | 68.53 | 66.74 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 61.69 | 69.39 | 66.63 | 65.90 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=0.52 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $I$ | $=0.42 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=0.89 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times I$ or $P \times I$ table | $=0.73 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Sugarcane (Adsali). Ref:- Mh. 51(135).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. Type :- 'IM'.
Object:-To study the water and manurial requirements of Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 28.7.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac, (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) -. (v) 20,000 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of compost. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 2 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 14.68". (x) 26.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation : $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 3 levels of $N$ as A/S: $N_{1}=375, N_{2}=450$ and $N_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ae.
(3) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super: $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=100$ and $P_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Grood. (ii) Nil. (iii) Sucrose, glucose, fibre \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1950-5l. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) NI.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 73.18 ton/ac.
(ii) 6.66 ton/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{8}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 70.11 | 72.84 | 71.90 | 71.62 | 70.29 | 72.95 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 71.87 | 74.77 | 74.60 | 73.75 | 73.89 | 73.61 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 72.50 | 75.02 | 75.05 | 74.19 | 72.20 | 76.18 |
| Mean | 71.49 | 74.21 | 73.85 | 73.18 |  |  |
| 11 | 70.30 | 72.82 | 73.25 | 72.12 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 72.68 | 75.60 | 74.45 | 74.24 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $P$ | $=1.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of I | $=1.11$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ or $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=1.92$ ton/ac. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=2.36 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Sugarcane (Adsali).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref. :mMh. 49(88).
Type :•'IMV'.

Object :-To study the requirements of water and $\mathbf{N}$ for Sugarcane.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 26.7. 1949. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart between rows. (e) -. (v) Basal dressing of compost at $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (vi) CO. 419 ; CO. 475 (mid-late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings, 2 interculturings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $23.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.12. 1950 to 17.1. 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of irrigation: $\mathrm{I}_{1}=115$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}=130$ acre inches.
(2) 2 varieties: $\quad \mathrm{V}_{1}=$ CO. 419 and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ CO. 475.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=375, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=450$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=525 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+$ Oilcake mixed in $1: 2$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Brix, Sucrose, Glucose \% and sugarcane yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1949 (Modified in 1949-1951 ty intreduction of CO. 475 variety) (b) and (c) No. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 59.04 ton/ac.
(ii) 3.92 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All main effects and twe-factor interactions are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of sugarcane in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 60.40 | 58.45 | 61.85 | 60.23 | 59.57 | 60.90 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | 53.30 | 58.65 | 61.60 | 57.85 | 56.40 | 59.30 |
| Mean | 56.85 | 58.55 | 61.72 | 59.04 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 56.30 | 58.50 | 59.15 | 57.98 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 57.60 | 58.60 | 64.30 | 60.10 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=0.80 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of V or I | $=0.65 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{cc}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{V}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=1.13 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{I}$ | $=0.92 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). } & \text { Ref. :-Mh. 51(188). } \\ \text { Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. } & \text { Type :-'M' }\end{array}$
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur.
Object :-To study the effect of cotton seed cake on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 8 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) H. 420 deshi. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.30^{\circ}$. (x) Pickings on 28. 10. 1951, 4, 16 and 25. 11. 1951. and 17. 12. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $20 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as G.N.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as decorticated cotton seed-cake.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as undecorticated cotton seed-cake.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{ac}$. as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 29. 6. 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yer.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Nagpur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1411 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $444.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 1196 |
| 2. | 1335 |
| 3. | 1571 |
| 4. | 1541 |
| S.E./mean | $=198.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 51(189).
Site : $\sim$ Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :-'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of cotton seed cake on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 26.6.1951. (iv) (a) 3 Bakharings and 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 8 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.30^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 27.10.1951, 5 and 19.11.1951, 4 and 23.12.1951 and 20.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as decorticated cotton seed-cake.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as undecorticated cotton seed-cake.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manuring on 26.6.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A. (iii)
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac.
(v) N.A.. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No, (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Nagpur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $621.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $87.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 623.9 |
| 2. | 554.4 |
| 3. | 570.4 |
| 4. | 736.9 |
| S.E./mean | $=38.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).

Ref :- Mh. 53(290).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in comparison with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by drilling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H.420 deshi (medium).!(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) 34.91*. (x) Pickings on 2,5 to 28.11.1953, 21.12.1953 and 11.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{8}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) 1.26 guntha. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 3 \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $644 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $131.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=532 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 574 | 738 | 898 | 737 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 572 | 675 | 626 | 624 |
| Mean | 573 | 707 | 762 |  |


| S.E. of control mean | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=41.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of $S$ maginal mean | $=34.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of control $v S$. any other mean | $=72.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table | $=59.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 51(187).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied to previous Jowar crop on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 1.7.1951 (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{* \prime}$ line to line. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $26.30^{\circ}$. ( x ) Pickings on 4,16 and 24.11.1951 and 17.12.1951.

## TREATMENTS :

1. No manure.
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cattle dung.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cattle dung.
6. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied to previous Jowar crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $761 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $107.2 \mathrm{Jb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 717 | 6. | 784 |
| 2. | 766 | 7. | 794 |
| 3. | 749 | 8. | 778 |
| 4. | 713 | 9. | 774 |
| 5. | 776 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=43.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Ref:-Mb. 48(41).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :- To find out the best source of N for Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Sowar, (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (iii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Reïr ssil analysis, Akola. (iii) 26.6 .1948 . (w) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{*}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Verem 434 deshi (madium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 3 i. $52^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) Picking on 20.11.1948. 4.2.1949, 29.3.1949 and 14.4.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control.
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
3. 20 lb ./ac of N as $\mathrm{F} . Y . \mathrm{M} .+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as poudrette compost.
4. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Poudrette compost.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. $40 \mathrm{lh} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{M} .+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac of N as Red label mixture.
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Red label mixtrue.
9. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. b) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) Ons row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1945 ; 1949 to 1950 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $258 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 43.80 lb .1 ac .
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| I. | 195 |
| 2. | 207 |
| 3. | 222 |
| 4 | 255 |
| 5. | 258 |
| 6. | 310 |
| 7. | 287 |
| 8. | 327 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref: Mh. 49(68),
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To find out the best source of N for Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton -Jokar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 27.6.1449. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 14.11.1949, 8 12.1949, 20.1.1950, 18.2.1950 and 3.4.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Poudrette compost.
4. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Poudrette compost.
$520 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M. $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{M} .+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Red label mixture.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Red label mixture.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Attack of Earias fabia in September. No control measures taken. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1945-1946 to 1949-19:0. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $333 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $25.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 220 |
| 2. | 285 |
| 3. | 305 |
| $4:$ | 275 |
| 5. | 390 |
| 6. | 422 |
| 7. | 355 |
| 8. | 412 |
| S.E./mean | $=10.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref; Mh. 50(85).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M., $600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. and $35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 15.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 8 and 27.11.1950, 15.12.1950 and 22.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N .: S_{1}=$ G.N.C., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=G . N . C+C / N$ in 1:1 ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) , a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \cdot$ (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951; 1953-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $574 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $89.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=510 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop : Cotton (Kharif)
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref. :-Mh. 51(97)
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) Compost at $1 \frac{18}{4}$ C.L./ac. and G.N.C. at $75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 3 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 24.32". (x) Picking on 24. 11. 1951. 4. 12. 1951 and 18. 3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C, $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951 to 1953-1954. (b) No. (c; N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $793 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $96.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of S and N and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=770 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 657 | 726 | 741 | 708 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 887 | 760 | 872 | 840 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 914 | 781 | 822 | 839 |
|  |  | 819 | 756 | 812 |

S.E. of $S$ or N marginal mean $\quad=27.92 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S. E. of body of table or control mean $=48.36 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref. :- Mh. 52(117).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :-" ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (d) $18^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{x})$ Picking on 12. 11. 1952, 12. 12. 1952 and 23. 1. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(I) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C., $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in I : 1 ratio.

Manures drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{1^{\prime}}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1951 to 1953-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $1 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 510 | 594 | 595 | 566 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 619 | 671 | 610 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 652 | 748 | 705 | 702 |
| Mean | 594 | 671 | 637 | 634 |
| S.E. of S or N marginal mean | $=15.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=26.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :-Mh. 53(175).
Type :-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out the efiect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.
BASAL CONDIIIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} /$ ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 30.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 26.38". (x) Pickings on 30.11.1953, 28.12.1953 and 30.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C., $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ G.N.C. $+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1: 1 ratio.

Manures drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-51 and 1953-54, (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 340 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $52.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only 'control us others' effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $\quad=283 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 313 | 332 | 319 | 321 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 322 | 354 | 342 | 339 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 383 | 386 | 362 | 377 |
| Mean | 339 | 357 | 341 | 346 |

S.E. of S or N marginal mean
S.E. of body of table or control mean
$=15.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=26.44 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref :-Mh. 50(86). <br> Type : ' 'M'.

Object :-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M. $+600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. powder $+35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 17.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings (b) Sowing by tiffan (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 heeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{*}$. ( $x$ ) Picking on 8 and 27.11.1950, 16.12.1950. and 22.1.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{2}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ F.Y.M. $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in 1: 1 ratio.

Manuring on 13.7.1950.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10
(b) N.A.
iii) 4 .
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2} \cdot$ (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth due to insufficient rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yieid. (iv) 1550-51 to 1953-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $456 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control } \quad=322 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Man |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 425 | 482 | 417 | 441 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 412 | 540 | 412 | 455 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 415 | 657 | 482 | 518 |
| Mean | 417 | 560 | 437 | 471 |
| S.E. of S or N marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =17.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =29.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 51(96).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object:-To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. +600 lb ./ac. of G.N.C. $+35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of C/N. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 5 hoeings. (ix) 24.32". (x) 23.11.1951, 14.12.1951 and 18.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ F.Y.M. $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1:1 ratio

Manuring on 20.6.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 1
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-51 to 1953-54. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $928 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $105.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of S and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant. Main effect of N is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=756 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 832 | 930 | 921 | 894 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 906 | 1032 | 1000 | 979 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 897 | 974 | 1033 | 968 |
| Mean | 878 | 979 | 985 | 947 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of } S \text { or } N \text { marginal mean } & =30.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table or control mean } & =52.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 52(119).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Reier soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 13.7.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H.420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings, 2 weedings, and 1 thinning. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 18.12.1952, 17.1.1953 and 23.2.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in 1: 1 tatio.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\circ} \times 16 子^{\prime}$. (v) I row on either side of the plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yiel J. (iv) (a) 1950-51 to 1953-5t. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v (a) and (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $516 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $68.24 \mathrm{ib} . j \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of S and N and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=402 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 438 | 549 | 479 | 489 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 490 | 609 | 483 | 527 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 463 | 655 | 595 | 571 |
| Mean | 464 | 604 | 519 | 529 |


| S.E. for S or N marginal mean | $=19.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean | $=34.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh.'53(174).
Type :~' M '.

Object : -To find out the effect of N in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 10 lb ./ac. of N top dressed. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{*} \times 9^{*}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings. 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 26.38". (x) Picking on 1.12.1953, 29.12.1953 and 1.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no manare).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ F.Y.M., $S_{2}=C / N$ and $S_{3}=$ F.Y.M. $+C / N$ in $1: 1$ ratio.

Manures drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}$. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $363 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=290 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 304 | 359 | 339 | 334 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 320 | 417 | 403 | 380 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 336 | 444 | 416 | 399 |
| Mean | 320 | - 407 | 386 | 371 |
| S.E. of S or N marginal mean |  |  | $=15.38 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table or control mean |  |  | $=26.64 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 51(126).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of Super applied to previous leguminous crop on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil anaysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) One heavy and one light bakharing. (b) Sowing by tiffan. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 24.32". (x) Picking on 16.11.1951, 13.12.1951 and 16.2.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1, 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 previous crops: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=T u r, \mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sunnhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops in kharif 1950.

DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv)
(a) $70^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ}$.
(b) $60^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime} . \quad(\mathrm{v}$, N.A.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1952$ to 1954-1955. (b) Nu. (a) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1060 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 130.4 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 1192 | 1255 | $1: 23$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 1175 | 1219 | 1197 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 851 | 935 | 888 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 1482 | 1345 | 1313 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 673 | 686 | 679 |
| Mean | 1035 | 1086 | 1060 |
| S.E. of marginal mean of C |  | $=46.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ |  | $=29.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=65.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :m Cotton (Kharif). Ref.:- Mh. 52(230).<br>Site :- Govt. Expl. Farm, Akola.<br>Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of super appiied to the previous leguminous crop on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1952. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hosings and 2 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(I) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 previous crops : $-\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Tur, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sannhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops in Kharif 1951-52.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $761 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $85.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(v) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1044 | 1089 | Mean |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 681 | 706 | 1068 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 703 | 680 | 694 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 916 | 948 | 691 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 419 | 426 | 932 |
| Mean | 753 | 769 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } P & =19.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { S.E. of marginal mean of } C & =30.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S E. of body of table } & =42.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Expl. Farm, Akola.

Ref. :-Mh. 53(268).
Type:- 'M'.

Ob.ect :-To study the residual effect of Super applied to the previous leguminous crop on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) 15 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. $\lceil$ (viii) Hoeings on 15.7.1953, 9.8.1953, 29.8. 1953, 1. 10. 1953 and 14.10.1953; weedings on 1.8.1953, 9.9.1953, 16. 10. 1953 and thinning on 27.8.1953. (ix) 26.38". (x) Picking on 7. 12. 1953, 9. 1. 1954 and 6. 2. 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 5 previous crops: $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Groundnut, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Tur, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Soyabean, $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Sannhemp and $\mathrm{C}_{5}=$ Jowar.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to the above crops grown in Kharif 1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 5$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 1 \varepsilon^{\prime}$. (v) One line on either. side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal crop.
(ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to
1953. (b)
(b) No., (c) N.A. (v) (a),
(b) N.A.
(vi) Nil. (vii) 2nd year of the residual effect studied.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $345 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of C and interaction $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | 302 | 339 | 415 |
| $C_{2}$ | 391 | 349 | 320 |
| $C_{3}$ | 326 | 304 | 303 |
| $C_{4}$ | 306 | 361 | 305 |
| $C_{5}$ | 352 | 354 | 345 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $P . \quad=7.83 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. of marginal mean of $C \quad=12.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table
$=17.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

> Ref :-Mh. $53(269)$.
> Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of green manuring with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 6.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri. 0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeings on 30.7.1053, 21.8.1953, 11.9.1953 and 12.10.1953. Weedings on $7.8 .1953,21.8 .1953,17.9 .1953,17.10 .1953$ and 29.10.1953. Thianing on 7.8.1953. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.1953, 30.12.1953 and 24.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure
2. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 30 lb ./ac. as Super.
3. 3.79 ton/ac. of Sannhemp.
4. 4.32 ton/ac. of Sannhemp $+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ at 30 lb ./ac. as Super.
5. 1.88 ton/ac. of udid.
6. 2.17 ton/ac. of $u d i d+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. as Super.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) One tow on either side. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Normal crop growth. (ii) A serious attack of red leaf disease occurred, lower leaves turned red and dropped. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nul.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $218.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 180.0 |
| 2. | 224.0 |
| 3. | 197.0 |
| 4. | 250.0 |
| 5. | 196.0 |
| 6. | 264.0 |
| S E./mean | $=21.38 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). <br> Site :-Crop Res. Stn., Badnapur.

Ref:-Mh. 53(13).
Type :m'M'.

Object :-To compare the efferts of $A / S$, Ammonium Chloride and $C / N$ on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Badnapar. (iii) 8.7.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing and bakharings in summer. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) G-12. (vii) N.A. (viii) Gap-filling on 29.7.1953. (ix) $26.68^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) Picking on 8 and 14.12.1953, 5 and 19.1.1954 and 4.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=A / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Ammonium Chloride and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.

Time of application of manure-13.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv)
(a) $127^{\prime} \times 10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Plants stunted in growth and stand uneven. Hence results not reliable. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of k:apas.
(iv) (a) 1953-N.A.
(b) Yes.
(c) N.A.
(v) (a) Nanded and Parbhani.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $297 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $61.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ and interaction $S \times N$ are not significant, while the main effect of $N$ is significant.
(iv) A'v. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | - | - | - | 265 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 312 | 309 | 261 | 294 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| 313 | 345 | 337 | 332 |  |
| Mean | 313 | 327 | 299 | 297 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N
S.E. of marginal mean of $S$
S.E. of body of table
$=15.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{c}}$.
$=19.20 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=27.36 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Farm, Buldana.

Ref:-Mh. 5 í197).
Type :- ' M '.

Object:-To study the effect of soaking cotton seed in $A / S$ solution before sowing.

## t. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.6.1951. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings and 1 ploughing. (b) Dibbling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 to 4 seeds./hill. (v) 10 C.L,/ac, of tank silt (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) 33.22*. (x) \%.11.1951 to 31.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Cotton seeds soaked in $13 \%$ solution of $A / s$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a)N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (ii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola, Washim and Achalpur. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $581 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $13.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 580 |
| 2. | 582 |
| S.E./mean | $=9.81 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Goyt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana.

Ref: ${ }^{-}$Mh. 51(113). Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To compare the manurial value of cotton seed cake with other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 21.6.1951, (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38.22^{\text {a }}$. (x) 9 to 20.11 .1951 and 11.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no manure).
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake (undecorticated).
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake (decorticated).
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied on 14.7.1951.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) and (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Washim. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $672 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $147.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 616 |
| 2. | 736 |
| 3. | 704 |
| 4. | 608 |
| 5. | 696 |
| S.E./mean | $=65.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(184).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in comparison with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 1.7.1953.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium-late).
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and one hoeing. (ix) $36.52^{\text {f }}$. (x) Picking on 18.11.1953, 30.11.1953, 16.12.1953 and 7.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{2}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=C / N$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Washim. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason for low yield is given. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $357 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $58.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and interaction of $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant, while main effect of S is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Control $=327 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 307 | 354 | 352 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 425 | 353 | 330 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 408 | 353 | 388 |
| Mean | 380 |  | 366 |

S.E. of body of table
S.E. of control mean
S.E. of N marginal mean
S.E. of $S$ marginal.mean
S.E. of control $\nu s$ any other mean in table
$=26.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
$=18.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=18.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=15.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=31.94 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 48(32).
Type: ' ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton with F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 17.6.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) 6 lb ./ac. (d) Distance between rows : $18^{\prime \prime}$ and distance between plants: $6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 29.6.1948. one weeding and interculturing on 4 and 5.7.1948., 2nd interculturing on 9.8.1948, 3rd on 20.9.1948 and 2nd weeding on 21.9.1948. (ix) $34.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1948, 14 and 15.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 Kharif-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. . (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $609 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 131.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the main effects and interaction differ significantly. Selective treatments and selective $v s$ others do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

> Selective treatment $P_{0}=538 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
> Selective trea tment $\mathrm{P}_{1}=582 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
> Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=548 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 619 | 625 | 629 | 624 | 638 | 611 |
| 662 | 633 | 649 | 648 | 657 | 738 |  |
| Mean | 641 | 629 | 639 | 636 | 647 | 625 |
| $S_{1}$ | 683 | 650 | 609 | 647 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 599 | 608 | 668 | 625 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=26.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=32.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of mean of selective treatments | $=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment mean $=32.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=38.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 50 (64), |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown with F.Y.M.

## - BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (iii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 8, 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Distance between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.X.M. (vi) Jarila (eariy). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 17, 18.7.1950, hoeings on 24.7.1950, 30.7.1950 and 20.7.1950 and weedings on 2.8.1950, 17 and 18.8.1950, and 2.9.1950. (ix) 21.73 (x) 15.11.1950, 7.12 .1950 and 2.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levéls af $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}^{4}: \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~m} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Anthocrane disease 15 days after germination. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Kharif)1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=748^{\prime} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=897 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=884 \mathrm{lb} . a \mathrm{c}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 888 | 867 | 813 | 856 | 830 | 882 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 869 | 921 | 1026 | 939 | 877 | 1001 |
| Mean | 879 | 894 | 920 | 898 | 854 | 942 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 845 | 848 | 868 | 854 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 913 | 940 | 972 | 942 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=23.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatments | $=40.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=40.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=33.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif)
Ref:-Mh. 48(29)
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 17. 6. 1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$, plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarila. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Giap filling on 29.6.1948, weeding and interculturing on 4 and 5.7.1948, 9. 8.1948, 20. 9. 1948 and 21. 8. 1948. (ix) $34.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1948, 14 and 15.12. 1948.

## 2. 5 TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop remained stunted due to continuous rains for some period, otherwise growth was uniform and normal. (ii) Black arm disease and pink boll worm attack. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $452 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $133.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$, interaction $N \times P$ and selective os other treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{0}=423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{1}=515 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{2}=486 \mathrm{lb} . a c$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Meañ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ | 498 | 551 | 543 | 530 | 557 | 504 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 569 | 594 | 623 | 595 | 564 | 626 |
| Mean | 534 | 573 | 583 | 563 | 560 | 565 |
| $S_{1}$ | 537 |  | 569 | - 560 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 530 | 569 | 597 | 565 |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal means of N or S |  |  |  |  | $=14.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal means of $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  |  |  | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |
| S.E. of selective treatment means |  |  |  |  | $=25.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means |  |  |  |  | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables |  |  |  |  | $=25.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of NS table |  |  |  |  | $=20.65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:-Mh. 50(63).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 8, 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) L)rilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirr!gated. (viii) Gap-filling on 17 and 18.7.1950, hoeings on 24.7.1950, 6.8.1950, 30.2.1950 and 20.7.1950 and weedings on 2.8.1950, 17 and 18.8 .1950 and 2.9.1950. (ix) $21.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.11.1950, 7.12 .1950 and 2.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime} .\left(\right.$ (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Anthocrane disease 15 days after germination. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951 (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1243 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $203.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ,
(iii) Only main effect of $N$, interaction $N \times P$ and selective $v s$ others are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb/ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=575 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=660 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 702 | 778 | 767 | 749 | 739 | 758 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 671 | 843 | 860 | 791 | 749 | 833 |
| Mean | 687 | 811 | 814 | 770 | 744 | 796 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 622 | 779 | 832 | 744 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 752 | 842 | 795 | 796 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of $N$ or $S$ | $=25.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal means of $P$ | $=30.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatment means | $=43.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| S.E. of selective $\nu s$ other treatment means | $=30.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP table | $=43.52 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=35.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif ). | Ref:- Mh. 52(317). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type:- 'M'. |

Object :- To study the residual effect of manures applied to previous Jowar (without a basal dose of F.Y.M) on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 19.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{n}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) 197.3 Virnar. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 5 hoeings. (ix) $17.0^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.11 .1952 ;, 10.12.1952 and 21.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C. : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manures applied to previous crop Jowar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact, in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (v) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) Experiment conducted on Jowar from 1941 to 1951 and in 1952 residual effect studied on cotton for one year only. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $272 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and their interaction are highly significant.

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif ).
Site :~ Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref :- Mh. 51(114).
Type : ' M '.

Object :-To find out the N and P requirements of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 2.7.1951. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding sind 2 hoeings. (ix) 26.12". (x) 16.11.1951, 3.12.1951 and 3.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=G . N . C$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

A/S and G N.C. were broadcasted. Source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is Super which is drilled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv)
(a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$.
(v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) There was heavy shedding of young bolls due to insufficient moisture in the soil and so the yields pere moderate. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height of plants, yield of kapas. (iv) (a) $1950-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $534 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Selective $v s$ others differ significantly while other effects do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Selective treatment } \mathrm{P}_{0} & =423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { Selective treatment } \mathrm{P}_{1} & =485 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | Mean |
| Mean | 618 | 569 | 593 |
| 597 | 670 | 633 |  |
| 607 | 619 | 613 |  |

S.E. of any marginal mean
S.E. of selective vs others
S.E. of body of table

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =25.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =44.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =36.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref:- Mh. 52(132).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To find out the $N$ and $P$ requirements of Cotton.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Jowar. (c) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 16.7.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing and one cleaning. (b) N.A. (c) 16 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) $18.0^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.11.1952, 2.12.1952, 17.12.1952 and 8.1.1953.

## 4. GENERAL -

(i) Not satisfactory due to scanty rainfall. (ii) Heavy attack of bollworms. (iii) Plant height at flowering and maturity and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) $1952-1954$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nanded. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $320 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $40.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 301 |
| 2. | 325 |
| 3. | 296 |
| 4: | 356 |
| S.E./mean | $=20.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref :-Mh. 53(189).
Type: $\boldsymbol{\sim}^{〔} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Orject :-To study the effect af N by soaking Cotton seed with molar solution of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Deep black clavey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 23.6.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and four harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and 3 hoeings. (ix) $41^{\circ}$. (x) 18.11.1953, 2.12.1953, 18.12.1953 and 15.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure, no seed treatment).
2. Only molar solution of A/S.
3. Molar solution +20 lb . ac . of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast at the time of sowing.

Treatment 4 given one month after sowing. 132 gms . of A/S dissolved .in water to make 1000 c.c. of molar solution.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on each side of plot and $3^{\prime}$ on each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Not satisfactory due to scanty rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant beights and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1952 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $32.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 20.45 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 41.44 |
| 2. | 23.81 |
| 3. | 29.00 |
| 4. | 35.68 |
| S.E./mean | $=10.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (V) 2 rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., boll weight, ginning percentage, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Katur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $347 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $23.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is highly significant others are not significaat.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}{ }^{*}$ | 289 | 290 | 290 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 409 | 400 | 404 |
| Mean | 349 | 345 | 347 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=7.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=10.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

- Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).

Ref :-Mh. 53(118)
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Type : ‘'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of soaking Cotton seed in one molar solution of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ before sowing on the ultimate yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1953, (iv) (a) Bakharing thrice (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viil) Hoeing twice and weeding once. (ix) 45.13*. (x) Pickings on 24.11.1953 and 24. 12. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1) and (2)
(1) 2 soakings : $S_{0}=$ No soaking and $S_{1}=$ Seed soaked for 24 hours in one molar solution of $A / S$.
(2) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

In $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ plots manure was broadcasted at sowing and $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ plots applied one month after sowing in rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., ginning percentage, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Latur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{M e a n}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 143.5 | $\mathbf{2 5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 163.5 | 279.5 | 201.5 | 162.0 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 137.5 | 251.0 | 241.0 |  |
| Mean | 148.2 | 263.3 | 194.0 | 252.0 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 121.3 | 229.3 | 17.5 | 216.0 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 175.3 | 297.3 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =16.31 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =13.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =10.01 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =17.33 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =14.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =20.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =16.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $P$ marginal means
3. N marginal means
4. N means at a level of R
5. $N$ means at a level of $P$
6. R means at a level of $\mathbf{N}$
7. $\mathbf{P}$ means at a level of $\mathbf{N}$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref:- Mh. 49(12)/48(10).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crops grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 27.6.1949. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in groundnut plots and harrowing thrice. (b) N.A. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding twice and hoeing once. (ix) $44.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 13.11.1949 and 2nd picking on 13.12.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Groundnut-Jowar, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Gram-Jowar and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Mung-Jowar.
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super and N applied as G.N.C. to cotton at sowing.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Heavy rains in July and September damaged the crop causing heavy shedding oi buds and bolls and infestation of weeds in the plots. The yields are therefore very low. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., boll wt., ginning \%, seed wt., fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) $450 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $71.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $72.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effects of $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P}$ and N are significantly different.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 409 | 547 | 478 | 437 | 519 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 413 | 568 | 491 | 455 | 527 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 308 | 456 | 382 | 367 | 397 |
| Mean | 377 | 523 | 450 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 352 | 487 | 420 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 401 | 560 | 481 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. R marginal means $\quad=25.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. P marginal means $\quad=20.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N marginal means $\quad=21.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{R}=36.49 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=29.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. R means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=36.00 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
7. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=29.40 \mathrm{lb}$. /ac.

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 51(24)/50(20)/49(12)/48(10).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. Type :-‘M'.
Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crops grown with an 1 without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1951. (iv) (a) Harrowing thrice. (b) N.A. (c) 16 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrıgated. (viii) Weeding thriee and hoeing twice. (ix) $31.84^{*}$ ( x ) Pickings on 7.11.1951, 7.12.1951 and 6.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combination: of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=$ Gioundnut-Jowar, $\mathbf{R}_{2}=G r a m-$ Jowar and $\mathbf{R}_{3}=$ Mung--Jowar.
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of $N: \quad N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super, N applied as G.N.C. to Cotton at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 , (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boil $n$., boll wt., sesi wt., ginning\%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) $19+7$ to 195I. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nii. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 127 | 142 | 143 | 124 | 134 | 160 | 108 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 183 | 241 | 259 | 247 | 233 | 249 | 216 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 202 | 257 | 248 | 257 | 241 | 250 | 232 |
| Mean | 171 | 213 | 216 | 209 | 202 | 220 | 18.5 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 227 | 222 | 252 | 234 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 200 | 210 | 167 | 192 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=8.80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{S}$ | $=6.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=7.62 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ table | $=12.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table | $=10.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=15.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ in $S \times N$ table | $=7.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

## Ref :- Mh. 49(13). <br> Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1949. (iv) (a) 3 barrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and one weeding. (ix) $44.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 14.11.1949 and 2nd picking on 14.12.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=G . N . C$. and $S_{2}=A / S$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied on 28.6.1949 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 16.6.1949.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) 24 , arranged in two tiers of 12 each. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $100^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $94^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of every rov. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Continuous rains in July affected the crop badly especially in replications III and IV. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll weight, ginning $\%$, seed weight, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Analysis carried out for only 2 replications, the other two replications were damaged.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $146 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $40.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the effects of S and N and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas n lb.jac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 328 | 426 | 502 | 502 | 440 | 437 | 442 |
| $P_{1}$ | 371 | 505 | 570 | 621 | 517 | 503 | 531 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 366 | 496 | 594 | 666 | 530 | 512 | 549 |
| Mean | 355 | 476 | 555 | 596 | 496 | 484 | 507 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 463 | 527 | 593 | 528 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 488 | 584 | 599 | 557 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=8.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ |  |
| S.E. of body of $N \times S$ table |  |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table | $=12.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=10.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. marginal mean of $S$ in $N \times S$ table |  |
|  | $=14.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | $=6.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif)
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 50(22).
Type: $\boldsymbol{\sim}^{〔} \mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer scil analysis, Nanded. (iiit) 11. 7. 1950. (iv) (a) Ploughing once and bakharing 4 times. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Two seeds per dibble and then thinned to one plant per hole. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding thrice and hoeing once. (ix) 29.37". (x) Pickings on 13,28.11.1950, 13, 28.12-1950 aud 13.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each flank and $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, ginning \%, boll and seed weight boll no. and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (i) } 878 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $91.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 728 | 767 | 748 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 982 | 1034 | 1008 |
| Mean | 855 | 900 | 878 |

S.E. of any marginal mean
$=26.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$
S.E. of body of table

$$
=37.2 \mathrm{lb} ., \mathrm{ac} .
$$

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, ginning \%, boll and seed weight, boll no., detailed study of plant development and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $401 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $45.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of $\mathbf{N}$ is bighly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| . | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 370 | 328 | 349 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 446 | 461 | 454 |
| Mean | 408 | 394 | 401 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=13.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=18.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :-Cotton (Kharif ). | Ref :-Mh. 52(48). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. | Type :- ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object:-To study the direct effect of organic manures along with $A / S$ on Cotton and residual effect on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) Three bakharings. (b) Drilling tbrough mogha. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Niln (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hocing twice and weeding once. (ix) $28.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 5.11.1952, 2nd picking on 4.12 .1952 and 3rd picking on 5.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$,
(2) 3 levels of organic manure : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=4$ ton/ac. of F.Y.M. and $M_{2}=4$ ton/ac. of T.C.

Manures were broadcasted on 26.5.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$, (v) Two rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row were treated as non-experimental. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant height, node no., germination and final stand, boll no., boll weight, seed weight, ginning\%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $314 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $50.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and M are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ is not significant.

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :~ Mh. 53(55).
Type :- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of repeated manuring of soil with different kinds of N fertilizers.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Kharif Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar, Maize and Soyabean. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iil) 27.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) Ploughing once and bakharings twice. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered seed drill. (c) 16 lb ./ac.. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing twice and weedings twice. (ix) 45.13". (x) Pickings on 30.11.1953, 30.12.1953 and 30.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Ammonium cbloride.

Manures were drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $135^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $132^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either flank, $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$, at either end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Badly affected by heavy rains. Poor yields. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height boll and seed weight, boll no., fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only $\mathbf{N}$ effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 104 | 148 | 126 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | - | 138 | 146 | 142 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | - | 143 | 147 | 145 |
| Mean | 86 | 128 | 147 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=8.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S | $=10.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of table | $=15.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). } & \text { Ref }:-\mathrm{M} .50(116) . \\ \text { Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. } & \text { Type :-'M'. }\end{array}$
Object :-To find the optimum manurial dose and time of application of N for Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 20, 21. 5. 1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO-4-B-40. (vii) Unirrigated.
(viii) Weedings on 18.6.1950 and 23.7.1950. (ix) $22.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.10.1950 and 20.11.1950.
2. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of Aphides and thripes. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) No.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil

## s. RESULTS :

(i) $1325 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $321.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $181.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction is significant
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| Mean | 1302 | 1320 | 1469 | 1251 | 1288 | 1292 | 1244 | 1466 | $14: 6$ |
| 1406 | 1256 | 1440 | 1266 | 1042 | 1350 | 1182 | 1426 | 1364 | 1348 |
| 1354 | 1288 | 1454 | 1258 | 1165 | 1321 | 1213 | 1446 | 1430 | 1325 |

## S E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=144.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{az}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=38.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatrent | $=114.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment | $=164.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(11). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani. | Type $:-{ }^{-} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of soaking seed in one molar solution of different fertilizers on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 14.7.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and two harrowings. (b) Dibbling.' (c) 42 seeds per row of 21 feet. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) Drilled rows. (v) Nil. (vi) Parbhani American I. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $40.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 16.12.1953, 7.1.1954, 27.1.1954 and 10.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seed soaked in one molar solution of the following fertilizers :

1. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
2. Ammo. Phosphate Monobasic.
3. Mono. Potassium Phosphate.
4. Ammo. Phos. Diabasic.
5. Pure water.
6. Control (dry seed).

The following quantities of fertilizers were dirsolved in water to make 100 c.c. of solution :
(1) A/S—132.00 gm. (2) Ammo. Phos. Mono-115.04 gm and (3), Mono. Phosphate 136.09 gm.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (v) One row at either end and one after each replication. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Boll worm attack. (iii) Final stand, yield of kapas, halo length and weight of 100 seeds. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The treatment Ammonium Phosphate Diabasic has teen dropped from analyis as the yield was poor, The seeds did not germinate at all and the treated seeds were damaged by ants.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani.

Ref:-Mh. 53(21).
Type:-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To determine the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on yield of Cotton and its residual effect on the soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) Paddy fertilizer mixture at 200 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 26.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Sown by mogha behind a 2 coulter local seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Nil. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $33.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 14.11.1953, 21.12.1953 and 20.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ No manure ( 3 plots per block).
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Chloride $+10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=40 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Ammo. Chloride $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5. (iv)
(a) $127^{\prime} \times 10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 71^{\prime}$
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Due to heavy rains in the first fortnight of October 1953 there had been heavy shedding of bolls which greatly affected the yield. (ii) N.A. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Heavy rains in the first fortnight of October 1953. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $72.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $19.20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 63.21 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 69.60 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 74.40 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 63.00 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 85.20 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 97.80 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 76.20 |

S.E. $/$ mean $\left(T_{1}\right) \quad=4.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E./mean $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{8} \ldots \mathrm{~T}_{7}\right)=8.58 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif ).
Ref :- Mh. 48 (73).
Site : Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type:- 'M'.
Object :-To find out the residual effect of T.C. on the yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.6.1948. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 1 weeding and 1 thinoing. (ix) $38.88^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) Pickings on 16;17.11.1948, 10.12.1948 and 7.1.1943.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 10 C.L./ac. of T.C.
5. 20 C.L./ac. of T.C.
6. 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
7. 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
8. 330 lb ./ac. of G.N.C.

Manures applied in 1947-1948.

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 52 (128).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type : ' ' M '.
Object:-To compare the effect of cotton seed cake with other manures on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 25.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Sowing by argada. (c) 20. lb./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $17.95^{\prime \prime}$ (x) Pickings on 4, 17.11.1952, and 23.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake decorticated.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake undecorticated.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as A/S.
5. No manure (control).

Manures applied on 17.7.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 161^{\prime}$ (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Soil was cracked for want of moisture and flower buds were seen shedding. (ii) Attack of aphids which subsided due to lady bird beetles. (iii) Germination counts and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $731 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $61.36 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 755 |
| 2. | 753 |
| 3. | 710 |
| 4. | 715 |
| 5. | 722 |
| S.E./mean. | $=27.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton. (Kharif ). | Ref :- Mh. 53(166). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. | Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To find out the effect of different doses of N applied in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 hand interculturing. (ix) $38.55^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) Pickings on 2 and 22.12.1953 and 22.1. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (two plots/block).
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
6. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
8. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
9. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
10. 20. $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1: 3 ratio.

Manures applied at sowing.

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref :- Mh. 53(272).
Type :- ' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effeet of Sodium nitrate on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton.' (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium loam. (b) Refər soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 22.6.1953. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Argada sowing. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (r) Nil (vi) H-420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings. (ix) $37.63^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) Pickings on 23.10.53, 3.11.53, 14.11.53 and 1.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( 2 plots/replication).
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Sodium nitrate.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Sodium nitrate.
7. 60 lb ./ac. of N as Sodium nitrate.
8. 2 mds of G.N.C. before sowing and .67 md . of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at hoeing.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) One line on each side and 4 plants on other two sides. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $239 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 69.80 lb .ac.
(iii) Control us others are significant while other manurial treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yieid |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 181 |
| 2. | 268 |
| 3. | 270 |
| 4. | 305 |
| 5. | 210 |
| 6. | 209 |
| 7. | 261 |
| 8. | 267 |

S.E. for treatment mean (other than control). $\quad=28.50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. for control mean. $=\hat{20.15 ~ l b} . \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :-Cotton. | Ref :-Mh. 52(179). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : - Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To compare the effect of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ with A.S.N. on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDIIIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeötmal. (iii) 4.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) Hand drilling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) H-420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 40.28". (x) 1.11.1952 to 1st week of Janaary 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Ammo. Sulphate Nitrate at $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb . ac . of N .
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $52^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 52(118)/51(94).
Type:- 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of sowing Deshi and American Cotton successively in rotation with and without manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 26.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Deshi $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. American $12-14 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ Deshi and $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ American. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Deshi-H. 420 (mediuns) and Ameri-can-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 22.03". (x) Pickings on 28.10.1952, 27.11.1952, 16.12.1952 and 6.2.1953 (for both varieties).

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 rotations : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} .420$ after $\mathrm{H} .420, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} .420$ after $0394, \mathrm{R}_{3}=0394$ after 0394 and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=0394$ after H. 420 .
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) 1 row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $789 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 104.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and interaction $N \times R$ are significant, while the main effect of $N$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1091 | 523 | 445 | 1026 | 771 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1098 | 539 | 535 | 1053 | 806 |
| Mean | 1095 | 531 | 490 | 1039 | 789 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=26.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ | $=37.0 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=52.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: : Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 53(176)/52(118)/51(94).
Type :- 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of sowing Deshi and American Cotton successively in rotation with and without manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Deshi $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and American 12-14 lb./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ Deshi and $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ American. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Deshi H. 420 (medium) and American-0391 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 21.11.1953, 17.12:1953 and 22.1.1954.

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :-Mh. 53(269).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of green manuring with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer scil analysis, Akola. (iii) 6.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\circ}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri. 0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeings on 30.7.1053, 21.8.1953, 11.9.1953 and 12.10.1953. Weedings on 7.8.1953, 21.8.1953, 17.9.1953, 17.10.1953 and 29.10.1953. Thinning on 7.8.1953. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 28.11.1953, 30.12.1953 and 24.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure
4. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 30 lb ./ac. as Super.
5. 3.79 ton/ac. of Sannhemp.
6. 4.32 ton/ac. of Sannhemp $+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at 30 lb ./ac. as Super.
7. 1.88 ton/ac. of udid.
8. 2.17 ton/ac. of $u d i d+P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. as Super.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) NA. (b) $60.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) One tow on either side. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Normal crop growth. (ii) A serious attack of red leaf disease occurred, lower leaves turned red and dropped. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nal.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $218.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 180.0 |
| 2. | 224.0 |
| 3. | 197.0 |
| 4. | 250.0 |
| 5. | 196.0 |
| 6. | 264.0 |
| S E./mean | $=21.38 . \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Crop Res. Stn., Badnapur.

Ref : - Mh. 53(13).
Type: ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To compare the efferts of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$, Ammonium Chloride and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Badnapar.
(iii) 8.7.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing and bakharings in summer. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) G-12. (vii) N.A. (viii) Gap-filing on 29.7.1953. (ix) $26.68^{\circ}$. (x) Picking on 8 and 14.12.1953, 5 and 19.1.1954 and 4.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N: \quad S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=$ Ammonium Chloride and $S_{3}=C / N$.

Time of application of manure-13.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 10 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 7 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Plants stunted in growth and stand uneven. Hence results not reliable. (ii) N.A. (iii) Yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A, (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nanded and Parbhani. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $297 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $61.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ and interaction $S \times N$ are not significant, while the main effect of $N$ is significaat.
(iv) Áv. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | - | - | - | 265 |
| $N_{1}$ | 312 | 309 | 261 | 294 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 313 | 345 | 337 | 332 |
| Mean | 313 | 327 | 299 | 297 |

S.E. of marginal mean of N
S.E. of marginal mean of $S$
S.E. of body of table
$=15.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \overrightarrow{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}$.
$=19.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=27.36 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

## Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).

Site :- Govt. Farm, Buldana.

Ref:- Mh. $51(197)$.
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of soaking cotton seed in A/S solution before sowing.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.61951 . (iv) 'a) 3 bakharings and 1 ploughing. (b) Dibbling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 3 to 4 seeds./hill. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of tank silt (vi) H. 420 deshi (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $33.22^{\prime \prime}$. (x) \%.11.1951 to 31.12.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Cotton seeds soaked in $13 \%$ solution of $A / s$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a)N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (ii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola, Washim and Achalpur. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $581 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $13.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in ton/ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 580 |
| 2. | 582 |
| S.E./mean | $=9.81 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana.
Ref:- Mh. 51(113).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To compare the manurial value of cotton seed cake with other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 21.6.1951. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38.22^{\circ}$. (x) 9 to 20.11 .1951 and 11.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake (undecorticated).
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake (decorticated).
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied on 14.7.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) and (b) $1 / 40$ th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Washim. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $672 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $147.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 616 |
| 2. | 736 |
| 3. | 704 |
| 4. | 608 |
| 5. | 696 |
| S.E./mean | $=65.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(184).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :~ ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ in comparison with $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 1.7.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 deshi (medium-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and one hoeing. (ix) $36.52^{f}$. (x) Picking on 18.11.1953, 30.11.1953, 16.12.1953 and 7.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Washim. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason for low yield is given. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $357 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $58.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and interaction of $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant, while main effect of S is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=327 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $S_{1}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 307 | 354 | 352 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 425 | 353 | 330 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 408 | 353 |  |
| Mean | 380 | 380 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of body of table | $=26.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of control mean | $=18.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of $N$ marginal mean | $=18.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of S marginal.mean | $=15.06 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of control $v s$ any other mean in table | $=31.94 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh. 48(32).
Type :" ' M '.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton with F.Y.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 17.6 .1948 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) 6 lb ./ac. (d) Distance jetween rows : $18^{\prime \prime}$ and distance between plants: $6^{\prime \prime}$. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 29.6.1948. one weeding and interculturing on 4 and 5.7.1948., 2ad interculturing on 9.8.1948, 3rd on 20.9.1948 and 2nd weeding on 21.9.1948. (ix) $34.46^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.10.1948, 14 and 15.12.1943.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESION :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop remained stunted due to continuous rains for some period, otherwise growth is uniform and normal.
(ii) Pink boll worm - No considerable damage.
(iii) Kapas yield. (iv)
(a) 1948-1951.
(b) No.
(c) N,A.
(v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $616 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $140.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ili) Main effect of N , interaction NP and selective vs others differ significantly,
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Selective treatment $P_{0}$ | $=511 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $=484 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $=448 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 687 | 626 | 655 | 656 | 713 | 598 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 715 | 733 | 683 | 710 | 765 | 655 |
| Mean | 701 | 679 | 669 | 683 | 739 | 627 |
| $S_{1}$ | 735 | 735 | 748 | 739 |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ | 667 | 624 | 590 | 627 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=28.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathbf{P}$ | $=35.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of mean of selective treatments | $=49.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=35.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=49.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=40.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Cotton (Kharif)
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 49(49).
Type: " ${ }^{\text {M }}$ '.

Object :- To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown with F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 30.6 .1949 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $18^{\circ \prime}$. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. (vi) Jarila early. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinnings on 14.7.1949, weedings on 24.7.1949, 7. 8. 1949 and 17. 8. 1949 and hoeing on 15.7.1949, 20.7.1949, 12. 8. 1949, and 16. 8. 1949. (xi) 44.16". (x) 11 and 30.11. 1949 and 11. 1. 1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 Kharif -1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. • (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $609 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 131.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the main effects and interaction differ significantly. Selective treatments and selective $v s$ others do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=538 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{1}=582 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=548 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 619 625 629 <br> 662 633 649 | 624 | 638 | 611 |  |  |
| Mean | 648 | 657 | 738 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 629 | 639 | 636 | 647 | 625 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 683 | 650 | 609 | 647 |  |  |
| 599 | 608 | 668 | 625 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=26.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=32.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of mean of selective treatments | $=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment mean $=32.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=46.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=38.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 0}$ (64). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown with F.Y.M.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (iii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet
(b) Refer soil analysit, Jalagaon. (iii) 8, 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Distance between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 17, 18.7.1950, hoeings on 24.7.1950, 30.7.1950 and 20.7.1950 and weedings on 2.8.1950, 17 and 18.8.1950, and 2.9.1950. (ix) 21.73 (x) 15.11.1950, 7.12 .1950 and 2.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0 \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levelís af $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}^{4}:{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\circ}$. (b) $30^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Anthocrane disease 15 days after germination. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Kharif) 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1141 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $130.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and selective is others differ significant y .
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb.jac.

Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{0}=1038 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=1048 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=1006 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean | $S_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 1154 | 1126 | 1176 | 1152 | 1130 | 1174 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1258 | 1244 | 1225 | 1242 | 1208 | 1276 |
| Mean | 1206 | 1185 | 1201 | 1197 | 1169 | 1225 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1171 | 1162 | 1175 | 1169 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1241 | 1208 | 1226 | 1225 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=26.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=32.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatment means | $=46.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $\boldsymbol{v}$ other treatment mean | $=32.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP table | $=46.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=40.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif)
Site:- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 51(76).
Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown with F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. (c) Not manured. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 6 and 9.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Distance between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$, between plants irregular. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) Gap flling on 24. 7. 1951, hoeings on 1, 18 and 26.8.1951 and 13. 9. 1951. (ix) 20.14*. (x) 19.11. 1951 and 2. 1. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 (Kharif)-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $879 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 115.2 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and selective vs others differ significantly.

Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.
Selective treatment $P_{0}=7481 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=897 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}=884 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=23.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatments | $=40.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v$ other treatment means | $=28.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=40.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=33.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif)
Site :-Agri, Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 48(29)
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 17.6.1948. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$, plant to plant $6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarila. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 29.6.1948, weeding and interculturing on 4 and $5.7 .1948,9.8 .1948,20.9 .1948$ and 21. 8. 1948. (ix) 34.46". (x) 29.10.1948, 14 and 15.12. 1948.

## 2. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{K}}$ TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Crop remained stunted due to continuous rains for some period, otherwise growth was uniform and normal. (ii) Black arm disease and pink boll worm attack. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $452 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $133.2 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$, interaction $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{P}$ and selective of other treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Selective treatment } & P_{0}=434 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { Selective treatment } & \mathrm{P}_{1}=375 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { Selective treatment } & \mathrm{P}_{2}=336 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$



| S.E. of marginal mean of N or S | $=27.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=33.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatments | $=47.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=33.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=47.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=38.45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :~Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Ref : ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 49(50).
Type.-'M'.
Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 29.6.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Thinuing on 16.7.1949 and weeding on 17.8.1949, 25.7.1949 and 12.8.1949. (ix) $44.17^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $11,30.11 .1949$ and 11.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Nil. (ii) Nil
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $534 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $71.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and selective $v s$ other treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=515 \mathrm{lb} . a c$.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=486 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | Meañ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 498 | 551 | 543 | 530 | 557 | 504 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 569 | 594 | 623 | 595 | 564 | 626 |
| Mean | 534 | 573 | 583 | 563 | 560 | 565 |
| $S_{1}$ | 537 | 576 | 569 | - 560 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 530 | 569 | 597 | 565 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of $N$ or $S$ | $=14.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal means of $P$ | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatment means | $=25.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=17.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=25.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=20.65 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref: :-Mh. 50(63).
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 8, 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) I)rilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) Gap-filling on 17 and 18.7.1950, hoeings on 24.7.1950, $6.8 .1950,30.2 .1950$ and 20.7.1950 and weedings on 2.8.1950, 17 and 18.8 .1950 and 2.9.1950. (ix) $21.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 15.11.1950, 7.12.1950 and 2.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime},(v) 6^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Anthocrane disease 15 days after germination. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948-1951 (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1243 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $203.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.,
(iii) Only main effect of $N$, interaction $N \times P$ and selective vs others are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in bb .ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=1111 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=1109 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Selective treatment $\mathrm{P}_{2}=1288 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1196 | 1203 | 1318 | 1239 | 1152 | 1326 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 1347 | 1363 | 1249 | 1320 | 1208 | 1432 |
| Mean | 1272 | 1283 | 1284 | 1280 | 1180 | 1379 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1260 | 1183 | 1097 | 1180 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1283 | 1383 | 1470 | 1379 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of $N$ or $S$ | $=41.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal means of $P$ | $=50.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatment means | $=58.66 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=50.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP tables | $=58.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS tatle | $=71.84 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mth. 51(75).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the N and $\mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requirements of Cotton grown without F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Gram-Cotton. (b) Gram. c) Nil. ii) (a) Deep black cotton type having a depth of 10 to 13 feet. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (ii) 6 to 9.7 .195 I . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling, (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irre gu'ar. (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) Jarila (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Gap filling on 24.7.1951 and hoeings on $1.8 .1951,18.8 .1951$ to 26.8 .1951 and 13.9.1951. (ix) $20.14^{\circ}$. (x) 19.11.1951 and 2.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $717 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $123.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of P , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and selective $v s$ others differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb/ac.

Selective treatment $P_{0}=575 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{1}=660 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Selective treatment $P_{2}=600 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 702 | 778 | 767 | 749 | 739 | 758 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 671 | 843 | 860 | 791 | 749 | 833 |
| Mean | 687 | 811 | 814 | 770 | 744 | 796 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 622 | 779 | 832 | 744 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 752 | 842 | 795 | 796 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal means of $N$ or $S$ | $=25.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal means of $P$ | $=30.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective treatment means | $=43.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ other treatment means | $=30.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NP or SP table | $=43.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of NS table | $=35.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref:- Mh 52(317).
Type:- ' M '.

Object:-To study the residual effect of manures applied to previous Jowar (without a basal dose of F.Y.M) on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaod. . (iii) 19.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) 197.3 Virnar. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 5 hoeings. (ix) $17.0^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.11 .1952 ; 10.12.1952 and 21.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C.: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of $P_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, P_{1}=20, P_{2}=40$ and $P_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Manures applied to previous crop Jowar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact, in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (v) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 2 \overline{7}^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) Experiment conducted on Vowar from 1941 to 1951 and in 1952 residual effect studied on cotton for one year only. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $272 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.08 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{/ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and P and their interaction are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 251 | 206 | 224 | 251 | 233 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 209 | 221 | 248 | 271 | 237 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 275 | 236 | 249 | 278 | 260 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 275 | 295 | 454 | 404 | 357 |
| Mean | 253 | 240 | 294 | 301 | 272 |
| S.E. of marginal means of N or P S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =18.27 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =36.54 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif ). | Ref :- Mh. 52.(316) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object:-To study the residual effect of manures applied to previous Jowar (with a basal dose of F.Y.M.) on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 19.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -(v) Nil. (vi) 197-3 Virnar. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 5 hoeings. (ix) $17.0^{\circ \prime}$ (x) 5.11.1952, 9.12 .1952 and 21.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as G.N.C.: $N_{0}=0, N_{2}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20, \mathrm{P}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Manures applied to previous crop Jowar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 (b) N.A. (iii) 4 (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$ (b) $30^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Unsatisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) Experiment conducted on Jowar from 1943 to 1951 and in 1952 residual effect studied on Cotton for one year only. (b) Yes. (c) Nil. (v) (a; and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $314 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $58.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and their interaction are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb,/ac.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 227 | 254 | 254 | 271 | 252 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 253 | 274 | 285 | 300 | 278 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | 295 | 315 | 384 | 387 | 345 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ | 315 | 433 | 342 | 427 | 379 |
| Mean | 273 | 319 | 316 | 346 | 314 |

S.E. of marginal means of N or P
S.E. of tody of table

$$
=14.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

$$
=29.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}
$$

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :m Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref:- Mh. 51(114).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the N and P requirements of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 2.7.1951. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Row to row $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding tind 2 hoeings. (ix) 26.12". (x) 16.11.1951, 3.12.1951 and 3.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S$ and $S_{2}=G . N . C$.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$, and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

A/S and G N.C. were broadcasted. Source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is Super which is drilled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) There was heavy shedding of young bolls due to in sufficient moisture in the soil and so the yields were moderate. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height of plants, yield of kapas. (iv) (a) $1950-1953$. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $534 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 72.45 lb ./ac.
(iii) Selective vs others differ significantly while other effects do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Selective treatment } P_{0} & =423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
\text { Selective treatment } \mathrm{P}_{1} & =485 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{array}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 618 | 569 | 593 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 597 | 670 | 633 |
| Mean | 607 | 619 | 613 |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=25.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of selective $v s$ others | $=44.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=36.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref :- Mh. 52(132).
Type:- 'M'.

Object:-To find out the N and P requirements of Cotton.

## BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Jowar. (c) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 16.7.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing and one cleaning. (b) N.A. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) $18.0^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.11.1952, 2.12.1952, 17.12.1952 and 8.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ G.N.C.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

A/S and G.N.C. were broadcasted. Source of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is Super, which is drilled.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b. $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on each flank in a plot together with $3^{\prime}$ at each extremity. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Unsatisfactory due to scanty rainfall. (ii) Heavy attack of bollworms. (iii) Pant height, flowering and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $188 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.52 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only selective vs others differ sigaificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib./ac.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Selective treatment } P_{0} & =143 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { Selective treatment } P_{1} & =133 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$



| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=11.15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of selective $\nu s$ others | $=19.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=15.76 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref :wMh. 52(40).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N by soaking Cotton seed with molar solution of A/S on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 19.7.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Seeds sown through Moghas, (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 3 C.L. of compost. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Two weedings and one hoeing. (ix) 18.03*. (x) 19.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure, no seed treatment).
4. On'y molar solution of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. Molar solution +20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast at the time of sowing.

Treatment 4 given one month after sowing. 132 gms . of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ dissolved in water to make $1000 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c}$. of molar solution.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on each side of the plot and $3^{\prime}$ on each extremity of a row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL -
(i) Not satisfactory due to scanty rainfall. (ii) Heavy attack of bollworms. (iii) Plant height at flowering and maturity and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nanded. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $320 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $40.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 301 |
| 2. | 325 |
| 3. | 296 |
| 4: | 356 |
| S.E./mean | $=\mathbf{2 0 . 6} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref :-Mh. 53(189).
Type :~'M'.

Otject :-To study the effect af N by soaking Cotton seed with molar solution of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Deep black clavey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 23.6.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and four harrowings. (b) Line sowing. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and 3 hoeings. (ix) $41^{\circ}$. (x) 18.11.1953, 2.12.1953, 18.12.1953 and 15.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control (no manure, no seed treatment).
2. Only molar solution of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. Molar solution +20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ by broadcast at the time of sowing. Treatment 4 given one month after sowing. 132 gms . of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ dissolved .in water to make $1000 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c}$. of molar solution.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on each side of plot and $3^{\prime}$ on each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory due to scanty rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant beights and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1952 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $32.48 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $20.45 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly,
(iv) Av. yieid of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 41.44 |
| 2. | 23.81 |
| 3. | 29.00 |
| 4. | 35.68 |
| S.E./mean | $=10.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :m Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(17).
Site :- Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.
Type: ' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of organic manures and $A / S$ on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Kharif Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) 'a Medium black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 17.6.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing, 2 harrowings and 1 cleaning. (b) Seeds sown through moghas. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Ni.. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Bullock hoeing twice, hand hoeing once, weeding once and uprooting of wild plants. (ix) 41.10". (x) 12 to 17.11.1953, 14.12.1953 and 13.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $\mathrm{F}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=4$ ton/ac.
(2) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=100 \mathrm{jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows at each flank and $3^{\prime}$ at each extremity of a row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Due to excessive rains during 1953-54 and heavy rains in Sept. and Oct. 1953, Cotton yields were low as shedding of bolls was much. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant height at flowering and at maturity and yield of kapas. (iv) (a) 1953-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vi) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $262 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $38.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and F and interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mea n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 179 | 298 | 239 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 260 | 308 | 284 |
| Mean | 220 | 303 | 262 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table | $=11.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref : - Mh. 52(51).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of soaking Cotton seed in molar solution of A/S ईbefore"sowing, on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) 10 C.L/ac. of T.C. and B.M. at the rate of $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 30.6.1952. (iv) (a) Hakharing 4 times. (b) Drilled by three coultered $18^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing twice and weeding once. (ix) 28.83". (x) Pickings on 8.11.1952, 8.12.1952 and 8.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 soakings: $S_{0}=$ No soaking and $S_{1}=$ Seed soaked for 24 hours in one molar solution of $A / S$.
(2) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

In $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ plots manure was broadcasted at sowing and in $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ plots applied one month after sowing
in rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., boll weight, ginning percentage, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Latur. (b) N,A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $347 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 23.20 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N is highly significant others are not significaat.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}{ }^{*}$ | 289 | 290 | 290 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 409 | 400 | 404 |
| Mean | 349 | 345 | 347 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =7.30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =10.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

- Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).

Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 53(118)
Type : • M '.

Object:-To study the effect of soaking Cotton seed in one molar solution of $A / S$ before sowing on the ultimate yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1953, (iv) (a) Bakharing thrice (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viil) Hoeing twice and weeding once. (ix) 45.13". (x) Pickings on 24. 11. 1953 and 24. 12. 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations (1) and (2)
(1) 2 soakings : $S_{0}=$ No soaking and $S_{1}=$ Seed soaked for 24 hours in one molar solution of $A / S$.
(2) 2 levels of N as A/S: $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

In $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}$ plots manure was broadcasted at sowing and $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ plots applied one month after sowing in rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., ginning percentage, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Latur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## RESULTS:

(i) $112 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \cdot$
(ii) 15.48 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 104 | 104 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 118 | 122 | 120 |
| Mean | 111 | 113 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  | $=6.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  | $=4.90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 48(10).
Type: © ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crops, grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 30.6.48. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in grounchat plots, harrowing thrice. (b) N.A. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . /$ acre. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and two hoeings. (ix) $49.14^{* *}$. (x) 24.11 .1948 and 25.12 .1948 .
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments : All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Groundnut-Jowar, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Gram-Jowar and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Mung-Jowar
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as super and N applied as G.N.C. to cotton at sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination, final stand, plant height, boll no., boll wt., ginning \%, seed wt., fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $205.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $46.14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $34.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathbf{P}$ and N are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 143.5 | 259.5 | 201.5 | 162.0 | 241.0 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 163.5 | 279.5 | 221.5 | 191.0 | 252.0 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 137.5 | 251.0 | 194.2 | 17.5 | 216.0 |
| Mean | 148.2 | 263.3 | 205.8 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 121.3 | 229.3 | 175.3 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 175.3 | 297.3 | 236.3 |  |  |

## S.E. of difference of two

1. R marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =16.31 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =13.32 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =10.01 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =17.33 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =14.16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =20.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =16.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. P marginal means
3. N marginal means
4. $N$ means at a level of $R$
5. $N$ means at a level of $P$
6. R means at a level of N
7. $\mathbf{P}$ means at a level of $\mathbf{N}$
Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Ref:- Mh. 49(12)/48(10).
Type :~ ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of leguminous crops grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 27.6.1949. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in groundnut plots and harroving thrice. (b) N.A. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding twice and hoeing once. (ix) $44.88^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 13.11.1949 and 2 nd picking on 13.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Groundnut-Jowar, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Gram-Jowar and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Mung-Jowar.
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super and N applied as G.N.C. to cotton at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Heavy rains in July and September damaged the crop causing heavy shedding of buds and boils and infestation of weeds in the plots. The yields are therefore very low. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll no., boll wt., ginning \%, seed wt., fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $36.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $31.64 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $15.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $R, P$ and $N$ and interaction $N \times R$ are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 6.2 | 15.3 | 10.7 | 8.4 | 13.1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 31.2 | 89.0 | 60.1 | 40.9 | 79.3 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 18.4 | 58.1 | 38.2 | 24.7 | 51.8 |
| Mean | 18.6 | 54.1 | 36.4 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 9.8 | 39.6 | 24.7 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 27.5 | 68.7 | 48.1 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. } \mathrm{R} \text { marginal means } & & =11.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 2. } \mathrm{P} \text { marginal means } & & =9.14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 3. } \mathrm{N} \text { marginal means } & & =4.53 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 4. } \mathrm{N} \text { means at a level of } R & & =7.84 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 5. } \mathrm{N} \text { means at a level of } \mathrm{P} & & =6.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 6. } \mathrm{R} \text { means at a level of } \mathrm{N} & & =12.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { 7. } P \text { means at a level of } \mathrm{N} & & =10.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh, 50(20)/49(12)/48(10).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Type :- 'M'.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crops grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (i) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 8.7 .1950 . (iv) (a) Harrowing four times. (b) N.A. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once and hoeing twice. (ix) $29.37^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1 st picking on 17.11.19:0, 2nd picking on 18.12.1950 and 3rd picking on 18.1.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Groundnut-Jowar, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Gram-Jowar and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Mung-Jowar.
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments.
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super and N applied as G.N.C. to cotton at sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Due to water logging the crop suffered in replication III and replication IV. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height boll no., boll wt., ginning $\%$, seed weight, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951 . (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $450 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $71.02 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $72.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effects of $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P}$ and N are significently different.
(iv) Av, yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 409 | 547 | 478 | 437 | 519 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 413 | 568 | 491 | 455 | 527 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 308 | 456 | 382 | 367 | 397 |
| Mean | 377 | 523 | 450 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 352 | 487 | 420 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 401 | 560 | 481 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $R$ marginal means $\quad=25.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P$ marginal means $\quad=20.51 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N marginal means $\quad=21.07 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{R}=36.49 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{P}=29.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
6. $R$ means at the same level of $N=36.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. P means at the same level of $\mathrm{N}=29.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).

## Ref :-Mh. 51(24)/50(20)/49(12)/48(10).

Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. Type :-' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
Object:-To study the effect of leguminous crops grown with an 1 without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on the yield of succeeding Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii)
28.6.1951. (iv) (a) Harrowing thrice. (b) N.A. (c) 16 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrıgated. (viii) Weeding thriee and hoeing twice. (ix) 31.84" (x) Pickings on 7.11.1951, 7.12.1951 and 6.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combination: of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 previous crop rotations: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ Gıoundnut-Jowar, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ Gram-Jowar and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=$ Mung--Jowar.
(2) 2 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied to legumes at sowing: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Sub-plot treatments :
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super, N applied as G.N.C. to Cotton at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 6 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant beight, boll n3., boll wt., seed wh., ginnning\%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1951. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nit. (b) N.A (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $584 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $84.25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $41.63 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and $P$ are highly significant. Effect of $N$ and interaction $N \times R$ are signiticant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb, /ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 513 | 805 | 659 | 570 | 748 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 472 | 740 | 606 | 558 | 655 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 408 | 569 | 488 | 459 | 518 |
| Mean | 464 | 705 | 584 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 412 | 646 | 529 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 517 | 763 | 640 |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. R marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =29.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =24.32 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =12.02 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac.} \\
& =20.81 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac.} \\
& =17.00 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =33.22 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac.} \\
& =27.13 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac.}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $P$ marginal means
3. N marginal means
4. $N$ means at a level of $R$
5. N means at a level of $P$
6. R means at a level of N
7. P means at a level of N

Ref:-Mh. 48(11).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil avalysis, Nanded. (iii) 2.7.1948. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 15 lb ./ac. (d) Rows $18^{\circ}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) One weeding and one hoeing. (ix) $49.14^{\circ}$. ( x ) 1st picking on 27.11.1948 and 2nd picking on 27.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied on 2.7.1948 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 26.6.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 , arranged in two tiers of 12 each. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $100^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $94^{\circ} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll wt., ginning\%, seed weight and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $202 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 43.1 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effects of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and P and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 127 | 142 | 143 | 124 | 134 | 160 | 108 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 183 | 241 | 259 | 247 | 233 | 249 | 246 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 202 | 257 | 248 | 257 | 241 | 250 | 232 |
| Mean | 171 | 213 | 216 | 209 | 202 | 220 | 18.5 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 227 | 222 | 252 | 234 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 200 | 210 | 167 | 192 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=8.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=6.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=7.62 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times S$ table | $=12.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table | $=10.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=15.20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ in $S \times N$ table | $=7.19 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :- Mh. 49(13).
Type :- 'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1949. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and one weeding. (ix) $44.88^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) 1st picking on 14.11.1949 and 2 nd picking on 14.12.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 sources of $N: S_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $S_{2}=A / S$.
(2) 4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

N applied on 28.6.1949 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 16.6.1949.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) 24 , arranged in two tiers of 12 each. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $100^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $94^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Continuous rains in July affected the crop badly especially in replications IIl and IV. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll weight, ginning \%, seed weight, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 1950. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) Analysis carried out for only 2 replications, the other two replications were damaged.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $146 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $40.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the effects of S and N and interaction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant.
(iv) Av, yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 60 | 85 | 163 | 226 | 136 | 116 | 156 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 70 | 139 | 189 | 203 | 149 | 127 | 171 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 79 | 108 | 204 | 227 | 154 | 148 | 160 |
| Mean | 70 | 110 | 184 | 222 | 146 | 130 | 163 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 93 | 159 | 196 | 149 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 128 | 208 | 247 | 194 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=11.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=8.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=10.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ table | $=16.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=14.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=20.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S in $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ table | $=9.47 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :- Mh. 50(21).
Type:- ' $M$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and one weeding. (ix) $29.37^{\circ}$. ( $x$ ) 1st picking on 21.11.1950, 2nd picking on 21.12.1950 and 3rd picking on 21.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=$ G.N.C. and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=A / S$.
(2) 4 levels of $N: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20, N_{2}=40$ and $N_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N applied on 9.7.1950 and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied on 24.6.1950.
B. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 arranged in two tiers of 12 each. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $100^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $94^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Shedding of buds and flowers due to heavy showers on 12.9.1950. Growth was satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll weight, ginning $\%$, seed weight, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1948 to 19:0. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $496 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $41.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of $N, P$ and: $S$ and interaction $N \times P$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas n lb.jac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 328 | 426 | 502 | 502 | 440 | 437 | 442 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 371 | 505 | 570 | 621 | 517 | 503 | $5: 31$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 366 | 496 | 594 | 666 | 530 | 512 | 549 |
| Mean | 355 | 476 | 555 | 596 | 496 | 484 | 507 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 463 | 527 | 593 | 528 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | - | 488 | 584 | 599 | 557 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=8.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=6.01 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ | $=7.36 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of $N \times S$ table | $=12.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| S.E. of body of $S \times P$ table | $=10.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times P$ table | $=6.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. marginal mean of $S$ in $N \times S$ table | $=6.9 \mathrm{lac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif)
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 50(22).
Type:- ${ }^{\prime} \mathbf{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer scil analysis, Nandec. (iii) 11. 7. 1950. (iv) (a) Ploughing once and bakharing 4 times. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) Two seeds per dibble and then thinned to one plant per hole. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding thrice and hoeing once. (ix) 29.37". (x) Pickings on 13,28.11.1950, 13, 28.12-1950 aud 13.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each flank and $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ji) N.A. (jii) Germination and final stand, plant height, ginning \%, boll and seed weight boll no. and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 878 & \text { lb. /ac. }\end{array}$
(ii) $91.27 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.
\(\left.\begin{array}{c|cc} \& P_{0} \& P_{1} <br>
\hline N_{0} \& 728 \& 767 <br>

N_{1} \& 982 \& 1034\end{array}\right]\)| 748 |
| :---: |
| Mean |

S.E. of any marginal mean $\quad=26.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$
S.E. of body of table $\quad=37.2 \mathrm{lb}$., ac.

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 51 (25)
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Object: - Tu study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIO VS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 1.7.1951. (iv) (a) Bakharing twice. (b) Dibbling (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{7}$. (e) Two seeds per dibble and then thinned to one plant per hole. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) $31.84^{\circ}$. (x) Pickings on 8, 23.11.1951, 8, 23.12.1951 and 7.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $15.75^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side and $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, gioning \%, boll and seed weight, boll no., detailed study of plant development and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $849 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $73.30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | 688 | 674 | 681 |
| $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | 1023 | 1009 | 1016 |
| Mean | 856 | 842 | 849 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =21.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =29.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 52(50).
Type:-‘M'.

Object :-To study the effect of N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) 10 C.L.lac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) Bakharing thrice. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ (e) Two seeds per dibble and then thinned to one plant per hole. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once and hoeing once. (ix) $28.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on $10,25.11 .1952,10,25.12 .1952$ and 9.1.1453.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: \quad N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $18.75^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $15.75^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either flank and $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. iii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, ginning \%, boll and seed weight, boll no., detailed study of plant development and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $401 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $45.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of N is bighly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 370 | 328 | 349 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 446 | 461 | 454 |
| Mean | 408 | 394 |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=13.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of table | $=18.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref:-Mh. 52(48).
Type: $\boldsymbol{\sim}^{〔} \mathrm{M}$ ’.

Object:-To study the direct effect of organic manures along with A/S on Colton and residual effect on Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) Three bakharings. (b) Drilling through mogha. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ (d) $18^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigeted. (viii) Hosing twice and weeding once. (ix) $28.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 5.11.1952, 2nd picking on 4.12 .1952 and 3rd picking on 5.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac,
(2) 3 levels of organic manure : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=4$ ton/ac. of F.Y.M. and $M_{2}=4$ ton/ac. of T.C.

Manures were broadcasted on 26.5.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows at each flank and 3 ft . at each extremity of the row were treated as non-experimental. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant height, node no., germination and final stand, boll no., boll weight, seed weight, ginning\%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $314 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $50.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and M are highly significant. Interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 226 | 329 | 267 | 274 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 266 | 414 | 383 | 354 |
| Mean | 246 | 372 | 325 | 314 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=14.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of M | $=17.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=25.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Object:-To study the direct effect of organic manures along iwith $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on Cotton and residual effect on Jowar.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Drilling through mogias. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing twice and weeding once. (ix) 45.13". (x) Ist pi-king on 10.11.1952, 2ad picking on 10.12.1953 and 3rd picking on 10.1.1 154 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 leve's of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of organic matars: $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=4$ ton/ac. of P.Y.M. and $M_{4}=4$ ton/a.. of T.C.

Manures broadcasted on 20.5.1953.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a)6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 9$. (v) 2 rows on either flank and 3 ft . at each end of eacn row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Below average. (ii) No. (iii) Plant height, node no., germination and final stand, boll no., boll wt., seed wt., ginning \%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) ard (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $139 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $19.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of N and M aloce are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.


Crop:- Cotton (Kharif ).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Ref :~Mh. 53(55).
Type :- ' $M$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of repeated manuring of soil with different kinds of N fertilizers.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Kharif Jowar-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar, Maize and Soyabean. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iil) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once and bakharings twice. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered seed drill. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.. (d) Rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing twice and weedings twice. (ix) 45.13". (x) Pickings on 30.11.1953, 30.12.1953 and 30.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 sources of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Ammonium cbloride.

Manures were drilled at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $135^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $132^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either flank, $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ft}$. at either end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Badly affected by heavy rains. Poor yields. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height boll and seed weight, boll no., fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $120 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only N effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | - | 104 | 148 | 126 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | - | 138 | 146 | 142 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | - | 143 | 147 | 145 |
| Mean | 86 | 128 | 147 |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=8.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of marginal mean of S | $=10.9 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=15.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-M. 50(116).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.
Type: „‘ ${ }^{\prime}$ '.
Object :-To find the optimum manurial dose and time of application of N for Cottoa.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 20, 21. 5. 1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO-4-B-40. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weedings on 18.6.1950 and 23.7.1950. (ix) $22.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.10.1950 and 20.11.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=G . N . C$. and $S_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.

Sub-plot treatments : 2 times of application of N :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ whole dose of N applied 22 days after sowing.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Half dose 22 days after sowing and half dose at flowering.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a), (b) $54.44^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ main-plot. $24^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$ sub-plot. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (b) Affected with aphids and leafspots. Damage can be estimated as 5 to $10 \%$. (iii) Kapas yield (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 772 lb ./ac.
(ii) (a) $179.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $177.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 824 | 816 | 709 | 821 | 760 | 754 | 760 | 602 | 909 | 773 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 666 | 921 | 658 | 755 | 834 | 763 | 884 | 834 | 623 | 771 |
| Mean | 745 | 868 | 683 | 788 | 797 | 759 | 822 | 718 | 766 | 772 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=89.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means $\quad=4.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatment $=125.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment mears at a level of sub-plot treatment $=126.3 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:Mh. 51(155).
Type:~' $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$.

Object :-To find out optimum manurial dose and time of application of N for Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 30.5.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $3^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) $170-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings 2 interculturings and gap filling. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.10 .1951 ; 18. 11.1951 and 25.12. 1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 sources of $N: S_{1}=A / S, S_{2}=G . N . C$ and $S_{3}=A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio.

Sub-plot treatments : Two times of application of N
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ Whole dose of N applied 22 days after sowing.
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ Half dose 22 days after sowing and half at flowering.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 9 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) Main-plot $40.33^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$, sub-plot $40.33^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) Sub-plot $9^{\prime} \times 30.25^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of Aphides and thripes. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1951. (b) No.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1325 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $321.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $181.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects and their interaction is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| 1302 | 1320 | 1469 | 1251 | 1288 | 1292 | 1244 | 1466 | 1496 | 1348 |  |
| Mean | 1406 | 1256 | 1440 | 1266 | 1042 | 1350 | 1182 | 1426 | 1364 | 1304 |
| 1354 | 1288 | 1454 | 1258 | 1165 | 1321 | 1213 | 1446 | 1430 | 1325 |  |

S E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatment means $\quad=144.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{az}$.
2. sub-plot treatment means
$=38.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. sub-plot treatment means at a level of main-plot treatrrent
$=114.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. main-plot treatment means at a level of sub-plot treatment
$=164.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani.

Ref :- Mh. 53(11).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of soaking seed in one molar solution of different fertilizers on yield of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 14.7 .1953 . (iv) (a) One ploughing and two harrowings. (b) Dibbling.' (c) 42 seeds per row of 21 feet. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) Drilled rows. (v) Nil. (vi) Parbhani American I. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $40.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 16.12.1953, 7.1.1954, 27.1.1954 and 10.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Seed soaked in one molar solution of the following fertilizers :

1. A/S.
2. Ammo. Phosphate Monobasic.
3. Mono. Potassium Phosphate,
4. Ammo. Phos. Diabasic.
5. Pure water.
6. Control (dry seed).

The following quantities of fertilizers were dissolved in water to make 100 c.c. of solution :
(1) A/S-132.00 gm. (2) Ammo. Phos. Mono-115.04 gm and (3), Mono. Phosphate 136.09 gm .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (v) One row at either end and one after each replication. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Fair. (ii) Boll worm attack. (iii) Final stand, yield of kapas, halo length and weight of 100 seeds. (iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vi) The treatment Ammonium Phosphate Diabasic has teen dropped from analyis as the yield was poor, The seeds did not germinate at all and the treated seeds were damaged by ants.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $221 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $66.8 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 161 |
| 2. | 273 |
| 3. | 201 |
| 4. | - |
| 5. | 270 |
| 6. | 193 |
| S.E./mean | $=33.410 . / \mathrm{as}$. |

```
Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Parbhani.
```


## Ref:- Mh. 53(12).

```
Type :- 'M'.
```

Object : -To study the effect of soaking seed in one molar solution of different fertilizers on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysls, Parbhani. (iii) 14.7.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and two harrowings. (b) Diboling. (c) 81 seeds per row of 21 feet. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) 一. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrggated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $40.32^{\circ}$. ( x ) Pickings on 16.12 .1953 , 7.1.1954, 27.1.1954 and 10.2.1954. gram.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Secd was soaked in one molar solution of the following fertilizers :

1. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
2. Ammo. Phosphate Monobasic.
3. Mono. Potasslum Phosphate.
4. Ammonium Phosphate Diabasic.
5. Pure water.
6. Control (dry seed).

The following quantities of fertilizers were dissolved in water to make 1000 c.c. of solution :
(1) $A / S-132.0 .1 \mathrm{gm}$. (2) Ammo. Phos. Monobasic-1:5.04 gm. and (3) Mono. Pot. Phosphate-1 36.09 gm .
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (v) One row at either end and one afier each replication. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (i) Boll worm attack (iii) Final stand, yield of kapas, halo length and weight of 100 seeds. iv) (a) 1953-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The treatment Ammonium Phosphate Diabasic has been dropped from analysis, as the yield was poor. Tae seeds did not germinate at all and treated seed was damaged by ants.

RESULTS :
(i) $174 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Treatment Av. yield

1. 174
2. 175
3. 193
4. $\quad \overline{15}$
5. $\quad 179$
S.E $/$ mean $=17.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 53(21).
Site :-Govt. Main Farm, Parbhani. Type:-‘' ${ }^{\prime}$.

Object :-To determine the effect of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ on yield of Cotton and its residual effect on the soil.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) Paddy fertilizer mixture at 200 lb ./ac. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 26.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Sowa by mogha behind a 2 coulter local seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) , Gaorani-12. (vii) Nil. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 33.03". (x) Pickings on 14.11.1953, 21.12.1953 and 20.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ No manure ( 3 plots per block).
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as Ammo. Chloride +10 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{4}=20 \mathrm{lb}$. ac . of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+10 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{5}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{6}=40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Ammo. Chloride $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
$\mathrm{T}_{7}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}+20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 5 . (iv)
(a) $127^{\prime} \times 10 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$.
(b) $121^{\prime} \times 71^{\prime}$
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Due to heavy rains in the first fortnight of October 1953 there had been heavy shedding of boils which greatly affected the yield. (ii) N.A. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) Heavy rains in the first fortnight of October 1953 . (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $72.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $19.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 63.21 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 69.60 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 74.40 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 63.00 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 85.20 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 97.80 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | 76.20 |
| S.E./mean $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$ | $=4.95 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. $/$ mean $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{8} \ldots \mathrm{~T}_{7}\right)$ | $=8.58 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 48 (73).
Site :r Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- 'M'.
Object :-To find out the residual effect of T.C. on the yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 21.6.1948. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 1 weeding and 1 thinning. (ix) $38.88^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) Pickings on $16,17.11 .1948,10.12$.1948 and 7.1.1943.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 10 C.L./ac. of T.C.
5. 20 C.L./ac. of T.C.
6. 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
7. 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
8. 330 lb ./ac. of G.N.C.

Manures applied in 1947-1948.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1949. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $193.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $36.46 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Ay. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 184.5 |
| 2. | 211.3 |
| 3. | 213.7 |
| 4. | 177.5 |
| S. | 168.7 |
| 6. | 205.0 |
| S.E./mean. | $=14.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 51 (109).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type:- ' M '.
Object :-To compare the effect of cotton seed cake with other manures on Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.6.1951. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Sowing by argada. (c) $18-20$. lb./ac. (d) 12 lines/plot. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420. (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $29.75^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) Picking on 19.11.1951, 4.12.1951, and 7.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake decorticated.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake undecorticated.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. Control (no manures).

A/S applied on 25.7.51, other manures on 27.6.51.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination was poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination count and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Tur was sown in the margins between plots but yield was not recorded. (vii) Nil,
5. RESULTS :
(i) $519.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $82.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 584.0 |
| 2. | 452.0 |
| 3. | 468.0 |
| 4. | 638.0 |
| 5. | 456.0 |
| S.E./mean. | $-36.87 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref.:- Mh. 52 (128).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type : ' M '.
Object :-To compare the effect of cotton seed cake with other manures on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 25.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Sowing by argada. (c) 20. lb./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $17.95^{\prime \prime}$ (x) Pickings on 4, 17.11.1952, and 23.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as cotton seed cake decorticated.
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as cotton seed cake undecorticated.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. No manure (control).

Manures applied on 17.7 .1952 .
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 5 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{1^{\prime}}$ (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Soil was cracked for want of moisture and flower buds were seen shedding. (ii) Attack of aphids which subsided due to lady bird beetles. (iii) Germination counts and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 731 . $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $61.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment. | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 755 |
| 2. | 753 |
| 3. | 710 |
| 4. | 715 |
| S. | 722 |
| S.E./mean. | $=27.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(166).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. T'ype :- ' $M$ '.
Object:-To find out the effect of different doses of $N$ applied in different forms on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings.
(b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii)

6 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 hand interculturing. (ix) $38.55^{\prime \prime}$ ( $x$ ) Pickings on 2 and 22.12.1953 and 22.1. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control/two plots/block).
2. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
4. 60 lb ./ac. of $N$ as $A / S$.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
7. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$.
8. $20 . \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1: 3 ratio.

Manures applied at sowing.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $39^{\prime} \times 39^{\prime}$ (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$ 。(v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of Aphids; heavy rains removed them. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1753-NA. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $538 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $57.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 464 |
| 2. | 551 |
| 3. | 695 |
| 4. | 760 |
| 5. | 488 |
| 6. | 419 |
| 7. | 582 |
| 8. | 423 |
| S.E./mean. $(1)$ | $=25.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E./mean. $(2,3, \ldots 8)$ | $=18.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref:-Mh. 51(183).
Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To compare the manurial value of cotton seed cake with other manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS .
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 29.6.1951. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) H-420. (medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39.57^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st week of Nov. 1951 to 2nd week of Jan. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N top dressed as G.N.C.
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N top dressed as decortricated cotton seed cake.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of N top dressed as undecorticated cotton seed cake.
6. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N top dressed as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) As raw data was not available and so average yield was given from annual report.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $313 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(iv) Av. yield of kapar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 304 |
| 2. | 296 |
| 3. | 324 |
| 4. | 328 |

```
Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(272).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.
Type :- 'M',
```

Object :-To study the effect of Sodium nitrate on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium loam. (b) Refar soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 22.6.1953. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings. (b) Argada sowing. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) H-420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings. (ix) $37.63^{\prime \prime}$ ( x ) Pickings on 23.10.53, 3.11.53, 14.11.53 and 1.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( 2 plots/replication).
4. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
6. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
7. 20 lb ./ac. of N as Sodium nitrate.
8. 40 lb ./ac. of N as Sodium nitrate.
9. $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as Sodium nitrate.
10. 2 mds of G.N.C. before sowing and .67 md , of A/S at hoeing.
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) One line on each side and 4 plants on other two sides. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil: (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) '(a) 1953-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS :
(i) $239 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $69.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Control ws others are significant while other manurial treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment. | Av. yieid |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 181 |
| 2. | 268 |
| 3. | 270 |
| 4. | 305 |
| 5. | 210 |
| 6. | 209 |
| 7. | 261 |
| 8. | 267 |

S.E. for treatment mean (other than control). $=28.50 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
S.E. for control mean. $=20.15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Cotton.
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref :-Mh. 52(179).
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To compare the effect of $A / S$ with A.S.N. on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeötmal. (iii) 4.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) Hand drilling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) H-420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $40.28^{\circ}$. (x) 1.11.1952 to 1st week of Janaary'1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Ammo. Sulphate Nitrate at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 2 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $52^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Attack of pink boll worm ; no remedy was taken. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1146 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $162.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1182 |
| 2. | 1109 |
| S.E./mean | $=81.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: : Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 51(94).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :-'MV'.
Object:-To study the effect of sowing Deshi and American cottons successively in rotation with and without manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 30.6.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Deshi $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and American $12-14 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ Deshi and $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ American. (e) N.A. (v) Nil, (vi) H-420 Deshi (medium) and American-0394 (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $24.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 15.11.1951, 10.12.1951 and 20.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 rotations: $R_{1}=$ H. 420 after H. $420, R_{2}=H .420$ after $0394 . \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{g}}=0394$ after 0394 and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=0394$ after H. 420.
(2) 2 levels of N : $\mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as A/S drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1: 40$ ac. (v) One row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and 'b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1025 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $125.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $R_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 888 | 1053 | 943 | 970 | 963 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 1040 | 1198 | 1056 | 1093 | 1086 |
| Mean | 944 | 1125 | 999 | 1031 | 1025 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=31.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ $=44.27 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=62.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 52(118)/51(94).'
Type:- 'MV'.

Object :-To study the effect of sowing Deshi and American Cotton successively in rotation with and without manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 26.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Deshi 18-20 lb./ac. Americin 12-14 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ Deshi and $24^{n} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ American. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Deshi-H. 420 (mediun) and Ameri-can-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 22.03". (x) Pickinge on 28.10.1952, 27.11.1952, 16.12.1952 and 6.2.1953 (for both varieties).
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 rotations : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} .420$ after $\mathrm{H} .420, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H} .420$ after $0394, \mathrm{R}_{3}=0394$ after 0394 and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=0394$ after H. 420 .
(2) 2 leveis of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 8. (b)
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) 1 row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil.
(iii) kapas yield.
(iv) (a)
1951-continued. (b) Yes (c)
(v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $789 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $104.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $R$ and interaction $N \times R$ are significant, while the main effect of $N$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1091 | 523 | 445 | 1026 | 771 <br> $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| 1098 | 539 | 535 | 1053 | 806 |  |
| Mean | 1095 | 531 | 490 | 1039 | 789 |


| S.E. of marginal mean of N | $=26.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of R | $=37.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=52.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site : - Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 53(176)/52(118)/51(94).
Type:- 'MV'.

Object:-To study the effect of sowing Deshi and American Cotton successively in rotation with and without manures.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Deshi $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and American 12-14 1b./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ Deshi and $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ American. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Deshi - H. 420 (medium) and American-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 6 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) Pickings on 21.11.1953, 17.12:1953 and 22.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) aud (2)
(1) 4 rotations : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{H} .420$ after $\mathrm{H} .420, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{H} .42 \mathrm{O}$ after $0394, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{8}}=0394$ after 0394 and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}=0394$ after H. 420 .
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as A/S drilled with seed.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$ (v) 1 row on cither side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951 -continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $412.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $143.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{N}$ and their interaction are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 318.9 | 633.3 | 250.7 | 161.3 | 341.1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 428.2 | 742.5 | 521.9 | 242.0 | 483.6 |
| Mean | 373.5 | 687.9 | 386.3 | 201.6 | 412.4 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $\mathrm{N} \quad=35.76 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of marginal mean of $R \quad=50.58 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=71.52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). | Ref:-Mh. 48(56). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur. | Type : '"MV'. |

Object :--To study the response of improved varieties of Cotton to the application of G.N.C.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black, clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) Last week of June, 1948. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Drilled in furrow by hand. (c) 15 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $34.84^{*}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments:
4 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani 12F., $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ P-11-4335, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Gaorani $4 \mathrm{M}-11-6$ and $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ Gaorani-60.
N as G.N.C. broadcasted just tefore sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block, 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 13 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$. $55^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3.5^{\prime}$ at either end, 1 row on either side and $1.5^{\prime}$ for path at one end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1948. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $235 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $108.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $72.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main treatments and sub treatments effects are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | 218 | 248 | 314 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 190 | 171 | 217 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | 214 | 271 | 381 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | 142 | 227 | 233 |
| Mean | 191 | 229 | 286 |
| 201 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 289 |  |

S.E. of difference of two

1. main-plot treatments
$=38.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. sub-plot treatments

$$
=29.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

3. sub-plot means at the same level of main-plot $=51.35 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. main-plot means at the same level of sub-plot $=58.73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref:-Mh. 49(44).
Type:-'MV'.
Object:-To study the response of improved varieties of Cotton to he application of G.N.C.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black, clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur, (iii) Last week of June, 1949. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Drilled by hand. (b) $16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $49.75^{\prime \prime}$.
(x) 6.11. 1949 to 3.1.1950.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
Sub-plot treatments :
4 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani-12F, $\quad \mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Goarani $4 \mathrm{M}-11-6, \mathrm{~V}_{3}=$ Goarani 160 and $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ Pl1-4335.
N applied as A/S.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block, 4 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 13.5^{\prime}$.
(b) $55^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ at either end, 1 row at either end and $1.5^{\prime}$ path at one side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) N.A. (iii) Ginning \%, halo length, height and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1947 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $334 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $96.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (b) $174.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 305 | 251 | 413 | 323 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 303 | 333 | 509 | 382 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 247 | 349 | 329 | 308 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 269 | 344 | 353 | 322 |
| Mean | 281 | 319 | 401 | 334 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. main-plot treatment means | $=34.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. sub-plot treatment means | $=7.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. sub-plot means at the same level of main-plot treatment $=1.3 .1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| 4. main-plot means at the same level of sub-plot treatment $=11.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:- Coiton (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 52(201).
Site : - Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type:- 'MV'.
Object :-To study the effect of application of N on different varieties of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 5 and 7.7.1952. (iv) (a) One deep and two shallow ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) 15 lb ./ac. (d) N.A. e N.A. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedıngs and 5 interculturings. (ix) 29.32". (x) November 1952 to January 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{H}-420$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Buri-0394.
(2) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=15$ and $N_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$.
(3) 2 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ At sowing and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=6$ weeks after sowing.
(4) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Drilling and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Broadcasting.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2^{4}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 15 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1951-$ N.A. (b) No. (c. N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1194 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $293.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $V$ is highly significant while that of $N$ is significant. Al other effects and interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

## Differential response

|  | Mean response |  | $+$ | - | $+$ | - |  | - | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | -345 |  | - | -402 | $-288$ | -272 | -418 | -348 | $-342$ |
| N | 150 | 93 | 207 | - | - | 74 | 226 | 160 | 140 |
| M | -. 67 | 6 | $-140$ | -14 | 9 | - | - | -54 | -80 |
| T | -94 | -97 | -91 | -8 | -104 | -81 | -107 | - | - |


| S.E. of mean response | $=73.4 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of differential response | $=103.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :m Cotton (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 53(283).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. Type :- 'MV'.
Object ; - To study the effect of application of N on different varieties of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur (iii) 30.6 .1953 and 1.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 3 interculturings. (ix) $39.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 3, 19.11.1953 and 6.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{H}-420$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Buri-0396.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 times of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=$ At sowing and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=6$ weeks after sowing.
(4) 2 methods of application of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Drilling and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Broadcasting.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2^{4}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 60$ th ac.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1951-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Design for the expt. was $2^{4}$ confounded but since the confounded effects are not available, it is analysed as $2^{4}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $931 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $178.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $V$ is highly significant. Main effect of $N$ and interactions $N \times T$ and $M \times T$ are also significant. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Differential response

S.E. of mean response
S.E. of differential response
$=44.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=63.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :- Mh. 52(136).
Type :- 'MV'.

Object:-To study the effect of N on different varieties of irrigated Coiton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Maize-Cotton-Sugarcane. (b) Maize. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 4.6.1952. (iv) (a) Bakharings and ploughing. (b) Hand dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) Anerican $24^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ and deshi $24^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 5 intercultures. (ix) 29.32". (x) 25.10.1952 to 1.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 varieties: $V_{1}=$ Buri-0394, $V_{2}=$ Buri-0396, $V_{3}=\mathrm{No}-91$ and $V_{4}=H .420$.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
N applied as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, 6$ weeks after sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block and 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (o) 1/262th ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Below normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 -V.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) anj (b) N.A . (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $132 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $10.74 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $15.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $V$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb, /ac.

|  | $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 110 | 105 | 150 | 148 | 128 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ | 112 | 113 | 165 | 152 | 135 |
| Mean | 111 | 109 | 157 | 150 | 132 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means $\quad=5.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. N marginal means $\quad=5.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. N means at the same level of $\mathrm{V}=10.86 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $V$ means at the same level of $N=9.4 \mathrm{ll} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 53(173). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To study the effect oi diferent spacings and number of plants per hole on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N, half as $A / S$ and half as F.Y.M. top dressed. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 9.7.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) As per treatments. (v) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N, half as F.Y.M. and half as $A / S$ in two doses one at sowing and 2nd after one month. (vi) American 0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 7 hoeings, 4 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) 26.38*. (x) Pickings on 9.7.1953, 8.12.1953, 23.2.1954 and 21.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control.
(1) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=30^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=30^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 plants/hole : $P_{1}=1, P_{2}=2$ and $P_{3}=3$.
and one control i.e., spacing $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ with seed rate $12-14 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $44.5^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ (v) 1 row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $225.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $56.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $P$ and interaction $S \times P$ are significant, while the main effect of $S$ is not significant.
iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

$$
\text { Control }=409.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 202.6 | 63.2 | 378.8 | 214.9 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 126.9 | 182.0 | 226.9 | 178.6 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 183.7 | 246.8 | 233.2 | 221.0 |
| Mean | 171.1 | 164.0 | 279.6 |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ |  |  | $=16.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ |  |  | $=16.13 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=27.94 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). | Ref $:-\mathrm{Mh}^{\prime} 52(41)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur. | Type $: \boldsymbol{\sim}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Medium deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 17.7.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing and three harrowinga. (b) Sowing by seed drill. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing by planet junior hand hoe thrice and weeding once. (ix) $18.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Three spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $\mathrm{S}_{1}: 127^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}: 127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}: 127^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ in all cases. (v) One border row on each side of the plot and $3^{\prime}$ on each side of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory due to scanty rainfall. (ii) Heavy attack of boll worms. (iii) Plant height and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nanded. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $180 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $41.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S_{1}$ | 197 |
| $S_{2}$ | 169 |
| $S_{3}$ | 173 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.0 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref:mh. 53(15).
Type:-‘C'.

Object :-To study the effect of different spacings on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Cotton. (b) Wheat. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) $16,26.6 .1933$. (iv) (a) One ploughing, two harrowing, and one cleaning, b) Seeds sown through Moghas. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding, three hoeings by planet junior and hand hoe. (ix) $1110^{\circ}$. (x) 13, 28.11.1953, 15.12.1953 and 15.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

Three spacings tetween rows : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $\mathrm{S}_{1}: 127^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}: 127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}: 127^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $121 \times i 2^{\prime}$ in all cases. (v) One border row on each side of the plot and $3^{\prime}$ on each side of the row.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Shedding of bolls was much due to excessive rains in September and October 1953. (iii) Nil. (iii) Plant heights at maturity and kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1952-1954$. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nanded. (b) NA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 300 ib ./ac.
(ii) $40.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 235 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 192 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 173 |
| S.E./mean | $=16.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(18).

Type:-'C'.

Object :-To study the effect of early sowing on the yield and quality of Gaorani-12 Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Deep black claycy. b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing, cleaning and ridge formation. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 20 C.L. of F.Y.M. applied in the beginning of May to cotton c:op and 30 lb . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ in the form of super to the crop of groundnut before sowing. (vi) Gaorami-12. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and hoeing by hand hoe. (ix) $41.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 4, 19.11. 1953, 4. 12. 1953 and 4. 1. 1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Three dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=20.5 .1953, \mathrm{D}_{2}=5.6 .1953$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Normal sowing on 20.6.1953.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R B D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row at each flank and one foot at each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant height at flowering and kapas yiold. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1955. (b) No. (c, N.A. (v) (a) Nand:d. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $550 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $108.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ sigaificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 544 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ |  |
| S.E./mean |  |
|  |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(47).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.
Type : ‘'C'.
Object:-To study the effect of early sowing on yield and quality of Gaorani-6 Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A.
(d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 . (v) $20 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L}$. of F.Y.M./ac. in the beginning of May. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Irrigated.
(viii) Weedings. (ix) $28.81^{\circ}$. (x) Picking on 8, 23.10.1952. 7, 22.11.1952 and 22.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Three dates of sowing : $\mathrm{D}_{1}=20.5 .1952, \mathrm{D}_{2}=5.6 .1952$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}=$ Normal sowing on 25.6.1952.
3. DESIG V :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row at each flank: and $1^{\prime}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boil and seed weight, ginning \%, boll no. and fibre properties. Plant development observations and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $792 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $578.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv): Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatments | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 1275 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 779 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 322 |
| S.E./mean | $=289.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref:-Mh. 53(27).
Type: ' C '.

Object :-To study the effect of early sowing on yield and quality of Gaorani-6 Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) As per treatments. (iv) (a) Ploughing once and bakharing once. (b) Dibbling. (c) N.A. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 2 seeds/hole. (v) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Hoeing once and 4 weedings. (ix) $45.13^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st picking on 30.9.1953, 2nd picking on 15.10.1953, 3rd picking on 30.10 .1953 , 4th picking on 30.11.1953 and 5th picking on 30.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

Three dates of sowing : $D_{1}=20.5 .1953, D_{2}=5.6 .1953$ and $D_{3}=$ Normal sowing on 22.6.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $28^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on cither flank and $1^{\prime}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, plant height, boll and seed weight, ginoing \% boll no. and fibre properties, plant development observations and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Latur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $528 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $170 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 697 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | 512 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 376 |
| S.E./mean | $=85.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 52(215).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Type:- 'C'.
Object :-To study the effect of different methods of preparatory tillage on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Nil. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 18.6.1952. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) Drilling. (c) $12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing tetween rows $24^{\prime \prime}$, between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jarilla 197-3. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings and 5 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) 25.11.1952, 11.12.1952 and 9.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

4 cultural operations : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Harrowing only, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughing every year, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Ploughing every alternate year and $\mathrm{C}_{4}=$ Plcughing every third year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7.
(b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $124^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$.
(v) $4^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Due to draught period which was followed just after flowering, boll formation was delayed and hence the yield was poor. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1949-$ N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nal. (vi) Nil. (vii) This is the first year of collection of data though it was started in 1949-50 because the cycle of $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ treatment is completed in 1952-1953.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $447.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $233.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 350.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 469.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 453.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 517.4 |
| S.E/mean | $=95.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(263).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ '.
Object :-To study the effect of different methods of preparatory tillage on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Light soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 5.6.1953. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Virnar 197-3. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 5 interculturings. (ix) $22.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12 to 17.11 .1953 and 8 and 9.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 cultural operations : $\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Harrowing only, $\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Ploughing every year, $\mathrm{C}_{3}=$ Ploughing every alternase year and $C_{4}=$ Ploughing every third year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $132^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $124^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ along length and 1 row along breadth. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1956. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $115.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $51.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 100.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 102.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3}^{\prime}$ | 120.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | 139.2 |
| S.E./mean | $=21.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(46). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. | Type: 'C:V'. |

Object:-To study the effect of spacing ion yield and quality of Gaorani-6 and Gaorani. 6 -E. 3 varieties of Cotton.

## i. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) B.M. at the rate of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ area and F.Y.M. at the rate of 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 28.6.1952. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Drilling the seed through moghas. (c) 16 lb ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) Hoeing twice and weeding once. (ix) $28.83^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11.1952, 10.12.1952 and 10.1:1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani-6 and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Gaorani-6 E.3.
(2) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacings: $127^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing: $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing: $127^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at either extremity of every row was treated as non-experimental. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Germination and final stand, boll no., boll wit., plant height, ginning \%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iy) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) At Latur, only with one variety. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $214 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $26.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only interaction $S \times V$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 231 | 214 | 220 | 222 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 177 | 215 | 227 | 206 |
| Mean | 204 | 214 | 224 | 214 |


| S.E. of $S$ marginal mean | $=8.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of $V$ marginal mean | $=6.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=11.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Crop :-Cotton (Kharif). } & \text { Ref.- Mh. 53(25). } \\ \text { Site : } \boldsymbol{\sim} \text { Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. } & \text { Type :- 'CV'. }\end{array}$
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. Type :- ' CV'.
Object :-To study the effect of spacing on yield and quality of Gaorani-6 and Gaorani-6-E-3 varieties of Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Rabi Jowar-Cotton. (b) Rabl Jowar. (c) F.Y.M. at the rate of 20 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 26.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Drilling the seed through moghas. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing twize and weeding twice. (ix) $45.13^{* *}$ (x) 12.11.1953, 12.12.1953 and 12.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani-6 and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Gaorani-6 E.3.
(2) 3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$ between rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) $12^{\prime}$ spacings: $127^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}, 18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings : $127^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$ and $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacings: $127^{\circ} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $121^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 1 row on either side and 3 ft . at each extremity of every row was treated as non-experimental. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Plant height, boll no., boll weight, final stand, ginning \%, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) At Latur, only with one variety. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $174 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $18.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | 157 | 191 | 164 | 171 |
| $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | 179 | 179 | 174 | 177 |
| Mean | 168 | 185 | 169 | 174 |

S.E of $S$ marginal mean $\quad=5.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of $V$ marginal mean $\quad=4.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=8.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:- Cotton. (Kharif).
Ref : : Mh. 52(227).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To compare the effect of G.M., and F.Y.M. etc. along with different spacings on Cotton yield.
I. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 23,24.7. 1952. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $14 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{lac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil (vi) H-420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 7 hoeings, 2 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $12.09^{\prime \prime}$ (x) Pickings from 10 to 26.11.1952 and from 8 to 31.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure-spacing $18^{\prime \prime}$.
2. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. as basal dressing-18" spacing.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N drilled at sowing. $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
4. A/S at 20 lb ./ac. of N top dressed between $40-45$ days of sowing- $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
5. Sann hemp without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-spacing $9^{\prime \prime}$
6. Sann hemp with 1 cwt . $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled at sowing-spacing $9^{\prime \prime}$.
7. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-$ spacing $9^{\prime \prime}$.
8. Udid with $1 \mathrm{cwt}. \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled at sowing-spacing $9^{\text {* }}$.
9. No manure -spacing $24^{*}$.
10. As in (2) -spacing $24^{\prime \prime}$.
11. As in (3) -spacing $24^{*}$.
12. As in (4) -spacing $24^{\prime \prime}$.
13. As in (5) -spacing $12^{\circ}$.
14. As in (6) -spacing $12^{\prime \prime}$.
15. As in (7) -spacing $12^{\prime \prime}$.
16. As in (8) -spacing $12^{\prime \prime}$.

Green manuring on 22.8.1952 and others top dressed on 23.8.1952.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 100$ th acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola.
(b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (viii) G.M. crops sown in between two lines of cotton at the time of cotton sowing.

## Average G.M. applied,

| Treatment No. | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount in ton/ac. | 2.75 | 1.68 | 1.46 | 1.29 | 1.89 | 1.77 | 1.33 | $\mathbf{i}, 22$ |

5. RESULTS:
(i) $746 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $131.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield. | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 930 | 9. | 797 |
| 2. | 786 | 10. | 925 |
| 3. | 986 | 11. | 1017 |
| 4. | 753 | 12. | 895 |
| 5. | 594 | 13. | 529 |
| 6. | 635 | 14. | 606 |
| 7. | 616 | 15. | 625 |
| 8. | 586 | 16. | 657 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |

## Crop:- Cotton (Kharif). <br> Ref :-Mh. 53(291). <br> Site :- Govt. Seed \& Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :-‘CM'.

Object :- To study the residual effect of G.M. applied to previous cotton crop along with different spacings on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.6.53. (iv) (a) 2 heavy \& 3 light bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) \& (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (vii) Un-irrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 2 weedings and thinning. (ix) $34.91^{\circ}$. ( x ) Pickings on 31.10.1953, 11 to 27.11.1953, 19.12.1953 and 12.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure-spacing $18^{*}$.
4. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. as basal dressing $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
5. $A / S$ at 20 lb ./ac. of $N$ drilled at sowing $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
6. A/S at 20 lb ./ac. of $N$ top dressed between $40-45$ days of sowing $-18^{4}$ spacing.
7. Sunhemp without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-$ Spacing $9^{\circ}$.
8. Sunhemp with 1 cwt . $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled at sowing--spacing $9^{\circ}$.
9. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-spacing $9^{\prime \prime}$.
10. Udid with $1 \mathrm{cwt} . \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled at sowing - spacing $9^{\prime \prime}$.
11. No manure-spacing $24^{\circ}$.
12. As in (2)-spacing $24^{\prime \prime}$.
13. As in (3)-spacing $24^{*}$.
14. As in (4)-spacing $24^{\prime \prime}$.
15. As in (5)-spacing $12^{\circ}$.
16. As in (6)-spacing $12^{\circ}$.
17. As in (7)-spacing $12^{\prime \prime}$.
18. As in (8)-spacing $12^{\prime \prime}$.

Top dressing on 23.8.1952 and G.M. on 22.8.1952. Treatments were applied to the provious cotton crop and residual effects studied this year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D
(ii) (a) 16
(b) N.A
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $1 / 100$ th acre.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 (residual effect from 1953-54)-N.A. (b) Yes.
(c) N.A. (v) (a, b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1019 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $118.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac. (Kapas).

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 786 | 9. | 989 |
| 2. | 1034 | 10. | 1000 |
| 3. | 927 | 11. | 1184 |
| 4. | 1075 | 12. | 1097 |
| 5. | 898 | 13. | 1053 |
| 6. | 1029 | 14. | 1022 |
| 7. | 937 | 15. | 1261 |
| 8. | 917 | 16. | 1095 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=59.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 53(234).
Site : $\sim$ Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Achalpur. Type :„‘CM'.
Object :- To compare the effect of G.M., F.Y.M. etc., along with different spacings, on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 3 light bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) \& (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 . (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 7 hoeings, 1 thinning and 3 weedings. (ix) $34.91^{* \prime}(x) 5$ to 13.11.1953, 12.12.1953 and 18.1. 1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control-18 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
2. F.Y.M. 10 C.L./ac. \& $18^{\circ}$ spacing line to line.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S} 20 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{N} \& 18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
4. A/S $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N} \& 18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
5. G.M. with sann without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{6}$ spacing line to line.
6. G.M. with sann with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. $-18^{\prime \prime}$. spacing line to line.
7. G.M. with sann without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
8. G.M. with sann with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 30 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac},-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
9. G.M. with Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing I ne to line
10. G.M. with Udid $18^{\prime}$ line to line spacing with 30 lb ./ac. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
11. G.M. with Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
12. G.M. with Udid $-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line apacing with 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
13. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A. (
(iii) 4, (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A.
(vi) Ycs.
14. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1957 (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a). N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil,
15. RESULTS :
(i) $820 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $118.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Ar. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 734 | 7. | 845 |
| 2. | 817 | 8. | 816 |
| 3. | 933 | 9. | 763 |
| 4. | 1075 | 10. | 816 |
| 5. | 752 | 11. | 767 |
| 6. | 792 | 12. | 733 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=59.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref :-Mh. 48(42).

Type :-‘CM'.

Object :-To find out the effect of spacing on American Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS .
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 3.7.1948.
(iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) $12-14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri-107 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoẹings and 3 weedings (ix) 31.52". (x) Picking on 20.11.1948, 4.2.1949, 1.4.1949 and 16.4.1949.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 spacings between plants : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.

Manure applied at 20 lb . of N as cattle dung +20 lb . of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$. on 21.6.1948.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii)
(a) 6. (b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yea.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $229.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $41.60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of S and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{N}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 210.0 | 203.0 | 187.0 | 200.0 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{1}$ | 277.0 | 268.0 | 232.0 | 259.0 |
| Mean | 243.5 | 235.5 | 209.5 | 229.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of marginal mean of N <br> S.E. of marginal mean of S | $=9.81 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:-Mh. 49(69).
Type :-‘CM'.

Object :-To find out the effect of spacing on American Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) 1 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.; $240 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. of G.N.C. powder. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 28.6.1949. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) $12-14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri-107 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 boeings and 2 needings. (ix) $42.93^{\circ}$. (x) Picking on 21.11.1949, 13.12.1949, 20.1.1950 and 27.3.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 spacings between plants : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime}$.

Manure were applied at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as cowdung $+20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \mathrm{N}$ as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$. on 22.6.1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 t^{\prime}$. $v$ N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $375.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $50.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of $S$ and interaction $S \times N$ are significant. Neffect is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

S.E. of marginal mean of $N$
S.E. of marginal mean of $S$
S.E. of body of table
$=11.93 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=14.60 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. $=20.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).<br>Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(270).

Type :-‘CM'.

Object : - To study the effect of different spacings and no. of plants per hole on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soii. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 8.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) 40 lb ./ac of N, half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings, 2 thinnings and 3 weedings. (ix) $26.28^{\circ}$. (x) 25.11.1953, 19.12.1953 and 11.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ (control) 40 lb ./ac. of N, half as F.Y.M. and remaining half as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{s}$ in 2 doses, one at soming and other after one month of sowing.
4. $18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ one plant at choufali and with manuring as in treatment No. I but F.Y.M. to be given at choufali.
5. $18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}-2$ plants at choufali and rest as treatment No. 2 .
6. $18^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}-3$ plants at choufali and rest as treatment No. 2.
7. $24^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}-1$ plant at choufali and rest as treatment No. 2.
8. $24^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}-2$ plants at choufali and rest as treatment No. 2.
9. $2^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}-3$ plants at choufali and rest as treatment No. 2.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $60.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) One line on either aide.
(vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Excellent. Treatment having one plant at choufali has good growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $684.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $91.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ili) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 712.4 |
| 2. | 650.5 |
| 3. | 731.1 |
| 4. | 821.8 |
| 5. | 549.3 |
| 6. | 658.0 |
| 7. | 664.9 |
| S.E./mean | $=45.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop .-Cotton (Kharif). | Ref:-Mh. 52(120) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'cM'. |

Object :- To study the effect of different manures and spacings on Cotton.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) 4 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. $+50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii)
(a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (viii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix)
22.03". (x) N.A.

## TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 8 manurial doses: $M_{0}=$ No manure, $M_{1}=10$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. as basal dressing, $M_{2}=20$ lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled at sowing, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed between $40-45$ days, $M_{4}=$ Sannhemp alone, $M_{5}=$ Sannhemp with 1 cwt ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with seed, $\mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid alone and $\mathrm{M}_{7}=$ Udid with 1 cwt./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with seed.

DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 8$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 16 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv)
v) (a) N.A. (b)
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1953. (modified with 12 treatments). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Quantities of G.M. buried not available.

## RESULTS :

(i) $401.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $71.86 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of M and interaction $\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{S}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $M_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 517.5 | 541.0 | 545.3 | 515.5 | 224.3 | 215.8 | 375.2 | 358.0 | 411.6 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 478.2 | 495.2 | 478.2 | 444.0 | 282.7 | 281.5 | 369.0 | 308.0 | 392.1 |
| Mean | 497.9 | 518.1 | 511.8 | 479.8 | 253.5 | 248.6 | 372.1 | 333.0 | 401.8 |
|  |  | S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ S.E. of marginal mean of M <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =12.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =25.41 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =35.93 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Crop :- Cotton (Khnrif).
Site :~ Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 53(267).
Type: ' 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of G.M., F.Y.M. and A/S along with different spacings on Cotton yield.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) No definite crop rotation. (b) Jowar. (c) 10 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dresssed. (ii) (a) Deep black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 7.7.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 cotton (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings on 30.7.1953, 29.8.1953 and 17.9.1953, 2 weedings on 21.8 .1953 and 17.9.1953. (ix) $26.28^{\circ}$. (x) $1,21.12 .1953$ and 29.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. No manure- $18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
2. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. $-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
3. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
4. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 40 lb . $/ \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
s. Sann without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
5. Sann with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ at $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. $-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
6. Sann without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
7. Sann with $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{7}$ line to line.
8. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{*}$ line to line.
9. Udid with 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{*}$ line to line.
10. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
11. Udid with $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\circ}$ line to line.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12
(b) N.A. (iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953 (modified with 12 treatments). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $543.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $232.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 372.0 | 7. | 503.3 |
| 2. | 654.8 | 8. | 570.0 |
| 3. | 560.8 | 9. | 642.0 |
| 4. | 682.8 | 10. | 448.5 |
| 5. | 387.3 | 11. | 628.0 |
| 6. | 621.5 | 12. | 453.0 |

S.E./mean $=116.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
'Ref :-'Mh. 52(131).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :~' CM '.
Object :-To compare the effect of G.M., F.Y.M. etc., along with different spacings, on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a, Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 25.7.1952.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) $14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $21.81^{\circ}$. ( $x$ ) Picking on 9.10.1952, 22.12.1952 and 7.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 8 manurial doses : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure, $\mathrm{M}_{1}=10$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M., $\mathrm{M}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled at sowing, $M_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed $40-45$ days, after sowing $M_{4}=$ Sannhemp without Super, $M_{5}=$ Sannhemp with 1 cwt ./ac. of Super drilled at sowing, $\mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid without Super and $\mathrm{M}_{7}=$ Udid with 1 cwt. $/ \mathrm{cc}$. of Super drilled at sowing.
Manures top-dressed on 8.9.1952. G.M. were given on 26.8.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $8 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ tetween plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth of crop was quite stunted for want of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination counts, height and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1955-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Washim. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $475 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $86.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S$ is not significant. Main effect of $M$ and their interaction $S \times M$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ | $M_{5}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 471 | 592 | 421 | 488 | 420 | 460 | 451 | 576 | 485 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 543 | 474 | 428 | 520 | 399 | 392 | 466 | 499 | 465 |
| Mean | 507 | 533 | 425 | 504 | 410 | 426 | 459 | 538 | 475 |
|  | S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ <br> S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =30.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =15.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =43.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). <br> Ref:- Mh. 53(188) <br> Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To compare the effect of G.M. and F.Y.M. etc. along with different spacings on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(a) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (iii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Beldana. (iii) 8.7.1953. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $1+\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H 420 (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 3 hoznigs. (ix) $36.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 18.1.1953, 1.12.1955, 21.12.1953 and 16.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
5. 20 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
6. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
7. Sannhemp without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{\circ}$ line to line
8. Sannhemp with 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
9. Sannhemp without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
10. Sannhemp with 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
11. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
12. Udid with $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-18^{7}$ line to line.
13. Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
14. Udid with 30 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-24^{\prime \prime}$ line to line.
15. Fertiliser mixture $-18^{*}$ line to line.
(Fertiliser mixture: $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as 2 mds . of G.N.C. : weeks before suwing and $\frac{1}{}$ ma. dis at flowering).
16. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 13 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots and $4^{\prime}$ between replication. (vi) Yes.
17. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination, height and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (modified in 1953). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola and Washim. (b) N.A. (vi) No reason for low yield is given. (vii) G.M. crop to be sown in between two cotton lines and to be green manured after 40-45 days. Sann green manured on 12.8.1950 and Udid green manured on 13.8.1953.
18. RESULTS:
(i) $279 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $84.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 214 | 8. | 214 |
| 2. | 283 | 9. | 284 |
| 3. | 344 | 10. | 274 |
| 4. | 340 | 11. | 282 |
| S. | 324 | 12. | 244 |
| 6. | 271 | 13. | 269 |
| 7. | 286 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=42.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop : Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref :~ Mh. 52(305).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object : - To find out a suitable combination of manure and spacing for Cotton crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 24.5.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) Dibbling. (c) 6 lb ./ac. (d) As per treatments. (e N.A. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of compost. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irsigated. (viii) 4 weedings. (ix) $11.73^{*}$. (x) 13.11 .1952 to 19.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 spacings: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=2^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=3^{\prime} \times 1.5^{\prime}$ 。

N supplied through $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ and G.N.C. in 1:1 ratio and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$ supplied through Super and Pct. Sul. respectively.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block ; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $45^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $39^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(1) The growth was checked due to aphis and red leaf blight attack and also due to low rain fall. (ii) Aphis attack in the early stage and red leaf blight attack observed. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Padegaon and Agri. College, Pcona. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $874 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $179.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $171.1 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$,
(iii) Main effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{S}$ and ir teraction $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ are highly significant. All other effects do not ciffier significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

S.E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means
2. $P, K$ or $S$ marginal means
3. $\mathbf{P}, \mathrm{K}$ or S means at a level of N
4. $N$ means at a level of $P, K$ or $S$
$=49.78 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=30.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=60.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=96.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

Ref :-Mh. 52(152)
Type :_‘CM'.

Object : To study the effect of G.M. along with spacing and manures on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundriut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cottcn soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) $26,27.71952$. (iv) (a) One deep and two shallow ploughings. (b) Dibbling. (c) $14 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) Ore hand weecing. (ix) 29.32 ${ }^{\circ}$ (x) 6 pickings from 8.11.1953 to 22.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 spacings : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
8 manures : $M_{0}=$ Control, $M_{1}=F, Y . M$. at 10 C.L.fac., $M_{2}=N$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled at sowing, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{N}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dresse $1, \mathrm{M}_{4}=$ Sannhemp without Super, $\mathrm{M}_{5}=$ Sanohemp with Super, $\mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid without Super and $\mathrm{M}_{7}=U d i d$ with Super.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/blocks; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12.0^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Very good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield and height observations. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No, (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2734 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $254.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $191.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is signifieant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

## Ref:-Mh. 53(220).

Type :-'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of G.M. along with different manures and spacings on Cotton yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton sol. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 20, 21.6. 1953. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 5 bakharings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nl. (vi) No. 91 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A.(ix) 39.34". (x) Picking on 4, 20.11.1953. 8, 18.12.1953. 5, 19.1.1954.
2. TREATMENIS:
3. Control-18 spacing line to line.
4. F.Y.M. 10 C.L./ac. $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
5. $A / S$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
6. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{N}-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
7. Sannhemp without Super $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
8. Sannhemp with Super $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
9. Sannhemp without Super-24" spacing line to ine.
10. Sannhemp with Super- $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.
11. Udid without Super-18* spacing line to line.
12. Udid with Super-18" spacing line to line.
13. Udid without Super- $24^{*}$ spacing line to line.
14. Udid with Super- $24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing line to line.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 34.5^{\prime}$. (b) $16.5 \times 34.5^{\circ}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Heights and flower buds, kapas yield. (iv) (a) N.À. (b) Nò. (c) N.A. (v)
(a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1167 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $179.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1347 | 7. | 1171 |
| 2. | 1238 | 8. | 1045 |
| 3. | 1291 | 9. | 985 |
| 4. | 1211 | 10. | 1008 |
| 5. | 1182 | 11. | 1117 |
| 6. | 1221 | 12. | 1192 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=89.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 53(219).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type :.' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$.
Object:-To study the effect of different methods of sowing and influence of green manuring on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 22, 23.6.1953. (iv) (a) 4 to 5 ploughings. (b) As per treatments. (c) N.A. (d) $2^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Buri-0394 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings and 4 weedirgs. (ix) $39.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $11,22.11 .1953,8,25.12 .1953$ and 5.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 methods of sowing: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=$ Argada, $\mathrm{S}_{2}=$ Hand dibbling one plant hole and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=$ Hand dibbling two plants hole.
(2) 2 levels of green manuring: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=\mathrm{Nil}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Green manuring.

Green manuring on 24.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 28^{\circ}$. (b) $1 / 52$ th of an ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Heights of plants and kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1952-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } 1891 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) $99.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effects of $M$ and $S$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | 2056 | 1871 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ | 1872 | 1334 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1976 | 1801 |
| Mean | 1968 | 1815 |
| 1963 |  |  |
| 1823 |  |  |
| 1888 |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $M$ | $=28.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $S$ | $=35.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=49.66 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:-Mh 51(156).
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CM}^{\prime}$ '.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and optimum doses of manures for Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Nil. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 31.5.1951. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 4-B. 40. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 gap-fillings, 4 weediags and 2 interculturings. (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $16.10 .1951,5.11 .1951$ and 28.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS

## Main-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=2^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime}$.
(2) 2 spacings between plants : $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}=12^{*}$ and $S_{2}{ }^{\prime}=18^{\prime \prime}$.

Sub-plot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=20, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=40$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$N$ as $A / S$ and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio and $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/blovk; 9 sub-plots/main-plot. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}, 29^{\prime} \times 18^{\circ}$ for $2^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $22.68^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was rather poor. The general stand of the crop was healthy. (ii) Attack of aphids with negligible damage. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) to (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $951 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $320.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $247.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of N and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $S_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 880 | 871 | 1011 | 1003 | 837 | 923 | 917 | 920 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 905 | 932 | 970 | 973 | 899 | 962 | 910 | 936 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 810 | 1137 | 1016 | 938 | 1057 | 1071 | 923 | 997 |
| Mean | 864 | 980 | 1009 | 971 | 931 | 985 | 917 | 951 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 924 | 1013 | 1018 | 1019 | 952 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ | 834 | 947 | 1000 | 924 | 910 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 893 | 972 | 1049 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ | 836 | 988 | 969 |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ or $S^{\prime}$ marginal means |  | $=61.8 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2. $N$ or $P$ marginal means |  | $=58.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $N$ or $P$ means at a level of $S$ or $S^{\prime}$ |  | $=82.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $S$ or $S^{\prime}$ means at a level of $N$ or $P$ |  | $=91.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Rèf:- Mh. 52(188).
Type:- 'CM'.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and manurial requirements of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) $32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 23.5.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbled. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) 2 seed/dibble. (v) Nil. (vi) 170-CO. 2. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 gap filling, 2 weedings and 2 interculturings. (ix) 11.01". (x) 4 pickings on 28.10.1952, 8.11.1952, 15.11.1952 and 20.12.1952.
2. TREATME , TS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) +4 selective treatments.
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 spacings : $S_{1}=2^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime}$.
and 4 selective treatments having a common dose of 120 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ spacing :
(a) 60 lb ./ac. of N .
(b) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(c) $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N .
(d) $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 20 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $2^{\prime}$ spacing : $29^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ spacing: $18^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1546 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $273.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Selective treatments | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 1494 |
| (b) | 1316 |
| (c) | 1655 |
| (d) | 1757 |


|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1367 | 1675 | 1566 | 1388 | 1489 | 1561 | 1436 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1565 | 1524 | 1659 | 1621 | 1592 | 1600 | 1584 |
| Mean | 1464 | 1599 | 1613 | 1504 | 1546 | 1580 | 1510 |
| $S_{1}$ | 1539 | 1631 | 1563 | 1589 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1383 | 1569 | 1663 | 1420 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | ---: |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $S$ | $-48.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $N \times S$ or $N \times P$ table | $=96.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $P \times S$ table | $=68.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:- Cotton (Kharif). <br> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon. <br> Ref :- Mh. 53(277). <br> Type :- 'CM'.

Object : To find out the optimum spacing and manurial requirements of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 3.5.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Seed dibbled with hand. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows 3'. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) 170-CO.2. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 1 interculturing. (ix) $16.35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 4 pickings on 7.10.1953, 20.10.1953, 5.11.1953 and 29.11.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3) +4 selective treatments.
(1) 4 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{1}=30, N_{2}=60, N_{3}=90$ and $N_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 spacings : $S_{1}=2^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime}$.
and 4 selective treatments having a common dose of $120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $3^{\prime}$ spacing :
(a) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
(b) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(c) 120 lb ./ac. of N .
(d) $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}+60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ applied as Pot. Sulphate.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 20. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $2^{\prime}$ spacing: $16^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}, 3$ spacing: $18^{\prime} \times 29^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 23^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) Slight attack of blight and boll worm. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (t) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Yield data of 4 selective treatments is N.A. at the regional head quarters.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1878 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $288.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of S is highly significant while main effect of N , interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ differ significantly. Other effects are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1778 | 1800 | 1874 | 1964 | 1854 | 1992 | 1716 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1728 | 1839 | 1974 | 2364 | 1901 | 1971 | 1332 |
| Mean | 1753 | 1819 | 1924 | 2014 | 1878 | 1981 | 1773 |
| $S_{1}$ | 1861 | 1977 | 2027 | 2061 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1645 | 1661 | 1820 | 1968 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ | $=72.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $S$ | $=51.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ table | $=101.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{S}$ table | $=72.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref: ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 51(181).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of deep and shallow tillages with and without F.Y.M. on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 30.7.1951. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing between rows-24", Between plota irregular. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Jarilla. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One thinnog, 3 weedings and $S$ interculturings. (ix) 26.62. (x) 12, 27. 11. 1951 and 15.12. 1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M.: $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural operations: $C_{1}=$ Harrowing only and $C_{2}=$ Ploughing to a depth of $6^{\circ}-7^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4
(b) N.A.
(iii) 8. (iv)
(a) $132^{\circ} \times 20^{\circ}$.
(b) $124^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $4^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good due to late sowing and draught conditions. (ii) Attack of red cotton bug. (iii) No. of plante and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1930. N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $234.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $59.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 231.0 | 236.0 | 248.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 220.0 | 242.0 | 234.0 |
| Mean | 225.0 |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=14.85 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=21.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :- Mh. 52(214)
Type :- 'CM'.

Object : -To study the effects of deep and shallow tillages with F.Y.M. on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 20,21.6.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Drilling. (c) 10 lb ./ac. (d) Spacing between rows-24" between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) 193-7-Jarilla (mid-late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 intrrculturings, 2 thinnings and 4 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11. 12. 1952, 1. 1. 1953 and 2. 2. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural operations : $C_{1}=$ Harrowing only and $C_{2}=$ Ploughing to a depth of $6^{\prime \prime}-7^{\circ}$.
F.Y.M. applied on 20.6.1952.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~F}$
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Germination good, but growth hampered due to lack of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1930-$ N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $431.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $110.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effects of F and C differ significantly while interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $F_{0}$ |  | $F_{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{3}$ | 307.0 | 459.0 | Mean |
| $C_{2}$ | 412.0 | 545.0 | 383.0 |
| Mean | 359.0 | 503.0 | 579.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=27.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :- Mh. 53(166).
Type :- 'CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of deep and shallow tillage with or without F.Y.M. on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jawar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 15.6.1953. (iv) (a) As per treatments. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Virmar 1973. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 interculturings, thinning and 3 weedings. (lx) $16.64^{\circ}$. (x) 21 to $24.11 .1953,24$ to 25.12.1953 and 5.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 cultural operations: $C_{1}=$ Harrowing only and $C_{2}=$ Ploughing only to a depth of $6^{\prime \prime}-7^{*}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4 .
(b) $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{A}$
(iii) 8
8. (iv)
$132^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime} 75^{\prime \prime}$
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor germination, growth unsatisfactory. (ii) No. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1933-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $100.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.34 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of F alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 47.4 | 108.4 | 77.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 106.7 | 138.1 | 122.4 |
| Mean | 77.0 | 123.2 | 100.1 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =15.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =20.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

## Ref:- Mh. 52(322). <br> Type :- 'CM'.

Object :- To find out a suitable combination of manure and spacing for Cotton crop.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 27, 28.5.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Dibbling. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments, (e) $6-7$ seeds/hill. (v) 10 C.L./ac. (vi) CO.4. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings and 3 weedings. (ix) $20.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 7 pickings from 3.11.1952 to 17.3,1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments:
4 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sulphate: $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=2^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ alround the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of stem-borer, pink boll worm, red cotton bugs and aphids was noticed. (iii) Kapus yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) Nil. (v) (a) Padegaon and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS

(i) $1270 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $353.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $370.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effects of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and interaction $\mathrm{S} \times \mathrm{P}$ differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 1133 | 1259 | 1149 | 1434 | 1245 | 1194 | 1296 | 1395 | 1095 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1234 | 1126 | 1334 | 1489 | 1295 | 1272 | 1318 | 1290 | 1299 |
| Mean | 1184 | 1192 | 1241 | 1460 | 1270 | 1233 | 1307 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1194 | 1276 | 1267 | 1634 | 1342 | 1303 | 1382 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1174 | 1108 | 1216 | 1287 | 1197 | 1163 | 1231 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1096 | 1242 | 1218 | 1373 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1272 | 1142 | 1266 | 1548 |  |  |  |  |  |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. N marginal means | $=88.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}$ or S marginal means | $=65.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}$ or S means at a level of N | $=130.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. N means at a level of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}$ or S | $=127.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Cotton.
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref: Mh. 53(71).
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CM}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K in combination with spacing on the yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) G.M.-Wheat-Cotton-Mug. (b) G.M. and Wheat. (c) G.M., 320 lb . of manure mixture and $25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N . (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 13.5.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing, discing and 2 harrowings. (b) Dibbling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. spread and mixed during preparatory tilage. (vi) CO. 4 (late). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 gapfilling, 3 weedings, 4 interculturings by cultivator and once earthing up. (ix) $16.64^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.12.1953, 23.1.1554 and 19.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
4 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{1}=30, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=60, \mathrm{~N}_{3}=90$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
Sub-plot treatments:
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(2) 2 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \quad \mathrm{K}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(3) 2 spacings: $S_{1}=2^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) Two lines on either side and $3^{\prime}$ at each end of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Red leaf blight disease in early stage. No control measure. Iarnids (pest) aphids, control measure taken: Nicotin Sulphate Solution and fish oil rosin soap. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954 (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1753 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $717.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $402.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $\mathbf{P}$ and interaction $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{S}$ alone are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{0}$ | 1544 | 1839 | 1676 | 1532 | 1715 | 1580 | 1768 | 1527 | 1648 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1708 | 1843 | 2016 | 1868 | 1842 | 1876 | 956 | 1762 | 1859 |
| Mean | 1626 | 1841 | 1846 | 1700 | 1778 | 1728 | 1852 | 1644 | 1753 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1790 | 1792 | 1924 | 1941 | 1872 | 1852 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1462 | 1889 | 1768 | 1459 | 1684 | 1604 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 1589 | 1977 | 1872 | 1677 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 1663 | 1705 | 1820 | 1723 |  |  |  |  |  |

## S E. of difference of two

1. N marginal means $\quad=179.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $P, K$ or $S$ marginal means $\quad=71.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $P, K$ or $S$ means at the same level of $N \quad=142.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
4. N means at the same level of $P, K$ or $S \quad=205.8 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
5. means in body of $P \times K, P \times S$ or $K \times S$ table $=100.7 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(127).
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :-‘CM’.
Object : - To study the effect of manures and spacing on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 27, 28.6.1952. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) Sowing by Argada. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vil H. 420. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings, 3 interculturings, 3 weedings and 3 thinnings. (ix) 17.95". (x) 15.11.1952 and 18.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 spacings between rows : $S_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
8 manures : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure, $\mathrm{M}_{1}=10 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of F.Y.M. as basal dose, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled at sowing, $M_{3}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ top dressed $40-45$ days after sowing, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ Sann without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{M}_{5}=$ Sann with $1 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super at sowing, $\mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{7}=U$ did with $1 \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super at sowing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block ; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Soil was cracked all over and flower buds were she dding for want of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination counts and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-continued. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super. Seedrate :-Sann $=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. and Udid $=25 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. Sann and Ulid' were buried in the soil on 13.8.1952. Sann and Udid seeds were sown exactly in between two rows of cotton.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $575.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $75.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $82.96 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of S is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $M_{0}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{3}$ | $M_{4}$ | $M_{5}$ | $M_{6}$ | $M_{7}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 470.5 | 475.3 | 542.0 | 528.2 | 497.0 | 473.5 | 462.5 | 444.2 | 474.1 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 692.2 | 678.2 | 689.2 | 683.0 | 595.5 | 735.7 | 703.2 | 631.5 | 676.1 |
| Mean | 581.3 | 576.7 | 615.6 | 605.6 | 496.2 | 604.6 | 582.9 | 537.9 | 575.1 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=18.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $M$ marginal means | $=41.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $M$ means at the same level of $S$ |  |
| 4. $S$ means at the same levei of $M$ |  |
|  | $=58.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |

Crop: Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 53(165)/52(127).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- 'CM'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of manures and different spacings on yield of Cotton

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 20.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) Sowing by Argada and sarfa with bakhar behind it. (c) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H-420 (medium). (vii) Uni rrigated. (viii) 7 hoeings, 2 hand interculturings, 3 weedings and 1 thinning. (ix) $38.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 7.12 .1953 ; 6.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

2 spacings between rows : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=24^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

8 manures: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ No manure, $\mathrm{M}_{1}=10 \mathrm{C}$ L./ac. of F.Y.M., $\mathrm{M}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ drilled with seed, $\mathrm{M}_{3}=2^{\prime} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ top dressed, $\mathrm{M}_{4}=$ Sann alone, $\mathrm{M}_{5}=$ Sann with $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{cwt} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super drilled with seed, $\mathrm{M}_{6}=$ Udid alone, $\mathrm{M}_{7}=$ Udid with 1 cwt ./ac. of Super drilled with seed.
Treatments applied during 1952-53 and residual effect studied this year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 2 main-plots/block; 8 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53 (residual effect from 1953-54)-continued. (b) Ye3. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Akola; Buldana. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $237.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $78.83 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $40.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only interaction MS is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{7}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 238.0 | 233.0 | 264.0 | 226.0 | 264.0 | 244.0 | 218.0 | 234.0 | 240.0 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 198.0 | 234.0 | 273.0 | 231.0 | 248.0 | 221.0 | 228.0 | 246.0 | 235.0 |
| Mean | 218.0 | 233.5 | 268.5 | 228.5 | 256.0 | 232.5 | 223.0 | 240.0 | 237.5 |.

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =19.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =20.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =28.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =33.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. M Marginal means
3. $M$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $M$

| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(167). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. | Type :~ 'CM'. |

Object :-To study the effict of manuring and spacing on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 heeings; 3 weedings, and 1 interculturing. (ix) $38.55^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 2.12 .1953 ; 22.12.1953 and 16.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

| Manure | Spacing tetween rows. |  | Manure | spacing between rows. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Control (no manure) | -18*. | (7) | G.M. with Sann |  | -24". |
| (2) 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. | $-18^{\prime \prime}$. | (8) | G.M. with Sann | /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | -24* |
| (3) $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S | $-18^{\prime \prime}$. | (9) | G.M. with Udid |  | $-18^{\prime \prime}$. |
| (4) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as A/S | $-18^{\prime \prime}$. | (10) | G.M. with Udid | ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | -18. |
| (5) G.M. with Sann | $-18^{\prime \prime}$. | (11) | G.M. with Udid |  | -24. |
| (6) G.M. with Sann +30 lb | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \quad-18^{\prime \prime}$. | (12) | G.M. with Udid + | /ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $-24^{\prime \prime}$ |

3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $12^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ between plots.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of Aphids, which was controlled by lady-bird bettles. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53 (modified in 1953-54) continued. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Akola, Buldana. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) G.M. buried in soil on 29.7.1953.

Seed rates: Sann Udid

$$
100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \quad 25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

5. RESULTS:
(i) $381.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 37.47 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 264.0 | 7. | 310.0 |
| 2. | 333.0 | 8. | 373.0 |
| 3. | 463.0 | 9. | 314.0 |
| 4. | 518.0 | 10. | 454.0 |
| 5. | 347.0 | 11. | 407.0 |
| 6. | 376.0 | 12. | 390.0 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=18.73 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop :mCotton (Kharif). <br> Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal. <br> Ref :-Mh. 52(180). <br> Type:-‘CM'.

Object :-To study the effect of manuring and spacing on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black loam. (b) Refes soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 29.6.1952. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) Sowing by hand dibbling. (c) N.A.. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 weedings. (ix)
$40.28^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings from 2nd week of October 1952 to 1st week of January 1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control-18" spacing.
2. F.Y.M. 10 C.L./ac. $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing
3. $A / S$ at 20 lb ./ac. of N drilled at sowing $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
4. A/S at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N top dressed after 40 days of sowing $-18^{n}$ spacing.
5. Sann without Super $-9^{\prime \prime}$.
6. Sann with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing $-9^{n}$ spacing.
7. Udid without Super $-9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.

8: Udid with Super at 1 cwt /ac. $-9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
9. Control-24" spacing.

10, F.Y.M. 10 C.L./ac. $-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
11. A/S at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N at the time of sowing $-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
12. A/S at 20 lb ./ac. of N top dressed after 40 days of sowing $-24^{n}$ spacing.
13. Sann without Super $-12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
14. Sann with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing-12" spacing.
15. Udid without Super $-12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
16. Udid with Super at sowing-12 ${ }^{\pi}$ spacing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $36.3^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 4 plants and one row on eacia side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1952-contd. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
S. RESULTS:
(i) $923 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $148.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment diferences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Trea'ment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yicld |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1028 | 9. | $76^{\circ}$ |
| 2. | 1086 | 10. | $70^{\circ}$ |
| 3. | 1394 | 11. | 914 |
| 4. | 978 | 12. | 82. |
| 5. | 845 | 13. | 767 |
| 6. | 783 | 14. | 835 |
| 7. | 1171 | 15. | 702 |
| 8. | 1062 | 16. | 858 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=74.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :-Cotton (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.
Ref :-Mh. 53(297).
Type :-'CM'.
Object :- To study the effect of manuring and spacing on yield of Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundhut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) and (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) H. 420 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and thinning. (ix) $37.63^{\circ}$. (x) 14.11. 1953, 1, 29.12. 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing between rows.
4. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. $-18^{\sigma}$ spacing.
5. A/S at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N drilled at sowing $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
6. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at 20 lb ./ac. of N top dressed after 40 days $-18^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
7. Sann without Super $-9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
8. Sann with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing $9^{\prime \prime}$-spacing.
9. Udid without Super $-9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
10. Udid with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing $-9^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
11. Control $-24^{*}$ spacing.
12. F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac $-24^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
13. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N at the time of sowing $-24^{n}$ spacing.
14. A/S at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N top dressed after 40 days $-24^{\circ}$ spacing.
15. Sann without Super $-12^{*}$ spacing.
16. Sann with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing- $12^{\prime \prime}$ spacing.
17. Udid without Super-12" spacing.
18. Udid with Super at 1 cwt ./ac. drilled at sowing-12" spacing.
19. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 100$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Y $\mathbb{C}$.
20. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1592-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (t'a.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
21. RESULTS :
(i) $494 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $125.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Kapas in Ib./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yieid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 441 | 9. | 402 |
| 2. | 462 | 10. | 494 |
| 3. | 475 | 11. | 662 |
| 4. | 428 | 12. | 513 |
| 5. | 478 | 13. | 442 |
| 6. | 425 | 14. | 528 |
| 7. | 530 | 15. | 530 |
| 8. | 553 | 16. | 445 |
|  | S.E./mean | $\approx 62.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.

## Ref :~ Mh. 53(221):

Type: ' 'CMV'.

Object :-To study the effect of manuring on different Cotton varieties along with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Groundnut. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soril analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 11 and 12.6.19j3. (iv) (a) 5 ploughings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $39.34^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on ${ }^{2} 28.10 .1953,5,10,21.111953,9$, 25.12.1953 and 9.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 spacings cum varieties: $S_{1} V_{1}=$ Variety No. 91 spacings $9^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}, S_{2} V_{1}=$ Variety No. 91 spacing $9^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Variety Buri-0394 spacing $12^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Variety Buri-0394 spacing $12^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 manurial doses: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=$ Control (no manure), $\mathrm{M}_{1}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of N and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{N}+30$ lb./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
Manuring on 20.7.1953.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a)
(a) N.A.
(b) $24^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, flower bud observation and kapas yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1947 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $295.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the effect of SV is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 2077 | 1978 | 1954 | 2011 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 2149 | 1942 | 1708 | 1746 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2093 | 2294 | 1640 | 1767 |
| Mean | 2106 | 2071 | 1769 | 1841 |


| S.E. of SV marginal mean | $=85.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of M marginal mean | $=73.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table | $=147.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).

## Ref :~Mh. 51 (167).

Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type: :"CMV'.
Object :-To study the effect of manuring on different Cotton varieties along with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 9,10.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by hand dibbling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $38.29^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Pickings on 21.11.1951, 13.12.1951 and 11.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 varieties: $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Verun-434, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{H} .420$ and $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Buri-0396.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
 tion. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\circ} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) a.ad (vii) Nil.

## 3. RESULTS:

(i) $896 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $414.4 \mathrm{lo} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) All the main effects and their interactions are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 864 | 724 | 703 | 764 | 809 | 751 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 985 | 1000 | 768 | 918 | 1073 | 878 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1159 | 1085 | 772 | 1005 | 1013 | 1087 |
| Mean | 1003 | 936 | 748 | 896 | 965 | 905 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1066 | 1089 | 740 |  | 816 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1042 | 840 | 835 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 901 | 880 | 668 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=97.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=169.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 52(153). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :- 'CMV'. |

Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type :- 'CMV'.

Object :-To study the effect of manuring on different Cotton varieties along with different spacings.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Cotton-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 1.7.19s2. (iv) (a) 1 deep and one shallow bakharing. (b) to (e) NA. (vi Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings, 5 interculturings and 1 thinning. (ix, 29.32 . (x) 5 pikkings from 7.11.1952 to 22.1.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 varieties: $V_{1}=$ Verun-433, $V_{2}=H .420$ and $V_{3}=$ Buri-0395.
(2) 3 doses of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 spacings : $\mathrm{S}_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ confounded, partially confounding $V^{2} S^{2}$ and VN2S effects. (ii) (a) 9 plots/block; 3 blocks/replication. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Very good, (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) These are high yields and no reason given by A.R.S.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $3380 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $88.48 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main eflects of V and N only are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ | Mean | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 3312 | 3140 | 2608 | 3020 | 3115 | 3162 | 2786 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | 3651 | 3453 | 3168 | 3424 | 3475 | 3490 | 3307 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 3718 | 3838 | 3528 | 3695 | 3579 | 3763 | 3742 |
| Mean | 3560 | 3477 | 3101 | 3380 | 3390 | 3472 | 3279 |
| $S_{1}$ | 3595 | 3339 | 3235 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 3629 | 3618 | 3168 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 3459 | 3477 | 2901 |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=20.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=36.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(115).
Type: ' $C M V$ '.

Object:-To find out the best combination of spacing and manure for different Cotton varieties.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B ty pe. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 25.5.1950. (iv) (a) to (c) N.A. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Irrigated. viii) 8 weedings. (ix) $22.91^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.10.1950, 12.11.1950 and 3.12.1950.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 varieties: $V_{1}=\mathrm{CO} .4, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=197-3, \mathrm{~V}_{3}=\mathrm{CO} .4-\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ P-American.
(2) 2 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=2^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=3^{\prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as A/S and G.N.C. in $1: 1$ ratio: $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=20$ and $N_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 spacings between plants: $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 8 main-plots/block; 6 sut-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) Sub-plot: $36^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $2^{\prime}$ spacing and $36^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$ for $3^{\prime}$ spacing. (b) $24^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (v) 3 rows on either side for $2^{\prime}$ spacing and 2 rows on either side for $3^{\prime}$ spacing. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Low yield. (ii) Affected with aphis, leaf curl and red cotton bug. Season abnormal, heavy rains in August and September. (iii) Seed cotton and Kapas yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Plot wise yield data N.A. Hence not analysed.
4. RESULTS :
(i) $603 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) N.A.
(iii) N.A.
(v) tv. yield of kapas in lb , ac .

|  | $V_{1} S_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | ${ }_{1} S_{2}$ | ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | ${ }_{4} S_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ | 570 | 702 | 746 | 466 | 55 | 444 | 513 | 358 | 544 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}{ }_{1}$ | 714 | 802 | 624 | 268 | 582 | 690 | 652 | 33. | 583 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 642 | 875 | 669 | 612 | 449 | 764 | 691 | 432 | (42 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1} 1$ | 720 | 610 | 762 | 417 | 527 | 456 | 606 | 343 | 555 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 544 | 889 | 629 | 588 | 533 | 652 | 722 | 3.7 | 610 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | 647 | 1074 | 887 | 538 | 489 | 660 | 620 | 592 | 688 |
| Mean | 637 | 825 | 720 | 481 | 522 | 611 | 634 | 398 | 603 |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Ref:-Mh. 53(9).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani.
Type: : 'D'.
Object :-To study the effect of treating seed with perenox on Cotton yield aod on black arm disease.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Cotton-Groun nut. (b, Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) R.fer soll analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 14.7.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 2 harrowiags. (b) Dibbling. (c) 81 seeds/row of $21^{\prime}$. (d) $18^{8^{\prime \prime}}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-12. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $40.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 16.12.1553, 7 27.1.1954 and 10.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (untreated).
2. Seed treated with perenox before sowing at the rate of one ounce of perenox for 10 lb . of sect.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $1 y^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$. (v) One non-exerimental row at either end and one after each replication. (vi) les.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory due to late sowing and rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Final stand, yield of kapas, halo length, ginning and weight of 100 seeds. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) and (c) Vil. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $295.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $106.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 299 |
| 2. | 289 |
| S.E./mean | $=528 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Cotton (Kharif).
Kef :-Mh. 53(10).
Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani.
Type: ‘'D’.
Object :-- To study the effect of treating seed with perenox on Cotton yield and on black arm disease.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Cotton-Groundnut. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Mediurn black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 14.7.1953. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b; Driling. (c) 42 seeds per row of $21^{\circ}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Parthani American I. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $40.32^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on 16.12.1953, 7.1.1954, 27.1.1954 and 10.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (untreated).
4. Treated with perenox before sowing at the rate of one ounce of perenox for 10 lb . of seed.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 4 \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}{}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$. (v) One non-experimental row at either end and one after each replication. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Growth not satisfactory due to late sowing and rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Final stand, yield of kapas, halo length and ginning \%. (iv) (a) 1953-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Badnapur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $162.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $34.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 153 |
| 2. | 172 |
| S.E./mean | $=17.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

Ref:- Mh. 52(178).
Type:- 'D'.

Object :-To study the effect of Agrosan G.N. on Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundvut-Cotton. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black medium soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (iii) 4.7.1952. (iv) (a) 5 bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 5 C.L./ac. during May ,52. (vi) H.420. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings. (ix) $40.28^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1st week of Nov. 1952 to 1st week of Jan, 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Seeds treated with Agrosan G.N.
4. Seeds untreated.
5. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ th of an acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
6. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory and uniform. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b)
N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
7. RESULTS :
(i) $691 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $42.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signiflcantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 707 |
| 2. | 675 |
| S.E./mean | $=30.27 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: C Cotton (Kharif).
Site :- Plant Breeding Stn., Latur.

Ref:- Mh. 53(16).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To study the effect of treating seed with perenox on Cotton yield and on black arm disease.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS:

(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Kharif Jowar. (c) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Medium Deep black clayey soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Latur. (iii) 22.6.1953. (iv; (a) One ploughing, once leaning and bunding of drain channels. (b) Drilling. (c) $16 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) F.Y.M. at 10 C.L./ac. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (ix) $41.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Picking on :7.11.1953, 2.12.1953, 17.12.1953. and 16.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

4 varieties: $-\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani-12, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Jarilla, $\mathrm{V}_{8}=2204$ and $\mathrm{V}_{4}=226$.
Sub-plot treatments :
2 seed dressings : $D_{0}=$ untreated seed and $D_{1}=$ seed dressed with jerenox at 1 oz. of perenox for 10 lb . of seed, previously treated witn cowdung paste.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots'main-pluc. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $64^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each dank of the plot and $2^{\prime}$ distance on each extremity of the row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Kapas yield. (iv) a) 1951-99; (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) a) Nanded. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) The attack of blackarm on dressed and undressed plots till September was quite obvious; later on the difference was negligible.
5. RESULTS ;
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (i) } & 88 & \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$
(ii) (a) $38.81 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $20.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | 65 | 84 | 87 | 119 | 89 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | 63 | 76 | 91 | 115 | 86 |
| Mean | 64 | 80 | 89 | 117 | 88 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. V marginal means
2. D marginal means
3. D means at the same level of $V$
4. V means at the same level of $D$
$=19.40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$=7.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=-14.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=21.86 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Cotton (Kharif).
Site : $\boldsymbol{m}$ Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref:- Mh. 53(26).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To test the efficacy of treating seeds with perenox on yield of Cotton and on black arm disease.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) N.A. (b) Rabi Jowar. (c) F.Y.M. at the rate of 30 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) Bakharing thrice. (b) Drilling. (c) 168 seeds per row of 42 ' length. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) As per treatments. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding twice and hoeing once. (ix) 45.13". (x) Picking on 27.11.1953, 12.12.1953 and 12.1.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
4 varieties : $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ Gaorani $-6, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=$ Gaorani $-12, \mathrm{~V}_{3}=1432$, and $\mathrm{V}_{4}=$ Jarilla.
Sub-plot treatments:
2 seed dressings : $\mathrm{D}_{0}=$ Control (undressed seed), and $\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ Seed dressed with perenox.
Dressing with perenox was done at the rate of 1 ounce of perenox to 10 lb . of seed, previcusly treated with cowdung paste.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 4 main-plots/block; 2 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $9^{\prime} \times 42^{\prime}$. (b) $6^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime}$. (v) One row on each flank and $1^{\prime}$ at each extremity of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory due to heavy rains. (ii) Incidance of black arm. (iii) Germination and final stand, weekly infection of black arm, boll weight, ginning parcentage, fibre properties and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1953 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Latur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $199 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $45.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $37.24 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only $V$ effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\dot{V}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{4}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ | 150 194 232 218 <br> $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ 161 230 208 | 202 | 198 |  |  |
| Mean | 156 | 212 | 220 | 210 | 199 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. V marginal means |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2. D marginal means | $=18.67 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. D means at the same level of V | $=10.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. V means at the same level cf D |  |
|  | $=21.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  | $=24.00 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 48(40).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of different doses of G.N.C. on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a, Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 4.7 .1948 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 bakharings. (b) Sowing by 4 typed country plough. (c) 90 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK 12-24 (medium). (vii) Un.rrigated. (viii) 1 boeing and 2 weedings. (ix) $31.52^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $14,10.1948$.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure.
4. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
5. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.
6. 30 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. 40 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b)N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\circ} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Aphids attack noticed in August. No control measures taken. (iii) Pods and tops yield. (iv) (a) 1945 to 1949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b, N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $853 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 808 |
| 2. | 960 |
| 3. | 840 |
| 4. | 825 |
| 5. | 833 |
| S.E./mean | $=64.08 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 49(67). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Govt Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of G.N.C. on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola.
(iii) 3.7.1949. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and 1 light bakhzrings. (b) By Argada. (c) $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\circ \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$, e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK 12-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $42.93^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.10.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. No manure.
2. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathbf{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C}$.
3. 20 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
4. 30 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C.

Manures applied on 20.6.1949.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\circ}$. (v) Ono row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of Aphids; No control measures taken. (iii) Pods and tops yield. (iv) (a) 19451949. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $858 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $127.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 783 |
| 2. | 922 |
| 3. | 873 |
| 4. | 832 |
| 5. | 878 |
| S.E./mean | $=52.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop:-Groundnut.

Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 0 ( 8 7 ) .}$
Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :- ' $\mathbf{M}$ ".
Object :-To study the residual effect of organic and inorganic manures applied to Jowar on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 14.7.1950. (iv) (a) One ploughing and two bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $29.11^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22.12 .1950 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. T.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. F.Y.M. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
5. F.Y.M. at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
6. G.N.C. at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, of N.
7. G.N.C. at 20 lb ./ac. of N .
8. A/S at 10 lb ./ac. of N .
9. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

Manures applied to previous crop Jowar.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950-continued. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $968 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $315.4 \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1048 | 6. | 752 |
| 2. | 952 | 7. | 1152 |
| 3. | 1132 | 8. | 880 |
| 4. | 920 | 9. | 808 |
| 5. | 1064 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=128.8 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). Ref:- Mh. 51(112).
Site :- Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Buldana. Type :- ‘M'.
Object :-To study the residual effect of organic and inorganic manures applied to Jowar on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Buldana. (iii) 28.6 .1951 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24 (mid-late). (vii) Unirigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 1 hoeing. (ix) $33.22^{\circ}$. (x) 31.10 .1951 .

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. T.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
3. T.C. at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
4. F.Y.M. at $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
5. F.Y.M. at 40 lb ./ac. of N.
6. G.N.C. at $10 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N.
7. G.N.C. at $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
8. $A / S$ at $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .
9. $A / S$ at $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

Manures applied to previous Jowar crop.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1332 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $273.5 \mathrm{db} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1239 | 6. | 1268 |
| 2. | 1238 | 7. | 1283 |
| 3. | 1275 | 8. | 1389 |
| 4. | 1295 | 9. | 1571 |
| 5. | 1429 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=111.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 51(71). } \\
\text { Site :m Agri. Res. Stn., Chas. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of Boron and Manganese on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Kharif light sails. (b) N.A. (iii) 30.6.1951.
(iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) 20.62". (x) 24.11.195.
2. TREATMENTS :

All the combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of boron: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~B}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~B}_{2}=4$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of manganese : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=3, \mathrm{M}_{2}=6$ and $\mathrm{M}_{8}=9 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .

Boron as borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955, (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1490 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $292.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 1353 | 1489 | 1482 | 1431 | 1439 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1567 | 1492 | 1682 | 1503 | 1561 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1283 | 1513 | 1474 | 1344 | 1403 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1586 | 1682 | 1395 | 1567 | 1558 |
| Mean | 1447 | 1544 | 1508 | 1461 | 1490 |
|  |  |  | $=73.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 52(100).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.
Type: ' M '.
Object :-To study the effect of different doses of Boron and Manganese on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Kharif light soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 6.6.1952. (iv) (a 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) 9.70 . (x) 5.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{2}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{cc}$.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the wet plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) No reasons given for low yield. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $604 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $124.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. ỵield of pod in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 484 | 581 | 581 | 629 | 569 |
| M | 678 | 581 | 581 | 629 | 617 |
| M ${ }_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 678 | 629 | 629 | 629 | 641 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ | 678 | 484 | 653 | 532 | 587. |
| Mean | 629 | 569 | 611 | 605 | 604 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =31.01 \\ & =62.21 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref:-Mh. 53(152).
Type :-'M'

Object :-To study the effect of different doses of Boron and Manganese on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Kharif light soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 3.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $21.00^{\circ}$. (x) 4.12 .1953

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron : $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{3}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{8}=6$ and $M_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Boron as borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $29^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (b) $25^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a)
Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
3. RESULTS:
(i) $2350 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $688.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{8}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 2323 | 2194 | 2678 | 2355 | 2388 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 2130 | 2420 | 2646 | 2549 | 2436 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 2420 | 2226 | 2226 | 2581 | 2363 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | 2420 | 2162 | 2194 | 2065 | 2210 |
| Mean | 2323 | 2251 | 2436 | 2388 | 2350 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =140.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac.} . \\ & =281.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Groundnut. (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 51(70) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra + Tur. (b) Bajra + Tur. (c) G.N.C. (amount N.A.) (ii) (a) Light kharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 1.7 .1951 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) 20.62*, (x) 26.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : $-\mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting., $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in between rows.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $55^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring rcund the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 2 counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1549 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 262.5 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | Control=1802 lb./ac. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1601 | 1681 | 1401 | 1561 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1495 | 1521 | 1508 | 1508 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1721 | 1121 | 1641 | 1494 |
| Mean | 1606 | 1441 | 1517 | 1521 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=75.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

Crop :- Groundnut. (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 52(99).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.
Type:- M'.

Object :-To find out the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light Kharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 23.6.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $9.70^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 31.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All the combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure)
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $55^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 2 counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1285 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $127.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only control vs others effect is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

$$
\text { Control }=527 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1387 | 1367 | 1327 | 1361 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1367 | 1287 | 1527 | 1394 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | 1440 | 1347 | 1274 | 1354 |
| Mean | 1398 | 1334 | 1376 | 1369 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =36.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =63.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 53(151) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Grourdnut.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light kharif soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 1.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) Big Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 21.00'. (x) 30.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)+a control (no manure)
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in between rows.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $55^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $51^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) 3 counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Sholapur and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1959 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 440.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Control $=1721 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1655 | 2282 | 2069 | 2002 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1962 | 1935 | 1935 | 1944 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 1615 | 2122 | 2295 | 2011 |
| Mean | 1744 | 2113 | 2100 | 1986 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =127.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =220.3 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref:- 52(326).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Dhulia.

Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of Boron and Manganese on the yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Wheat. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.7.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $73 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $1 t^{\circ}$ between rows. (c)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish (improved). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and one interculturing. (ix) N.A. (x) 23.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron : $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0$ and $M_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as Manganese Sulphate.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A. (iii) 2. (iv) (a) $78^{\prime} \times 7.5^{\prime}$. (b) $64^{\circ} \times 12.8^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Unsatisfactory growth due to Tikka attack and lack of rains during sowing time. (ii) Mild attack of Tikka disease. (iii) Yield of pod. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Kopergaon and Karad. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $442 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $172.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 361 | 481 | 421 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 441 | 484 | 462 |
| Mean | 401 | 482 | 442 |

[^9]| Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :-Mh. 49(28). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type:"'M'. |

Object:-To find the effects of applying $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{6}}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop (Jowar).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 2.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $44.17^{\circ}$. (x) 25.10.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control ( $\mathrm{no} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow for Groundnut and sown for Jowar.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with reeds of Groundnut.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Aphis attack on groundnut crop was observed. Rains in the third week of Sept. washed away the Aphis. Also tobacco decoction helped much in removing the attack. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1949 (kharif) to 1954 (kharif). (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $684 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $81.52 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 600 |
| 2. | 687 |
| 3. | 710 |
| 4. | 740 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mead | $=36.44 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. $\mathbf{5 0 ( 3 9 ) .}$
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Object:-To find the effects of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop (Jowar).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 12.7.50. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) 21.73". (x) 29.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow for Groundnut and sown in Rabi. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled with the seeds of Groundnut.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal growth. (ii) Attack of Aphis and Tikka disease observed on groundnut. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $990 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $323.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 875 |
| 2. | 876 |
| 3. | 1003 |
| 4. | 1207 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=144.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref: $\sim$ Mh. 51(42).

Object :-To find the effect of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop (Jowar).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 16.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Once weeding and 3 hoeings. (ix) 20.14". (x) 16.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow for Groundnut and sown for Jowar and Udid.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled along with the seeds of groundnut.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Attack of Aphis observed. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv' (a) 1949-1954, (b) No.
(c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $922 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $216.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signuficantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 975 |
| 2. | 912 |
| 3. | 1032 |
| 4. | 772 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=96.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 52(69).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.
Object :-To find out the effects of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to the leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop (Jowar).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut--Jowar. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 29.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows-12 $2^{\circ}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) $17.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 1.11 .1952

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
4. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. Fallow for Groundnut and sown for Jowar and Udid. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ drilled along with the seeds of Groundnut.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Normal growth. (ii) Attack of long-smut. Attack of Aphis, Tikka and Root-rot disease. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $563 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 234.9 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 516 |
| 2. | 556 |
| 3. | 585 |
| 4. | 597 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=105.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 53(130).
Type: $\cdot$ ' M '.

Object :-To find out the effects of applying $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to leguminous crop (Groundnut) and its after effects on the succeeding cereal crop (Jowar).

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 25.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 60 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $-12^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $23.77^{\circ}$. (x) 24.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. Control (no $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
4. 50 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
5. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
6. 150 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super.
7. Fallow for Groundnut and sown for Jowar and Udid.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) The general growth and condition was satisfactory. (ii) Attack of Aphis, Root-rot and Tikka was observed. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS:
(i) $1273 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $118.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 1056 |
| 2. | 1307 |
| 3. | 1317 |
| 4. | 1412 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=52.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri, Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(103).
Type :-'M'.

Ohject :-To study the optimum dose and method of app'ication of $\mathrm{P}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar and gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 24.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between plants $-12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. iv) Nil. (vi) Big Japaa. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 hand weeding. (ix) N.A. (x) 4 to 8.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}: P_{1}=10, P_{2}=20$ and $P_{3}=31 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{By}$ broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{By}$ drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{By}$ drilling in between rows.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $114^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $108^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $499 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $103.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(180). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings, (b) Drilled. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 1 hand weeding. (ix) 16.62". (x) 8.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a control (no manure).
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ by broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ by drilling in rows, and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ by drilling in between rows.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4. (iv) (a) $14^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$.
(b) $108^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1956. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $605 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $109.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

Control $=635 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(102).
Type:-‘'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of Boron and Manganese on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut - Jowar or Gram. (b) Jowar or Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 26.7.1951. (lv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 80 lb /fac. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hand weedings. (ix) N.A. (x) 10.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2).
(1) 4 levels of Boron : $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{2}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{8}=9 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 13^{\prime}$. (o) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1956. (b) No. (c) N. A. (v) (a) NiI. (b: N.A, (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $384 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $102.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 318 | 404 | 333 | 443 | 374 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 369 | 391 | 318 | 350 | 357 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 282 | 460 | 325 | 411 | 369 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{8}$ | 449 | 492 | 406 | 391 | 434 |
| Mean | 354 | 437 | 345 | 399 | 384 |
|  | S.E. of any marginal mean |  | $=25.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

Crop :- Groundnut.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref:- Mh. 53(179).
Type: ' ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of Boron and Manganese on Groundnut yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) N.A. (iii) 28.7 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 80 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan. (vii) Lnirrigated. (vii) One interculturing and one hand weeding. (ix) $16.62^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 3.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~B}_{3}=2, \mathrm{~B}_{1}=4$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}=6 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $26^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-195t. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $631 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $134.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.

781
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 567 | 646 | 540 | 694 | 612 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 619 | 637 | 525 | 587 | 592 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 639 | 588 | 642 | 702 | 643 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 666 | 707 | 690 | 637 | 675 |
| Mean | 623 | 645 | 599 | 655 | 631 |
| S.E. of any arginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =29.95 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =59.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(27). |
| :--- | ---: |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karad. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requirements of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Bajra. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied about one month prior to sowing and mixed by harrowing. (vi) Karad-4-11 (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. Super at 60 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. Super at 120 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. Potassium Sulphate at $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5. Potassium Sulphate at $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $25^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (b) $23^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) No. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
(i) $2706 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $376.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 2652 |
| 2. | 2951 |
| 3. | 2624 |
| 4. | 2904 |
| 5. | 2399 |
| S.E./mean | $=168.3 \mathrm{lb} . /$ s.. |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site : Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.
Object :-To find out the effect of rare elements (Boron and Manganese) on yield of the Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L.ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 20.8.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Spanish-5 (early). (vii) Uarrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $33^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron: $B_{0}=0$ and $B_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borix and Manganese as $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $29^{\circ} \times 8^{\prime}$. (b) $2^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ ring on all sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Poor. (ii) Nul. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $296 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $106.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yieid of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{0}$ | 302 | 252 | 277 |
| $M_{1}$ | 344 | 286 | 315 |
| Mean | 323 | 269 | 296 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S E. of any marginal mean } & =53.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}, \\ \text { S.E. of body of table } & =75.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .\end{array}$

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 53(301).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.
Type:~'M'.
Object :-To study the effect of rare elements (Manganese and Boron) on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 11.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Padegaon-2 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0$ and $M_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) and (b) $30^{\prime} \times 25^{\prime}$. (v) Nil, (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) $1952-$ N. A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1738 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $261.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1672 | 1768 | 1786 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1726 | 1777 | 1720 |
| Mean | 1699 | $=131.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.

Ref: - Mh.53(250).
Type :- ' M '.

Object :-To study the effect of application of Manganese and Boron on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) A type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 11.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Groundnut--Spanish improved (early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 hoeings. (ix) $17.22^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 25.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $46^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was good. (ii) Slight attack of Tikka disease. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A.
(b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $3171 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $128.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 3275 | 3115 | 3195 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 3139 | 3155 | 3147 |
| Mean | 3207 | 3135 | 3171 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  | $\begin{aligned} & =64.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =91.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |

```
Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 52(349).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type:- 'M'.
```

Object:-To find out the effect of Boron and Manganese on yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol, (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. iv) Nil. (vi) Spanish (improved). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $17.49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $62^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$. (b) $52 \times 21$. (v+ N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-53. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (vi) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $304 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $74.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 379 | 219 | 299 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 419 | 199 | 309 |
| Mean | 399 | 209 | 304 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=37.29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=52.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop : Groundnut. (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 53(358). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. } & \text { Type :- 'M'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To find out the effect of Boron and Manganese on the yield of Groundnat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) and (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil anatysis. Mohol (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) 8 ) lb /ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) - . (vi) Nil. (vi) Spanish improved. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $36.13^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 levels of Boron : $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}=4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 2 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0$ and $M_{1}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 4.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 2.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $52^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (iv) and (vii) Nil.•
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1486 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $152.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $B_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 1456 | 1456 | 1456 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 1536 | 1496 | 1516 |
| Mean | 1496 | 1476 | 1486 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =76.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\ & =108.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 53(205).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.
Type:-‘M’.
Object:-To study the effect of the leguminous crop Groundnut raised with and without $P_{\mathbf{z}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on succeediag cereal crop Wheat.
t. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 7.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) Nil. (d) $18^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Pondicherry (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $36.93^{\circ}$. (x) 4.1.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

1. Control ( $\mathrm{nO} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. 100 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super on 7.8.1953.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ alround the net plot.
(vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi Nil. (vii) The crop was sown late owing to non-availability of Super. This had bad effect on the growth of the crop.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $602 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $121.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 591 |
| 2. | 645 |
| 3. | 527 |
| 4. | 644 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=54.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Ref :- Mh. 53(206).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the effect of the leguminous crop Groundnut grown with and without Super on the succeeding cereal crop Jowar.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 7.8.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled with 3 coultered drill. (c) 80 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Pondicherry-8. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 36.93". (x) 31,12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control ( $n 0 \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ).
2. 50 lb . ac . of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
4. $150 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
5. Fallow in kharif and sown in rabi. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super applied on 7.8.1953.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 5. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $42^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$, 'v) $6^{\prime}$ all round net plot. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) The growth of the crop was stunted due to late sowing which is caused by the late receipt of Super. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
(i) $512 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $49.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 505 |
| 2. | 485 |
| 3. | 507 |
| 4. | 550 |
| 5. | Fallow |
| S.E./mean | $=22.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 53(72). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of F.Y.M. in combination with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K on the yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Green manure-Groundnut-Chillies-Jowar. (b) Green manure and yowar. (c) Green manuring. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 20.6.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing given by tractor on 14.4.1953 clods were disced 2 times on 23.5.1953. The plots were harrowed 2-3 times after 1 st shower and kept ready for sowing. Top dressing done at the time of sowing. (b) Sown with 4 coultered $12^{\text {n }}$ seed drill. (c) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) 10 C.L.lac. of compost. (vi) Spanish pea-nut (early). (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 2 interculturings and 2 weedings. (ix) $10.85^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 29.9.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2), (3) and (4).
(1) 2 levels of F.Y.M. : $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=5$ C.L./ac.
(2) 2 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0$ and $N_{1}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $N$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
(4) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. sulphate : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$., ac. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 36 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $2932 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $366.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the main effect of F and interactions NP and NF are significant. Others are not significatt.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2726 | 2814 | 3028 | 2843 | 2869 | 2939 | 2773 | 2856 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 3235 | 2985 | 2926 | 2961 | 3136 | 3199 | 2898 | 3048 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2939 | 2727 | 3004 | 2831 | 2949 | 2904 | 2876 | 2890 |
| Mean | 2967 | 2842 | 2986 | 2878 | 2985 | 3014 | 2849 |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ | 3153 | 2888 | 3001 | 3046 | 2982 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ | 2781 | 2795 | 2971 | 2711 | 2988 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | 2902 | 2676 | 3057 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | 3033 | 3008 | 2915 |  |  |  |  |  |


| S.E. of marginal mean of $P$ or $K$ | $=61.01 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of $N$ or $F$ | $=49.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $P \times K$ | $=105.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $N \times F$ | $=70.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of table $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{N} \times P$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{K}$ or $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $=86.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref :"Mh. 51(68).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To study the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Groundnut.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) Bajra+Tur-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 30.6 .1951 . (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\text {n }}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan(late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) $23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 18.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no manure).
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in between rows.
$\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1484 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 206.7 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(jv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

## Control $=1331 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $M_{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1484 | 1299 | 1708 | 1497 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | 1566 | 1566 | 1331 | 1488 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1509 | 1533 | 1516 | 1519 |
| Mean | 1520 | 1466 | 1518 | 1501 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of control $v s$ any marginal mean | $=59.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 52(96). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type:- 'M'. |

Object :-To study the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Bajra+Tur-Groundnut. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soll analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 22.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) One p'oughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 80 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) 17". (x) 25.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) +a Control (no manure).
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in between rows.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $48.5^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $42.5^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $462 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $106.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## Control $=482 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.


Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn. Sholapur.
Ref :~Mh. 53(149).
Type : ' M '.

Object :-To study the optimum dose and method of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ to Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Bajra+Tur-Groundnut. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 24.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) 80 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding by hand. (ix) $34^{\circ}$. (x) 7.1.1954,
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2) + a Control (no manure).
(1) 3 doses of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=20$ and $\mathrm{P}_{3}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 methods of application of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ Broadcasting, $\mathrm{M}_{8}=$ Drilling in rows and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=$ Drilling in between rows.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 36^{\circ}$. (b) $34^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1034 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 136.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in 1 b ./ac.

Control $=1025 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 1084 | 1142 | 982 | 1069 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 1046 | 1030 | 982 | 1019 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{8}$ | 940 | 1030 | 1078 | 1016 |
| Mean | 1023 | 1068 | 1014 |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean |  |  | $=39.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of control vs. any marginal mean |  |  | $=78.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
| S.E. of body of table |  |  | $=68.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref:-Mh. $51(67)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :-. 'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of rare elements Borax and $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ alone and in combination on leguminous crop Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

I (i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 1.7 .195 I . (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c, $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan (late), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) 23". (x) 19.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $B_{0}=0, B_{1}=2, B_{2}=4$ and $B_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{8}=9 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .

Boron as Borax and Manganese as Manganese sulphate.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ all tound the set plot. (vi) Yes,
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil,

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $1023 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $262.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ili) Main effects of $M$ and $B$ are significant. Interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ | 720 | 870 | 1110 | 1290 | 997 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 900 | 840 | 900 | 900 | 885 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | 990 | 1170 | 1380 | 900 | 1110 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 1080 | 930 | 1260 | 1140 | 1102 |
| Mean | 922 | 952 | 1162 | 1057 | 1023 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=66.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=131.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :-Mh. 52(97). |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. | Type :-'M'. |

Object :-To study the effect of rare elements of Borax and $\mathrm{MnSo}_{4}$ alone and in combination on the leguminous crop Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur: (iii) 21.6.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing. (ix) 17". (x) 26.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~B}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~B}_{2}=4$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 4 levels of Manganese: $\mathrm{M}_{0}=0, \mathrm{M}_{1}=3, \mathrm{M}_{2}=6$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as Manganese Sulphate.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact- in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $491 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $85.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Pod in lb./ac.

|  | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{0}$ | 397 | 485 | 471 | 491 | 461 |
| $M_{1}$ | 506 | 435 | 471 | 472 | 471 |
| $M_{2}$ | 525 | 519 | 521 | 512 | 519 |
| $M_{3}$ | 555 | 491 | 485 | 515 | 511 |
| Mean | 496 | 483 | 487 | 497 | 491 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=17.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of body of table | $=35.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref: Mh. 53(148).
Sie :~Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Type:-'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of rare elements Borax and $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ alone and in combination on the leguminous crop of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra+Tur. (b) Bajra+Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 17.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Big-Japan (late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One interculturing and One weeding. (ix) $35^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 6.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of ( 1 ) and (2)
(1) 4 levels of Boron: $\mathrm{B}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~B}_{1}=2, \mathrm{~B}_{2}=4$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}=6 \mathrm{lb}$. ac .
(2) 4 levels of Manganese : $M_{0}=0, M_{1}=3, M_{2}=6$ and $M_{3}=9 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ a c$.

Boron as Borax and Manganese as Manganese Sulphate.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $4 \times 4$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 16. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 14^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $656 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $B$ alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of Pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M ${ }_{0}$ | 525 | 570 | 675 | 735 | 626 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ | 615 | 585 | 705 | 630 | 634 |
| $\mathbf{M}_{2}$ | 660 | 615 | 690 | 675 | 660 |
| $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | 690 | 680 | 795 | 645 | 702 |
| Mean | 622 | 612 | 716 | 671 | 656 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean S.E. of body of table |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =16.15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} \\ & =32.31 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

## Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif). <br> Ref:-Mh. 49(63). <br> Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. <br> Type :-' M '.

Object :-To study the residual effect of T.C. and other manures on the subsequent crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 29.6.1949. (iv) (a) 3 bakharings. (b) By Argada. (c) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 3 hoeings. (ix) 63.59". (x) 28.10.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control (no manure).
2. 20 lb ./ac. of N as T.C.
3. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as T.C.
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
5. 40 lb ./ac. of N as $F \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{M}$.
6. 10 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C.
7. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N C.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.
9. 20 lb ./ac. of $N$ as $A / S$.

Manures applied to last year's crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv)
(a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of aphids. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1952 (Direct effect up to 1948 and then residual effect up to 1952). (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $609 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $75.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 588 | 6. | 567 |
| 2. | 628 | 7. | 588 |
| 3. | 653 | 8. | 595 |
| 4. | 615 | 9. | 649 |
| 5. | 597 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=30.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim.

Ref:-Mh. 52(129).
Type :-'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of manures applied in 1948-49 on Groundnut yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 7.7.1952. (iv) (a) One ploughing and 3 bakhurings. (b) By Argada. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hceings and 1 weeding. (ix) 17.95". (x) 24.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control (no manure).
4. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T C.
5. $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as T.C.
6. 20 lb ./ac. of N as F.Y.M.
7. $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as F.Y.M.
8. $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ of N as G.N.C.
9. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C$.
10. $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $A / S$.
11. $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$.

Manures applied in 1948-49.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) $21^{\prime}$ betwea plots. (vi) Ycs.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination was not satisfactory in all plots. About 25 to $30 \%$ seed was damaged due to fungus. (ii) Mild attack of aphids which was minimised by lad -bird-beatles. (iii) Germination counts and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1952. (b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(1) $520.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $37.28 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield | Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 550 | 6. | 525 |
| 2. | 533 | 7. | 500 |
| 3. | 520 | 8. | 510 |
| 4. | 528 | 9. | 500 |
| 5. | 517 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=23.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). <br> Ref :- Mh. 50(81).

Site : - Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :- $\mathbf{M}$ '.
Object :-To find out the residual effect of T.C. and other manures on the subsequent crop,

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut. (c) As per treatment:. (ii) (a) Me dium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 7.7.1950. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings (b) N.A. (c) 8 b b./ac. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AE-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 weed ng and 3 hoeings. (ix) $18.42^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 24.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. No manure (control).
4. 10 C.L./ac. cf compost.
5. 20 C.L./ac. of compost.
6. 10 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
7. 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
8. $330 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C.

Manures applied to last year's crop.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\circ}$. (v) $4^{\prime}$ between plets and $4^{\prime}$ between replications. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) Attack of aphids controlled by spraying fish oil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1950.
(b) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $906 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $142.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 970 |
| 2. | 843 |
| 3. | 942 |
| 4. | 888 |
| 5. | 906 |
| 6. | 888 |
| S.E./mean | $=57.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site : Govt. Exptl. Farm, Yeotmal.

> Ref :- Mh. 48(59).
> Type :- 'M'.

Object :-To study the residual effect of compost on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Jowar. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black medium loam. (b) Refer soil analysis, Yeotmal. (ii) 2.7.1948. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) 70 to 80 lb lac. (d and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing in August. (ix) 48.12". (x) End of Oct. 1948.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Control.
2. Compost at 10 C.L./ac.
3. Compost at 20 C.L./ac.
4. Cattle dung at 10 C.L./ac. (F.Y.M.).
5. F.Y.M. at 20 C.L./ac.
6. G.N.C. at 4 md./ac.
7. $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ at $120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N .

These treatments were applied to the previous crop Jowar and their residual effect was studeci on Groundnut.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ acre. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) is47.1548. (i) Yes. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A.
(vi) Excessive rains resulted in low yield. (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $892 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $303.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 987 |
| 2. | 764 |
| 3. | 857 |
| 4. | 890 |
| 5. | 1047 |
| 6. | 847 |
| 7. | 854 |
| S.E./mean | $=123.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 50(83).
Type :m 'C'.

Object : - To find out the most economical spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a; N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) 2.5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. powder. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 19.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 bakharings and one ploughing. (b) Dibbling. (c) 90 lb /ac. (d) As per treatments. (e) Nil. (v) $200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. on 30.6.1950. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 17.10 .1950 .
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings between rows: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 spacings between plants: $S_{1}^{\prime}=6^{\prime \prime} S_{2}^{\prime}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}^{\prime}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40 \mathrm{ac}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Aphid attack, No control measures taken. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $666 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.33 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S^{\prime}$ and interaction $S \times S^{\prime}$ are significant. Main effect of $S$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

|  |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\prime}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}^{\prime}$ |

Crop :m Groundnut (Kharif).<br>Site : $\sim$ Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

## Ref : - Mh. 51(91). <br> Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the most economical spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) 4 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and $300 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of oil cake $+100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola."(iii) 21.7.1951. (iv) (a) 1 heavy bakharing and one light bakharing. (b) Dibbling. (c) $90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) $200 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of G.N.C. one month before sowing. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 24.32". (x) 10.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 spacings between plants : $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=6^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}^{\prime}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }_{3}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Aphids attack observed. No control measures taken. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1609 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $141.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of $S^{\prime}$ and interaction $S \times S^{\prime}$ are significant. Main effect of $S$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1720 | 1695 | 1770 | 1728 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1620 | 1680 | 1620 | 1640 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}^{\prime}$ | 1585 | 1480 | 1315 | 1460 |
| Mean | 1642 | 1618 | 1568 | 1069 |
| S.E. of any marginal mean  <br> S.E. of body of table  |  | $=40.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |


| Crop : Groundnut (Kharif) | Ref: Mh. 52(123). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site : ${ }^{\text {Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. }}$ | Type:- 'C'. |

Object :-To find out the most economical spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CO.\DITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b Jowar. (c) 242 lb /ac. of G N.C. top dressed. (ii) (a) Black citton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 16.7 .1952 . (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) $90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (i) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) AK-12-24. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (vi) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 20.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 spacings between plants : $S_{1}^{\prime}=6^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}=5^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}^{\prime}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Due to unusurl drought conditions pod formation was nct satis'actory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Top and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v. (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii Nil.

## S. RESULTS :

(i) $551 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 66.80 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of $S^{\prime}$ and interaction $S \times S^{\prime}$ are significant. Main effect of $S$ is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $S_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 610 | 605 | 660 | 635 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 545 | 575 | 535 | 552 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 515 | 480 | 400 | 465 |
| Mean | 567 | 553 | 532 | 551 |

S.E. of any marginal mean $=1923 \mathrm{It} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table $\quad=33.40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm. Akola.

Ref : $\boldsymbol{*}$ Mh. $\mathbf{5 3 ( 1 7 1 )}$
Type : " C '.

Object : To find out the most economical spacing for Groundnut.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Cotton. (c) $30 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{N} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S top dressed. (ii) (a' Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 14.71953 , iv) a) NA. (b) N.A. (c) $90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$, (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) 200 lb /ac. of G.N.C. (vi) AK-12-24. (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 1 weeding. (ix) $26.38^{\circ}$. (x) 30.10 .1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings between rows: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 spacings between plants: $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{\prime}=6^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{S}_{2}^{\prime}=9^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}^{\prime}=12^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R B D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of plot. (vi) Yes.

- GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Aphids observed in 1st week of August. The attack disappeared by the presence of lady bird beetles. (jii) Top and pod yield, (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

5. RESULTS:
(i) $1207 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $113.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Both the main effects are highly significant while the interaction is not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{1}$ | 1550 | 1350 | 1370 | 1423 |
| $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ | 1200 | 1200 | 1070 | 1157 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 1160 | 1040 | 920 | 1040 |
| Mean | 1303 | 1197 | 1120 | 1207 |
| S.E. of any margival mean $=32.75 \mathrm{lb}$. <br> S.E. of body of table $\quad=56.72 \mathrm{lb}$. |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Groundnut. (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :- Mh. 51(43). } \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. } & \text { Type :- 'C'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To find out the suitable spacing and seed rate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N A. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 13.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Spanish improved (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) 20.14". (x) 7.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:-

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seedrates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=60, \mathrm{R}_{2}=80$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; $3{ }^{\circ}$ sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $44^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$, $45^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ and $46^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}$, $15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $40^{\circ} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime}, 2.5^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) At the time of pod formation, there was not sufficient moisture in the soil and hence there was not proper development of pods and hence less yield. (ii) Attack of aphis ot served on 13.8.1951. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Dhulia, Karad and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $990 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $43.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $138.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Spacing effect alone is bighly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1110 | 934 | 871 | 972 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 966 | 982 | 1110 | 1019 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 1002 | 954 | 979 | 978 |
| Mean | 1026 | 957 | 987 | 990 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =14.6 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =46.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =80.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac} . \\
& =67 . \mathrm{I} \mathrm{~b} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop: :Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn,, Jalagaon.

## Ref:-Mh. 52(104).

Type : ${ }^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.

Object:- To find out the suitable spacing and seed rate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Cotton. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 28.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish improved (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $17.61^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 27,10.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.

## Sub-plot treatments:

3 seedrates: $R_{1}=60, R_{2}=80$ and $R_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Mainplot: $44^{\prime} \times 90^{\prime}, 45^{\prime} \times 90^{\prime}$ and $46^{\prime} \times 90^{\prime}$ and Sub-plot: $44^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 45^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ and $46^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows on either side and $2^{\prime}$ at either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The germination was satisfactory. Insufficient rain and the diseases hampered the crop to a considerable extent. hence there was low yield. (ii) Attack of aphis observed. Attacked by Tikka and Root-rot disease. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Dhulia, Karad and Padegaon. (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $553 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $111.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) 107.5 lb .ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of podin $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 557 | 551 | 585 | 564 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 551 | 555 | 520 | 542 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 568 | 482 | 613 | 454 |
| Mean | 559 | 529 | 573 | 553 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal mears
$=37.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
2. R marginal means
$=35.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=62.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$

$$
=62.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop:- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :- Mh. 53(132).
Type :- 'C'.

Object:-To find out suitable spacing and seed rate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Cotton. (c) $7 \frac{1}{2}$ C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. $+100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 23.6.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 4 to 6 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish improved (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 23.77". (x) 25.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates: $R_{1}=60, R_{2}=80$ and $R_{3}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) Sub plot : $44^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 45^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}, 46^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ respectively. (b) $40^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) 2 rows on either side and 2' at either ends. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Few gaps were observed due to break of rains. Growth of the crop was very fine. (ii) Attack of aphis and Tikka observed. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) $1951-1954$. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) Dhulia, Karad and Padegaon, (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1736 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $209.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $185.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only seedrate effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 1763 | 1593 | 1676 | 1677 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1856 | 1579 | 1630 | 1688 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1880 | 1748 | 1898 | 1842 |
| Mean | 1833 | 1640 | 1735 | 1736 |

S.E. of difference of two

| 1. $S$ marginal means | $=69.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. $R$ marginal means | $=59.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$ | $=106.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| 4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ | $=111.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res., Stn., Karad.

Ref :- Mh. 52(26).
Type:- ' M '.

Object :-To find out optimum spacing and seed rate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Bajra. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.YM. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied about 1 month prior to sowing and mixed by harrowing. (vi) Spanish-5 (early and erect type). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.10^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

## Main-plot treatments:

3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\circ}, S_{2}=15^{\circ}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\circ}$.

## Sub-plot treatments :

3 seedrates : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=80, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=100$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block and 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iiti 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 13$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1516 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $517.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $472.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 914 | 1801 | 1365 | 1360 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1700 | 1393 | $158+$ | 1559 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | 1557 | 1693 | 1610 | 16.0 |
| Mean | 1390 | 1629 | 1530 | 1516 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =210.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =192.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =334.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =345.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.

Ref:-Mh. 53(303).
Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum spacing and seedrate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 9.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) S C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Spanish (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\circ}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 seed rates: $R_{1}=80, R_{2}=100$ and $R_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) and (b) $15^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1016 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $207.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 974 | 965 | 1050 | 996 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 959 | 880 | 1083 | 974 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 811 | 1156 | 1270 | 1079 |
| Mean | 915 | 1000 | 1134 | 1016 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| S.E. of any marginal mean <br> S.E. of body of table |  |  |  |  |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 52(25). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Karad. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and seedrate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Bajra. (b) Bajra. (c) 3 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Clay loam. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 harrowings and other details N.A. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. applied about 1 month prior 10 sowing and mixed by harrowing. (vi) $\mathrm{K}-1$ (late ; spreading type). (vii) Unirrigated (viii) N.A. (ix) $27.10^{\prime \prime}$. ( $x$ ) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## Main-plot treatments :

3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seed rates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=80, \mathrm{R}_{2}=100$ and $\mathrm{R}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $15^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$, (b)
$13^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ on each side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jalagaon and Padegaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1330 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $209.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $272.6 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) S effect is highly significant. Others are not significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $S_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R_{1}$ | 1731 | 1230 | 911 | 1291 |
| $R_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1526 | 1321 | 1190 | 1346 |
| $R_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1596 | 1230 | 1234 | 1353 |
| Mean | 1618 | 1260 | 1112 | 1330 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =85.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =111.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =192.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} \\
& =179.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $R$ marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site : Agri, Res. Stn., Karad.

Ref :-Mh. 53(302).
Type: :"C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum spacing and seedrate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 10.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Dombi-1. (late) (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $38^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
(2) 3 seedrates: $R_{1}=80, R_{\mathbf{z}}=100$ aed $R_{g}^{\prime}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 9.
(b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $15^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) Nil. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1953-N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1033 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $321.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 843 | 832 | 1070 | 915 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 979 | 1270 | 998 | 1082 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1247 | 1051 | 1005 | 1101 |
| Mean | 1023 | 1051 | 1024 | 1033 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =92.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of table } & =160.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref :-Mh. 51(121).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur.
Type :-‘'C'.
Object :- To find out the optimum line to line spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur. (iii) 11.7.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 3 bakharings. (b) N.A. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) NiL. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings. (ix) 37.55*. (x) 22.10.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

3 line to line spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=24^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1923 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $177.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1920 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1970 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1880 |
| S.E./mean | $=88.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref :- Mh. 52(141). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Nagpur. | Type :- 'C'. |

Object :-To find out the optimum line to line spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nagpur, (iii) 6.7.1952. (iv) (a) 4-5 bakharings and 1 ploughing. (b) Argada sown. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) As per treatments. (e) N,A (v) Mil. (vi) AK-12-24 (medium). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 to 4 hoeings. (ix) 29.32". (x) 16.10.1932.
2. TREATMENTS:

3 spacings between rows: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 3 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) 1/40th ac.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) $1950-$ N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (ai N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1449 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 133.8 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 1468 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1490 |
| $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1388 |
| S.E./mean | $=66.90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lac}$. |

Grop:- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref:- Mh. 50(118).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum seedrate and spacing for Groundnut. .

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharwar (improved). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $22.91^{*}$ (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments:
3 seedrates: $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=80, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=100$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $21^{\prime} \times 46.9^{\prime}$. $22.5^{\prime} \times 46.9^{\prime}$ and $24^{\prime} \times 46.9^{\prime}$ for $S_{1}, S_{g}$ and $S_{3}$ respectively. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) $1950-1952$. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
S. RESULTS :
(i) $1887 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $121.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $138.2 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction $S \times R$ is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | 2202 | 1642 | 1682 | 1842 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | 2122 | 2003 | 1642 | 1922 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1802 | 2043 | 1842 | 1896 |
| Mean | 2042 | 1896 | 1722 | 1887 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means
$=49.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means $=56.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=977 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$ $=93.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res., Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 51(162),
Type:-‘'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate and spacing for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 3.7.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) and (d) As per treatments. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharwar (Improved). (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $14.68^{\circ}$. (x) 2.11.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings: $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments
3 seedrates : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=80, \mathbf{R}_{2}=100$ and $\mathbf{R}_{3}=120 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $16^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$; $15^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}, 15^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 27.6^{\prime}, 12.5^{\prime} \times 25.8^{\prime}$ and $12.5^{\prime} \times 2 \mathrm{j} .1^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\circ}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ spacings respectively. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1555 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $654.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $374.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | Mean |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1658 | 1544 | 1591 | 1598 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1626 | 1570 | 1436 | 1544 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 1664 | 1698 | 1206 | 1523 |
| Mean | 1649 | 1604 | 1411 | 1555 |

S.E. of difference of two

1. S marginal means $\quad=267.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means
3. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=153.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=265.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $S$ means at the same level of $R$

$$
=343.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop :-Groundnut
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Padegaon.

Ref :-Mh. 52(195).
Type :-‘C’.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate and spacing for Groundnat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) Nii, (ii) (a) B type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 29.4.1952. (iv)
(a) N.A. (b) Hand sowing. (c) and (d) As per treatnents. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Dharwar (improved).
(vii) Irrigated. (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) 11.01". (x) 1.9.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

Main-plot treatments :
3 spacings : $S_{1}=12^{\prime \prime}, S_{2}=15^{\prime \prime}$ and $S_{3}=18^{\prime \prime}$.
Sub-plot treatments :
3 seedrates : $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}=80, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=100$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3.2 DESIGN :
(i) Split-plot. (ii) (a) 3 main-plots/block; 3 sub-plots/main-plot. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $19^{\prime} \times 42.0^{\prime}$, $20^{\prime} \times 42.3^{\prime}$ and $21^{\prime} \times 42.3^{\prime}$ for $12^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}$ and $18^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. (b) $15^{\prime} \times 36.3^{\prime}$. (v) $N_{s} A$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1952. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1878 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) (a) $244.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(b) $313.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only S effect is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

S.E. of difference of two

1. $S$ marginal means
$=81.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
2. $R$ marginal means
$=104.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. $S$ means at the same level of $\mathbf{R}$
$=168.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
4. $R$ means at the same level of $S$
$=181.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani.

Ref :-Mh. 52(39).
Type:- 'C'.

Object:-To find out the optimum seedrate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 10.7.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings in April and May. (b) Sown by 4 coultered $12^{\prime \prime}$ seed drill with moghas (bamboo. tubes.. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut No-5 (early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding on 10.8.1952. (ix) $25.56^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 10.11.1452.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 seedrates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=60, \mathrm{R}_{2}=80, \mathrm{R}_{3}=100$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) $93^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $90^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 2 non-experimental rows.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) Crop affected by Tikka disease, no control measures taken. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (b) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $1612 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $145.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | $A v$. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $R_{1}$ | 1408 |
| $R_{2}$ | 1632 |
| $R_{3}$ | 1672 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | 1736 |
| S.E. $/$ mean | $=66.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Parbhani.

Ref:- Mh. 53(8).
Type :- 'C'.

Object :-To find out the optimum seed rate for Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Cotton. (b) Cotton. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton 3oil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 1.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings in April and May. (b) Sown by 4 coultered $14^{\prime \prime}$ seed drill with mogha (bamboo tubes). (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$. (e N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish peanut No. 5 (early), (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weediag on 18.7.1953. (ix) $40.32^{*}$. (x) 29.10 .1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

4 seedrates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=60, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=80, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{3}}=100$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}=120 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 4. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $93^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$, (b) $90^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 2 non-experimental rows. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Excessive vegetative growth due to heavy rains. (ii) Mild attack of aphis in July 1953. crop affected by Tikkha disease, no control measures adopted. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) (a) 1952-1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $1432 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $116.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) Treatment differences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 1224 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | 1400 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1520 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | 1584 |
| S.E./mean | $=52.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:m Mh. 50(156).
Type:- 'C'.

Object :-To find out optimum seed rate for Groundnut crop.
b. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium tlack. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) V.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilled. (c) As per treatments. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ betwee ! rows. (e) -. (v) Ni:. (vi) Big-Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing and 1 weeding. (ix) $24.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

2, TREATMENTS :
2 seedrates : $\mathrm{R}_{1}=60$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 2
(b) N.A. (iii) 4
(iv) (a) $150^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$.
(b) $132^{\prime} \times 22^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv)' (a) No, (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
(i) $753 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $157.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}$. | 622 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$. | 885 |
| S.E./mean | $=78.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Groundnut (Kharif). | Ref:- Mh. 53(131). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. | Type:- 'D'. |

Object :-To study the effect of barmone treatment on growth and yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Jowar. (c) N.A. (iii) (a) Deep black cotton. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 27.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Between rows $12^{\prime \prime}$; between plants irregular. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Spanish (improved, early). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) 23.77". (x) 24.10.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

Seeds treated as follows:-

1. Untreated (control).
2. Water for 20 hours.
3. 0.00033 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
4. 0.00100 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
5. 0.00330 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
6. 0.01000 p.p.m. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
7. $0.03300 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. of $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
8. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 36^{\circ}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ round the net lot. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Many gaps were observed as an effect of harmone treatment; germination took place very early and there was complete break of rains after sowing. Growth of the crop was fairly good. (ii) Attack of Aphis and Tikka observed. Attack of root-rot also observed. (iii) Pod and chaff yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1954. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) Dhulia and Kopergaon. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Experiment failed in year 1952.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1130 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $251.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 979 |
| 2. | 1077 |
| 3. | 1212 |
| 4. | 1172 |
| 5. | 1068 |
| 6. | 1287 |
| 7. | 1114 |
| S.E./mean | $=125.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Karad.

Ref. : ${ }^{-M h}$. 52(222).
Type :- 'D'.

Object :-To test the effect of 2-4-D harmone on the yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black, (b) N.A. (iii) 16.7.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Dombi-1 (spreading; late). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $33^{\circ}$. ( $x$ ) 15.12.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seeds soaked in the solutions of 2-4-D as below :

1. Control (untreated).
2. Water-for 20 hrs.
3. $0.00033 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. for 20 hrs .
4. 0.00100 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
5. 0.00330 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
6. 0.01000 p p.m. for 20 hrs .
7. 0.03300 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) No lodging. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-N.A. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil:
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1033 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $70.47 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. | 1189 |
| 2. | 1025 |
| 3. | 1045 |
| 4. | 1061 |
| 5. | 1000 |
| 6. | 973 |
| 7. | 940 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=35.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Groundunt (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. Res, Stn., Karad.
Ref :-Mh ${ }^{53(300)}$.
Type : - 'D'.

Object :-To study the effect of 2-4-D harmone on Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Groundnut-Jowar. (b) Jawar. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 13.7.1953. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. one month before sowing. (vi) Dombi-1 (late). (vii) Unirrigated.' (viii) N.A. (ix) 38". (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

Seeds soaked in 2-4-D solutions as below

1. Control (untreated).
2. Water-for 20 hrs .
3. 0.00033 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
4. 000100 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
5. 0.00330 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
6. $0.010 \div 0$ p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
7. 0.03300 p.p.m. for 20 hrs .
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $18^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952 -N.A. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $1160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $262.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1217 |
| 2. | 1042 |
| 3. | 1350 |
| 4. | 1274 |
| 5. | 1221 |
| 6. | 930 |
| 7. | 1083 |
| S.E./mean | $=131.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop:- Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref:- Mh. 52(83).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Type:- 'D'.
Object : - To study the effect of treatment of seed with 2-4-D on growth and yield of Groundnut.
```

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Wheat-Groundnut. (b) Wheat. (c) 3 bags/ac. of G.N.C. $+75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of A/S. (ii) (a) Mediun black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iii) 87.19 j2. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilled. (c) 8! lb./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Spanish (improved, early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) One hoeing and 2 weedings. (ix) 11.73". (x) 25 to 28.10.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

Seeds soaked as follows :

1. Control (no soaking).
2. Wdter only for 20 hours.
3. 0.00033 p.p.m. of $2-4 \mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
4. 0.00100 p.p.m. of $2-4 \mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
5. 0.00330 p p.m. of $2-4 \mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
6. 0.01000 p p.m. of $2-4 \mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
7. 0.03300 p.p.m. of $2-4 \mathrm{D}$ for 20 hours.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL:
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of tikka disease. (iii) Pod yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Dhulia and Jalagaon. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
10. RESULTS :
(i) $2115 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $302.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $16 . / a c$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1976 |
| 2. | 2374 |
| 3. | 2115 |
| 4. | 1851 |
| 5. | 1886 |
| 6. | 2,59 |
| 7. | 2348 |
| S.E./mean | $=151.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop: ${ }^{\text {G }}$ Groundnut (Kharif).
Ref :- Mh. 53(34)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Kopergaon.
Type:- 'D'.
Object :-To study the effect of seed treatment with 2-4-D. on the growth and yield of Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) H type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Kopergaon. (iil) 7.7.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once, harrowing onse and planking once. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 6 bags/ac. of G.N.C. (vi) Spanish (improved, early). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) We:dings 3 times. (ix) 17.22". (x) 28.10.1953:
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Control.
4. Seed soaked in water alone for 20 hours.
5. Seed soaked in $2-4-\mathrm{D}$ of 0.00033 p.p.m. for 20 hours.
6. Seed soaked in 2-4-D of 0.00100 p p.m. for 20 hours.
7. Seed soaked in 2-1-D of 0.00330 p.p.m. for 20 hours.
8. Seed soaked in 2.4 -D of 0.01000 p.p.m. for 20 hours.
9. Seed soaked in $2 \cdot 4-\mathrm{D}$ of $0.03300 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. for 20 hours.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime}$ all round the net plct. ' (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Slight attack of tikka disease. (ii) Pod yifld. (iv) (a) 1952-continucd. (b) No. (c) IJ.A. (v) (a) N A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
12. RESULTS :
(i) $4016 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $606.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 3735 |
| 2. | 3879 |
| 3. | 4381 |
| 4. | 3910 |
| 5. | 3812 |
| 6. | 4488 |
| 7. | 3910 |
| S.E./mean | $=303.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Chillies (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:-Mh 52(216).
Type: ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of different methods of application of F.Y.M.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 25 6.1952/16.7.1952. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Forno (local). (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 interculturings and 3 weedings. (ix) 22.03". (x) 15.10.1952, 2.11.1952 and 26.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

(1) General spreading of 15 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. over the entire area.
(2) Local application i.e., putting a handful of F.Y.M. at the place where the plant is to be transplanted. (2-3 C.L./ac.)
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12 . (iv) (a) $65^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) $5^{\prime} \times 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GẸNERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of leaf and versases. Dusting of sulphur controlled it. (iii) Dry chillies. (iv) (i) 1952 to 1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $669.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment difierences are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of dry chillies in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 581.8 |
| 2. | 758.2 |
| S.E./mean | $=34.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Chillies (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm. Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 53(190).
Type:-'M'.

Object:-To study the effect of applying F.Y.M. at the spot of Chillies at the time of transplanting in comparison with simple broadcasting of the manure in the field.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-chillies. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black soil. b Refer ©oil analysis, Poona. (iii) 23.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) 2 ploughing by tractor $7^{\prime \prime}$ to $8^{\prime \prime}$ depth. 5 discing, 3 harrowings in May and June. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Byadagi. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) One weeding and four interculturings, top dressing twice with 25 lb , of N each time through $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (ix) $13^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) 3 pickings on 9.10. 1953, 16.11.1953 and 11.12.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:
(1) 15 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. by spreading all over the area.
(2) 2-3 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. handful applied at the spot before transplanting).
3. DESIGN :
(i) Paired-plot. (ii) (a) 2. (b) N.A. (iii) 12. (iv) (a) $65^{\prime} \times 20^{\circ}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (v) Two rows along the length and one row along breadth on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Leaf curl appeared after September rains. (iii) Yield of green ctillies. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4450 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1748 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of green chillies in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | 4036 |
| 2. | 4864 |
| S.E./mean | $=504 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Garlic (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 51(133).
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Type :- ' M '.
Object :-To find out the best combination of N, P \& K doses that mill give highest yield of Garlic.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS

(i) (a) Nilwa-Ginger-Garlic. (b) Nilwa (Jowar). (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil apalysis, Poona. (iii) 5.11 .1951 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Sowing by dibbling. (c) $350 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\circ}$.(e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Local. (vii) lrrigated. (viii) 1 gap filling, 3 weedings and 1 interculturing. (ix) $26.62^{*}$. (x) 28.2.1952 to 2.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}^{\prime}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{9}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

Super top dressed on 4.11.1952 and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ on 22.11.1952 and 20.12.52 respectively.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $12^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ length wise. (vi) Ycs.
4. ${ }^{\circ}$ GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Heights of plants and garlic balb sield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $5078 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1534 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of N and interactions NP, NK are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of garlic bulb in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 5187 | 4999 | 5322 | 5170 | 4831 | 5376 | 5302 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4173 | 4858 | 6048 | 5026 | 5234 | 4468 | 5376 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 4282 | 4952 | 5877 | 5037 | 4966 | 5246 | 4901 |
| Mean | 4547 | 4936 | 5749 | 5078 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 4811 | 4376 | 4455 | 5010 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4683 | 4609 | 5517 | 5030 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 5537 | 6101 | 5608 | 5193 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=295 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=511 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Garlic (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 52(160). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona. | Type :- 'M'. |

Object :-To find out the suitable combination of N, P \& K doses to get the maximum yield of Garlic:

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nilwa-Ginger-Garlic. (b) Chawli as G.M. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis; Poona. (iii) 23.10.1952. (iv) (a) One harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) $350 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) One clove at a place. (v) Green manuring-chawli buried on 13.9.1952. (vi) Local. (vii) Irligated, (viii) 2 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 22.3 .1953

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \Gamma:-{ }^{2}$ ) 2 ad $\mathrm{N}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=30$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.

N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. applied on 22.10.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime \prime}$. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Garlic bulb yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil.
(vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3080 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $565.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of garlic bulb in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2735 | 3134 | 3733 | 3201 | 3116 | 3394 | 3092 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2230 | 2883 | 3491 | 2868 | 2783 | 2825 | 2995 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{9}$ | 2626 | 3415 | 3473 | 3171 | 3100 | 3370 | 3043 |
| Mean | 2530 | 3144 | 3566 | 3080 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2456 | 3070 | 3473 | 3000 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2732 | 3221 | 3636 | 3196 |  |  |  |
| K2 | 2402 | 3140 | 3588 | 3043 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=108.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=188.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, |

Crop:- Garlic.
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:- Mh. 53(97).
Type:- 'M',

Object :-To find out the best combination of N, P and K does to get the highest yield of Garlic.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nilwa-Ginger-Green manuring Garlic. (b) Ginger. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 2.4.1953. (iv) (a) 3 ploughings, discing and 2 barrowing. (b) Dibbling. (c) 50 lb./ac. (d) $6^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$. (e) 1. (v) Green manuring with chawli. (vi) Local. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Weeding and top dressing. (ix) No rains. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=30$ and $N_{2}=60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as uper: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=15$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=30 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $K_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, K_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 27.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$.
(b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight of garlic. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953 . (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $4852 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1108 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) N effect alone is highly significant.
(iv) Av. yield of garlic in lb ./ac.


Crop :- Ginger (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. College, Farm Poona

Ref:- Mh. 51(131).
Type ${ }^{-}$' M '.

Object :-To find out the best combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K doses for Ginger.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Nil. (b) Fallow. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 28.5.1951.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Planting sets in pits $4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ size. (c) $1280 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $9^{\prime \prime} \times 7^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) College seed. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing, 1 earthing up and 12 weedings. (ix) $26.62^{* *}$. ( $x$ ) 8 to 14.3.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.

Manuring in two equal doses on 2.8.1951 and 10.9.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ at either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Not very satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Ginger yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $3660 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 1642 lb ,/ac.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of ginger in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | ${ }_{0} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 3232 | 4049 | 3516 | 3599 | 3065 | 4412 | 3320 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 3820 | 3577 | 3631 | 3676 | 4148 | 3489 | 3391 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3043 | 3528 | 4544 | 3705 | 3928 | 4149 | 3038 |
| Mean | 3365 | 3718 | 3897 | 3660 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 3301 | 3560 | 4280 | 3714 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 3894 | 4098 | 4058 | 4017 | . |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2901 | 3496 | 3353 | 3250 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal means | $=274 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=474 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Ginger (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 52(158).
Type:-'M'.

Object :-To find out the best combination of N, P \& K doses to give maximum yield of Ginger.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Ni. (b) Nilwa (Jowar). (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Reter sil analysis, Poona. (iii) 25.5.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing in March and 2 in April and 1 harrowing. (b) Dibbling. (c) 1200 lb ./ac. (d) $9^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$. (e) 1. (v) Nil. (vi) College seed. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 earthing up and 3 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\circ}$. (x) 28.2 to 3.3.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ is $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$. $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied tefore planting; $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{~K}$ applied on 15.7.1951 as top dressing.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$. (b) $20^{\circ} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ on either end. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactory. (ii) The tops of plants were showing whiteness due to excess of moisture. (iili) Ginger yield. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1952. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $2620 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $768.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interactions MK and PK are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of ginger in lb./ac.

|  | N | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | K0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 2537 | 2465 | 2773 | 2592 | 2501 | 3009 | 2266 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 2809 | 2537 | 3009 | 2755 | 3244 | 2719 | 2392 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 2211 | 2501 | 2737 | 2483 | 2556 | 2392 | 2501 |
| Mean | 1519 | 2501 | 2840 | 2620 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 2864 | 2628 | 2809 | 2767 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 2556 | 2338 | 3226 | 2707 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 2139 | 2537 | 2483 | 2380 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { S.E. of any marginal means } & =1281 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \\
\text { S.E. of body of any table } & =221.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
\end{array}
$$

Crop:-Turmeric (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref:- Mh. 51(132).
Type:- 'M'.

Object :-To find out the best combination of $N, P$ \& K doses to get the maximum yield of Turmeric.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Maize-Gram-Turmeric. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil onalysis, Poona. (iii) 96.1951 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing. (b) Planting sets in a pit. of $6^{\prime \prime}$ depth. (c) 1600 lb ./ac. (d) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (e) 1 set. (v) N.A. (vi) Lokhandi. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) 26.62". (x) 17.3.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as $S$ uper : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul.: $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

Top dressing of manures in equal doses on 16.7.1951 and 31.8.1951.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 27.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 3. (iv)
(a) $26^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$.
(b) $19^{\prime} \times y^{\prime \prime} \quad$ (v) $3.5^{\prime} \times 2.5^{\prime}$.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) Normal. (ii) Mild attack of leaf-spot disease observed but no measures taken. (iii) Yield of lurmeric.
(iv) (a) 1951 to 1954.
(b) and (c) No. (v)
(v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nul.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 10.87 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.14 ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Main effect of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 9.56 | 11.69 | 11.68 | 10.98 | 11.05 | 10.64 | 11.24 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 9.96 | 11.28 | 11.40 | 10.88 | 10.91 | 10.74 | 10.99 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 10.20 | 10.97 | 11.06 | 10.74 | 10.45 | 10.84 | 10.95 |
| Mean | 9.91 | 11.31 | 11.38 | 10.87 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 9.85 | 11.30 | 11.27 | 10.80 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 9.32 | 11.20 | 11.68 | 10.74 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 10.55 | 11.44 | 11.19 | 11.06 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=0.22$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=0.38$ ton $/ \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Turmeric.
Site :- Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :- Mh. 52(159).
Type:- 'M'.

Object : -To find out the best combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K . doses to get maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) M ize-Gram-Turmeric. (b) Gram. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil anaiysis, Pcona. (iii) 28.5.1952. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 d'scing. (b) Planting sets in a pit of $6^{\prime \prime}$ depth. (c) 1600 lb ./ac, (d) $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$. (e) 1 set. (v) N.A. (vi) Lokhandi. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and one interculturing. (ix) $22.03^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{N}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul: $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied before planting N and K top dressed in two equal doses on 11.7.1952 and 9.9.1952.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $19^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) At germination stage attack of caterpillers. Dusting of gammaxene. Leaf spot disease seen from November onwards. (iii) Turmeric yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1954. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 7.12 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.33 ton/ac.
(iii) Main effects of N alone is significant.
(iv) Av, yield of turmeric in ton/ac.


Crop:- Turmeric.
Site:- Agri. Res. College Farm, Poona.
Ref: Mh. 53(73).
Type: ' M '.
Object :-To find out the best combination of $N, P$ and $K$ doses to get the maximum yield of Turmeric crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Maize-Gram. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 29.5.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughings on 11.3.1953 and 12.5.1953 followed by clod crushing and harrowings. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) 30 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (vi) Soni and lokhandi variety of turmeric. (vii) Irrigated. (vii) 3 weedings and 1 earthing up. (ix) $16.64^{\circ}$. (x) 23.2.1954 and 3.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as A/S : $N_{0}=0, N_{1}=50$ and $N_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=100 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=50$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=100 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{b}_{6}$ /ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) Half-bed of $20^{\prime} \times 28^{\prime}$ size on either sides of treatment bed. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germinatton of soni variety was better than lokhandi variety. The growth was not uniform. (ii) There was slight incidence of leaf-spot during maturity stage. (iii) Turmeic yield. (iv! (a) 1951 to 1954 . (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
(i) 5.57 ton/ac.
(ii) 1.35 ton/ac.
(iii) Only interaction NK is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of turmeric in ton/ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 5.16 | 5.39 | 6.00 | 5.52 | 5.72 | 5.46 | 5.38 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 5.54 | 5.88 | 5.47 | 5.63 | 5.63 | 5.13 | 6.13 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 5.40 | 5.57 | 5.73 | 5.57 | 6.36 | 5.17 | 5.17 |
| Mean | 5.37 | 5.61 | 5.73 | 5.57 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 5.29 | 6.65 | 5.76 | 5.90 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 5.66 | 5.24 | 4.86 | 5.25 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 5.16 | 4.95 | 6.57 | 5.56 |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S.E. of any marginal mean } & =0.26 \text { ton/ac. } \\ \text { S.E. of body of any table } & =0.45 \text { ton/ac. }\end{array}$

Crop :-Guwar (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 51(130).
Type :-‘'M'

Object :-To find out the best combination of $N, P$ and $K$ doses to give maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nilwa-Gram-Guwar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 25.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) 121 lb ./ac. (d) Between rows $18^{\prime \prime}$ and between plants $2^{\prime \prime}$ to $3^{\prime \prime}$. (e)N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Makhani. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 1 weeding and 2 interculturings. (ix) 26.62". (x) 4 cuttings on 21.9.1951, 15, 27.10.1951 and 11.11.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=10$ and $N_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=10$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Pot. Sul. : $\mathrm{K}_{0}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=10$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27 . (b) N.A. (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$, (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Attack of powdery mildew ; dusting of sulphur for check. (iii) Guwar (pods) yield, (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $5242 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1336.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only the interaction PK is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb ./ac.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 5149 | 5465 | 5728 | 5447 | 6117 | 5445 | 4780 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4847 | 5627 | 5667 | 5380 | 53.51 | 4591 | 6198 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 4733 | 4954 | 5008 | 4898 | 4941 | 5277 | 4477 |
| Mean | 4910 | 5349 | 5468 | 5242 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 5412 | 5546 | 5452 | 5470 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4605 | 5156 | 5553 | 5105 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 4712 | 5344 | 5398 | 5151 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=257.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of body of any table | $=445.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :-Guwar (Kharif).
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 52(156)
Type :~'M'.

Object :-To find out the best combination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ and K doses to give maximum yield.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nilwa-Gram-Guwar. (b) Gram. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona, (iii) 22.6 .1952 . (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) Drilled by Tiffan. (c) 12 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ apart, (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Makhani. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 4 weedings. (ix) 22.03*. (x) 10.9 .1952 to 17.12.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(I) 3 levels of $N$ as $A / S: N_{0}=0, N_{1}=10$ and $N_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(2) 3 levels of $P_{2} O_{5}$ as Super: $P_{0}=0, P_{1}=10$ and $P_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(3) 3 levels of $K_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as Put. Sul. : $K_{0}=0, K_{1}=10$ and $K_{2}=20 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN :
(i) $3^{3}$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 27. (b) N.A (iii) 3. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 9^{\circ}$. (b) $36^{\circ} \times 5^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Crop suffered from bacterial disease leaf blight. Rust rot observed. $5 \%$ Limesulphur sprayed as check. (iii) Guwar yield (pods. (iv) (a) 1951 to 1953. (b), (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
(i) $4338 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $12490 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) None of the effects is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Mean | $\mathbf{K}_{0}$ | $K_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 4076 | 4681 | 4547 | 4435 | 4883 | 4032 | 4390 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $4: 68$ | 3920 | 4636 | 4308 | 4323 | 4905 | 3696 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 3942 | 4547 | 4323 | 4271 | 4614 | 4076 | 4121 |
| Mean | 4129 | 4383 | 4502 | 4338 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ | 4300 | 4748 | 4771 | 4606 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | 4188 | 4166 | 4659 | 4338 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ | 3897 | 1233 | 4076 | 4069 |  |  |  |


| S.E. of any marginal mean | $=240.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S . E$. of body of any table | $=4 i 6.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Lucerene (Rabi).
Site:- Agri. College Farm, Poona.
Ref:- Mh. 52(217).
Type :- 'CM'.
Object:-To compare the yiel 1 of Lucerene and Berseem crops grown with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ along with two seed rates.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Sannhemp. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis. Poona. (iii) 11.10 .1952.
(i.) (a) N.A. (b) Broadcasting the seeds in flat beds. (c) As per tratments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil.
(vi) N.A. (vii) lrigated. (viii) Weeding from 21 to 26.12.1952. (ix) 2.07'. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

All combinations of (1) and (2)
(1) 2 seed rates: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super: $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{2}=100$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $2 \times 3$ Fact. is R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}$. (b) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Due to low frequency of irrigation in the month of February the crop went dry. (ii) Attack of aphids, controlled by cutting. (iii) Fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Due to bad quality of seed Berseen crop was a total failure.
5. RESULTS:
(i) $20407 \mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 3336 lb ./ac.
(iii) Main effect of P alone is significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 17106 | 19965 | 20827 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 17583 | 24253 | 22710 |

S.E. of marginal mean of $P$
S.E. of marginal mean of $R$ $=1180 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
$=963 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of body of table

$$
=1668 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
$$

Crop:- Lucer ene and Berseem (Rabi).
Site :- Agri, College Farm, Poona.

Ref:- Mh. 53(76).
Type : ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{CM}$ '.

Object:-To study the comparative performance of Lucerene and Berseem fodder crops with and without $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Lucerene in ridges and furrows. (c) 20 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 21.10.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing by tractor, discing, harrowing 3 times and levelling by plank. Lay out of flat bed. (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Compost at the rate of 20 C.L./ac. was applied at 2 nd harrowing and was mixed with soil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 3.65". (x) Three cuttings on 7.1.1954, 6.2.1954 and 10.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

All combinations of (1), (2) and (3)
(1) 3 levels of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ as Super : $\mathrm{P}_{0}=0, \mathrm{P}_{1}=100$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}=200 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(2) 2 seed rates: $R_{1}=20$ and $R_{2}=40 \mathrm{lb}$./ac.
(3) 2 varieties: $V_{1}=$ Lucerene and $V_{2}=$ Berseem.
3. DESIGN:
(i) $3 \times 2 \times 2$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $24^{\prime} \times 16^{\prime}\left(4\right.$ flat beds of $12^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime}$ ). (b) $20^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ (4 flat beds of $10^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$ ). (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround each bed. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) (a) Aphids on Lucerene only. They were controlled by irrigation after first cutting.
(ii) Fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1952 to 1954. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) Not known. (vi) ani (vii〉 Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $21287 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $3250 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Only main effect of V and P are significant.
(iv) Av. yield of fodder in lb ./ac.


| S.E. of marginal mean of $V$ or $R$ | $=663 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| S.E. of marginal mean of P | $=815 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $V \times P$ or $R \times P$ table | $=1149 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |
| S.E. of body of $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{R}$ table | $=938 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Bajra and Groundnut. <br> Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

Ref : ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 53(113).
Type:-‘X'.

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of legume and cereal for increased yields of both.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Jowar. (c) Green manuring of sannhemp at $40 \mathrm{lb} /$ /ac. (ii) (a) Light yellow. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 22.6 .1953 . (iv) (a) Ploughing discing and harrowing. (bit to (e) N.A. (v) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied at the time of discing the clods during the preparatory stage. (vi) BajraAkola. Groundnut-Spanish groundnut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturing and 1 weeding (ix) $12.80^{\circ}$. (x) 26.9 .1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS

1. One row of bajra and one row of groundnut.
2. One row of bajra and two rows of groundnut.
3. One row of baira and three rows of groundnut.
4. One row of bajra and five rows of groundnut.
5. One row of bajra and seven rows of groundnut.
6. Two rows of bajra and 4 rows of groundnut.
7. 4 rows of groundnut only.
8. 4 rows of bajra only.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $40^{\circ} \times 28^{\prime}$. (b) $36^{\circ} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) 2 rows cn either side and $2^{\prime}$ at either ends. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL:
(i) Lodging due to weight of earheads was seen. (ii) (a) Attack of birds on bajra earheads during the ripening stage. (iii) Grain and fodder yield. (iv) (a) 1951-1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices of Poona district for the crops taken from Season and Crop Report of Bombay State.
11. RESULTS :
(i) 228 Rs./ac.
(ii) 63.78 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of grain and fodder yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 216 |
| 2. | 212 |
| 3. | 290 |
| 4. | 208 |
| 5. | 248 |
| 6. | 231 |
| 7. | 255 |
| 8. | 164 |
| S.E./mean | $=31.89$ Rs./ac. |

Crop :-Jowar and Gram.
Site :-Agri. College Farm, Poona.

## Ref :-Mh. 53(114).

Type :"X'.

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of legume and cereal for increased yields of both.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Groundnut in Kharif. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Poona. (iii) 27.10.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing and harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1 ; Gram-Chofa. (vii) Irrigated. (viii) Interculturing 2 times and weeding from 28.1.1954 to 27.2.1954. (ix) Nil. (x) Jowar 8.3.1954 ; Gram 24.2.1954.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 6 lines of jowar and 6 lines of groundnut.
4. 8 lines of jowar and 4 lines of groundnut.
5. 10 lines of jowar and 2 lines of groundnut.
6. 11 lines of jowar and 1 line of groundnut.
7. 4 lines ol jowar and 8 lines of groundnut.
8. 3 lines of jowar and 9 lines of groundnut.
9. 2 lines of jowar and 10 lines of groundnut.
10. 12 lines of jowar only.
11. 12 lines of groundnut only.
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 14^{\circ}$. (v) 2 rows on either side along length ; $2^{\prime}$ along headlines. (vi) Yes.
13. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1952-1955. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices of Poona district for the crops taken from 'Season and Crop Report of Bombay State'.
14. RESULTS :
(i) 111 Rs./ac.
(ii) 19.13 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of grain yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value | Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 162 | 6. | 154 |
| 2. | 100 | 7. | 148 |
| 3. | 57 | 8. | 22 |
| 4. | 40 | 9. | 185 |
| 5. | 133 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=9.56$ Rs./ac. |  |

## Crop :- Wheat and Gram (Rabi). <br> Ref. :-Mh. 48(91). <br> Site :-Govt. Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. Type :-'X'.

Object :-To determine the most suitable mixture of Wheat and Gram.
. BASAL CONDITIONS
(i) (a) Wheat-Gram. (b) Wheat. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black cotton soil. (b) N.A. (iii) 27.10.1948. (iv) (a) Ploughing and bakharing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Green manuring. (vi) Wheat-Bansipalli ; GramNo. 28. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once. (ix) 33.98'. (x) 7.3.1949.
2. TREATMENTS :

1. $85 \%$ wheat and $15 \%$ gram.
2. $75 \%$ wheat and $25 \%$ gram.
3. $70 \%$ wheat and $30 \%$ gram.
4. $65 \%$ wheat and $35 \%$ gram.
5. $60 \%$ wheat and $40 \%$ gram.
6. All wheat
7. All gram.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Satisfactary. (ii) Nil (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1945-1951. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Whole sale prices of Nagpur district are taken for the crops from 'Indian Agricultural Price Statistics' of the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Gov t. of India.
10. RESULTS :
(i) 117 Rs./ac.
(ii) $16.40 \mathrm{Rs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of grain yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 107 |
| 2. | 113 |
| 3. | 108 |
| 4. | 113 |
| 5. | 116 |
| 6. | 92 |
| 7. | 169 |
| S.E./mean | $=6.70$ Rs./ac. |


| Crop :- Wheat and Gram (Rabi). | Ref :- Mh. 50(141). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Seed and Demonstration Farm, Washim. | Type :- 'X'. |

Object : - To determine the most suitable mixture of Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) Groundnut. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) $18,19.10 .1950$. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .-$ Wheat and $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .-\mathrm{Gram}$. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 18.42". (x) 7.2.1951 Wheat and 27.2.1951 Gram.
2. TREATMENTS
3. $85 \%$ wheat and $15 \%$ gram.
4. $75 \%$ wheat and $25 \%$ gram.
5. $70 \%$ wheat and $30 \%$ gram.
6. $65 \%$ wheat and $35 \%$ gram.
7. $60 \%$ wheat and $40 \%$ gram.
8. All wheat.
9. All gram.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $49 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ between plots $3^{\prime}$ betwrees blocks. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1945-1951$. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Expt. in 1949 has not been analysed as yield data was N.A. (vii) Harvest prices of the crops for Amravati District are taken from 'Tables of Agricultural Statistics' of Madhya Pradesh.
12. RESULTS :
(i) 115 Rs./ac.
(ii) 30.98 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. morey value of grain yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 105 |
| 2. | 117 |
| 3. | 111 |
| 4. | 127 |
| 5. | 136 |
| 6. | 78 |
| 7. | 132 |
| S.E./mean | $=12.65$ Rs./ac. |

Crop :- Wheat and Gram (Rabi).
Ref:- Mh. 52(297).
Site :- Govt. Expt. Farm, Tharsa.

Type :- 'X'

Object :-To compare the two methods of sowing Wheat and Gram as mixed crop.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Tharsa. (iii) 22.10.1952: (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) As per treatments (d) N.A. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Wheat-haward, and gram-A-D-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 27.39‥ (x) 18.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Wheat and gram sown in the same row-seed rate 40 lb ./ac.
4. Wheat and gram sown in the same row-seed rate $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
5. Wheat and gram sown in cross wise direction-seed rate 40 lb ./ac.
6. Wheat and gram sown in cross wise direction-seed rate $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
7. Wheat only-seed rate 80 lb ./ac.
8. Gram only-seed rate 80 lb ./ac.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 6.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $40^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) N A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1952. (b) No. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices of the crops for Nagpur district are taken from tables of Agricultural Statistics of Madhya Pradesh issued by Government of Madhya Pradest, Land Records Departroent.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) 114 Rs./ac.
(ii) 14.01 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of grain yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 119 |
| 2. | 113 |
| 3. | 119 |
| 4. | 109 |
| 5. | 108 |
| 6. | 118 |
| S.E./mean | $=5.72$ Rs./ac. |

Crop :- Wheat and Gram (Rabi).<br>Ref :- Mh. 53(336).<br>Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Niphad.<br>Type:- ' X '.

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Nil. (b) Bajra-Tur. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Niphad. (iii) 3.11.1953.
(iv) (a) N.A. (b) Dibbling. (c) 40 lb ./ac. for both the crops. (d) $10^{\circ}$ between rows. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Nil. (ix) 18.33". (x) 28.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:
I. Gram alone.
3. Wheat alone
4. Gram and wheat lines in the ratio 2: 1 .
5. Gram and wheat lines in the ratio $4: 1$.
6. Gram and wheat lines in the ratio 6:1.
7. Gram and wheat lines in the ratio $8: 1$.
8. Gram and wheat lines in the ratio $10: 1$.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii ${ }^{\prime}$ (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 2 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) For treatment $: 1,2,3-7:-30^{\prime} \times 27.50^{\prime}$, treatment 4-30 $\times 25^{\prime}$, treatment $5-30^{\prime} \times 23.33^{\prime}$ and treatment $6-30^{\circ} \times 22.50^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1953-$ N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices of Nasik district are taken from for Season and Crop Report of Bombay State'.
11. RESULTS :
(i) 117 Rs./ac.
(ii) 25.8, Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantiy.
(iv) Av money value of grain yield in Rs /ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 91 |
| 2. | 149 |
| 3. | 115 |
| 4. | 119 |
| 5. | 125 |
| 6. | 115 |
| 7. | 84 |
| S E./mean | $=18.29$ Rs./ac. |

Crop:- Cotton and Groundnut (Kharif).
Site :-Govt. Expt. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. 50(135).

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of Cotton and Groundaut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Nil (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L/ac. of F.Y.M. and 550 lb /ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 21.7.1950. (iv) (a) 1 heavy of 2 light bakharings. ( 0 , Drilling. c) to (e) N.A (v) 15 lb ./ac. of N as G.N.C on 30.6 .1950 (vi) Cotton: H .420 and 0394 Groundnut : Ak-12-24. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $16.89^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Groundnut $30: 24.10 .1950$. Cotton picked on: 11, 28. 11. 1950, 16. 12. 1950. and 12.1. 1951.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Groundnut alone.
4. 2 rows of H .420 cotton +4 rows of groundnut.
5. 2 rows of H .420 cotton +8 rous of groundnut.
6. 2 rows of H 420 cottor +12 rows of groundnut.
7. 2 rows of 0374 cotton +4 rows of groundnnt.
8. 2 rows of 0394 cotton +8 rows of groundnut.

72 rows of 0394 cotton +12 rows of groundnut.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$. (v) One row on either side of each plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL
(i) Good. (ii) Aphid attack on groundnut in August. Also Groundnut suffered from attack of rot of pods and Asparigillus mould on leaves. No control measures taken. (iii) Grain and kapas yield. (jv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest Prices of Akola District for the crops are taken from Tables of Agricultural Statistic of Madbya Pradesh.
5. RESULTS :
(i) 189 Rs./ac.
(ii) $16.56 \mathrm{Rs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of cotton and groundnut yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 196 |
| 2. | 191 |
| 3. | 199 |
| 4. | 194 |
| 5. | 186 |
| 6. | 180 |
| 7. | 175 |
| S.E./mean | $=8.28$ Rs./ac. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :-Cotton and Groundnut (Kharif). } & \text { Ref :-Mh. 51(192). } \\
\text { Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. } & \text { Type :-'X'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of Cotton and Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Cotton. (c) 2 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. and 600 lb ./ac. of G.N.C. (ii) (a) Black cotton soill. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 23.7.1951. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light $b$ ikharings. (b) Dibbling: (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) $15 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as G.N.C. (vi) Cotton : H. 420 and 0394, Groundrut: Ak-12-24. (vii) Unirrigated, (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) 24.32". (x) 10.11 .1951 groundnut and 7. 12. 1951, 9.1.1952 cotton.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Groundnut alone.
2. 2 rows of H .420 cotton +4 rows of groundnut.
3. 2 rows of $\mathbf{H} .420$ cotton +8 rows of groundnut.
4. 2 rows of H .420 cotton +12 sows of groundnut.
5. 2 rows of 0394 cotton +4 rows of groundnut.
6. 2 rows of 0394 cotton +8 rous of groundnut.
7. 2 rows of 0394 cotton +12 rows of groundnut.
8. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 7. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) and (b) $66^{\prime} \times 16.5^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
9. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950 to 1953. (b) No. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices of Akola District for the crops are taken from Table of Agricultural Statitics of Madhya Pradesh.
10. RESULTS
(i) 383 Rs./ac.
(ii) 26.32 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of cotton and groundnut in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 410 |
| 2. | 365 |
| 3. | 380 |
| 4. | 385 |
| S. | 343 |
| 6. | 406 |
| 7. | 354 |
| S.E./mean | $=13.16$ Rs./ac. |

Grop :r Groundnut and Cotton.
Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref : Mh. 52(232).
Type:- ' X '.

Object:-To find out a suitable mixture of Cotton and Groounduat.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) G.N.C. at $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (ii) (a) Black cotton soi. .bi Refer soil analys.s, Akola. (iii) 15.4.1952. (iv) (a) 1 heavy and 2 light bakharings. (b) Dibbling. (c) Groundnut 90 lb ./ac. ; cotton $\mathrm{H} .420-14.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and cotton $0394-12.14 \mathrm{lb}$. ac . (d. Groundnut $-12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$; cotton $\mathrm{H} .420-18^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ and cotton $0394-24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (vi 15 lb ./ac. of N through G.N.C. as hasal d;essing. (vi) Ground-nut-Ak.12-24 ; cotton-H. 420 and 0394. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $22.03^{\circ}$. (x) 2011.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. Groundnut alone.
4. 2 rows of H 420 cotton after 4 rows of groundnut.
5. 2 rows of H. 420 cotton after 8 rows of grountnut.
6. 2 rows of H .420 cotton after 12 rows of groundnut.
7. 2 rows of 0394 cotton after 4 rows of groundnut.
8. 2 rows of 0394 cotton after 8 rows of groundnut.
9. 2 rows of $039+$ cotton after 12 rows of groundnut.
10. H. 420 cotton alone.
11. 0394 cotton alone.
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes
13. GENERAL :
(i) Due to insufficient moisture in soil, pod formation was very poor. 'iii, Nil. (iii) Grain and kapas yreld. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvess prices for the crops of Akola District taken from 'Table of Agricuitural statistics of Madhya Pradesh'.
14. RESULTS:
(i) 108 Rs./ac.
(ii) $12.66 \mathrm{Rs} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of cotton and groundnut yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value | Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 112 | 6. | 120 |
| 2. | 116 | 7. | 111 |
| 3. | 114 | 8. | 97 |
| 4. | 107 | S. | 82 |
| 5. | 111 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=6.33$ Rs./ac. |  |

Crop:- Cotton and Groundnut.
Site :- Govt Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref :- Mh. $53(314)$.
Type :- ' X '.

Object :-To find out a suitable mixture of Cotton and Groundnut.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) No. (b) Jowar. (c) $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ (ii) (a) Deep tlack cotton scil. (b Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) 13.7.1953. (iv) (a) 2 light and 1 heavy bakharing. (b) to (e) NA. (v) $15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of N through F.Y.M. as basal dressing. (vi) Groundnut-AK. Ii-24, cotton-H. 220 and 0394. (vii) Uairrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings. (ix) $26.38^{\prime \prime}$. (x) $31.10 .1953,11.2 .1953$ and 2.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Groundnut crop alone.
2. 2 rows of $\mathbf{H}, 420$ cotton with 4 rows of groundnut.
3. 2 rows of H .420 cotton with 8 rows of groun nut.
4. 2 rows of $\mathbf{H} .420$ cotton with 12 rows of groundnut.
5. 2 rows of 0394 cotton with 4 rows of groundrut.
6. 2 rows of 0394 cotton with 8 rows of groundnut.
7. 2 rows of 0394 cotton with 12 rows of groundnut.
8. H. 420 cotton alone.
9. 0394 cotton alone.
10. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 9. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 40$ h ac. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
11. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Pod and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1950-1953. (b) and (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Harvest prices for the crop of Akola District are taken from 'Table of Agricultural Statistics of Madhya Pradesh'.
12. RESULTS:
(i) 206 Rs./ac.
(ii) 39.40 Rs./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. money value of pod and kapas yield in Rs./ac.

| Treatment | Av. value | Treatment | Av. value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 242 | 6. | 252 |
| 2. | 214 | 7. | 258 |
| 3. | 231 | 8. | 96 |
| 4. | 240 | 9. | 69 |
| 5. | 249 |  |  |
|  | S,E./mean |  | $=19.70$ Rs./ac. |


| Crop :- Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut (Kharif) | Ref:- Mh. 48(83). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. | Type :- 'R'. |

Object :-To find out the best rotation along with manur $n g$ for the iratt.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b), (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer $s$ il analysis, Akola. (iii) Cotton 27.6.1948; Groundnut 4.7.1948; Jowar 12.7 1918. (iv) (u) 2 heavy and one light hakharing (b) N.A. (c) Jowar $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Cotton $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Groundnut 90 lb ./ac. (d) Cotton $18^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$, Gr und ut $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$, Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Manure is applied every th:rd year to each rotation at $40 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right.$ in the form of F.Y.M. and $\frac{1}{2}$ in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ) (This year it has not been given. (vi) Cotton V-434 (medium); Groundnut Ak-12-24 (early) ; Jowar Saoner (late). (vii) Unirr gated. (viii, 3 hoe ngs, 2 weedings; 2 hoeings, 2 weedings and 3 hoeings, 2 weedings respestively for cotton, groundnut and jowar. (ix) $31.52^{\circ}$. (x) Cotton pickings 13.1.1949, 31.3.1949, 15.4.1949; Groundnut 15.10.1918 and Jowar 25.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS:

7 rotations as follows.

1. Groundnut (GN)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Cotton-Jowar (J).
3. Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton.
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.
5. Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at 40 lb ./ac. of N ; half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) S. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 20$ th ac. (v) One row on either side of the plot is kept as guard row. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth of cotton was not satisfactory. In Jowar, lodging was noticed and also crop slack due to late rains, Groundnut crop satisfactory. (ii) Aphid attack has teen noticed on groundrut but washed by rain. Slight attack of stemborer on Jowar. No control measures taken. (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv)
(a) 1930-continued.
(b) As per rotations.
(c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Cotton.
(i) $122 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $36.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of $k a$ as in $10 . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (6) | (5) | (7) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | GN | GN | C | GN | J | C | J | C | GN | C |
| Av. yield | 151 | 216 | 90 | 192 | 108 | 43 | 121 | 6. | 130 | 62 |
|  | S.E/mean |  | $=16.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Jowar.
(i) $924 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $139.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(6)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | C | C |  |  |
| Av. yield | 895 | 1062 | 872 | 866 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  | $=62.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

III. Crop: Groundnut.
(i) $1024 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $295.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | $(3)$ | $(7)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | J | J | C |  |  |
| Av. yield | 971 | 970 | 1126 | 1029 |  |  |
|  | S E./mean |  |  |  |  | $=132.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :-Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut (Kharif).

Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola.

Ref:- Mh. 49(112)/48(83).
Type:- 'R'.

Object:-To find out the best rotation along with manuring for the tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) As per rotations. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii Coiton 29.6.1949; Groundnut 3.7.1949; Jowar 21.7.1949. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) N.A. (c) Jowar $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Cotton $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Groundnut $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Cotton $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$; Groundnut $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ and Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Manure is applied this year to each rotation at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ; half in form o F.Y.M. and in in form of A/S on 19.6.1949. (vi) Cotton H. 420 (medium) ; Groundnut AK-i2-24 (early) Jowar Soaner (late'. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and one weeding, 2 hoeings and one weeding, rand 2 hoeings and one weeding respectively for Cotton, Groundaut and Jowar. (ix) 42.93". (x) Picking of cotton 12.11.1949, 17.12.1949, 19.1.1950, 16.2.1950. 4 4.1950; Groundnut 24.11.1949 and Jowar 17.12.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

7 rotations as follows :

1. Grcundnut (GN)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Cotton-Jowar (J).
3. Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.

5 Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of N ; half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 20$ th ac. (c) One line on either side of plot is left as border. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Germination in all plots satisfactory. Crop growth good in all crops. Due to late rains in the month of September, the flowers and bolls were affected. There was much shedding of bolls and buds in cotton. (ii) Aphid attack on Groundnut by 6.8 .1949 was noticed. Shedding of bolls and tuds due to Bias-fabia in cotton by 159.1949 : (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1930-continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) No. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Cotton.
(i) $211 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $50.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (7). |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Previous crop | GN | GN | GN | C | J | C | C | J | GN | C. |
| Av. yield | 153 | 236 | 251 | 237 | 227 | 205 | 177 | 213 | 195 | 216. |
|  |  |  |  |  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=22.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop : Jowar.
(i) $1564 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $159.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (2) | (3) | (4) | (6) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | C | C |  |
| Av. yield | 1679 |  | 1454 | 1576 |  |
|  | 1549 |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=71.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

III. Crop: Groundnat.
(i) $827 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 274.4 lb /ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | (7) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | J | J | C |  |  |
| Av. yield | 848 | 780 | 830 | 851 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  | $=122.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

```
Crop :-Cotton, Jowar and Groundnut (Kharif). Ref :-Mh. 50(113)/49(112)/48(83).
Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. Type :-'R'.
```

Object :-To find out the best rotation along with manuring for the tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) As per trentments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) Cotton 15.7.1950; Groundnut 20.7.1950; Jowar 24.7.1950. (iv) (a) 2 neavy and one light bakharing. (b) By tiffan. (c) Cotton $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., Groundnut $9 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. an 1 Jowar $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Cotton $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$, Groundnut $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at 40 lb ./ac. of N ( $\frac{1}{2}$ in the furm of F.Y.M. and $\frac{1}{2}$ in the form of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ). This year it has not been given. (vi) Cotton H-42); Groundnut-AK 12-24; Jowar-Improved Saoner. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 hoeings and 2 weedings for all crops. (ix) $16.8 \mathrm{~J}^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Cotion 8, 22.11.1450, 2.12.1950, 11.1.1951 and 17.2.1951; Groundnut 25.10.1950; Jowar 3.1.1951.
2. TREATMENTS :

7 rotations as follows :

1. Groundnut (GN)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Cotton-Jowar (J).
3. Cotton-Jowar-Gruundnut-Cotton.
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.
5. Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is appled every third year to each rotation at $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}$. of N. (Half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S).
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 2 \mathrm{cth}$ ac. (v) 1 row on either side of the plot is left as border. (vi) No, as per rotation.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Stunted growth of crop especially in groun'nut and jowar due to long spell of draught in August and early cessation of sain in September and se.ondly late sowing of crops due to late showers in the season, (ii) Aphid attack noticed on groundnut by 2 nd week of August., no control measures taken. (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1930-1939. (b) As per rutation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

I Crop: Cotton.
(i) $397 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $106.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | GN | GN | GN | C | C | J | C | J | C | GN |
| Av. yicld | 663 | 656 | 731 | 234 | 190 | 195 | 241 | 177 | 250 | 633 |

II Crop: Jowar
(i) $176 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 6908 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av, yield of grain in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (2) | (3) | (4) | $(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | C | C |
| Av. yield | 178 | 145 | 198 | 182 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=30.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

III Crop: Groundnut.
(i) $496 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $63.06 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | J | J | C |
| Av. yield | 531 | 491 | 506 | 458 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=28.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :-Cotton, Groundnut and Jowar (Kharif). Ref :-Mh. 51(191)/50(113)/49(112)/48(83). Site :-Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. Type :-‘R'.

Object :-To find out the best rotation along with manuring for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) Cottoa 25.6.1951, Groundnut 19.7.1951 and Jowar 24.7.1951. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and one light bakharing. (b) N.A. (c) Cotton $18-20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., Groundnut $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Jowar $8-10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Cotton $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\circ}$, Groundnut $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) 40 lb ./ac. of N, half as F.Y.M. and half as $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$. (vi) Cotton H-420, Groundnut AK 12-24 and Jowar Saoner. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 4 hoeings and 2 weedirgs for all crops. (ix) $24.32^{\circ}$. (x) Cotton 8.11.1951, 8.12.1951 and 19.3.1952, Groundnut 28.11.1951 and Jowar 5.1.1952.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

7 rotations as follows:

1. Groundnut (GN)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Cotton-Jowar (J).
3. Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton.
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.
5. Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at 40 lb ./ac. of N. (half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S.)
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 20$ th ac. (v) One row oneither side of the plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Jowar suffered from top shoot borer in August. (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1930continued. (b: As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

I Crop: Cotton
(i) $752 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $122.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.


II Crop: Jowar.
(i) $1301 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $151.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | $C$ | $C$ | $C$ | $C$ |
| Av. yield | 1168 | 1504 | 1400 | 1134 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=67.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

III Crop: Groundnut.
(i) $1322 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $191.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yeld of pod in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | y | J | C |
| Av. yield | 1304 | 1260 | 1320 | 1404 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=85.5 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |  |

# Crop :- Cotton, Jowar, Groundnut (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 52(229)/51(191)/ 50(113)/49(112)/48(83). <br> Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. Type :- 'R'. 

Object :-To find out the best rotation along with manuring for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) Cotton 25.6.1952; Groundnut 14.2.1952; Jowar 18.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 heavy and one light bakharing. (b) N.A. (c) Cotton $19.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$., Groundnut $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Jowar $8-10 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Cotton $18^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$, Groundnut $12^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ and Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at the rate of $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; half as F.Y.M. and tie nther half as A/S on 22.6 .1952 ; F.Y.M. added as basal dose at 20 lb ./ac. of N on 268.1952 ; A/S added as basal dose at 20 lb ./ac. of N . (vi) Cotton-H420; Groundnut Ak 12-24; Jowar-saoner. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 5 hoeings and 1 thinning. (ix) 22.03". (x) Cotton14.11.1952, 15.12.1952 and 21.1.1953; Groundnut-23.11.52; Jowar-29.12.52.
2. TREATMENTS :

7 rotations as follows :

1. Groundnut (GN)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Cotton-Jowar (J).
3. Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton.
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.
5. Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at 40 lb ./ac. of N (half as R.Y.M. ard half asA.S.*
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $1 / 20$ th ac. (v) One row on either side of the plot. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1930-continned. (bl As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

1. Crop: Cotton
(i) $424 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $72.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly signiffcantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.

| Rotaticn No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | GN | GN | C | GN | J | C | C | J | GN | C |
| Av. yield | 539 | 586 | 262 | 630 | 313 | 387 | 346 | 278 | 580 | 324 |
|  | S.E./mean $=32.54 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop : Jowar
(i) $1004 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $193.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gricin in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (2) | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | $C$ | $C$ | $C$ | $C$ |
| Av. yield | 1136 | 1054 | 976 | 850 |
|  |  | S.E./mean $=86.3 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |  |

III Crop: Groundnut
(i) $505 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.00 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

Rotation No.
Previous crop
Av. yield

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| C | J | J | C |
| 512 | 480 | 492 | 536 |
|  | S.B. $/$ mean | $=$ | $40.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Cotton, Jowar, Groundnut (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(313)/52(229)/51(191) /50(113)/49(112)/48(83). <br> Site :- Govt. Exptl. Farm, Akola. Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $R$ '.

Object :- To find out the best rotation along with manuring for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a), (b), (c) A's 'per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil, (b) Refer soil analysis, Akola. (iii) Cotton 25.6. 1953; Groundnut 10.2.1953; Jowar-13.7.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing on 24.4.1953. (b) Tiffan method for Jowar and cotton. (c) Jowar $8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Cotton 2) $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Groundnut $90 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Jowar $18^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\text {² }}$ : Groundnut $12^{\circ} \times 6^{\circ}$; Cotton- $18^{\circ} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Cottun-H 420 , medium ; Jowar-improved saoner ; Groundnut AK 12-24. (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) 4 boeings and 3 weedings. (ix) $26^{\prime \prime}$. ( x ) Cotton 26.11.1953, 31.12.1953, 13.2.1954 ; G̈roundnut 30.10.1953 : Jowar 25.12.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

7 rotations as follows :

1. Groundnut (G.N)-Cotton (C).
2. Groundnut-Coiton-Jowar (J)
3. Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut-Cotton.
4. Jowar-Cotton-Cotton.
5. Cotton alone.
6. Jowar-Cotton.
7. Groundnut-Cotton-Cotton.

Manure is applied every third year to each rotation at 40 lb ./ac. of N (half as F.Y.M. and half as A/S.)
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 18 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $66^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) One lius on either side of the plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal.
(ii) Nil.
(iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield.
(iv) (a) 1930-continued.
(b) As per rotations.
(c) Nil. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nıl
5. RESULTS:
I Crop: Cotton

| (i) | $396 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (ii) | $60.40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{lac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (iii) | Treatments differ highly significantly. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (iv) | Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (7) |
| Previous crop | GN | GN | GN | C | C | J | C | J | C | GN |
| Av. yield | 595 | 522 | 565 | 373 | 307 | 198 | 245 | 248 | 295 | 608 |

II Crop: Jowar.
(i) $1348 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $212.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (2) | (3) | (4) | (6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | C | C |
| Av. yield | 1500 | 1386 | 1296 | 1212 |
|  |  | S.E./mean $=94.8$ |  |  |
|  |  | ./ac. |  |  |

III Crop: Groundnat
(i) $1062 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $155.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ sigaificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in Ib./ac.

| Av) Av. yor | (1) | (2) | (3) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | C | J | J | C |
| Previous crop | 1040 | 956. | 1012 | 1240 |
| Av. yield |  | S.E./mean $=69.6 \mathrm{Jlb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :-Jowar-Chinamug-Groundnut-Gram-Wheat. Ref :mMh. 51(206). <br> Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Chas. <br> Type :-'R'.

Object:-To ind out the best Rabi cereal and legume rotation for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) Groundnut 30.6.1951; China. mug 25.6.1951 ; Jowar 23.9.1951; Gram 30.9.1951 and Wheat 6.10.1951. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Jowar$4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, Wheat and Gram $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., Chinamug $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ for Jowar and $12^{\circ}$ for others. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) From May to August $10^{*}$ from September to December $13^{*}$. ( x ) 29.11.1951, 30.8.1951, 13, 30.1.1952. 8.2.1952. for Groundnut, Chinamug, Jowar, Gram and Wheat respectively.
2. TREATMENTS :
3. 11 rotations as follows :-
4. J-Jm-J-J.
5. Cmp/J every year.
6. $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ every year.
7. GNp-J.
8. GN-J.
9. $G p-J$.
10. $\mathbf{G}-\mathrm{J}$.
11. $W p-J$.
12. W-J.
13. F-Jp.
14. $F-J$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Details of rotations :- } \\
&=\text { Jowar unmanured. } \\
& \mathrm{Jm}= \text { Jowar manured with } 5 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{L}, / \mathrm{ac} . \text { of } \mathrm{F} . \mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{M} . \\
& \mathrm{Cmp}= \text { Chinamug manured with } 40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} . \\
& \mathrm{Cm}=\text { Chinamug unmanured. } \\
& \mathrm{GNp}= \text { Groundnut manured with } 40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} . \\
& \mathrm{GN}= \text { Groundnut unmanured. } \\
& \mathrm{Gp}= \text { Gram manured with } 40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} . \\
& \mathrm{G}= \text { Gram unmanured. } \\
& \mathrm{Wp}= \text { Wheat manured with } 40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} . \text { of } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} . \\
& \mathrm{W}= \text { Wheat unmanured. } \mathrm{F}=\text { Fallow. } \\
& \text { Cmp/J, Cm/J indicates that crops are grown in Kharif and } \\
& \text { Rabi respectively. } \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \text { applied as Super. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 22.
(b) N.A.
(iii) 6. (iv) (a) N.A. (b)
(b) $45^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) No. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jeur. (b) -. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Jowar.
(i) $342 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $150.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | J | J | J | Jm | Cmpl | Cm/J | J |
| Previous crop | J | J | Jm | J | Cmpl3 | Cm/J | GNp |
| Av. yield | 225 | 302 | 351 | 405 | 333 | 301 | 336 |
| Rotation No. | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Crop | J | J | J | J | J | Jp | J |
| Previous crop | GN | Gp | G | Wp | W | F | F |
| Av. yield | 462 | 457 | 294 | 455 | ; 83 | 209 | 283 |
| S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=61.5 \mathrm{Jb}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Chinamug
(i) $352 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 98.16 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Chinamug in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Crop | $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 416 | 289 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=40.08$ |  |

III. Crop: Groundad.
(i) $944 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $170.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | J | J |
| Av. yield | 1126 | 762 |
| S.E./mean | $=69.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

IV. Crop: Gram
(i) $249 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $44.69 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in $\mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Gp | G |
| Previous crop | $J$ | $J$ |
| Av. yield | 237 | 261 |
| S.E $/$ mean | $=18.25$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

V. Crop: Wheat
(i) 116 lb /ac.
(ii) $73.87 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Wp | W |
| Previous crop | $J$ | $J$ |
| Av. yield | 131 | 102 |
| S.E./mean | $=30.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{Jac}$. |  |

## Crop :-Jowar, Chinamug, Groundnut, Gram and Wheat.

Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Chas.

Ref :-Mh. 53(333)/51(206).

Type:-'R'.

Object :-To find out the test Rabi cereals and legume rotation for the tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) One ploughing. (b) Drilled. (c) Jowar-4 lb./ac. ; Wheat and Gram-60 lb./ac. and Chinamug-10 lb./ac. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ for Jowar and $18^{\prime \prime}$ for other crops. (e) - (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $16^{*}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

11 rotations as follows :-

1. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{Jm} \rightarrow \mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}$.
2. $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ every year.
3. $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ every year.
4. $\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{J}$.
5. GN-J.
6. Gp-J.
7. G-J.
8. $\mathrm{Wp}-\mathrm{J}$.
9. $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{J}$.
10. F-Jp.
11. $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{J}$.

[^10]3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 22 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 4.
(iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $45^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$
(v) N.A. (vi) As per rotation.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop : Jowar
(i) $297 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $135.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb/ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | 1 | J | J | J | Cmp/J | Cm/J | J | y |
| Previous crop | J | Jm | J | Jm | Cmp/ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ | GNp | GN |
| Av. yield | 160 | 259 | 170 | 327 | 373 | 263 | 453 | 369 |
| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |  |  |
| Crop | 1 | J | J | J | JP | J |  |  |
| Previous crop | GP | G | Wp | W | F | F |  |  |
| Av. yield | 429 | 302 | 272 | 278 | 215 | 291 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=67.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Gram
(i) $160 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $36.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(tv) Av. yield of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Gp | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 162 | 158 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=18.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

III. Crop: Wheat
(i) $47 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $18.36 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (8) | (9) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop | Wp | $\mathbf{W}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 60 | 33 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=9.18 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Note :-Yields of Chinamug and Groundnut-N.A.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Chinamug-Chavali etc. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 51(205) . \\
\text { Site :-Agri. Res. Stn. Chas. } & \text { Type :- 'R'. }
\end{array}
$$

Ohject :-To find out the best rotation of Kharif legumes ard cereals for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) Chinamug 25.6.1951; Chavali 5.7.1951. ; Hulga 5.7.1951 ; Bajri-Tur 5.7.1951 and Groundnut 30.6.1951. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowing. (b) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $10.99^{*}$. (x) Chinamug 30.8.1951 ; Chavali 4.11.1951; Hulga 15.11.1951 ; Bajri-Tur 21.10 .1951 and 5.2.1952; Grountnut 26.12.1951.
2. TREATMENTS:

## 11 rotations as follows:

1. BT every year.
2. BT every year.
3. $B T p-B T$.
4. $\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
5. GN-BT.
6. $\mathrm{Hp}-\mathrm{BT}$.

7, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BT}$.
8. $\mathrm{Mgp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
9. $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{BT}$.
10. $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
11. $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{BT}$.

Details of rotations:
BT = Bajra and Tur in ratio 3:1.
BTp $=$ Bajra and Tur manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN $=$ Groudnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Hp}=$ Hulga manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.
$\mathrm{H}=$ Hulga unmanured.
$\mathbf{M g p}=$ Chinamug manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Mg}=$ Chinamug unmanured.
$\mathrm{Cp}=$ Chavali manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
C Chavali unmanured.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 20 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) Repl. 1, 2,3 and 4. Gross plot : $35.5^{\prime} \times 35.5^{\prime}$ Net Plot $33.5^{\prime} \times 33.5^{\prime}$; Repl. : 6-Gross plot : $49^{\prime} \times 26^{\circ}$ Net Plot : $47^{\prime} \times 27^{\prime}$; Repl. 5-Gross plot $28.75^{\prime} \times 42.50^{\prime}$; Net plot $26.75^{\prime} \times 40.50^{\prime}$. (v) $2^{\prime}$ at each side. (vi) No, as per rotation.

- GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant counts, height, grain and pod yields. (iv) (a) 1948-contd. (b) As per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Data for 1949, 1950 and 1954 are N.A.

5. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Bajra
(i) $145 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $52.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.

| (iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (II) |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | Br |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 119 | 143 | 123 | 143 | 134 | 177 | 164 | 169 | 118 | 115 | 175 | 163 |
| S.E./mean $=21.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II Crop: Tur
(i) $52 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $19.98 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of tur in $\mathrm{ID} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 64 | 65 | 38 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 39 | 48 | 68 | 70 | 37 | 34 |
| S.E./mean | $=12.15 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{/ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III Crop: Groundnut

(i) $773 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $156.6 \mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av . yield in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 767 | 778 |
| $\quad$ S.E. $/$ mean | $=63.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}.$. |  |

$v$ Crop : Chinamug
(i) $70.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $25.46 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatmenis do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Crop | Mgp | Mg |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield. | 75 | 65 |
| S.E./mean |  | $=10.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## IV Crop. Hulga

(i) $96 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 17.56 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Hulga in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Hp | H |
| Previous crop | BT | B7 |
| Av. yield | 102 | 91 |
| S.E./mean | 7.17 |  |

## VI Crop : Cbavali

(i) $72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $28.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield in lb ./ac.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | (10) | (11) |
| Crop | Cp | C |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 92 | 53 |
| S.E./mean | $=11.79 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Bajra, Tur, Chinamug, Chavali, Groundnut and Hulga.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas,
Ref :- Mh. 52(303)/51(205)
Type : ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$ '.

Object :-To find out the test rotation of Kharif legumes and cereals for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) Bajra-Tur 29.6.1952; Chinamug 166.195'. ; Chavali and Hulga 29.6.1952; Groundnut 21.6.1952. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Interculturing twice. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

11 rotations as follow :-

1. BT every year.
2. BT every year.
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
4. $G N p-B T$.
5. GN BT.
6. $\mathrm{Hp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
7. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BT}$.
8. $\mathrm{Mgp}-\mathrm{BT}$.

Details of rotations :
BT $=B$ ijra and Tur in ratio 3:1.
$\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra and Tur manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathbf{G N p}=$ Groundnut manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN = Grcundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Hp}=$ Hulga manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{H}=$ Hulga unmanured.
$\mathrm{Mgp}=$ Chinamug manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Mg}=$ Chinamug unmanured.
9. $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{BT}$.
$\mathrm{Cp}=$ Chavall manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
10. $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
C =Chavali unmanured.
11. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{BT}$.
3. DESIGN :
R.B.D. rotation.
a. GENERAL:
(i) Not satisfactory due to less rain. (ii) Nil. (iii) Plant count, plant hight, grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1948-continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Jeur. (b) - (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

1. Crop: Bajra
(i) $37 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $18.32 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 29 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 42 | 34 | 38 | 47 | 43 | 49 | 53 |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) 190.0 lb ./ac.
(ii) 33.00 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
III. Crop : Hulga
(i) $59.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $10.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of hulga in lb./ac

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Hp | H |
| Previous crop | Br | Br |
| Av. yield | 67 | St |
|  | S.E./mean |  |
|  | $=4.38 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

v. Crop: Charali
(i) $17.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $6.90 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of chavali in $\mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Crop | Cp | C |
| Previots crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 22 | 13 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=2.82 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

> Crop :- Bajra, Tur, Chinamug, Chavali, Ref :- Mh. 53(332)/52(303)/51(205). Groundnut, and Hulga.
> Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Chas. Type :- 'R'.

Object:-To find out the best rotation of kharif legumes and cereals for the tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 1 ploughing and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) Bajra 3 lb ./ac.; Tur $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Chavali, Hulga and Moong $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Groundnut 80 lb ./ac. (d) $12^{2}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Medium. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturinge. (ix) $8.30^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

11 rotations:

1. BT every year.
2. BT every year.
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
4. $\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
5. $\mathrm{GN}-\mathrm{BT}$.
6. $\mathrm{Hp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
7. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BT}$.
8. $\mathrm{Mgp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
9. $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{BT}$.
10. $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{BT}$.
11. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{BT}$.

Details of rotation :
BT = Bajra and Tur in ratio 3:1.
$\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra and $T_{u r}$ manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{3}}$.
$\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN = Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{HP}=$ Hulga manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
H = Hulga unmanured.
$\mathrm{Mgp}=$ Chinamug manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Mg}=$ Chinamug unmaured.
$\mathrm{Cp}=$ Chavali manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$.
C Chavali unmanured.
3. DESIGN:
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 20 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 37^{\prime}$. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) No, as pef rotations.
4. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) $1948-$ continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil.
(v) (a) Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop : Bajra
(i) $58 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $17.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(1)^{\prime}$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | (10) | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Hgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 47 | 42 | 59 | 57 | 53 | 58 | 63 | 49 | 65 | 50 | 88 | 66 |

II. Crop: Tur
(i) $4 \mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $16.50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of tur in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTP | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 53 | 40 | 51 | 50 | 39 | 54 | 42 | 39 | 41 | 35 | 25 | 25 |
| S.E./mean $\quad 6.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III. Crop: Ground nut

(i) $673.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.64 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. |  | (4) | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop |  | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |  |
| Av. yield |  | 720 | 627 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=41.09 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

IV. Crop : Hulga
(i) $81.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $28.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of hulga in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Hp | H |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 90 | 72 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean | $=11.76 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## VI. Crop :Chavali

(i) $78.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $21.92 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of chavali in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(10)$ | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Crop | Cp | C |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| A v. yield | 70 | 87 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=8.95 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

```
Crop: Jowar-Cotton-Groundnut (Kharif). Ref:-Mh. 49(118).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. Type:- ' \(R\) '.
```

Object :-To study the best rotation for Cotton and Jowar with and without legume.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon (iii) 30.6.1949. (iv) a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Jowar, $6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Udid, $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cotton and $50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Groundnut. (d) $13^{\prime \prime}$ to Cotton and owar and $12^{\prime \prime}$ for Groundnut. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (i) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii; 4 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) $44.17^{\pi}$. (x) Groundnut 26.10.1949:Jowar 8.12 .1949 and Cotion 17 to 21.11.1959.
2. TREATMENTS :

11 rotitions:

1. Cm every year
2. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{C}$
3. Jm every year
4. Jm-J
5. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}$
6. $C-G$
7. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{G}$ Jowar is sown mixed with Udid in 1:2 ratio.
8. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{G}$
9. $\mathbf{C m}-\mathbf{J} \mathbf{G}$
10. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$
11. J-G Uriginal plots (22, of size $62^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ (Gross) were further divided from

Details of rotations :
$\mathrm{Cm}=$ Cotton manured with 5 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M.
C $=$ Cotton unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M.
J =Jowar unmanured.
G =Groundnut unmanured. 1951-1952 into two equal parts making in all 44 (sub) plots in each replication. Further, the plots in which Groundnut is sown were suffixed with 1 and 2. The plots suffixed with 1 were given a dose of 100 lb ./ac. of Super.]
3. DESIGV:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 62^{\circ}$. (b; $18^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ on all sides. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of Aphids on Groundnut. (iii) Fodder, grain, pods and kupas yield, (iv) (a) 1949-contınued. (b) As per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Jowar
(i) $1789 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $246.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ siguificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

11. Crop: Cotton
(i) $453 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $91.23 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lo./ac.

III. Crop : Groundnut
(i) $820 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $143.80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | G | G | G | G | G | G |
| Av. yield | 819 | 837 | 846 | 772 | 779 | 870 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=58.7 \mathrm{lb}$ //ac. |  |  |  |

Crop:-Jowar, Cotton and Groundnut (Kharif). Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon.

Ref :-Mh. 50(142)/49(118).
Type : ${ }^{\prime}$ 'R'.

Object: - To study the best rotation for Cotton and Jowar with and without legume.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 9.7.1950. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Driling. (c) $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Jowar mixed with $6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Udid; $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cotton; 50 lb ./ac. of Groundnut. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ for Cotton and Jowar and $12^{\prime \prime}$ for Groundnut. (e) - . (v) Nil. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) $21.73^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Cotton 15.11.1950; Jowar 17.12.1950; Groundnut 28.10.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

11 rotations as follows :

1. Cm every year
2. $\mathbf{C m}-\mathrm{C}$
3. Jm every year
4. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{J}$
5. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}$
6. $C-G$
7. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{G}$
8. J-G
9. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{G}$
10. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$
11. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$

Details of rotations :
$\mathrm{Cm}=$ Cotton manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
$\mathbf{C}=$ Cotton unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
J =Jowar unmanured.
$\mathbf{G}=$ Groundnut unmanured.

Jowar is sown mixed with Udid in 1:2 ratio [Original plots (22) of size $62^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ (Gross) were further divided from 1951--1952 into two equal parts making in all 44 (sub) plots in each replication. Further, the plots in which Groundnut is sown were suffixed with 1 and 2. The p'ots suffixed with 1 , were given a dose of 100 lb ./ac. of Super].
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 22
(b) N.A. (iii) 6.
(iv) (a) $30^{\circ} \times 62^{\prime}$.
(b) $18^{\prime} \times 50^{\circ}$. (v) $6^{\prime}$ ring round.
(vi) No., as per rotation.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Aphids and Tikka disease on Groundnut. Attack of stem-borer on Jowar. Anthonare disease on Cotton in young stage. (iii) Grain, kapas and pods yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) As per rotations. $\qquad$ (v) (a) No.
(b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

I Crop: Jowar
(i) $1593 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $200.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Jm | J | Jm | J | J | Jm | J | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 1450 | 1282 | 1365 | 1252 | 2211 | 2211 | 1262 | 1715 |
|  |  |  |  | S.E./mean | $=82.0 \mathrm{bb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |

II Crop: Cotton
(i) $583 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $80.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | 2 | (2) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Crop | Cm | C | Cm | Cm | C | Cm | Cm | Cm |
| Av. yield | 523 | 466 | 430 | 575 | 705 | 718 | 532 | 772 |
|  |  |  |  | S.E./mean | $=32.91 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |

## III Crop: Groundnut

(i) $861 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $111.1 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $G$ | $G$ | $G$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| Av. yield | 916 | 933 | 784 | 845 | 820 | 869 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $=45.35 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Jowar, Cotton and Groundnut (Kharif). Ref :-Mh. 51(202)/50(142)/49(118). Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jalagaon. Type :-‘R'.

Object:-To study the best rotation for Cotton and Jowar with and without legume.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 11.7.1951. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Jowar mixed with 6 lb . ac. of Udid; $\mathrm{lu} \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cotton; $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Groundnut. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ for Cotton and Jowar; $12^{\circ}$ for Groundnut. (e) $\rightarrow$ (v) Nii. (vi) N.A. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 weedings and 4 hoeings. (ix) $20.14^{*}$. (x) Groundnut 11.1.19j1; Jowar 4.12.1951; Cotton 21.11.1951 to 1.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

| 11 rotations: | Details of rotations : |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cm every year | $\mathrm{Cm}=$ Cotton manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. |
| 2. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}=$ Cotton unmanured. |
| 3. Jm-every year | $\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. |
| 4. Jm-J | $\mathrm{J}=$ Jowar anmanured. |
| 5. $\mathrm{Cm} \rightarrow \mathrm{J}$ | $\mathbf{G}=$ Groundnut unmanured. |
| 6. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{GP}=$ Groundnut manured with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super. |
| 7. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{G}$ | Jowar is suwn mixed with Udid in 1:2 ratio |
| 8. J G | Original plots (22) of size $62^{\prime} \times 30^{\circ}$ (Gross) were further divided from |
| 9. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{G}$ | 1951-52 into two equal parts making in all 44 (sub) plots in each |
| 10. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$ | replication. Further, the plots in which Groundnut is sown were |
| 11. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$ | suffixed with 1 and 2 . The plots suffled with I were given a do e of 100 lb./ac. of Super. |

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $30^{\prime} \times 31^{\prime}$ (sub-plot); $62^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ (main-plot). (b) $22^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ (sub-plot) ; $50^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ (main-plot) (v) $3^{\prime}$ on the sids of common strip (i.e. in the middle of main-plot) and $6^{\prime}$ on either eads of main-plot and $6^{\prime}$ on both sides of main-plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Attack of Aphids on Groundnut. Attack of stem-borer on Jowar. (iii) Grain, kapas and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949 (modified in 1951--52)-contd. (b) As per rotations. (c Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

I Crop: Jowar
(i) $1303 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $273.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in fb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(8)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Jm | J | Jm | Cm | J | J | Jm | Jm | J | J | Cm |
| Previous crop | Jm | Jm | J | J | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp | J |
| Av. yield | 1114 | 1068 | 1143 | 1129 | 1534 | 1527 | 1573 | 1466 | 1353 | 1345 | 1082 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=136.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## II Crop: Cotton

(i) $623 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $117.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb./ac.


## III Crop: Groundnut

(i) $850 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $163.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ |
| Av. yield | 813 | 780 | 809 | 902 | 845 | 806 |
| Rotation No. | $(9)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ | $(11)$ |
| Crop | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ |
| Av. yield | 948 | 914 | 804 | 782 | 953 | 786 |
|  |  | S.E./mean |  | $=66.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

$\begin{array}{r}\text { Crop :- Jowar, Cotton, Groundnut (Kharif). } \quad \text { Ref :- Mh. 52(302)/51(202) } \\ \hline 50(142) / 49(118) .\end{array}$
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn. Jalagaon. Type :- 'R'.

Object :-To study the best rotation for Cotton and Jowar with and without legume.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Deep black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 26.6.1952. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Jowar mixed with 6 lb ./ac. of Udid; $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Cotton; 60 lb ./ac. of Groundnut. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ for Cotton and Jowar ; $12^{\prime \prime}$ for Groundnut. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Cotton-Jarila Jowar-Aispuri; Groundnut-Spanish Groundnut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 hoe:ngs. (ix) 17.61". (x) Groundnut 7.11.1952; Jowar 27.11.19:2; Cotton 31.10.1952 to 21.1.1943.
2. TREATMENTS:

11 Rotations: Details of rotations:

1. Cm every year. $\quad \mathrm{Cm}=$ Cotton manured with 5 C.L., F.Y.M./ac.
2. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{C}$
3. Jm every year.
4. Jm-J
5. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}$
6. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$
7. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{G}$
8. J-G
9. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{G}$
10. $\mathbf{C m}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$
11. $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$
$\mathrm{C}=$ Cotton unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L., F.Y.M./ac.
J =Jowar unmanured.
$G=$ Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Gp}=$ Groundnut manured with 100 lb ./ac. of Super.
Jowar is sown mixed with Udid in 1:2 ratio.
[Original plots (22) of size $62^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$ (Gross) were further divided from 1951-52 into two equal parts making in all 44 (sub.) piots in each replication. Further, the plots in which, groundnut is sown were suffixed with 1 and 2 . The plots suffixed with 1 were given a dose of 100 lb ./2c. of Super].
12. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22 ( 44 sut-plot). (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) No, as per rotation.
13. GENERAL :
(i) Normat. (ii) Tikka and root-rot disease on Grouncinut. Attack of stem tores on Jowar. (iii, Grain, pods and Kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1950 (modified in 1951-1952) continued. (t) Yes, As per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
14. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Jowar.
(i) $543 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $223.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly sign ificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb./ac.


## II. Crop : Cotton.

(i) $3760 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 118.6 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments differ highly s'gnificantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in lb. /ac.

III. Crop : Groundnut.
(i) $396.0 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{c}$.
(ii) $96.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signiicant'y.
(iv) Av. y eld of pod in lb. .fac.

| Rotation No. | 161 | (6) | (7) | (7) | (8) | (8) | (9) | (9) | (0) | (10) | (11) | (II) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | Ca | Cm | J | J | Jm | Jm | ${ }^{+}$ | j | Cm | Cm |
| Crop | G | Gp | G | Gp | $G$ | Gp | G | Gp | (1) | Gp | G | Gp |
| Av. yield | 361 | 4.3 | 374 | 3.1 | 350 | 433 | 460 | 411 | 395 | 441 | 378 | 386 |

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Crop :- Jowar-Cotton-Groundnut Kharif). } & \begin{array}{r}
\text { Ref :- Mh. } 53(329) / 52(302) / \\
\\
\\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res Stn., Jalagaon. }
\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Type :- ' } \mathrm{R} \text { '. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To study the best rotation for Cotton an I lowar with and without legume

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments (ii) (a De:p la $k$ (b Refer soil analysis, Jalagaon. (iii) 22.6.1953. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Driling. (.) $3 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Jowir mixed with $6 \mathrm{ib} / \mathrm{ac}$. of Udid; 10 lb .'ac. of cotton; 60 lb lac. of Groundnut. (d, $18^{\prime \prime}$ for cotron md Jowar; $12^{\prime \prime}$ for Groundnut. (e, -. (v) Nil. (vi) Cottun-Jarila: Groundnut ( Sp inish Groundnut) ; Jwar-A'spuri. (vii) Unirrgated. (viii) 3 weedings and 3 hoeings. (ix) 23.7". (x) Jowar 4.11.17,3; Gr sualaut 21.11..953; Cotvo J.11.1953 to 27.12.195.5.
2. TREATMENTS:

|  | 11 rotations :- |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Cm every year |
| 2. | $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{C}$ |
| 3. | Jm every year |
| 4. | $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{J}$ |
| 5. | $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}$ |
| 6. | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{G}$ |
| 7. | $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{G}$ |
| 8. | $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$ |
| 9. | $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{G}$ |
| 10. | $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$ |
| 11. | $\mathrm{Cm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{G}$ |

> Details of rotations:-
> $\mathrm{Cm}=$ Cotton manured with 5 C.L., ac. of P.Y.M.
> $\mathrm{C}=$ Coiton unmanured.
> $\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar ma ured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
> $\mathrm{J}=$ Jowar u manured.
> $G=$ Groundn t unmanured.
> Gp = Gruundnut ma ured with $100 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Super.
> Jowar is sown mixes with Udid in 1:2 ratio.
> LOrig nal plots 22 of size $\mathbf{2} \times 33^{\prime}$ (Gross) were further divided from ly>1-5! into two equal parts making in all 44 (2ub) plots in each replication. Furtaer, the plots in which groundnut is sown were suffixed with " 1 " and " 2 ". The plots suffixed with " $[$ " were given a doe of 100 lb ./ac. of Superi.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22 ( 44 sub -plots). (b) N.A. (iii $6 . \quad$ iv) (a) $31^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $22^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL
(i) Normal. (ii) Aphids and Tikka disease on grounJnut. (ii) Grain, pods and kapas yield. (iv) (a) 1949-50 (modified in 1951-52-continued. (b) As per rutations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) No. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop : Jowar
(i) $1167 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $180.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yiedd of Jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (8) | (8) | (9) | (9) | (10) | (10) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jm | J | Jm | Cm | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp | Cm |
| Crop | Jm | Jm | J | J | J | J | Jm | Jm | 1 | J | J |
| Av. yield | 1064 | 985 | 996 | 1157 | 1156 | 1195 | 1326 | 1236 | 1283 | 1112 | 1329 |
| S.E./mean $\quad=90.47$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop : Cotton
(i) $588 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $90.86 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cotton in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(7)$ | $(10)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Cm | C | Cm | J | G | Gp | G | Gp | $\mathbf{G}$ | Gp | J |
| Crop | Cm | Cm | C | Cm | C | C | Cm | Cm | Cm | Cm | Cm |
| Av. yield | 553 | 603 | 515 | 436 | 726 | 619 | 785 | 592 | 600 | 489 | 465 |
|  |  |  | S.E./mean | $=45.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $886 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 247.7 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (6) | (6) | (7) | (7) | (8) | (8) | (9) | (9) | (10) | (10) | (11) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | Cm | Cm | J | J | Jm | 3 m | Cm | Cm | J | J |
| Crop | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp | G | Gp |
| Av. yield | 891 | 916 | 785 | 889 | 703 | 736 | 1019 | 952 | 960 | 794 | 1071 | 913 |
| S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=101.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Crop :-Jowar with legumes and Wheat (Rabit).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :-Mh. 51(204).
Type :-‘R'.

Object :-To study the best rotation along with manures for the tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) Jowar, Wheat, Gram 26, 27.10.1951 and Groundnut and Chinamug 22.7.19s1. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) Jowar $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.; Groundnut $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Wheat $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. Chin.amug $10 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. ; Gram $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ for Jowar, Wheat and Gram ; 12 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ for Groundnut and Chinamug. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1 ; Groundnut-Big Japan Gram Chafa; Wheat—Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 19.51*. (x) Jowar 28.11.1952, Wheat 2.1.1952.; Gram 2.1.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

12 rotations:

1. J every year.
2. Jm-J-J.
3. $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ every year:
4. $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ every year.
5. GNp-J.
6. GN-J.

Details of rotations:
$\mathrm{J}=$ Jowar unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L /ac. of F.Y.M.
$\mathrm{Jp}=$ Jowar manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Cmp}=$ Chinamug manured with 40 Ib . $/ \mathrm{ac}$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Cm}=$ Chinamug unmanured.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{O}_{50}$
7. Gp-J
$\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut unmanured.
8. G-J
$G p=$ Gram manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
9. Wp-J

G $=$ Gram unmanured.
10. W-J
$W p=$ Wheat manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
11. P.Jp

W $=$ Wheat unmanured.
2. F-J

F $=$ Fallow.
$\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ with the crops are grown in the same year.
3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22. (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ al round the net plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal, wheat crop failed due to failure of rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chas. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

1. Crop: Jowar
(i) $397 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $149.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | J | J | $J$ | Jm | Cmp/J | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ | J |
| Previous crop | J | J | Jm | J | Cmp/J | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ | GNp |
| Av. yield | 456 | 428 | 362 | 417 | 231 | 244 | 555 |
| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Crop | J | J | J | J | J | Jp | J |
| Previous crop | GN | Gp | G | Wp | W | H | F |
| yield | 431 | 428 | 421 | 275 | 353 | 363 | 342 |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=61.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

. Crop : Cbinamug
(i) $73.50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $38.12 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of chinamug in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Crop | $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ | $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 73 | 74 |
| S E./mean | $=15.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |

III. Crop : Groundnut
(i) $454 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of groundnut in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | $J$ | J |
| Av. yield | 447 | 462 |
| S.E./mean | $=32.33$ | $\mathrm{lt} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

IV. Crop: Gram
(i) $78 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $35.58 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(7)$ | (8) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop | Gp | G |
| Previous crop | J | j |
| Av. yield | 75 | 81 |
| S.E./mean | $=14.53 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Note : Data of wheat crop not analysed as the crop failed.

Crop :-Jowar with legumes and Wheat (Rabi). Ref :-Mh. 53(331)/51(204).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur. Type :-‘R'.
Object : - To study the best rotation along with manares for the tract.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) N.A. (b) Drilling. (c) Jowar-4 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.; Groundnut-80 $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Gram and Wheat- 40 lb ./ac. (d) $18^{8}$. (o) (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1 ; Groundnut-Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) $20.43^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

12 rotations :

1. J every year
2. Jm-J-J
3. $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}$ every year
4. $\mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ every year
5. GNp-J
6. GN-J
7. Gp-J
8. G-J
9. Wp-J
10. W-J
11. F-Jp
12. F-J

## Details of rotations :

J = Jowar unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
$\mathrm{Jp}=$ Jowar manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Cmp}=$ Chinamug manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Cm}=$ Chinamug unmanured.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut unmanured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{: 2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Gp}=\mathrm{Gram}$ manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
G = Gram unmanured.
$\mathrm{Wp}=$ Wheat manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{W}=$ Wheat unmanured.
F = Fallow.
$\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ with the crops are grown in the same year.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 22. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ alround. (vi) No, as pen rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield.
(iv) (a) 1949-continued.
(b) As per rotations.
(c) Nil.
(v) (a) Chas. (b) NoA. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Jowar
(i) $515 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $194.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatment do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | J | J | Jm | J | Cmp/J | Cm/J | J |
| Previous crop | J | Jm | J | J | Cmp/J | Cm/J | GNp |
| Av. yield | 562 | 580 | 541 | 536 | 432 | 381 | 598 |
| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Crop | J | J | J | J | J | Jp | J |
| Previous crop | GN | Gp | G | Wp | W | F | $F$ |
| Av. yield | 533 | 592 | 485 | 575 | 613 | 402 | 381 |
| S.E./mean |  | $=79.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $313 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 92.57 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | J | J |
| Av. yield |  | 311 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |
|  |  | $=37.80 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

1II. Crop : Gram
(i) $113 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $80.88 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in $\mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Gp | $G$ |
| Previous crop | J | J |
| Av. yield | 132 | 94 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=33.02 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Note :-Chinamug yield was N.A. and wheat yield being too low, the data ha not been analysed.
Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut etc.
Ref :- 51(203)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.
Type :- 'R'.

Object :- To find out suitable Kharif rotational crops for Bajra-Tur.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium balck. (b) N.A. (iii) 13.7.1951 to 15.7.1951. (iv) (a) 3 harrowings. (b) Drilled by 2 coultered country seed drill $12^{\prime \prime}$ apart. (c), (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola-Bajra; Big Japan-Groundnut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) 19.51". (x) Bajra 18.10.1951 to 21.10.1951; Tur on 26.12.1951 ; Groundnut on 30.11.1951; Hulga on 30.10.1951. Chavali on 23.10.1951 ; Chinamug 9.9.1951 to 16.9.1931.
2. TREATMENTS :

| 11 rotations : | Details of rotations: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. BT every year | BT = Bajra \& Tur in 3:1 ratio. |
| 2. BT every year | $\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra \& Tur manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 3. BTp - BT | $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with $20 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{fac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 4. $\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | GN = Groundnut unmanured. |
| 5. GN-BT | $\mathrm{Hp}=$ Hulga manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$. |
| 6. $\mathrm{Hp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{H}=$ Hulga unmanured. |
| 7. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{Mgp}=$ Chinamug manured with 20 lb .jac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 8. Mgp - BT | $\mathrm{Mg}=$ Chinamug unmanured. |
| 9. $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}=$ Chavali manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 10. $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | C $=$ Chavali unmanured. |
| 11. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{BT}$ |  |

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 20 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The crop stand was normal. (ii) Slight attack of Blister beetles on bajra flowers. (iii) Dates of flowering, grain \& pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) Yes, as per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas. (b) N.A. (vi) \& (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
6. Crop: Bajra
(i) $232 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $71.57 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 244 | 246 | 221 | 203 | 259 | 266 | 203 | 240 | 218 | 232 | 199 | 258 |
|  |  |  |  | S.E. $/$ mean $=29.22 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

11. Crop : Tur
(i) $36 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $25.93 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of tur in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (5) | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 38 | 39 | 47 | 33 | 26 | 40 | 38 | 45 | 30 | 33 | 32 | 31 |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $409 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $46.99 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previons crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 421 | 397 |
| $\quad$ SE $/$ mean |  | $=19.19 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

S.E. $/$ mean $=19.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
IV. Crop : Hulga
(i) $137 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $32.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of hulga in lb.jac.

| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Hp | H |
| Previous Crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 148 | 126 |

V. Crop : Chinamug
(i) $29 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $21.39 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of chinamug in lb ./ac.


| VI. Crop : Chavali |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) $58.50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| (ii) $7.33 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |
| (iii) Treatments do not differ significantly. |  |  |
| (iv) Av. yield of chavali in lb./ac. |  |  |
| Rotation No. | (10) | (11) |
| Crop | Cp | C |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av . yield | 59 | 58 |
|  | 2.99 |  |

Crop:-Bajra-Tur-Groundnut etc. (Kharif).
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Jeur.

Ref :- Mh. 53(330)/51(203).
Type:- 'R'.

Object :-To find out suitable Kharif rotational crops for Bajra-Tur.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) N.A. (iii) 1.8.1953. (iv) (al N.A. (b) Seeds drilled. (c) Bajra-3 lb./ac., Tur and Groundnut $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .$, Hulga $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$., Chavali $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Chinamug $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Akola: Bajra, Big Japan : Groundnut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 harrowings and interculturing. (ix) 20.43". (x) 15.11.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

| 11 rotations: | Details of rotations : |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. BT every year | Br $=$ Bajra and Tur in 3:1 ratio. |
| 2. BT every year | $\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra and Tur manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with 20 lo./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 4. $\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | GN = Groundnut unmanured. |
| 5. GN-BT | $\mathrm{Hp}=$ Hulga manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 6. $\mathrm{Hp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{H}=$ Hulga unmanured. |
| 7. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{Mgp}=$ Chinamug manured with 2 J lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 8. $\mathrm{Mgp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | Mg = Chinamug unmanured. |
| 9. $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}=$ Chavali marured with $20 \mathrm{lb}, / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 10. $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | C = Chavali unmanured. |
| 11. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{BT}$ |  |

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 20 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6 . (iv) (a) $37^{\prime} \times 26^{\prime}$. (b) $35^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (v) $1^{\prime}$ all round the net plot. (vi) No, as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) The crop stand was normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Bajra
(i) $210 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $81.68 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BI | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 186 | 190 | 242 | 222 | 257 | 227 | 236 | 171 | 221 | 156 | 170 | 243 |
|  |  |  |  | S.E./mean |  | $=33.34 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop : Tur
(i) $59 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 25.93 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treaments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of tur in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Hp | H | Mgp | Mg | Cp | C |
| Av. yield | 64 | 47 | 56 | 69 | 91 | 65 | 55 | 60 | 58 | 58 | 42 | 45 |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $290 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $37.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNP | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 313 | 267 |
| S.E./mean | $=15.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

IV. Crop : Hulga
(i) $156 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $46.99 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of hulga in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (6) | (7) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop | Hp | H |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 173 | 139 |
| S.E./mean | $=19.18 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

Note: Chinamag and Chavali yields-N.A.

Crop: Jowar-Wheat-Gram (Rabi).
Ref :- Mh. 48(82)
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol.

Type: ' R '.

Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDIHONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 13.10.1948.
(iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years and 4 harrowings. (b) Sowing with $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) to (e) N.A.
(v) 6 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied at the time of second harrowing ; manure applied by spreading with hand.
(vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa; Wheat-Vijay, (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings on 9.12.1948.
(ix) 31". (x) Jowar 23.2.1949; Wheat 12.2.1949; Gram 26.1.1949.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

5 rotations:

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar Wheat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8 . (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ on either sides along the length. (vi) No, as per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1955. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
9. Crop: Jowar
(i) $392 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $131.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jowar | Gram | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 337 | 454 | 386 |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=53.5 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac, |

11. Crop: Wheat
(i) $317 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $58.04 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (5) | (4) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Gram | Jowar |
| Av. yield | 328 | 344 | 280 |
|  | S.E.(mean |  | $=23.70$ |
|  |  | lb./ac. |  |

III. Crop: Gram.
(i) $370 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $119.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 381 | 359 |
| S.E./mean |  | $=48.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Jowar-Wheat-Gram. | Ref :- Mh. 49(106)/48(82). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :~ Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type :- 'R'. |

Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) and (b) As per treatments. (c) 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) 9.10.1949. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years. (b) Sowing with $12^{\circ} \times 1^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa; Wheat-Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculture on 11.12.1949 and 4 harrowings. (ix), 34*. (x) Jowar 22.2.1950; Wheat 21.2.1950; end Gram 13.1.1950.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations:

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar-Wheat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ on either side along length. (vi) No, as per rotation.
7. GENERAL:
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1955. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b)-. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
I. Crop : Jowar.
(i) $651 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $103.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (3) | (2) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jowar | Wheat | Gram |
| Av. yield | 608 | 694 | 651 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean |  | $=42.2$ lb./ac. |

II. Crop: Wheat
(i) $272 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$,
(ii) $98.93 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{/ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av yield of wheat in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (5) | (4) | (3) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Gram | Jowar |  |  |
| Av. yield | 294 | 287 | 234 |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  | $=40.39 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |



| Crop :- Jowar-Wheat-Gram (Rabi) | Ref :- Mh. $50(109) / 49(106) / 48(82)$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. | Type:- 'R'. |

Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) As per rotations. (b) According to treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years, 4 harrowings. (b) Sowing with $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ drill. 2. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar M-35-1; Gram-Chafa; Wheat-Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $29^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 rotations:-

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar-Wbeat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ on either sides along length. (vi) No. as per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) $1946-1955$. (b) As per rotations, (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b)-. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :
9. Crop : Jowar
(i) $359 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(i) $131.0 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jowar | Gram | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 241 | 467 | 371 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $-53.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Wheat
(i) $182 \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $43 . \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. |  | (5) | (4) | (3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Previous crop |  | Wheat | Gram | Jowar |
| Av. yield |  | 156 | 283 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=17.59 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## III. Crop : Gram

(i) 361 lb /ac.
(ii) $124.1 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Jowar |
| Av. yield | 323 | 399 |
|  | S.e./mean | $=50.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Jowar—Wheat-Gram (Rabi). Ref :- Mh. 51(35)/50(109)/49(106)/48(82). Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :- ‘R'.

Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) and (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light b'ack. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years. (b) Sowing with $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ drill. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) ; C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. applied at the time of second harrowing : manure applied by spreading with hand. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa; Wheat-Vijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 4 harrowings. (ix) $28^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations :

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar-Wheat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ on either side along the length. (vi) No. as per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1955. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b)-. (vi) and (vii, Nil.
8. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Jowar
(i) $569 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $113.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jowar | Gram | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 614 | 611 | 483 |
|  | S.B./mean |  | $=46.3 \mathrm{lb}$ /ac. |

II. Crop: Wheat
(i) $270 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $76.79 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of uheat in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (5) | (4) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Cram | Jowar |
| Av. yield | 313 | 275 | 223 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |
|  | $=31.36 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

II. Crop: Gram
(i) $448 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $87.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Jowar <br> Av. yield |
|  | 473 | 424 |

## Crop :-Jowar-Wheat—Gram (Rabi). Ref :-Mh. 52(110)/51(35)/50(109)/49(106)/48(82). Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :-‘R’.

Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) As per rotations. (b) As per treatments. (c) 5 C.L./ac, of F.Y.M. applied at the time of second harrowing. Manure applied by spreading with hand. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Mohol. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) Ploughing once in three years. (b) Sowing with $12^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\circ}$ drill. (c) N.A. (d) $12^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa ; Wheat-Yijay. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings (ix) $17^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations:

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar-Wheat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) $2.5^{\prime}$ on either side along length. (vi) No. as per rotations.
7. GENERAL
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1955. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) 一. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
9. Crop : Jowar
(i) $514 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $152.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Previous crop | Jowar | Gram | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 452 | 572 | 519 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=62.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

11. Crop: Wheat
(i) $283 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $60.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (5) | (4) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Gram | Jowar |
| Av. yield | 273 | 297 | 276 |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=24.75 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

III. Crop : Gram
(i) $378 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 94.14 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Jowar |
| Av. yield |  | 368 |
|  | 389 |  |
|  | S.E./mean | $=38.44 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Crop:~Jowar-Wheat—Gram (Rabi). $\quad$ Ref : $:$ Mh. $53(249) / 52(110) / 51(35) /$
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Mohol. Type :~'R'.
Object :-To study the rotational effect of Jowar, Wheat and Gram.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per rotations. (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light bleck. (b) Refer eoil aralysis, Mohol. (iii) $14,15.10 .1953$. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Jowar'4 lb./ac., Gram $30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Wheat 40 $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $15^{\prime \prime}$ between lines for all crops. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa, WheatVijay (Dry Wheat). (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2"weedings and 2 hoeings. (ix) 18". (x) Gram 30.1.1954, Wheat 17.2.1954 and Jowar 5.3.1954.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 rotations:

1. Jowar every year.
2. Jowar-Gram.
3. Jowar-Wheat.
4. Wheat-Gram.
5. Wheat every year.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 8. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $96^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (b) $91^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) No, as per rotations.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Gram good; Wheat-slightly below normal, Jowar-below normal. (ii) Sugary disease and Aphics on Jowar crop. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1946-1955. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N.A. (b) Nc. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS:
I. Crop : Jowar
(i) $433 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $99.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (2) | (1) | (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Gram | Jowar | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 474 | 389 | 435 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=40.49 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

II. Crop: Wheat
(i) $265 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $95.34 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of wheat in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (3) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Gram | Jowar | Wheat |
| Av. yield | 301 | 231 | 261 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=38.93 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## III. Crop: Gram

(i) $579 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $130.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No, | $(4)$ | $(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Wheat | Jowar |
| Av. yield | 588 | 570 |
|  | S E./mean | $=53.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |


| Crop :- Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. | Ref :- Mh. 48(9). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. | Type :- 'R'. |

Object :-To determine the most suitable rotation of crops for Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii 29.6.1918. (iv) (a) $t$ ) (e N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once and hosing twice in all the cotton plots. (ix) $49^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 16.12.1948.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations as follows :-

1. Cotton (C)-Kharif Jowar (KJ).

2 Cotton-Chinamug in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi (RJ).
3. Cotton-Groundnut.
4. Cotton-Kharif Jowar-Groundnut.
5. Cotton-Chinamug in Kharil and Jowar in Rabi-Groundnut.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $60.5^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 4 rows on either sido of the plot and $2^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Shedding of buds and bolls cccurred due to heavy rains in November. (ii) Nc. (iii) Germination, final stand, fibre properties, seed veight, crop growta and yield. (iv) (a) 1941-1950. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v, (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

I Crop: Cotton
(i) $354 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $79.94 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significant'y.
(iv) Av. yield of cotton in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Previous crop | KJ | RJ | G | G | G |
| Av. yield | 215 | 393 | 371 | 287 | 502 |
|  | S E /mean | $=39.97 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{lac}$. |  |  |  |

II Crop: Groumdnut
(i) $1056 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $148.63 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv' Av. yield of groundnut in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Previous crop | C | KJ | RJ |
| Av. yield | 854 | 1029 | 1285 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=74.30 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

III. Crop: Jowar (Kharif)
(i) $166 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 49.37 lb ./ac.
(iii) Treatment do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb,/ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Previous crop | C | C |
| Av. yield. | 164 | 167 |

IV. Crop: Chinamag
(i) $736 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $152.83 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of chinamug in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. |  | (2) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop |  | C | C |
| Av. yield |  | 680 | 792 |

Note :-Rabi Jowar crop not analysed as the yields are too low.

Crop :- Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut.
Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :- Mh. 49(11)/48(9).
Type : ' $R$ '.

Object :-To determine the most suitable rotation of crops for Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 23.6.1949. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once and hoeing once in all the cotton plots. (ix) $44.88^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

5 rotations as follows :-

1. Cotton (C)-Kharif Jowar (KJ).
2. Cotton-Mug in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi (RJ).
3. Cotton-Groundnut.
4. Cotton-Kharif Jowar-Groundnut.
5. Cotton-Mug in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi-Groundnut.

## 3. DESIGN :

(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $60.5^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 4 rows on either side of the plot and $2^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ at each end of every row. (vi) Yes $h_{h}$
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination and final stand, fibre properties, and crop growth. (iv) (a) $1941-$ 1950. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Cotton
(i) $95 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $28.17 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of cotton in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | KJ | RJ | G | G | G |
| Av. yield | $25 s$ | 61 | 71 | 45 | 46 |
|  |  | S.E./mean |  | $=14.08 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

II. Crop: Groundnat
(i) $360 \quad \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $56.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
III. Crop: (Kharif) Jowar
(i) $219 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $41.71 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly,

| (iv) Av. yield of groundnut in Ib ./ac. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotation No. | (3) | $(4)$ | (5) |
| Previous crop | C | KJ | RJ |
| Av. yield | 319 | 335 | 425 |
| S.e./mean | $=28.25 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. | - |  |

IV. Crop : Rabi Jowar
(i) $454 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $137.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Rabi jowar in lb,/ac.

| Rotation No. | $(2)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Mug | Mug |
| Av. yield | 495 | 413 |
| S.E./mean | $=68.74 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

(iv) Av. yield of Kharif iowar in Ib./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (4) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C |
| Av. yield | 267 | 71 |
| S.E./mean | $=20.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

V. Crop : Mug
(i) 715 lb./ac.
(ii) $1063 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av yield of $m u g$ in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$

| Rotation No. | (2) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | 0 |
| Av. yield | 683 | 747 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. } & \text { Ref :- Mh. } 50(19) / 49(11) / 48(9) . \\
\text { Site :- Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded. } & \text { Type :- ‘R'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To determine the most suitable rotation of crops for Cotton.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 6.7.1950. (iv) (a) to (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Gaorani-6. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Weeding once, hoeing twice in all the cotton plots. (ix) $29.37^{\circ}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations as follows :

1. Cotton (C)-Kharif Jowar (KJ).
2. Cotton-Mug in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi (RJ).
3. Cotton-Groundnut.
4. Cotton-Kharif Jowar-Groundnut.
5. Cotton-Mug in Kharif and Jowar in Rabi-Groundnut.
6. DESIGN:
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $60.5^{\prime} \times 24^{\prime}$. (b) $55^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}$. (v) 4 rows on either side of the piot and $2^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime}$ at each ead of every row. (vi) Yes.
7. GENERAL :
(i) Growth of cotton crop was satisfactory. (ii) Nil. (iii) Germination, final stand, fibre properties, crop growth and yield. (iv) (a) 1941-1950. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
8. RESULTS :

## 1. Crop: Cotton

(i) $479 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $47.70 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in Ib./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | KJ | RJ | G | G | G |
| Av. yield | 434 | 447 | 473 | 568 | 474 |
|  |  | S.E-/mean | $=23.85 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $820 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $123.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ bighly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in Ib /ac.

| Rotation No. | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | KJ | RJ |
| Av. yield | 693 | 628 | 1140 |
|  | S E./mean | $=61.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

III. Crop : Jowar (Kharif)
(i) $796 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $207.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$

| Rotation No. | U | (4) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C |
| Av. yield | 1029 | 563 |
| S.E./mean | $=103.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

IV. Crop: Jowar (Rabi)
(i) $452 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $173.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (2) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | Mug | /ug |
| Av. yield | 417 | 487 |
| S.E./mean | $==86.70$ | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## V. Crop: Mug

(i) $457 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $123.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of mug in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(2)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C |
| Av. yield | 510 | 405 |
| S.E./mean | $=$ | $61.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

## Crop :- Cotton-Jowar-Groundnut. <br> Site :-Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

## Ref : Mh. 52(49). <br> Type :- ${ }^{6} R$ '.

Object :-To find out the best rotation along with manuring for Marathwada tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b) Jowar (Rabi). (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 27.6.1952. (iv) (a) 4 bak harings. (b) Drilling. (c) Cotton : 16 lb ./ac., Jowar : $11 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Groundnut: $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) $18^{\prime \prime}$ cotton, $12^{\prime \prime}$ jowar and $12^{\prime \prime}$ groundnut. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Cotton : Gaorani-6, Kh. Jowar : PJ4K, Groundnut: Spanish peanut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hoeing once to Kharif Jowar and twice to cotton. One weeding to groundnut and twice to cotton. (ix) $28.83^{\circ}$. (x) Groundnut 22.10.1952, Kharif Jowar 12.12.1952 and cotton pickings on 6.11.1952.6.12.1952. and 6.1.1953.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

2 rotations:
(1) Cotton (C)-Kharif Jowar (J).
(2) Cotton-Kharif Jowar-Groundnut (G).

Jowar plot is further divided into two ; One plot (say Jm) receiving 4 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. aad the other plot (say J) remaining unmanured.
Note :-Kharif Jowar was not manured during 1952-1953 in the 2 year rotation plot.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10. (b) N.A. (iii) 5. (iv) (a) $63.5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $60.5^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) For Kharif Jowar 3 rows on either side, for cotton 2 rows on either side, for groundnut 3 rows on either side. Alsc distance of $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ at either end of every row was non experimental. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) N.A. (iii) For cotton : Germination, final stand, plant height, boll no., boll and seed weight, ginning percentage and fibre properties. For jowar : Final stand and grain yield. For groundrut : pod yield (iv) (a) 1952 to 1957 . (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) Nil. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

I. Crop : Cotton
(i) $261 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $32.72 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | 2 year rotation |  | 3 year rotation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | C | C | C | C |
| Av. yield | 264 | 237 | 283 | 262 |
|  | S.E $/$ mean |  | $=14.63 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $1073 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $233.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

3 year rotation
Crop
Av. yield
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { G } & \text { G } \\ 1034 & 1112\end{array}$
S.E. $/$ mean $\quad=104.5 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## III. Crop : Jowar

(i) $392 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $83.56 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb ./ac.

| Crop | J | Jm | J | Jm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Av. yield | 260 | 276 | 640 | 391 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=37.37 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

## Crop :mCotton-Jowar-Grou ndnut. <br> Site : Cotton Res. Stn., Nanded.

Ref :-Mh. 53(117) $5 \mathbf{5 2 ( 4 9 ) .}$
Type: ${ }^{\prime}$ R'.
Object :-To find out the best rotation aloug with manuring for Marathwada tract.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) to (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Black cotton soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Nanded. (iii) 24.6.1953. (iv) (a) 4 bakharings. (b) Drilling. (c) Cotton: 16 lb ./ac., Jowar: 11 lb ./ac. and Groundnut: $60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Spacing between rows: C stton: $18^{\prime \prime}$, Jowar: $12^{\prime \prime}$ and Groundnut: $12^{*}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Cotton: Gaorani.6, Jowar: PJ 4 K and Groundnut: Spanish peanut. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) Hosing once to jowar and twice to cotton, weeding once to cotton and groundnut. (ix) $45.13^{\circ}$. (x) Picking of cotton on II.11.1953, 11.12.1953 and 11.1.195 t, harvesting grounjnut on 23.10 .1953 and harvesting jowar on 26.12.1953.

## 1. TREATMENTS :

## 2 rotations:

1. Cotton (C)-Kharif Jowar (KJ).
2. Cotton-Kharif Jowar-Groundout (G)

Jowar plot is further divided into two: One plot (say Jm) receiving 4 ton/ac. of F.Y.M. and the other plot (say J) remaining unmanured.
Note :-Kharif Jowar was not manured during 1952-53 in the 2 year rotation plots.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 10 . (b) N.A. (iii) 5 . (iv) (a) $63.5^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime}$. (b) $60^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$. (v) For Kharif jowar 3 rows on either side. For cotton 2 rows on either side. For groundnut 3 rows on either side. Also a distance of $11^{\prime}$ at either end of every row was non-experimental. (vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) No. (iii) For cotton : Germination, final stand, plant height, boll no, boll weight, ginning \% and ubre properties and kapas yield. For Jowar : Final stand and grain yield. For Groundnut; pod yield. (iv) (a) 195!-1957. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) and (b) No. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Cotton
(i) $234 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $21.95 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of kapas in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

|  | Two year rotation |  | Three year rotation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | C | C | C | C |
| Previous crop | J | J | G | G |
| Av. yield | 176 | 169 | 299 | 292 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean |  | $=9.82 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

II. Crop: Grounduat
(i) $1049 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1528 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

| Arop | G |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Crop | G |  |
| Previous crop | $\mathbf{J}$ | Jm |
| Av. yield | 1048 | 1050 |
|  | S.E $/$ mean |  |
|  | $=68.3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

III. Crop: Jowar
(i) $423 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $74.72 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb ./ac.

| Crop | J | Jm | J | Jm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous crop | C | C | C | C |
| Av. yield | 396 | 428 | 425 | 444 |
|  | S.E. $/$ mean |  | $=33.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut-Matki (Kharif)
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 49 (128).
Type:- 'R'.

Object :-To fix up suitable crop rotation and to study its effect.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per treatments. (b), (c) N.A. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 harrowing. (b) Drillings. (c) 80 lb ./ac. of Groundnut; $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bajra; $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Tur and $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Matki. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Groundnut-Big Japan; Tur and MatkiLocal: Bajra Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings, 1 weeding for groundnut. (ix) 38.17". (ix) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS

Rotations as follows:

1. BT every year
2. BT every year
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$
4. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{GN}$ -
5. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{Mtp}-\mathrm{Mt}$

Details of rotations:
BT = Bajra-Tur mixtrre in 3:1.
$\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra-Tur mixture manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN = Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
Mt $=$ Matki unmanured.
$\mathrm{Mtp}=$ Matki manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) 12 .
(b) N.A.
(iii) 7.
v) (a) $37 \times 37^{\circ}$
(b) $30^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1959. (b) Yes, as per rotation. (c) Nil. (v) (a), (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
I. Crop: Bajra
(i) 196 lb ./ac.
(ii) $4680 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significanly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in lb./ac.


1I. Crop: Groundnat
(i) $755 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $173.0 \mathrm{Jb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(4)$ | $(4)$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | $G N$ |
| Av. yield | 701 | 809 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=65.4 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Note :-Tur and Matki yields are N.A.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut-Matki (Kharif). } & \text { Ref : } \sim \text { Mh. } 50(152) / 49(128) . \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. } & \text { Type :- 'R'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To fix up a suitable crop rotation and to study its efeets.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per rotation. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) N.A. (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $80 \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{fac}$. of Groundnut ; $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $B \mathrm{jra}, 2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Tur and $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Matki. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi) Groundnut-Big Japan, Tur and Matki-Local and Bajra-Akola. (vii) Unirrigared. (viii) 1 interculturing. (ix) $24.04^{\prime \prime}$. (x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS :

|  | 5 rotations as follows: |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Details of rotations: |
| 2. BT every year | $\mathrm{BT}=$ Baja-Tur mixture in $3: 1$. |
| 3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$ | $\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra-Tur mixture manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 4. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{GN}$ | $\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut unmanured. |
| 5. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{Mtp}-\mathrm{Mt}$ | $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . ' \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
|  |  |

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12 . (b) N.A. (iii) 7 . (iv) (a) N.A. (b) $30^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. vi) No, as per rotation.
4. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1959. (b) As per treatments. (c) Nil. (v)
(a) and (b) N.A. (ii) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop: Bajra
(i) $226 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$
(ii) $54.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (5) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Mtp | Mt |
| Av. yield | 249 | 194 | 238 | 238 | 234 | 221 | 218 | 220 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=20.43 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

II. Crop : Groundnut
(i) $748 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $23.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (4) | $(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 824 | 673 |
|  | S.E $/$ mean | $=8.68 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Note : Tur and Matki yields are N.A.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut-Matki (Kharif) } & \text { Ref :-Mh. } 51(218) / 50(152) 49(128) \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. } & \text { Type :m 'R'. }
\end{array}
$$

Object :-To fix up a suitable crop rotation and to study its effects.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) As per rotation. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil anaiysis, Sholapur. (iii) 28.6 .51 . (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Groundnut; $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bajra; $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Tur and 10 lb ./ac. of Matki. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Groundnut-Big Japan. Matki and Tur-Local ; Bajra-Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii, 2 interculturings to Bajra and 1 weeding to Groundnut. (ix) $24.81^{\prime \prime}$. (x) Bajra: 3.11.195I, Tur: 14.12.1951, Matki : 20.10.1951 and Groundnut: 22.11.1951.

## TREATMENTS -

5 rotations as follows :

1. BT every year
2. BT every year
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$
4. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{GN}$
5. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{Mtp}-\mathrm{Mt}$

[^11]3. DESIGN
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 12. (b) N.A. (iii) 7. (iv) (a) $40^{\prime} \times 40^{\prime} \quad$ (b) $30.25^{\prime} \times 36^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) No ; as per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949 to 1959. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) N,A. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop : Bajra.
(i) $229 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $78.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | G $\mathrm{Np}^{\text {p }}$ | GN | Mtp | Mt |
| Av. yield | 178 | 181 | 249 | 201 | 251 | 195 | 302 | 278 |
| S.E./mean $\quad=29.53$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut.
(i) $1069 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $114.2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (4) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 1117 | 1020 |
| S.E./mean |  |  |
| Note :-Tur and Matki yields are N.A. |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut-Matki (Kharif). } \begin{array}{r}
\text { Ref }:- \\
51(218) / 50(152) / 49(128)
\end{array} \\
\text { Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. } & \text { Type :- ' } \mathrm{R}^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Object:-To fix up a suita'le crop rotation and to study its effects.

1. BASAL CONDIIIONS:
(i) (a) to (c) As per rotation. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 20.6.1952 (iv) (a) 2 ploughings and 2 harrowings. (b) Drı ling. (c) 80 lb ./ac. of Groundnut; 3 lb ./ac. of Bajra; 2 lb ./ac. of Tur; $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Matki. (d:12" between rows. (e) -. (v) Nil. (vi Groundr ut-Big Japan; BajraAkola ; Tur and Matki-local. (vii) Unrrigated. (viii) 1 interculturing to Bajra and I weeding to Groundnut. (ix) 20.76". (x) Bajra and Tur-23 and 24.10.1952 ; Matki-30.11.1952 and Grour.dnut-28.11.1952.
2. TREATMENTS:

5 rotations as follows :

1. BT every year
2. BT every year
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$
4. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{GN}$

BT-BT-Mtp-Mt

Details of rotations:
$\mathrm{BT}=$ Bajra-Tur mixture in 3:1.
$\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bujra-Tur mixture manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN = Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut marured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5^{*}}$
Mt =Matki unmanured.
Mtp $=$ Matki manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12.
(b) N.A. (iii) 7. (iv) (a)
(a) N.A. (b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$.
(v) N.A. (vi) As per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Growth was checked due to excess of soil moisture. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1959. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop : Bajra
(i) $178 \quad \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | GNp | GN | Mtp | Mt |
| Av. yield | 148 | 145 | 141 | 152 | 232 | 184 | 231 | 192 |
| S.E./mean $\quad=20.17 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $336 \mathrm{lb} / / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.48 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(4)$ | $(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 371 | 302 |
| S.E /mean |  | $=11.89 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Note :-Tur and Matki yields are N.A.

Crop :- Bajra-Tur-Groundnut-Matki (Kharif). Ref :- Mh. 53(360)/52(350)/ 51(218)/50(152)/49(128).
Site :- Agri, Res. Stn., Sholapur.
Type:- ' $R$ '.
Object :--To fix up a suitabie crop rotatation and to study its effects.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a), (b) and (c) As per rotations. (ii) (a) Medium deep. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 17, 18.7.1952. (iv) (a) 2 harrowings. (b) Drilling. (c) $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Groundnut; $3 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Bajra; $2 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Tur ; $10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of Matki. (d) $12^{\prime \prime}$ between rows. (e)-. (v) Nil. (vi) Big Japan-Groundnut; Tur and MatkiLocal, Bajra-Akola. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings and 1 weeding to Groundnut. (ix) $35.96^{\circ}$. (x) Bajra 13.11.1953 ; Tur 23.1.1954; Matki 19.12.1953 and Groundnut I2.12 1953.
2. TREATMENTS :

5 rotations as follows:

1. BT every year
2. BT every year
3. $\mathrm{BTp}-\mathrm{BT}$
4. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{GNp}-\mathrm{GN}$
5. $\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{Mtp}-\mathrm{Mt}$

Details of rotations :
BT =Bajra-Tur mixture in 3:1.
$\mathrm{BTp}=$ Bajra-Tur mixture manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. GN $=$ Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with 2 J lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$\mathrm{Mt}=$ Matki unmanured.
Mtp $=$ Matki manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D.
(ii) (a) 12 .
(b) N.A. (iii) 7. (iv) (a) N.A.
(b) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Crop almost failed due to heavy rains. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain yield. (iv) (a) 1949-1959. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) and (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS
I. Crop : Bajra
(i) $26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $11.10 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bajra in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (3) | (3) | (4) | (4) | (5) | (5) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | BT | BT | BTp | BT | BT | BT | BT | BT |
| Previous crop | BT | BT | BT | BTp | GNp | GN | Mtp | Mt |
| Av. yield | 24 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 28 | 32 | 30 | 29 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | $=4.19 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $302 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $36.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ highly significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (4) | (4) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | BT | BT |
| Av. yield | 370 | 234 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=13.60 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |

Note :-Tur and Matki yields are N.A.

Crop :- Jowar-Groundnut-Gram.
Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

Ref:- Mh. 49(111)
Type : ' $R$ '.

Object :- To find out suitable crop rotations for Rabi Jowar and to determine the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on them.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) Light, medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 9.10.1949. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Jowar $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Groundnut $80 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. ; Gram $43 \mathrm{lb} . / a c$. (d) Jowar $18^{\prime \prime}$; Gram and groundnut $12^{\circ}$. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1; Gram-Chafa; Groundnut-Big Japan. (vi) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) 今. (x) 5.2.1950.
2. TREATMENTS

10 rotations

1. J every year
2. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}$
3. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{GNp}$
4. J-GN
5. J-J-GNp
6. $J J_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{GN}$
7. J-Gp
8. J-G
9. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{Gp}$
10. J-J-G
11. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36.25^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.25^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ (v) N.A. (vi) Yes.
12. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) As per rotations. (c) Nil. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
13. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Jowar
(i) $382 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $78.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in lb./ac.

14. Crop : Gram
(i) $392 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $77,00 \mathrm{lb}$.ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ signiflcar fly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb ./ac.

| Rotation No. | (7) | 18) | (9) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Gp | G | Gp | G |
| Av. yield | 369 | 373 | 386 | 441 |
| S.E./mean $=38.50 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cc}$. |  |  |  |  |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $1440 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) 460.80 lb .ac.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | (5) | (6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN | GNp | GN |
| Av. yield | 1780 | $13 C 0$ | 1340 | 1340 |
|  | S.E./mean | $=230.4$ | $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

## Crop:- Jowar-Gram-Groundnut.

Site :- Agi. Res. Stn., Sholapur.

## Ref :- Mh. 50(110)/49(111).

Type:- 'R'.

Object :-To find out suitable crop rotations for Rabi Jowar and to determine the 'effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on them.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. (b) As per treatments. (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Light medium black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 5.10.1950. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (c) Jowar $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Groundnut 80 lb ./ac. (d) Jowar- $18^{\prime \prime}$, Gram and Groundnut-12* apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar. M-35-1, Gram-Chafa and Groundnut-Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) N.A. (ix) N.A. (x) 12.2.1951.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

## 10 rotations:

1. J every year
2. Jm-J-J
3. J-GNp
4. J-GN
5. J-J-GNp
6. J-J-GN
7. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{Gp}$
8. J-G
9. J-J Gp
10. J-J-G

Details of rotations :
J =Jowar unmanured.
Jm = Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M $G N p=G$ roundnut manured wilh 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$. $\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Gp}=\mathrm{Gram}$ manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$G=$ Gram unmanured.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii1 (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36.25^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ}$. (b) $30.25^{\circ} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) As per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, no. of plants, grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) As per rotations (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:

1. Crop: Jowar
(i) $524 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $186.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | $(2)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $J$ | $J$ | Jm | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathbf{J}$ | Jm | $\mathbf{J}$ | $J$ | $\mathbf{G N p}$ | $\mathbf{G N}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{G N p}$ |
| Av. yield | 537 | 386 | 497 | 321 | 596 | 589 | 434 | 805 |


| Rotation No. | $(6)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ |
| Previous crop | $J$ | $G N$ | $G p$ | $G$ | $J$ | $G p$ | $J$ | $G$ |
| Av. yield | 435 | 626 | 730 | 468 | 455 | 520 | 354 | 627 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $=93.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Crop : Gram
(i) $343 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $64.00 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yie'd of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (7) | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | (10) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G p}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| Previous crop | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ |
| Av. yield | 361 | 357 | 345 | 310 |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=32.00 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

III. Crop : Groundnat
(i) $406 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $115.6 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.

| (iv) Av. yield of pods in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) | (5) | $(6)$ |
| Crop | GN | GN | GN | GN |
| Previous crop | J | J | J | J |
| Av. yield | 452 | 444 | 406 | 324 |
|  |  |  | S.E./mean | $=57.82$ |
|  |  | lb./ac. |  |  |

## Crop:-Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. <br> Site :-Agri. Res, Stn., Sholapur. <br> Ref :-Mh. 51(95)/50(110)/49(111). <br> Type:-'R’.

Object:-To find out suitable crop rotations for Rabi Jowar and to determine the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on them.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Light, medium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur (iii) 29.9 .1951 for Jowar. (iv) (a) One ploughing to some of the plots and 2 harrowings. (b; N.A. (c) Jowar $4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$, (iroundnut $8 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Gram $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) and (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1, Gram - Chafa and Groundnut-Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $23^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 12.2.1952.
2. TREATMENTS :

10 rotations :

1. J every year
2. $\mathrm{Jm} \mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}$
3. J-GNp
4. $J-G N$
5. J-J.-GNp
6. J-J-GN
7. J-Gp
8. J-G
9. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{Gp}$
10. J-J-G

Details of rotations :
J =Jowar unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manuled with 5 C L./ac. of F.Y.M.
$G N p=$ Groundnut manured with $40 \mathrm{ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
GN = Groundnut unmanured.
Gp $=$ Gram manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
G =Gram unmai ured.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36.25^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.25^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) As per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, no. of plants, grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) As per rotations. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :
I. Crop: Jowar
(i) $242 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $31.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (1) | (2) | (2) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | J | J | J | Jm | $J$ | J | J | J |
| Previous crop | J | J | Jm | J | GNp | GN | GNp | J |
| Av. yield | 205 | 217 | 272 | 260 | 287 | 240 | 250 | 217 |
| Rotation No. | (6) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| Crop | J | J | J | J | J | J | J | J |
| Previous crop | GN | J | Gp | G | Gp | J | G | J |
| Av. yield | 202 | 240 | 272 | 275 | 247 | 240 | 215 | 237 |
|  |  | S.E./mean |  | $=15.60 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |  |  |

11. Crop: Gram
(i) $205 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{Jac}$.
(ii) $38.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Gp | $G$ | $G p$ | $G$ |
| Previous crop | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ |
| Av. yield | 250 | 190 | 210 | 170 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |
|  |  | $=19.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |  |

III. Crop: Groundnat
(i) $1410 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $259.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN | GNp | GN |
| Previous cr p | J | J | J | J |
| Av. yield | 1480 | 1220 | 1540 | 14 CO |
|  | S.E./mean |  | $=129.9 \mathrm{lb}$./ac. |  |

Crop :-Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. Ref :ـMh. 52(186)/51(95)/50(110)/49(111).
Site :-Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. Type:r'R'.

Object : -To find out suitable crop rotations for Rabi Jowar and to determine the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on them.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Light nedium black soil. (b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 8.10.1952-(Jowar). (iv) (a) Ploughing once to particular plots and 4 harrowings (b) N.A. (c) Jowar-4 lb./ac.; Groundnut-80 lb/ac.; Gram $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. (d) Jowar-18", Gram and Groundnut- $2^{x}$ apart. (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1 ; Gram-Chafa, Groundnut-Big Japan. (vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 2 interculturings. (ix) $2^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 11.2.1953.
2. TREATMENTS:

| 10 rotations : | Details of rotations : |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. J every year. |  |
| 2. Im.J-J. | J = Jowar unmanured. |
| 3. J-GNp. | $\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M. |
| 4. J-GN. | $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 5. J-J-GNp. | GN = Groundnut unmanured. |
| 6. JJ-GN. | $\mathrm{Gp}=$ Gram manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. |
| 7. J-Gp. | $\mathrm{G}=$ Gram unmanured. |
| 8. J-G. |  |
| 9. J-J-Gp. | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super. |
| 10. J-J-G. |  |

3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) (a) $36.25^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.25^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) As per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) N.A. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, no. of plants, grain and fod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) As per rotation. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS:
I. Crop : Jowar
(i) $702 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $188.0 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grain in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

II. Crop: Gram
(i) $305 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.8 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. |  | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | (9) | (10) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop |  | Gp | G | Gp | G |
| Previous crop |  | J | J | J | J |
| Av. yield | $\therefore$ | 307 | 265 | 382 | 265 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  | $=50.4$ | lb./ac. |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $695 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $100.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | J | J | J | J |
| Av. yield |  |  |  |  |
|  | S E./mean | 660 | $\mathbf{7 2 0}$ | 620 |
|  |  |  | $=50.40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

[^12]Object :-To find out suitable crop rotation for Rabi Jowar and to determine the effect of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ on them.

1. BASAL CONDITIO $\backslash$ S :
(i) (a) Jowar-Gram-Groundnut. (b) and (c) As per treatments. (ii) (a) Light medium black soil.
(b) Refer soil analysis, Sholapur. (iii) 13.10.1953. (iv) (a) Ploughing once to particular plots and 3 harrowings. (b) N.A. (c) Jowar $4 \mathrm{lt} . / \mathrm{ac}$, Gram $40 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. and Groundnut 80 lb ./ac. (d) Jowar--18", Gram and Groundnut-12". (v) Nil. (vi) Jowar-M-35-1, Gram-Chafa and Groundnut-Big-Japan.
(vii) Unirrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings. (ix) $9^{\prime \prime}$. (x) 26.2.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

10 rotations:

1. J every year.
2. $\mathrm{Jm}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{J}$,
3. J-GNp.
4. J-GN.
5. J-J-GNp.
6. J.J-GN.
7. J.-Gp.
8. J-G.
9. J-J-Gp.
10. J-J-G.

## Details of rotations

J =Jowar unmanured.
$\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
$G N p=$ Groundnut manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8}$
$\mathrm{GN}=$ Groundnut unmanured.
$\mathrm{Gp}=$ Gram manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}$
G $=$ Gram unmanured.
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super.
3. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 24 . (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $36-25^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (b) $30.25^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) N.A. (vi) As per rotations.
4. GENERAL :
(i) Nil. (ii) Nil. (iii) Height, no. of plants, grain and pod yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) As per rotation. (c) N.A. (v) (a) Chas and Jeur. (b) N.A. (vi and (vii) Nil.
5. RESULTS :

1. Crop: Jowar
(i) $230 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $130.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of Jowar in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

2. Crop: Gram
(i) $430 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $126.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of gram in lb./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ | $(10)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | $G p$ | $G$ | $G p$ | $G$ |
| Previous crop | J | J | J | J |
| Av. yield | 510 | 372 | 387 | 450 |
|  |  | S.E./mean | $-63.4 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |  |

III. Crop: Groundnut
(i) $700 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $53.20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of pod in Ib./ac.

| Rotation No. | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | GNp | GN | GNp | GN |
| Previous crop | $J$ | $J$ | J | J |
| Av. yisld | 740 | 680 | 740 | 640 |
|  | S.E./mean |  |  |  |
|  | $=$ | 26.60 | lb./ac. |  |

Crop :-Basrai Banana.
Site :-College of Agriculture, Poona.

Ref :-Mh. 53(288).
Type :- ${ }^{\prime}$ '

Otject :-To find out an economical manurial dose for Basrai Banana.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Banana was grown upto June 1952 then sunnhemp and then gram in Rabi. (ii) Medium tiack. (iii) By suckers. (iv) basrai banana. (v) June 1953, suckers were planted at a distance of $6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime}$. (vi) N.A.
(vii) 40 lb ./plant of F.Y.M. in pits. (viii) N.A.
(ix) No intercropping.
(x) Irrigated.
(xi) $2^{\prime \prime}$
(x) N.A.
2. TREATMENTS:
3. $0.1 \mathrm{lb} /$ plant of N as G.N.C. $+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
4. $0.2 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{C} .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
5. 0.4 lb ./plant of N as $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{N} . C .+\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ in $1: 1$ ratio.
6. 0.2 lb ./plant of $\mathrm{N}+1.8 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
7. 0.2 lb ./plant of $\mathrm{N}+0.2 \mathrm{lb}$. plant of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
8. 0.2 lb ./plant of $\mathrm{N}+1.8 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plant of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+2 \mathrm{lb}$./plant of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
9. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) 6 and 4 (further details N.A.) (v) Two guard rows between two plants in north-south direction; one guard row between two plants in east-west direction for plots with 6 trees and one guard row all round the net plot for plots with 4 trees. (vi) Yes.
10. GENERAL :
(i) Fair crop. (ii) Nil. (iii) Weight and number of bananas. (iv) (a) 1953-1055. (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
11. RESULTS :
(i) $23.70 \mathrm{lb} . /$ plot.
(ii) $3.408 \mathrm{jb} . /$ plot.
(iii) The treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of bananas in lb./plot.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 23.68 |
| 2. | 21.77 |
| 3. | 22.38 |
| 4. | 27.40 |
| 5. | 25.03 |
| 6. | 21.92 |
| S.E./mean | $=1.704 \mathrm{lb}$./plot. |

Crop:-Grape.
Ref : ${ }^{\text {Mh. }}$ 52(203).
Site :-Ganeshkhind Fruit Exptl. Stn., Poona.
Type : ‘'M’.

Object :-To fix up a suitable manurial dose of N, P and K for Bhokari Grape vine.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Grapes. (ii) Medium black soil varying from $2^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ in depth. (iii) By cutting. (iv) Bhokari. (v) N.A. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) Pruning in April and October, 1952. Ploughing, harrowing and digging in between two lines. (ix) No. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $21^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. 60 lb . of F.Y.M. +1 lb . of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+3 \mathrm{lb}$. of G.N.C. $+0 \mathrm{lb} .+0 \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
2. 60 lb . of F.Y.M. $+1 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+5 \mathrm{lb}$. of G N.C. $+0 \mathrm{lb} .+0 \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
3. 60 lb . of F.Y.M. $+1 \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{lb}$. of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}+5 \mathrm{lb}$. of G.N.C. $+5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} .+0 \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
4. 60 lb . of F.Y.M. $+1 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of A/S +5 lb . of F.Y.M. $+0 \mathrm{lb} .+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
5. 60 lb . of F.Y:M. $+1 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of A/S +5 lb . of F.Y.M. $+5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} .+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
6. 60 lb . of F.Y.M. +1 lb . of A/S +3 lb . of F.Y.M. $+5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{lb} .+\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{lb}$. of Pot. Sul. per tree.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) One vine per plot occupying area of 100 sq. ft. (v) N.A.
(vi) Yes.

## 4. GENERAL :

(i) Good. (ii) Nil. Ten sprays of hordeaux mixture, two sulphur dustings. (iii) Grape yieid. (iv) (a) and (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS :

(i) $6806 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1718.25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yeld of grape in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatmeat | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 7623 |
| 2. | 6200 |
| 3. | 7052 |
| 4. | 647 J |
| 5. | 6769 |
| 6. | 6663 |
| S E /mean | $=701.61 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:-Grape.
Site :-Ganeshkhind Fruit Exptl. Stn., Poona.

Ref :-Mh. $50(120)$.
Type:-'C'.

Object:-To study the effect of close spacing and diferent systems of training on wire trellis on growth and yield of Bhokari grape.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS:
(i) Grape. (ii) Medium black varying from $2^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ in depth. (iii) By cutting. (iv) Bhohari. (v) 10.21949 to 22.2.19t\%. Spacing between two vines and two rows as per treatments. (vi) N.A. (vii) N.A. (viii) April and Octobre prunings were done in time. (ix) No. (x) Irrigated. (xi) 20*. (xii) V.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Single standard vines spread 2' apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. Cordons of three successive plants are trained stagly on supermposed wires at a distance of $42^{\prime}$, $5 t^{\prime}$ and $6 f^{\prime}$ re pectively. The length of two corsons of tach vive is $6^{\circ}$. The number of vines being 2722 in one acre the total cordon length would be 16332 feet.
2. Douile standard vines spread $2^{\prime}$ apart are trained as horizontal cordons in opposite directions tied at the same leval. The corduns of alternate plants are tied ov, rlapping each other on wircs at a height of $4 \frac{k^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}, 5 \frac{1}{2}$ ' and $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$, respeciiely. The length of the cordon of each vine is 8 i.e., 4 and 4 iest in opposite directions. The num er of vines being 2722 per acre, the cordon length will be 21,76i" feet.
3. Single standard vines spread it apait are trained as single hurizontal cordons. The cordons of every three successive plants are tied singly on wires at $\left.4 \frac{1}{2}, 5\right\}$ and 6 feet respectively. The length of the cordon of each vine is 41 feet. The number of vines being 3630 per acre, the total curion length will be 16,335 feet.
4. Twin vines planted at one hill and placed $3^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons in opposite directions at the same ievel. The cordons of alternate plants are tied overlapping each otaer on wires at a height of $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $6 \frac{1}{\prime}$ respective.y. The length of the curdon of each vine is $6^{\prime}$. The aumber of vines being 3630 , the tota. ccrdon iength would be $21780^{\circ}$.
5. Single stancar I vines spread 3 apart are trained at two arms kniffen system. The two arms of cordons given out to the same trunk are tied in cpposite directions. The arms of the altenate plints are tied at $4!$ and $6 \frac{1}{2}$ respecti ely. The arms of one piant will overlap those of its alterlate neighbour on the same level. Each vine his a cordon tensth of 12 and $0^{\circ}$ in opposile cirections and the number of vines per acte teing 1815 , the tutal leegth of tee cution would be $21780^{\circ}$.
6. Single standard vices spread 4' apart are triined a single horizontal cordons. The cordons of every thre successie vires ar: trainel sirgly on wirts at $4 \frac{1}{2}$. $5 y^{\prime}$ and $61^{\prime}$ respectiv ly. The length of the cordon of each vine is 12. The numter of vines being 1361 per acre, the total cordon length would be 16332.
7. DESIGN:
(i) R.BD (ii) (a) 6 . (b N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) $69,69,9,90,90$ and 35 for treatment; $:, 2,3,4,5$ and 6 respectively. (vi) Only two treatments are randomised independentiy in each block.

## 4. GENERAL:

(i) The vines continued to remain healthy throughout the year. (ii) 12 sprays of bordeaux mixture were applied along with 4 sulphur dustings (during the fruit season). (iii) Grapes yield. (iv) (a) 1949continued. (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) Nil. (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $11517 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1963.69 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grapes in lb ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 12718 |
| 2. | 11421 |
| 3. | 12765 |
| 4. | 11839 |
| 5. | 9646 |
| 6. | 10715 |
| S.E./mean | $=981.84 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop :- Grape.
Site :- Ganeshkhind Fruit Exptl. Stn., Poona.

Ref:- Mh. 51(175).
Type: ' $C$ '.

Object :-To study the effect of close spacing and different systems of training on wire trellis, on growth and yield of Bhokari grapes.

1. BASAL CONDITIONS :
(i) Grape. (ii) Medium black, varying from $2^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ in depth. (iii) By cutting. (iv) Bhokari. (v) Planting as per treatments. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil. (viii) Pruning and training of vines continued during the year. (ix) No. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $24^{\prime \prime}$. (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS:

1. Sing'e standard vines spaced 2 feet apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. The cordons of three successive plants are trained, singly on superimposed wires at a distance of $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$, $5 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$ and $6 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of the cordon of each vine is 6 feet. The number of vines being 2722 in one acre, the total cordon length would be 16322 feet.
2. Double standard vines spaced 2 feet apart are trained as horizontal cordons in opposite directions tied at the same level. The cordons of alternate plants are tied overlapping each other on wires at height of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ ' and $6 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of the cordon of each vines is $8^{\prime}$ i.e. $4^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ in opposite directions. The number of vines being 2722 per acre the total cordon length will be 21776 feet.
3. Single standard vines spread $1 \frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{2}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. The cordons of every three successive plants are tied singly on wires at $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 5 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and $6^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of the cordon of each vine is $4 \frac{1}{2^{\prime}}$. The number of vines being 3630 per acre and the total cordon lenglh will be 16335 feet.
4. Twin vines planted at one hill and spaced 3' apart are trained as single herizortal cordons in opposite directions at the same level. The cordons at alternate plants are tied overlapping each other on wires at a height of $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}$ and $6 \frac{2}{}^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordon is $6^{\prime}$. The number of vines being 3630 per acre, the total cordon length will be $21 ; 80$ feet.
5. Single standard vines spaced $3^{\prime}$ apart are trained as two arm kniffen system. The two arms of cordons given out by the same trunk are tied in opposite directions.
6. Single standard vines spaced $4^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontol cordcus. The cordons of every three successive vines are trained singly on wires at $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 5 \frac{2^{\prime}}{}$ and $6 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of the cordon of each vine is $12^{\prime}$. The number of vines being 1361 per acre. The total cordon length would be 16332 feet.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) $69,69,92,90,46$ and 35 for treatments $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 respectively. (vi) Treatments are independently randomised in each block.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Due to improper nutrition of sulphur and copper in bordeaux mixture, crop was damaged. (ii) 11 sprays of bordeaux mixture and 4 sulphur dustings. (iii) Grape yield. (iv) (a) 1949-contd. (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.

## 5. RESULTS:

(i) $1268 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $497.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grape in Ib ./ac.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1311 |
| 2. | 784 |
| 3. | 1130 |
| 4. | 1185 |
| 5. | 1342 |
| 6. | 1855 |
| S E./mean | $=248.91 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Object :-To study the effect of close spacing and different systems of training on wire teellis, on growth and yield of Bhokarı grapes.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) Grape. (ii) Medium black varying from $2^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ in depth. (iii) By cutting. (iv) Bhokari. (v) Planted from 10.2 19+9. (vi) N.A. (vii) Nil. (viii) No. (ix) No. (x) Irrigated. (xi) $21^{\prime \prime}$, (xii) N.A.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Single standard vines spaced 2 feet apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. The cordons of three successive plants are trained, singly on superimposed wires at a distance of $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}, 5 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\frac{1}{2}^{\prime}}$ respectively. The length of the cordun of tach vine is 6 feet. The numoer of vines being 2722 in one acre, the total coidon lengih would ie 16332 icet.
2. Double stat dard vines spaced 2 feet apart are trained as horizontal cordors in orposite directions tied at same level. The cordons of alternate plants are tied over lapping each wither on wires at height of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and $61^{\prime}$ respectively. The lengtin of toe cordon of each vine is $8^{\prime}$ i.e., $4^{\prime \prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ in opposite directions. The number of vines being 2722 J per acre, the total cordon length will be 21770 f et.
3. Single standard vines spaced $1^{\prime}$ ' apart are trained as single hoizontal cordons. The cordons of every three successive plants are tied singly on $w$ res at $4 \varepsilon^{\prime}, 5 \frac{1}{\prime}^{\prime}$ and $6!$ respectively. The length of the cordon of cach vine is $4 \frac{1}{2}$. The number of vincs being 3630 per acre and the total cordon length will be 16335 feet.
4. Twin vines planted at one hill and spaced $3^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons in opposite directions at the same level. The corjons of aterıate plants are tied overlapping each other on wires at a height of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and $60^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordon of each vine is $6 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. The number of vines being 3630 per acre. The total cordon length will be 21780 feet.
5. Single standard vines spaced 3 apart are trained as two arms kniffen system. The two arms of cordon given out ty the same truok are tied in opposite directions.
6. Single standard vines spaced $4^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. The ccidons of every three successive vines are trained singly on wres at $4 \frac{1}{2}, 5 \frac{1}{2}$ and $62^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. The tength of the cordon of ach vine is $12^{\prime}$. The number of vines being 1361 per acre. The total cordon length would be 10332 feet.
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) $69,69,92,9090$, and 35 for treatmerts $1,2,3,4,5$ and 6 respectively. (v) Yes. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL :
(i) Good. (ii) Nine sprays of tordeaux mixture and 4 sulphur dusting. (iii) Grapes yied. (iv) (a) 1949contd. (b) and (c) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) Nil.
9. RESULTS :
(i) $14615 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1540 \mathrm{lo} / \mathrm{ac}$
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grape in $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

| Treatment | Av. yield |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 16245 |
| 2. | 13758 |
| 3. | 13394 |
| 4. | 14426 |
| 5. | 15158 |
| 6. | 14713 |
| S.E./mean | $=769.9 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. |

Crop:- Grape.
Site :-Ganeshkhind Fruit Exptl. Stn., Poona.

Ref: Mh. 53(156).
Type:-‘'

Object :-To study the effect of close spacing and different systems of training on wire trellis on growth and yield of Bhokari vines.

## 1. BASAL CONDITIONS :

(i) The plot, before this crop was under Kandhari grapes trained in four arned system for 15 years 19321947. (ii) Medium black. (iii) Cuttings were planted in raised bed and then transplanted on permanent area. (iv) Bhokari. (v) The vines were planted on 24.2 .1949 as per treatments. (vi) 6 months. (vii) After April pruning, 10 C.L. of F.Y.M., 1620 lo . of G.N.C. and 540 lb . of A/S on 29.4.1953. The manure is broadcasted along the rows (viii) Usual operations like ploughing, harrowing pruning twice a year (October and April). (ix) No. (x) Irrigated. (xi) N.A. (xii) 4.2.1954 to 23.3.1954.

## 2. TREATMENTS :

1. Single standard vines spaced $2^{\prime}$ apart are rained as single horizontal cordons. The cordons of every three seccessive plants are trained singly on superimposed wires at a distance of $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 5 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ and $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of the cordon of each vine is 6 feet. The number of vinesin each row is 69 . The number of vines in an acre is 2722 .
2. Double standard vines, spaced $2^{\prime}$ apart are trained as horizontal cordons in opposite directions at the same level. The cordons of alternate plants are tied overlapping each other on wires at a height of $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ and $6 \frac{2}{2}^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordons of each vine is $8^{\prime}$ i.e. $4^{\prime}$ and $4^{\prime}$ in opposite directions. The number of vines in each tract is 69. The number of vines per acre is 2722.
3. Single standard vines spaced $1_{2^{\circ}}$ apart are trained as single hortizontal cordons. The cordons of every three seccessive plants are tied single on wires at $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{2^{\prime}}, 5 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ and $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordon of each vine is $1 \frac{1^{\prime}}{\prime}$. The number of vines per treatment is 92 . The number of vines per acre is 3630 .
4. Twin vines planted at one hill and spaced $3^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons in opposite directions at the same level. The cordon of alternate plants are tried overlapping cach other on vines at height of $4 \frac{1}{2}$, and $6 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordon of each vine is 6 ft . The number of vines per treatment is 90 . The number of vines per acre is 3630 .
5. Single standard vines spaced ${ }^{3 \prime}$ apart are trained as two arms kniffin system. The two arms of cordons given out by the same trunk are tied in opposite directions. The arms of the alternate plants are tied $4 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ and $6 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}$ respectively. The arms of one plant will overlap those of its alternate neighbour on the same level. Each vine has cordon length of $12^{\prime}$ ( $6^{\prime}$ in opposite directions). The number of vines per treatment is 46 . The number of vine per acre is 1815 .
6. Single standard vine spaced $4^{\prime}$ apart are trained as single horizontal cordons. The cordons of every three successive vines are trained singly on wires at $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime}, 5 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ and $6 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ respectively. The length of cordon of each vine is $12^{\prime}$. The number of vine in the treatment is 35 . The number of vine per acre is 1361 .
7. DESIGN :
(i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 6. (b) N.A. (iii) 4. (iv) The number of vines in each treatment differ and the same is given in the description of the treatment. (v) One guard row. (vi) Yes.
8. GENERAL:
(i) Normal. (ii) No. of bordeaux mixture sprays in rainy season and sulphur dusting in winter. (iii) The weight of the pruned material in October, weight of bunches, number of bunches. Weight of bunches is per vine. (iv) (a) 1949 -continued. (b) N.A. (v) N.A. (vi) and (vii) A missing plot for treatment 1 and replication 1.

## 5. RESULT

(i) $5534 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(ii) $1203 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
(iii) Treatments do not differ significantly.
(iv) Av. yield of grapes in $\mathrm{Ib} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

Treatment Av. yield

1. 5174
2. 5964
3. 5027
4. 6533
5. 5171
6. 

5332
S.E. of mean of treaments 2 to $6=601.5 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.
S.E. of difference of treatment 1 and any other treatment mean $=931.7 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

## ADDENDUM STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILED SOIL TYPES OF MAHARASHTRA STATE

According to a soil survey of the sugarcane areas under six major Deccan canals, the soils in Maharashtra State have been grouped into twelve distinct soil types chronologically named from ' $A$ ' to ' $L$ '. The classification is briefy summarised below.
GROUP-I

## Soils developed under restricted drainage :

These soils are characterised by the presence of high soluble salts in the profiles increasing with depth and finally attain low value. This distribution of salts gives a close type curve. The soils often show high degree of sodium saturation. The soil types under this group are $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}$ and K .

> GROUP-II

The soils developed under free drainage :
The soils of this group show considerable variation in the soil colour and free lime content. Primarily they are divided according to free lime content.
(i) Low lime content--free lime, less than $2 \%-\mathrm{F}$ and H type (ii) Moderate lime content-2 to $5 \%$ A, E and G types and (iii) High lime content above $10 \%-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{J}$ and L types.

Further classification depends on $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio and other morphological features.

Toposequence of these types can be briefly given as below :

1. High level shallow soils- $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}$ and H types.
2. Intermediate soils-A, D, E, K and $L$ types.
3. Low level deep soils-B, C, I and J types.

Toposequence chart is given at the end for reference.
Detailed characteristics of soil types are given below :
Soil type ' $A$ ' :
(a) Morphological characters:
'A' type has two horizons of uniform black colour with a tinge of red, the upper 12 inches or so having a well defined crumb structure and interspersed with roots and the lower horizon with a faintly crystal like structure. The depth of the soil is about $2^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$ resting on murum of the medium hardness, impregnated with lime.
(b) Physico-chemical characters:

This type has moderately high clay content over the whole profile which is also usually characterised by an alluviation in the lower horizon. The soil is well supplied with calcium carbonate which shows a tendency of leaching, soil reaction is fairly high ( pH 8.6 to 8.8) in the profile, showing a high base status throughout. Humus content is high throughout the profile (above l percent). The soil is highly colloidal over the whole profile, the total base saturation capacity varying from 77-81 m.e. base percent, which explains the well developed structure of the soil. Exchangeable magnesium and sodium are comparatively much lower and the $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio, whicb is found to be a good index for characterising the Deccan soils, is usually greater than 10 in the surface
horizon in these soils. From the chemical properties, this type can be said to be very suitable for sugarcane cultivation.

## Soil type ' $B$ ' :

(a) Morphological characters :

It is characterised by three horizons, the first horizon is about 20 m . thickness, greyish black in colour with a distinct brown shade, with more or less cloddy structure (clads breaking up easily into crumbs under pressure) the second horizon is mottled with black and brown increasing with depth, thickness $20^{\prime \prime}$ to $30^{\prime \prime}$. a pure reddish brown horizon follows which shows concretions of lime and often gypsum crystals. The soil depth is never less than : $\frac{1}{2}$ ' but may extend to a great depth.

## (b) Physicouchemical char.toters:

' B ' type has a high percentage of clay ( $56-62$ percent) throughout the profiles which also shows alluviation in the lower horizon. There is an accumalition zone of soluble salts and gypsum in the lower horizon which render; it pervious and thus improves the natural drainage. Humus is fairly high in the surface layer (1 percent) but suffers a sharp fall in lower layers which is characteristic of this typt ; calcum carbonate is quite abundant in the soil varying from 9 to i4 percent over the the profile. Soil reaction is high on the surface ( $\mathrm{pH} 8 . \times$ ) but the presence of salts helps to lowe it down in the lower layer. A low base saturation in spite of its clayey nature is the peculiarity of the soil, the values varying from $43-64$ m.e. percent of base in the different horizons. Exchangeable calcium is also typically low ( $26-45$ m.e.) forming $4,-73$ jerreat of the total bases, the lowest values occurring specially in the upper layers. These is comparatively high exchangeable magnesium and sodium, the latter increasing down the rofile, and the $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio is about 3 or less.

The structure of this type is, therefore, inferior and the drainage would have been ordinarily bad but for the coagulating action of the soluble salts referred to above. The areas covered by this type are liable to have greater or smaller extents of the degraded phase of this type where the sodium saturation on the surface exceeds a ce tain limit thus rendering soil alkaline and unsuitable for cultivation. Such alkali soils are locally known as chopan which requires careful management for the cultivation of sugarcanc.

## Soil type ' $C$ ':

## (a) Morphological characters:

This is also one of the deeper types having two or three horizons which are not sharply differentiated. The greyish black surface horizon has hard and himpy structure often coated with incrustation of salts but gets definitely sticker and more impervious with deptin. Below this, there is occasionally a reddish browr matrriat of silt or in the shallower phase ( + feet) a sandy mateidal wish heavy deposits of lime. Profuse black concretions of lime are present through out the profile.

## (b) Physico-chemical properties:

' C ' type also possesses fairly abundant proportion of clay in its meral fraction, which again: shows alluviation in the lower horizon. There is an apprectable proportion of silt in the profile (round about 30 percent), calcium corbonate is about 4 percent on the surface and tendency in this soil for high concentration of salts in the surface layer is indicated by a white powdery efflorescence.

This is due to the characteristic topography of this soil type, which is also generally responsible for the occurrance of a high sub-soil water table. Humus is fiirly high but decreases gradually in lower layers, in contrast to ' $B$ ' type (varying from 1.5 at top to 1.0 percent below) soil reaction tends to be high throughout the profile (pll 8.5 to 9.00 ) unlike ' $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ type the coll idal constituents appears to be high in this soil as evidenced by the high base saturation which varies from $6 \approx$ to 73 m.e. percent in the profile.

But as in the ' B ' type, exchangeable magnesium and sodium form considerable proportion, being 15-33 percent and 6-17 percent of total bases respectively. Exchangeable calcium, though higher than in ' $B$ ' type is proportionately low, varying from 46 m.e.' on the surface to $35 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{e}$. in the lower most layer forming 63 and 50 percent of the total bases respectively. $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio is lower than 3, the high proport.on of exchangeable magnesium and sodium thus tending to impart an inferior cloddy structure to the soil. This type has the further disadvantage compared to the ' B ' type having a less pervious second horizon (there being no coagulation constituents and sodium saturation being high) and this soil requires very great care and skillful managenent for successful cane cultivation. A varying, extent of degradation is also found in areas of this type.

Soil type ' $D$ ':
(a) Morphological characters:
' $D$ ' type has a single horizon possessing a dark grey colour with brown shade, fairly loose and granular with faint structure [appearing in lower depths which attains distinct lamination in the lowest layer. Intervening between the soil and murum below, is a lime band of dirty white colour and of varying thickness. The depth of the soil layer varies from $2^{\prime}$ to $4^{\prime}$.

## (b) Physico-chemical characters:;

The ' $D$ ' type has a uniformly high clay content throughout the profile (varying from 55 to $58 \%$ ) high calcium carbonate content and high $\mathrm{pH}(8.8$ to 9.0 ) increasing in lower layers are typical of this soil in spite of its medium depth, though the total soluble salts are fairly low. The Humus contents are fairly high (above $1 \%$ throughout), the total base saturation capacity is uniformly high throughout the profile ( 63 to $66 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{c}$.) but there is considerable variation in the amounts of different bases in the different layers.

Thus, while exchangeable calcium (which starts from $52.5 \mathrm{~m} . e$. or $80 \%$ of the total bases decreases progressively both exchangeable magnesium and sodium (which are 8.8 and 1.34 m.e. or 14 and 2 percent of total bases, respectively) increase in the lower layers. The resultant effect is the inferrior structure and drainage condizion of lower layers. The presence of the lime band which hinders the free movement of the products of weathering is particularly responsible for the high base saturation of this soil, particularly with magnesium and sodium in the lower layers and also for the presence of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in lower layers. In spite of its moderate depth, therefore, careful management of this soil type is necessary under cane cultivation as deterioration may otherwise result.

Soil type ' $E$ ' :

## (a) Morphological charaaters:

It has an upper loose and friable horizon of about $12^{\prime \prime}$ depth and of dull greyish black colour, loamy texture, ffollowed by markedly compact second horizon having a slightly darker colour and laminated structure. Clay loam depth of soil is about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 5 feet resting on murum, grey and yellow with glaconite.

## (b) Physico-chemical characters:

A peculiar characteristic of the ' $E$ ' type is that although the percentage of clay is uniformly very high ( $60-62 \%$ ) throughout the profile, there is loose and granular structure of the surface horizon followed by compact second horizon with lamination which is difficult to explain from its chemical properties. The faint zone of accumulation of soluble salts (which are otherwise fairly low, starting from $0.2 \%$ at the surface) and of calcium carbonate, which however is abundant varying from 7 to $9 \%$ may indicate certain amount of impedence exerted by second horizon. pH values and Humus are uniform, pH being round about 8.6 in all layers and Humus varying from 1.4 to $1.5 \%$, the high base saturation capacity of the soil ( $74-80 \mathrm{~m} . e$. base $\%$ ) is well associated with the high clay content of this soil. Exchangeable magnesium is fairly high ( $7.8 \mathrm{me} . \%$ ) in
the profile which gives the soil a lower ratio (less than 10) than the ' $A$ ' type which may account for the inferior structure though exchangeable sodium is quite low ( $0-5$ to 1 m.e. $\%$ ). The chemical proporties indicate high potential fertility of the soil although some care will be necessary in view of the compact second horizon while bringing those soils under perennial irrigation.

Soil type ' $F$ ' :
(a) Morphological characters:
' $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ ' type is a shallow soil $12^{*}-15^{*}$ in depth, consisting of two well defined horizons the upper horizon ( $7^{\prime \prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$ thick) has a light brown colour, lighter texture, loose and granular structure with broken pieces of murum. The lower horizon is darker in colour and distinctly compact. This lower horizon is of variable thickness and in very shallow phase may often be entirely absent. The murum is hard with only a faint in crustation of lime.

## (b) Physico-chemical characters :

Considering the comparatively shallow depth of the ' $F$ ' type there is a well defined alluviation of clay in the lower horizon, the values changing from 46 to 56 percent, which tallies with the field observation of the profile. Calcium carbonate is low starting from about 1 percent in the surface layer but increases gradually to about $5 \%$. Soluble salts are moderately high (round about $0.4 \%$ ) considering the shallow nature of the soil, pH values are comparatively low and uniform (round about 8.1). The alluviation of clay in the profile corresponds to the increasing base saturation caparity of the soil which starts from about 60 m.e. base percent and reaches $76 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{e}$. percent lower down; the exchangeable calcium saturation from 56 to 64 m.e. percent, forming a very high proportion of the total bases, $94 \%$ at top changing to 86 percent at bottom. The other bases are thus proportionately low and do not vary much and the compact nature of the second horizon can be attributed more to its higher clay contents than to the base status of the colloidal complex. The presence of a compact horizon in this shallow soil is a favourable factor for cane growing as it improves its retentivity for water and manure.

Soil type ' $G$ ':
(a) Morphological characters:
' $G$ ' type is a uniform dark brown colour throughout but the upper horizon has a crumb structure which yields small grains under pressure while the lower horizon shows slight lamination with white concretion of lime and particles of well. Weathered murum is in the lower most parts. The murum below is fairly weathered and coated with lime The soil depth is from 1-3 feet.

## (b) Physico-chemical characters:

The ' $G$ 'type is characterised by a fairly uniform mechanical composition over the $e^{\text {ntire }}$ profile, the clay varying from 52 percent on the surface to 56 percent in the lower horizon. Calcium carbonate contents are moderate (about $4 \%$ at the top) showing a gentic leaching towards lower layers. Soil reaction which starts with about 8.3 on surface, shows a slight tendency to decrease lower down. Humus is moderate and fairly uniform in the profile. The total base saturation, capacity varies from 67 to 73 me . percent and exchangeable calcium from 55 to $57 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{e}$. percent over the profile. The percentage saturation of calcium thus varies from 76 to 82 . This type is characte rised by fairly high contents of exchangeable magnesium, the quantities varying from the surface of the bottom layer ( 12 to 16 percent saturation of total bases). The low $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio indicates a general inferior drainage condition of the soil. Exchangeable sodium, however is fairly low over the entire profile on the whole and it is a moderately good soil for cane growing, although, because of its low $\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{MgO}$ ratio, the structure is likely to be rather impaired under heavy irrigation.

## A brief summary of soil types in Maharashtra State:

A Intermediate, medium deep black clay loam with reddish tinge-moderate lime 2 to $5 \%$-developed under free drainage.
B Low lying, high lime deep brown black clay loam, often showing bigh degree of sodium saturationdeveloped under restricted drainage.

## MODE OF SOIL FORMATION



C Low lying, deep black, clay soils or compact clays often showing high tegree of sodium saturation developed under restricted drainage
D Intermediate dark brown, calcarious clay loams. High lime content above $10 \%$-developed under free drainage.
E Intermediate greyish black clays. Lime content moderate 2 to $5 \%$-developed under free drainaze.
F High level, low lime shallow, brown loarss-developed under free drainage-free lime less than $2 \%$.
G High level, moderate lime dark brown shallow clay loam. Lime cor tent 2 to $5 \%$-developes under free drainage
H High level, low lime developed under free drainage, free lime less than $\mathbf{2}^{\circ} \%$.
I Low lying, often shows bigh degree of sodium saturation, developed uncer restricted drainage.
J Calcarious clay loams-high lime content above $10 \%$-developed under free drainage.
$K$ Intermediate soils, often showing high degree of sodium saturation developed under restricted drainage.
L Intermediate soils, high lime content about $10 \%$-developed under free drainage.

Statement showing details of Physico-Chemical properties of soils of some of the Research Stations/farms ie Mabarashtra State.

1. Government Experimental Farm, Akola.

Mechanical analysis
Chemical aralyis

| 1. Clay $\%$ | 49.25 | 1. Moisture $\%$ | 7.20 to 10.45 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Silt $\%$ | 24.75 | 2. Nitrogen $\%$ | 0.036 to 0.05 |  |
| 3. | Fine Sand $\%$ | 14.92 | 3. Avl. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \%$ | 4.00 to 6.50 |
| 4. Coarse Sand $\%$ | 2.12 | 4. Avl. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%$ | 4.50 to 15.00 |  |
| 5. Lime nodules $\%$ | 5.20 | 5. pH value | 7.9 to 8.3 |  |

II. Agricultural Research Station Igatpuri.

Soil analysis (expressed as percent on dry fine matter)

| 1. Moisture | 4.75 | 4. Avl. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (mgm.) | 7.23 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Nitrogen | 0.08 | 5. | Total soluable salts | 0.01 |
| 3. Avl. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (mgm.) | 3.48 | 6. pH . value | 7.0 |  |

III. Agricultural Research Station, Jeur .

Mechanical analysis.

| 1. Gravel percent | Nil. | 8. Total soluble salts \% | 0.2 to 0.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Ccarse sand \% | 2 to 5 | 9. Exchangeable Ca (me.1\% | 55 to 65 |
| 3. Fine sand \% | 3 to 10 | 10. Exchangeatle Mg. (m.e.) \% | 7 to 15 |
| 4. Siit \% | 10 to 16 | 11. Exchangeable Na. (m.e) \% | 0.5 to 3.5 |
| 5. Clay \% | 55 to 65 | 12. Avl. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{mgm} . \%$ | 9 to 13 |
| 6. Free lime \% | 10 to 14 | 13. Avl. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{mgm} . \%$ | 20 to 55 |
| 7. Organic Carbon \% | 0.6 to 0.9 | 14. Total nitrogen \% | 0.03 to 0.05 |

IY. Government Fruit Experimental Station, Poona
Soil analysis (\% on original fine sample)
(Soil samples)

|  | A | B | C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Total soluble salts | $\mathrm{C.32}$ | 0.32 |
| 2. Carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ | Nil | Nil | 0.32 |
| 3. Bi-Carbonates $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right)$ | 0.072 | Nil |  |
| 4. Chiorides $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ | 0.064 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| 5. Sulphates $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ | 0.082 | 0.057 | 0.064 |
| 6. Calcium $(\mathrm{Ca})$ | 0.016 | 0.099 | 0.082 |
| 7. Magnesium $(\mathrm{Mg})$ | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.017 |
| 8. pH. value | 7.7 | 0.007 |  |
| 9. Total Nitrogen | 0.13 | 7.5 | 7.9 |
| 10. Avl. Phosphate $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathrm{mgm})$ | 18.00 | 0.08 | 0.18 |

Statement giving Chemical \& Mechanical analysis of soil samples at Agricultural Research Stn., Achalpur

| Field No. | Area | Total soluble salts | Lime | Organic matter | pH | Avai'able $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | Available $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Silt | Clay | Coarse Sand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 23.3 | 0.182 | 5.74 | - | 7.7 | 12.84 | 0.0198 | 26.0 | 39.0 | 8.34 |
| 2. | 33.1 | 0.104 | 6.72 | - | 7.9 | 7.42 | 0.016 | 31.0 | 43.5 | 11.09 |
| 3. | 30.6 | 0.172 | 4.73 | - | 7.4 | 10.88 | 0.208 | 15.5 | 48.0 | 22.52 |
| 4. | . 35.0 | 0.13 | 5.00 | - | 7.8 | 10.90 | 0.014 | 23.5 | 33.0 | 20.98 |
| 5. | 9.8 | 0.42 | 6.78 | 04608 | 7.7 | 33.40 | 0.232 | 19.8 | 41.0 | 5.86 |
| 6 a. | 24.0 | 0.15 | 5.04 | 0.8233 | 7.0 | 37.20 | 0.8:0 | 165 | 54.5 | 4.83 |
| 6 b . | 16.0 | 0.13 | 4.64 | 0.9296 | 7.7 | 42.06 | 0.218 | 9.5 | 49.5 | 8.22 |
| 7 a. | 32.0 | 0.28 | 3.57 | - | 7.5 | 10.50 | 0.0166 | 17.5 | 31.4 | 10.64 |
| 7 b . | 24.0 | 0.15 | 4.60 | 1.3524 | 7.7 | 15.90 | 0.202 | 33.6 | 23.5 | 7.84 |
| 8. | 23.2 | 0.24 | 5.14 | 1.4447 | 7.7 | 23.88 | 0.200 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 16.98 |

. Statement giving Chemical and Mechanical Analysis of Agricultural Faıms at Vadegaon, Ganeshkhind, Padegaon, rgatpuri, Phondaghat, Dhulia and Sindewahi




[^0]:    New Delhi,
    August 20, 1962.

    A.D. Pandit<br>Vice-President,<br>Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

[^1]:    Owing to transfers and other changes more than one Regional Supervisor have been shown agans: several states as these officers have acted as Regional Supervisors during different periods from 1955 to 1962.

[^2]:    (i) R.B.D. (ii) (a) 3. (b) N.A. (iii) 6. (iv) (a) $26^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \times 16^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ (b) $20^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$. (v) $3^{\prime} .4^{\prime \prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

[^3]:    S.E. of mean response - $91.26 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$

[^4]:    S.E. of differential response $=107.77 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.

[^5]:    S.E. of mean response S.E. of differential response

    $$
    =24.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
    $$

    $$
    =34.16 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac} .
    $$

[^6]:    (i) (a; N.A. (b) Tur. (c) Compost at 10 C.L./ac. (ii) (a) Light black. (b) Refer soil analysis, Parbhani. (iii) 28.6.1953. (iv) Tractor ploughing on 2.2.1953. Harrowings on 15.5.1¢53, 18.6.1953 and 28.6.1953. (b) Sown by 3 coulter country seed drill. (c) N.A. (d) $15^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime}$ : (e) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) PJ.4R. (vii) Nil. (viii) One hoeing and 2 weedings. (ix) $33.03^{\prime \prime}$ (During Kharif $1553-54$ i.e. from April 1953 to September 1953). (x) 3.12.1953.

[^7]:    (i) $4 \times 3$ Fact. in R.B.D. (ii) (a) $12 . \quad$ (b) N.A. (iii) 4 . (iv) (a) $33^{\prime} \times 33^{\prime}$. (b) $30^{\prime} \times 30^{\prime}$. (v) $1.5^{\prime}$ ring round the net plot. (vi) Yes.

[^8]:    (i) (a) Sugarcane-Jowar. (b) Jowar. (c) Nil. (ii) (a) 'B' type. (b) Refer soil analysis, Padegaon. (iii) 2.12.19:0. (iv, (a) 1 ploughing and 1 harrowing. (b) N.A. (c) 10,000 setts/ac. (d) $4^{\prime}$ apart. (c) N.A. (v) Nil. (vi) CO. 419 (mid-late., (vii) Irrigated. (viii) 3 interculturings, 4 weedings and 1 earthing up.
    (ix) $14.68^{\prime \prime}$ in 1951.1952. (x) 2.4. 952.

[^9]:    S.E. of any marginal mean
    $=172.8 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$.
    S.E. of body of table
    $=122.2 \mathrm{lb} . \mathrm{ac}$.

[^10]:    Details of rotations:-
    J =Jowar unmanured.
    $\mathrm{Jm}=$ Jowar manured with 5 C.L./ac. of F.Y.M.
    Cmp $=$ Chinamug manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    $\mathrm{Cm}=$ Chinamug unmanured.
    $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    GN =Groundnut unmanured.
    $G p=G r a m$ manured with 40 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    G = Gram unmanured.
    $W p=$ Wheat manured with $40 \mathrm{It} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    W =Wheat unmanured. $\mathbf{F}=$ Fallow.

    - $\mathrm{Cmp} / \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{Cm} / \mathrm{J}$ indicates that crops are grown in Kharif and Rabi respectively. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ applied as Super ${ }_{n}$

[^11]:    Details of rotations:
    BT = Bajra-Tur mixture in 3:1
    BTp $=$ Bajra-Tur mixture manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    GN =Groundnut unmanured.
    $\mathrm{GNp}=$ Groundnut manured with $20 \mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{ac}$. of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
    Mt =Matki unmanured.
    Mtp $=$ Matki manured with 20 lb ./ac. of $\mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

[^12]:    Crop :- Jowar-Gram. Ref :- Mh. 53(291)/52(186)/51(95)!50(110)/49(111). Groundnut (Rabi).
    Site :- Agri. Res. Stn., Sholapur. Type :- 'R'.

